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Abstract

This research explored the concept of organisational climate for achievement (as depicted in job adverts), and its effect on organisational attractiveness and prospective job applicants. Carr and MacLachlan’s (1997) theory of “Motivational Gravity” suggests that people are inherently attracted to achievement-enabling work environments, whilst the theory of Achievement Motivation (McClelland, 1961) suggests that attitudes toward achievement will moderate the link between climate and attraction. A total of $N = 157$ undergraduate and postgraduate students from Massey University viewed a constructed job advertisement that varied systematically in level of support for workplace achievement (control = none, support from co-workers, from supervisors, and from both co-workers and supervisors combined). Organisational attractiveness was measured on a specially-designed measure with two internally reliable factors (Pragmatic and Aspirational fit). Moderators were attitudes toward achievement (measured using Feather’s 1989 Tall Poppy Scale - subscales, Favour Reward and Favour Fall for high achievers) and tolerance thresholds for negative climates for achievement (measured using Rundle’s 2005 Threshold Measure). The treatment conditions, and especially Peer Support, produced significant rises in both Pragmatic and Aspirational attraction, a linkage that was accentuated among participants who had relatively high scores on Favour Reward. The sharper effect of climate for achievement on attraction to the organisation among those who favour rewarding achievement is supportive of both achievement motivation theory and the theory of person-job fit and has practical implications for recruiting organisations who can attempt to increase candidate’s perceptions of organisational attractiveness by explicitly mentioning climate for achievement within their job advertisements.
Acknowledgments

I am deeply thankful to my supervisor, Stuart Carr, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final page enabled me to develop an understanding and appreciation of academic writing and the subject of climate for achievement. I would also like to thank the Massey University Human Ethics Committee: Northern for approving the experiment described in the thesis.

This thesis would not have been possible without the outgoing support and encouragement from my partner in life and crime Daniel, my work colleagues and Simba the cat – thank you for enduring this process with me! I offer my regards and humble thanks to all of those who supported me in any respect during the completion of this project.

Kristen Scott
# Table of Contents

Abstract

Acknowledgements

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction

What is Recruitment and what does it contribute? 1

Recruitment messages 2

Conceptualisation of Achievement 3

Climate for achievement 6

Support from Peers 10

Support from Supervisors 14

Candidate’s attitude toward achievement 16

Attitudes toward high achievers 16

Tolerance levels for discouragement 24

Organisational Attractiveness 26

Corporate social responsibility 27

Hypotheses 30

Chapter 2: Method

Participants 31

Materials 34

Procedure 38

Chapter 3: Results

Data reduction 40

Moderator variable 1 in Figure 2: Tall Poppy Scores 41

Moderator variable 2 in Figure 2: Tolerance Threshold Scores 42
Organisational Attractiveness Measure 42
Covariates: Sector Interest and Sector Knowledge 45
Data-reduced core variables 46
Testing main hypotheses 46
Tests of moderation 50
  Favour Reward 51
  Favour Fall 57
  Tolerance thresholds for discouragement 61
Qualitative analysis 65
Chapter 4: Discussion
  Summary of findings 68
  Links to theory 70
  Limitations and future research 73
# List of Illustrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1</td>
<td>A Motivational Gravity grid</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 2</td>
<td>A theoretical model linking recruitment to climate for achievement combined with candidate’s attitudes toward climate for achievement</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Pragmatic Attraction as a function of condition and Favour Reward (High/Low)</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Aspirational Attraction as a function of condition and Favour Reward (High/Low)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Pragmatic Attraction as a function of condition and Favour Fall (High/Low)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Aspirational Attraction as a function of condition and Favour Fall (High/Low)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 7</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Pragmatic Attraction as a function of condition and Tolerance (High/Low)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 8</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Pragmatic Attraction as a function of condition and Tolerance (High/Low)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Lists of Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 1</td>
<td>Participant demographics</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 2</td>
<td>Participant work characteristics</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3</td>
<td>Manipulation sentences within the job advertisement</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4</td>
<td>Factor solution on the Tall Poppy Scale</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 5</td>
<td>Factor solution on the Organisational Attractiveness measure</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 6</td>
<td>Mean item scores on Organizational Attractiveness (Pragmatic and Aspirational)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 7</td>
<td>Post hoc tests: Organisational Attractiveness (Pragmatic) factor</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8</td>
<td>Post hoc tests: Organisational Attractiveness (Aspiration) factor</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 9</td>
<td>Organisational Attraction as a function of condition and Favour Reward</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 10</td>
<td>Univariate tests of moderation by Favour Reward</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 11</td>
<td>Organisational Attraction as a function of condition and Favour Fall</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12  Organisational Attraction as a function of condition and Tolerance 60

Table 13  Content analysis of “Why did you react the way you did to the advert?” 66