

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The development of predictive
models to enhance biological
assessment of riverine systems in
New Zealand**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Ecology
At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand**

Michael Kevin Joy

2003



Ph.D. CANDIDATE DOCTORAL EXAMINATION APPLICATION

Candidate's Name: Joy, Michael Kevin

Academic Unit: Institute of Natural Resources (Ecology)

Provisional registration date 14.12.1999 – F; Thesis submission deadline 14.12.2003

Thesis title: “The development of predictive models to enhance biological assessment of riverine systems in New Zealand”

Statement regarding the nature and extent of any assistance received during the doctoral research:

For all chapters, my input was the greatest, I planned the research, undertook all fieldwork, analysed all data, and wrote all manuscripts. My main supervisor Russell Death gave assistance in the following fields: the development of the original project concept, editing manuscripts, overseeing project administration and funding and discussing ongoing developments. My other two supervisors Prof Brian Springett and Dr R. M. McDowall gave no direct assistance apart from general discussions and administrative duties.

None of the material in this thesis has been used for any other degree or diploma.

The first 4 chapters of the thesis have been published or are in press, the other two chapters are under review with journals. Details on publications:

Chapter 1 Joy, M. K., and R. G. Death. 2002. Predictive modelling of freshwater fish as a biomonitoring tool in New Zealand. *Freshwater Biology* **47**:2261-2275.

Chapter 2 Joy, M. K., and R. G. Death. 2003. Biological assessment of rivers in the Manawatu-Wanganui region of New Zealand using a predictive macroinvertebrate model. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **33**:367-379.

Chapter 3 Joy, M. K., and R. G. Death. In Press. Neural network modelling of freshwater fish and macro-crustacean assemblages for biological assessment in New Zealand. *in* S. Lek, M. Scardi, and S. E. Jorgensen, editors. *Modelling community structure in freshwater ecosystems*. Springer Verlag.

Chapter 4 Joy, M. K., and R. G. Death. In Press. Assessing biological integrity using freshwater fish and decapod habitat selection functions. *Environmental Management*.

Candidate Michael K. Joy



Ph.D. CANDIDATE DOCTORAL EXAMINATION APPLICATION

Candidate's Name: Joy, Michael Kevin

Academic Unit: Institute of Natural Resources (Ecology)

Provisional registration date 14.12.1999 – F; Thesis submission deadline
14.12.2003

**Thesis title: “The development of predictive models to enhance
biological assessment of riverine systems in New Zealand”**

Statement regarding doctoral thesis:

This statement confirms that the candidate has pursued the Doctoral Course
in accordance with the University's Doctoral regulations.

Supervisor Russell G. Death



Ph.D. CANDIDATE DOCTORAL EXAMINATION APPLICATION

Candidate's Name: Joy, Michael Kevin

Academic Unit: Institute of Natural Resources (Ecology)

Provisional registration date 14.12.1999 – F; Thesis submission deadline
14.12.2003

**Thesis title: “The development of predictive models to enhance
biological assessment of riverine systems in New Zealand”**

Statement regarding thesis:

1. Reference to work other than that of the candidate, has been appropriately acknowledged,
2. Research practice, ethical and genetic technology policies have been complied with as appropriate, and
3. The thesis does not exceed 100,000 words (excluding appendices)

Supervisor Russell G. Death

Candidate Michael K. Joy

Abstract

A suite of new regional and national lotic freshwater bioassessment tools were developed for New Zealand. This work permits the inclusion of freshwater fish in bioassessment, a component of the fauna previously largely ignored. The multivariate predictive models developed gave a number of advantages over the existing albeit overextended single-index approach (the macroinvertebrate community index) used by regional authorities. To acquire the data for constructing the models more than 500 sites were sampled over three North Island regions. The sites were selected to represent least impacted conditions known as reference sites so that the biotic communities sampled would represent the best attainable or the goal for resource managers. Models were constructed to predict the biota representing best available conditions based on the non human influenced physicochemical variables defining the sites. The predicted and observed assemblages were then compared using an observed over expected ratio (O/E) so that scores less than 1 represent less species observed than expected. This (O/E) ratio is more than simply the assessment of species richness, as only those species predicted are included in the ratio. Reference site multivariate predictive models using fish and macroinvertebrate assemblage groups were developed for bioassessment in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region. Two reference site multivariate predictive models using individual fish and decapod species were developed for the Auckland region. The first used traditional linear discriminant function analysis and the second used artificial neural networks (ANNs). A model to predict the spatial occurrence of fish and decapods was developed for fish in the Wellington Region using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and ANNs. The remotely sensed data was available for all rivers in the region so the predictions could be extended over the entire stream network to produce a fish map. Finally an index of biotic integrity (IBI) using fish was developed for the entire country and evaluated using remotely assessed environmental data. Exhaustive evaluations of predictions from all the models confirmed their credibility as a biomonitoring.

Acknowledgments

Each Chapter has its own acknowledgments section. Here I thank those people whose assistance was not specific to any one part of the project.

First, I thank my first supervisor, Russell Death for giving me the confidence to tackle this project right from its beginnings in my Masters research. His encouragement and support from inception to final write-up has been comprehensive and inspirational. I also owe his wife Fiona a debt of gratitude for her support and missing out on family time with Russell so he could spend time on this project.

Thanks to my second supervisor Bob McDowall for his support throughout the entire project and in the preparation. His association with the project undoubtedly helped the progression through the initial funding applications. I thank him for his patience and help over the years sending reprints and innumerable telephone conversations.

The project would not have been possible without the support of three regional councils: Manawatu-Wanganui (now horizons.mw), Auckland (ARC) and Wellington (WRC) and the New Zealand Ministry for the Environment (MfE). In particular through the support of staff who supported the initial application for funding through the Sustainable Management Fund (SMF). In particular I thank John Phillips (horizons), Chris Hatton, John Maxted and Brent Evans (ARC) and Murray McLea (WRC).

Thanks must go to all who helped with fieldwork, especially Allison Hewitt who was fishing partner for the Wellington and Auckland surveys and Grant Blackwell who was my electro-fishing partner and best mate for the 40,000 km Manawatu-Wanganui survey. Thanks to Rosemary Miller, Dr Ian Henderson, Scott Bowie, Sjaan Charteris and Murray McLea for helping with fish surveys when the regulars couldn't make it.

The Ecology Group staff provided support throughout the project, thanks especially to Barbara Just, Jens Jorgensen, Cathy Lake, Erica Reid, Paul Barrett, and Carol Nicholson

Thanks to friends, family and colleagues who have lent support and or discussed ideas over the last 4 years. In particular, thanks to the Saturday morning coffee group Suzanne Bassett, Grant Blackwell, Halema Flannagan, Peter Ritchie, Alistair Robertson, Matt Lowe, Åsa Berggren, Becky Lewis, Cath Morrison, Viv Nichols, Tony McGlynn, John Holland and Brian Springett.

Thanks to the student team of the Massey University Centre for Freshwater Ecosystem Modeling and Management for many discussions and editing, especially Alex, Zoe, Kiryn, Mark, Murray, Pepe and Erna.

The project benefited immeasurably from the support and assistance of my partner and soul mate Allison Hewitt. Who assisted with the fishing of more than half of the >500 sites sampled and put up with my mad obsession for more than 4 years. For this and much more, I am eternally grateful.

Table of contents

Title page	i
Abstract	v
Acknowledgments	vi
Note on text	ix
Introduction	x
Chapter 1 Predictive modeling of freshwater fish as a biomonitoring tool in New Zealand	1
Chapter 2 Biological assessment of rivers in the Manawatu- Wanganui region of New Zealand using a predictive macroinvertebrate model	34
Chapter 3 Neural network modelling of freshwater fish and macro-crustacean assemblages for biological assessment in New Zealand	62
Chapter 4 Assessing biological integrity using freshwater fish and decapod habitat selection functions	88
Chapter 5 Predictive modeling and spatial mapping of freshwater fish and decapod assemblages integrating GIS and neural networks	119
Chapter 6 Application of the index of biotic integrity methodology to New Zealand freshwater fish communities	152
Synthesis	185

Note on text and authorship

Each Chapter is set out largely in the style of the journal it was published in or has been submitted to. Consequently there is some inevitable repetition, especially in the methods sections, and there are minor stylistic differences between the chapters. For each paper the only co-author is my principal supervisor Russell Death this recognises his contribution in developing the original project concept, editing manuscripts, overseeing project administration and funding and discussing ongoing developments. For all chapters, my input was the greatest. I planned the research, undertook all fieldwork, analysed all data, and wrote all manuscripts.