

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

EDTA-ENHANCED TRANSPORT OF COPPER FROM CONTAMINATED SOIL AND ITS IMPLICATIONS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science
at Massey University

Thabonithy Thayalakumaran

2002

Abstract

An understanding of the interacting physical and chemical processes involved is necessary for efficient and environmentally responsible remediation of copper-contaminated soils through EDTA-enhanced mobilisation, using either *ex situ* or *in situ* methods. In order to study these processes, leaching experiments were performed on repacked columns and intact cores, with various initial and boundary conditions, in two contrasting soils containing varying amounts of copper. One soil was an alluvial Manawatu fine sandy loam, which was low in organic matter, and the other a volcanic Opotiki sandy loam with a higher organic matter content.

In both soils, the EDTA moved without any observable adsorption when the soil pH was above 5.0. But, uncontaminated Opotiki soil with a pH of 4.5, did adsorb EDTA to some extent. Leaching with an excess of 0.01 M EDTA, extracted all but 40 mg kg⁻¹ of the copper that was initially present in the repacked Manawatu soil and all but 90 mg kg⁻¹ of the copper from the Opotiki repacked soil. In the intact Opotiki soil cores the EDTA reduced the copper concentration in the top 25 mm of the intact core from 240 to 80 mg kg⁻¹. EDTA not only leached the copper from the soil, but also a substantial amount of iron.

Opotiki soil with pulses of EDTA left in it for up to a month before leaching showed a time-dependent drop in the amount of copper leached, and a corresponding increase in the amount of iron leached. Increased EDTA residence time in the Manawatu soil prior to leaching in general also showed a time-dependent increase in iron leached. With increasing EDTA residence time in the soil, the mass of copper leached dropped markedly in the low-Cu Manawatu soil. However, the copper remained in the soil solution, and so prone to leaching, for at least a month in the medium and high-Cu Manawatu soils. These results are consistent with CuEDTA²⁻ being gradually transformed to the more stable Fe(III)EDTA⁻ and Cu²⁺ in all cases.

Copper contaminated Opotiki repacked soil columns and intact cores growing the grass *Agrostis tenuis* on were used to investigate the relative importance of plant uptake and leaching of copper. Application of 1800 μmol of EDTA to 0.9 kg of the contaminated soil in a repacked column increased the leaf copper concentration from 30 μg g⁻¹ to 300 μg g⁻¹. The same amount of EDTA applied to 1.0 kg of soil in the intact

cores, increased the herbage copper concentration to $60 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. Leaching the columns and cores with water about a month after the EDTA application removed 25 to 169 times more copper than was taken up by the herbage.

The convection dispersion equation (CDE), coupled with a source/sink term accounting for time-dependent reactions taking chemical species into or out of solution, was used to model the EDTA-enhanced transport of copper in contaminated soils. In general, the model successfully described the copper concentration in the leachate and soil, despite the quite different amounts and concentrations of EDTA applied, and the varying lengths of time it was left in the soil before leaching. However, the values for the key parameters had to be adjusted appropriately, with faster rate constants for the Manawatu soil than the Opotiki soil. The observed differences in behavior between the repacked and intact Opotiki soil could be simulated by increasing the dispersivity from 3 to 23 mm, while leaving unchanged the parameters describing the chemistry.

The results on the kinetics of the EDTA and the soil copper reaction, and for the stability of the CuEDTA^{2-} and its interaction with physical processes, suggest that *in situ* remediation of copper contaminated soils is possible. However, the applied EDTA should be leached immediately or within few days. It would also require that the residence time of soil water moving through the profile to the water table was in excess of a month. EDTA-enhanced phytoremediation of copper might be possible if leaching can be avoided. If drainage occurs the copper moving below the root zone is likely to be at least an order of magnitude greater than that taken up by the vegetation.

Acknowledgements

My sincere thanks to Dr. D.R. Scotter, my chief supervisor, for his excellent supervision, guidance, encouragement and patience throughout this study. Thanks for improving my writing skills to the required level. Not only has Dr. Scotter provided expert guidance throughout my PhD but also assisted in securing financial support from FRST via HortResearch.

Thanks also to my co-supervisors Dr. Iris Vogeler, Dr. Brett H. Robinson, Dr. Brent E. Clothier and Dr. Harry Percival for their constructive comments and discussion during my research and writing of my thesis despite their busy schedules.

Many thanks to everyone in the Environment Group of HortResearch especially Tessa and Celine for their help and friendship during my stay here. Thanks are due to Brian and Carlo for the programmable leachate catcher that helped me to have peaceful sleeps.

I am greatly indebted to the FRST under a contract CO6X004 with HortResearch, “Knowledge Tools for Environmental Action” for providing financial support.

I thank the staff and fellow students at the Department of Soil Science, Massey University for their timely assistance.

Finally I would like to express my deepest thanks to Thayala for his support and help in understanding the calculus. I would not have undertaken this study without his motivation and encouragement. Thanks to my parents and my brother for their support. Special thanks to my daughter, Varshi who always bring cheer and happiness.

Table of contents

Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	v
Table of contents.....	vi
List of tables.....	x
List of figures.....	x
List of symbols.....	xvii
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 The purpose of the study	1
1.2 Theory of water and solute transport	3
1.2.1 Theory of water flow in soil	3
1.2.2 Solute transport in soil.....	6
1.2.2.1 Non-reactive solute transport.....	7
1.2.2.2 Reactive solute transport in soil	10
1.2.2.3 Some initial and boundary conditions and solutions for them.....	14
1.2.2.4 Comparing the flux and resident concentrations.....	16
1.2.2.5 Non-equilibrium solute transport.....	18
1.3 Copper in soil and EDTA as a chelator.....	20
1.3.1 Nature of copper in soil	20
1.3.1.1 Sorption-desorption processes governing the fate of copper in the soil profile.....	21
1.3.1.2 Factors affecting sorption.....	22
1.3.2 Chelation process in soil and EDTA as a chelator	25
1.3.2.1 Sources of ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) in soil	26
1.3.2.2 EDTA-metal complex formation	26
1.3.2.3 EDTA as a metal carrier in soil.....	28
1.3.3 Metal extraction from contaminated soil using EDTA	28
1.3.4 The stability of a Metal-EDTA complex in a natural systems	31
1.4 The structure of the study	32
2. EDTA-ENHANCED COPPER TRANSPORT IN MANAWATU FINE SANDY LOAM SOIL: REPACKED COLUMN EXPERIMENTS.....	34
2.1 Introduction	34

2.2	Materials and methods	35
2.2.1	Soil description and preparation of copper contaminated soil	35
2.2.2	Leaching experiments.....	36
2.2.2.1	Application of EDTA pulses of three different concentrations ...	37
2.2.2.2	Continuously leaching the contaminated soil with EDTA.....	37
2.2.2.3	CuEDTA stability with varying residence time.....	37
2.2.3	Chemical analyses	38
2.3	Results and discussion	39
2.3.1	Application of EDTA pulses of three different concentrations.....	39
2.3.2	Continuously leaching with EDTA of different concentrations.....	42
2.3.3	CuEDTA stability with varying residence time in soil	45
2.4	Conclusions	52
3.	EDTA-ENHANCED COPPER TRANSPORT IN OPOTIKI SANDY LOAM: REPACKED COLUMN EXPERIMENTS.....	53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Materials and methods	54
3.2.1	Soil and the site description.....	54
3.2.2	General leaching procedure.....	55
3.2.2.1	EDTA application to uniformly contaminated soil.....	55
3.2.2.2	Copper-EDTA leaching study.....	56
3.2.2.3	EDTA application to non-uniformly contaminated soil.....	56
3.3	Results and discussion	57
3.3.1	EDTA and hydraulic conductivity.....	57
3.3.2	EDTA application to uniformly contaminated soil: Experiments A and B.....	57
3.3.2.1	Chloride and EDTA	57
3.3.2.2	Copper and Iron.....	58
3.3.3	Leaching non-contaminated soil with CuEDTA: Experiment C.....	62
3.3.4	EDTA application to non-uniformly contaminated soil: Experiments D and E.....	65
3.3.4.1	Bromide and EDTA	65
3.3.4.2	Copper and Iron.....	66
3.4	Conclusions	69
4.	EDTA-ENHANCED COPPER TRANSPORT THROUGH UNDISTURBED CONTAMINATED SOIL.....	70
4.1	Introduction	70
4.2	Materials and Methods	71
4.3	Results and discussion	73
4.3.1	Initial soil copper distribution with depth	73

4.3.2	EDTA and hydraulic conductivity.....	73
4.3.3	Continuous application of EDTA to the contaminated soil.....	74
4.3.3.1	EDTA and bromide.....	74
4.3.3.2	Copper and Iron.....	75
4.3.4	CuEDTA ²⁻ stability with varying residence time.....	77
4.3.4.1	EDTA and bromide.....	77
4.3.4.2	Copper and Iron.....	78
4.4	Conclusions	79
5	PLANT UPTAKE AND LEACHING OF COPPER DURING EDTA- ENHANCED PHYTOREMEDIATION OF REPACKED AND INTACT CONTAMINATED SOIL.....	81
5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Materials and methods	83
5.3	Results and discussion	85
5.3.1	Copper uptake by <i>A.tenuis</i>	86
5.3.2	Plant uptake and leaching of copper.....	89
5.4	Conclusions	92
6	MODELLING EDTA-ENHANCED TRANSPORT OF COPPER AND COPPER UPTAKE BY PLANTS.....	93
6.1	Modelling EDTA-enhanced transport of copper	93
6.1.1	Introduction.....	93
6.1.2	Model development.....	94
6.1.3	Results and discussion.....	96
6.1.3.1	Evaluation of model parameters for repacked Opotiki soil.....	96
6.1.3.2	Experiments on uniformly contaminated repacked Opotiki soil.....	98
6.1.3.3	Experiments using repacked Manawatu soil.....	101
6.1.3.3.1	Parameterising the model.....	101
6.1.3.3.2	Continuous leaching with EDTA of different concentrations.....	102
6.1.3.3.3	Three step applications of EDTA to high-Cu soil....	105
6.1.3.3.4	CuEDTA stability with varying residence time in soil.....	107
6.1.3.4	CuEDTA ²⁻ leaching experiment on Opotiki soil.....	109
6.1.3.5	Repacked experiments using non-uniform copper Opotiki soil.....	111
6.1.3.6	Experiments on intact cores.....	115
6.2	Modelling copper uptake by plants following the application of EDTA ..	118
6.2.1	Introduction.....	118
6.2.2	Model development.....	118

6.2.2.1	Water movement in soil	118
6.2.2.2	Water uptake by roots	119
6.2.2.3	Solute transport in soil	121
6.2.2.4	Copper uptake by plants	121
6.2.3	The parameters in the model	122
6.2.4	Results and discussion	123
6.3	Extrapolating the model for other conditions	126
6.4	Conclusions	127
7.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.....	130
7.1	Introduction	130
7.2	Experimental techniques	130
7.3	Experimental results	131
7.4	Modelling techniques	134
7.5	Modelling results	135
7.6	Practical implications.....	136
	REFERENCES.....	140
	APPENDIX	155

List of tables

Table 2-1 Physical, chemical and mineralogical properties of Manawatu fine sandy loam soil.....	36
Table 2-2 Experimental procedures for Manawatu soil.....	38
Table 2-3 Summary of experiment results described in Section 2.2.2.2.....	44
Table 2-4 Amount of Fe and Cu leached after leaving a 50 μmol EDTA pulse in the soil for different time periods and then leaching with 0.0025 M CaCl_2	50
Table 3-1 Experimental procedures for Experiments A to E.....	56
Table 3-2 The iron and copper leached with varying EDTA residence times in Experiment B.....	61
Table 5-1 Summary of Experiment I and II results	88
Table 6-1 The iron and copper leached with varying EDTA residence times in Experiment B	101
Table 6-2 The iron and copper leached with varying EDTA residence times.....	109
Table 6-3 Measured and simulated amounts of copper leached and uptake in the columns and intact cores	124

List of figures

Figure 1-1 Breakthrough curves for piston flow, non-reactive solutes, adsorbed solutes, and anion exclusion.....	10
Figure 1-2 Resident concentrations and flux concentrations as functions of dimensionless distance for a pulse of duration $0.5 T$ and a final time of T for various values of τ	17
Figure 1-3 Resident concentrations and flux concentrations as functions of dimensionless time at $Z = 1$ for a pulse of duration of $0.5 T$ and for various values of τ	17
Figure 1-4 Structure of EDTA.....	26
Figure 1-5 The relative amount of each EDTA anionic species with pH.....	27

- Figure 2-1 Measured effluent concentrations of (a) Br and EDTA (b) copper and iron. The circles are for Br and copper; the squares are for EDTA and iron.....40
- Figure 2-2 Resident soil copper concentration as a function of depth41
- Figure 2-3 Normalised breakthrough data of Br (●) and EDTA (■). Figures (a) and (c) are for 0.001 *M* leaching and Figures (b) and (d) are for 0.01 *M* leaching. Figures (a) and (b) are for low-Cu soil while (c) and (d) are for high-Cu soil.42
- Figure 2-4 Measured effluent concentrations of copper (●) and iron (■). (a) 0.001 *M* leaching on low-Cu soil (b) 0.01 *M* leaching on low-Cu soil (c) 0.001 *M* leaching on high-Cu soil (d) 0.01 *M* leaching on high-Cu soil.43
- Figure 2-5 Final measured copper concentrations in the soil as a function of depth. (a) Low-Cu soil (b) high-Cu soil. Closed circles for 0.001 *M* EDTA₀ and open circles are for 0.01 *M* EDTA₀ leaching experiments.....45
- Figure 2-6 Normalised breakthrough data of chloride (circles) and EDTA (squares). Figures (a) and (b) are for the low-Cu soil; (c) and (d) for the medium-Cu soil; (e) and (f) for the high-Cu soil. Figures (a), (c) and (e) show the measured chloride for immediate (●) and a month delayed (○) leaching. Figures (b), (d) and (f) show the measured EDTA for immediate (■) and a month delayed () leaching.46
- Figure 2-7 Measured effluent concentrations of copper in immediate (■), one day (○), one week (Δ) and one month (◆) delayed experiments. (a) Low-Cu soil (b) medium-Cu soil and (c) high-Cu soil.47
- Figure 2-8 Measured effluent concentrations of iron in immediate (■), one day (○), one week (Δ) and one month (◆) delayed experiments. (a) Low-Cu soil (b) medium-Cu soil and (c) high-Cu soil.49
- Figure 2-9 Final soil copper concentration as a function of depth in immediate (■), one day (○), one week (Δ) and one month (◆) delayed experiments. (a) Low-Cu soil (b) medium-Cu soil and (c) high-Cu soil.....51
- Figure 3-1 Breakthrough data for Experiment A (a) for chloride (●) and EDTA (■) (b) for copper (●) and iron (■).58
- Figure 3-2 The initial (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil (●) in Experiment A.59

- Figure 3-3 Breakthrough data for Experiment B, the closed symbols are for the column leached immediately and the open symbols are for the column left for a month before leaching. (a) Chloride (b) EDTA (c) Copper (d) Iron.....60
- Figure 3-4 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil columns leached immediately (●) and a month (○) after EDTA₀ application in Experiment B.61
- Figure 3-5 Measured effluent concentrations for Experiment C of bromide (○), copper (●), and iron (■) as a function of (a) cumulative outflow (b) cumulative time63
- Figure 3-6 Measured initial (—) and final (●) soil copper as a function of depth in Experiment C.....64
- Figure 3-7 Breakthrough data for Experiment D. (a) Measured breakthrough data for bromide (●) and EDTA (■). (b) Measured breakthrough DATA for copper (●) and iron (■).66
- Figure 3-8 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil column (●) in Experiment D.....67
- Figure 3-9 Breakthrough data for Experiment E. The filled-in symbols are for the column leached immediately and the open symbols are for the column left for a month before leaching. (a) Chloride (b) EDTA (c) Copper (d) Iron. .68
- Figure 3-10 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil column leached immediately (●) and a month (○) after EDTA₀ application in Experiment E68
- Figure 4-1 Experimental set up on undisturbed core under unsaturated conditions72
- Figure 4-2 Breakthrough curves for the non-reactive solute obtained from repacked and intact columns. Open symbols are for the intact column and closed symbols for the repacked column.74
- Figure 4-3 Breakthrough data for the intact core in the first experiment. (a) Normalised breakthrough data for bromide (●) and EDTA (■). (b) Measured breakthrough data for copper (●) and iron (■).75
- Figure 4-4 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil core (●) in the first experiment.76

- Figure 4-5 Normalised breakthrough data for bromide (●) and EDTA (■) for the second experiment. (a) Immediately leached column (b) one month delayed leached column.77
- Figure 4-6 Measured breakthrough data for copper (●) and iron (■) for the second experiment. (a) Immediately leached column (b) One month delayed leached column.78
- Figure 4-7 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil core (●) in the second experiment. (a) Immediately leached column (b) One month delayed leached column.....79
- Figure 5-1 *A. tenuis* on copper contaminated soil in (a) repacked columns and (b) intact cores.....86
- Figure 5-2 Copper accumulation in shoots of *A.tenuis* grown on copper contaminated (a) repacked soil columns and (b) intact soil cores in response to the addition of EDTA (1800 μmol /column or core).....87
- Figure 5-3 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the repacked soil columns (●) in Experiment 1. (a) Two EDTA applications (b) Eighteen EDTA applications and (c) control column89
- Figure 5-4 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured copper concentrations in the soil cores (●) in Experiment II. (a) One EDTA application (b) nine EDTA applications (c) Two applications (d) Eighteen EDTA applications and (e) control core.....90
- Figure 6-1 Breakthrough data for Experiment A of Chapter 3. (a) Measured and simulated values for chloride (● and —) and EDTA (from DOC) (■ and - - -). (b) Measured and simulated values for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----).98
- Figure 6-2 Breakthrough data for Experiment B of Chapter 3, the filled-in symbols and full lines are for the column leached immediately; the open symbols and broken lines are for the column left for a month before leaching. (a) Chloride (b) EDTA (c) Copper. (d) Iron.99
- Figure 6-3 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured and simulated copper concentrations in the soil column leached immediately (● and) and a month (○ and -----) after EDTA_0 application in Experiment B of Chapter 3.100

- Figure 6-4 Breakthrough data for leaching the low-Cu soil with 0.01M EDTA₀ described in Chapter 2. (a) Measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (b) Measured and simulated breakthrough for copper (● and — or) and iron (■ and ----). Details of the alternative simulations are given in the text.102
- Figure 6-5 Breakthrough data for leaching the low-Cu soil with 0.001M EDTA₀ described in Chapter 2. (a) Measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (b) Measured and simulated breakthrough for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----).....102
- Figure 6-6 Breakthrough data for leaching the high-Cu soil with 0.001M EDTA₀ described in Chapter 2. (a) Measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (b) Measured and simulated breakthrough for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----).....104
- Figure 6-7 Breakthrough data for leaching the high-Cu soil with 0.01M EDTA₀ described in Chapter 2. (a) Measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (b) Measured and simulated breakthrough for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----).....104
- Figure 6-8 Final resident concentration of copper in the soil as a function of depth for a) Low-Cu soil and b) high-Cu soil. Closed symbols indicate leaching with 0.001 M EDTA₀ and open symbols indicate 0.01 M EDTA₀. Also shown are the simulations for the 0.001M (-----) and 0.01 M (...) EDTA₀ leached columns. Solid lines indicate the initial copper concentration in soil.105
- Figure 6-9 Breakthrough data of bromide (●) and EDTA (■) for the three-step pulse application of EDTA₀ on high-Cu soil described in Chapter 2. Also shown are the simulated breakthrough data for bromide (—) and EDTA (----)....106
- Figure 6-10 Breakthrough data of copper (●) and iron (■) for the three-step pulse application of EDTA₀ on high-Cu soil described in Chapter 2. Also shown are the simulated breakthrough data for copper (—) and iron (----).....106
- Figure 6-11 Breakthrough data for the low-Cu soil delayed EDTA₀ leaching experiment described in Chapter 2. The filled-in symbols and full lines are for the column leached immediately; the empty symbols and broken lines are for the column left for a month before leaching. (a) Chloride (b) EDTA (c) Copper. (d) Iron.107
- Figure 6-12 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured and simulated copper concentrations in the soil column leached immediately (● and) and a month (○ and -----) after EDTA₀ application.108
- Figure 6-13 Observed and simulated effluent concentrations for Experiment C of bromide (○ and) copper (● and —), iron (■ and -----) as a

- function of (a) cumulative outflow and (b) the first 300 h of cumulative time. Details of the two simulations for iron are given in the text.109
- Figure 6-14 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured (●) and simulated (.....) copper concentrations in the soil column in Experiment C.110
- Figure 6-15 Breakthrough data for Experiment D. (a) Measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (b) Measured and simulated breakthrough for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----).....112
- Figure 6-16 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured (●) and simulated (.....) copper concentrations in the soil column in Experiment D.112
- Figure 6-17 Breakthrough data for Experiment E. The filled-in symbols and full lines are for the column leached immediately; the open symbols and broken lines are for the column left for a month before leaching. (a) Chloride (b) EDTA (c) Copper (d) Iron.113
- Figure 6-18 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured and simulated copper concentrations in the soil column leached immediately (● and) and a month (○ and -----) after $EDTA_0$ application in Experiment E....114
- Figure 6-19 Breakthrough data for the intact core in the first experiment of Chapter 4. (a) Measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (b) Measured and simulated breakthrough for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----).....115
- Figure 6-20 The initial copper concentration (—) and the final measured and simulated copper concentrations in the soil core (● and ----) in the first experiment of Chapter 4.....116
- Figure 6-21 Results of the second experiment of Chapter 4. Figures (a), (c) and (e) show results for immediate leaching. Figures (b), (d) and (f) show results with a month's delay before leaching. Figures (a) and (b) show measured and simulated breakthrough data for bromide (● and —) and EDTA (■ and ----). (c) and (d) show measured and simulated breakthrough data for copper (● and —) and iron (■ and ----). (e) and (f) show the initial copper distribution (—) and the final measured (●) and simulated (----) copper concentrations in the soil cores.....117
- Figure 6-22 Column or core showing the root water uptake pattern as a function of depth.....120

- Figure 6-23 The initial copper concentration (—), and the final measured and simulated copper concentrations in the soil after leaching (● and) in the repacked columns. a) two EDTA applications b) 18 EDTA applications.....125
- Figure 6-24 The initial copper concentration (—), and the final measured and simulated copper concentrations in the soil after leaching (● and) in the intact cores. a) two times applications b) 18 times applications.....125
- Figure 6-25 Simulated amounts of Cu (—) and Fe (- - - - -) leached as a function of flow rate in (a) repacked (b) and intact Opotiki soils after a 50 μmol of EDTA pulse application and leaching with 3 PV of water.....126

List of symbols

a	a constant in equations (1- 20, 1-21)	-
b	a constant in equation (1-21)	-
c	tortuosity factor	-
f	reduction factor	-
h	matric potential	[m]
k_1	rate constant for reaction between EDTA ₀ and extractable copper	[s ⁻¹]
k_2	rate constant for reaction between EDTA ₀ and extractable iron	[s ⁻¹]
k_3	rate constant for reaction between CuEDTA and extractable iron	[s ⁻¹]
k_4	rate constant for reaction between EDTA ₀ and slowly extractable iron	[s ⁻¹]
k_5	rate constant for reaction between CuEDTA and slowly extractable iron	[s ⁻¹]
n	order of reaction	-
q_s	solute flux density	[kg or mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹]
q_w	water flux density	[m s ⁻¹]
$q_{w, in}$	flux into the top of the soil layer	[m s ⁻¹]
$q_{w, out}$	flux out of the bottom of the soil layer	[m s ⁻¹]
t	time	[s]
t_p	solute introduction time	[s]
v	pore water velocity	[m s ⁻¹]
z	depth	[m]
z_c	characteristic length	[m]

C	soil solution solute concentration	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_c	characteristic concentration	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_0	applied solute concentration	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_1	solute concentration in the pre-leaching solution	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_2	solute concentration in the pre-leaching solution	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_{crit}	critical concentration of Cu triggering exclusion by roots	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_f	flux solute concentration	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
C_r	resident solute concentration	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
D_0	molecular diffusion coefficient in solution	[m ² s ⁻¹]
D_h	hydrodynamic dispersion coefficient	[m ² s ⁻¹]
D_i	effective diffusion coefficient	[m ² s ⁻¹]
D_w	soil water diffusivity	[m ² s ⁻¹]
H	hydraulic potential	[m]
I	cumulative infiltration	[mm or m]
$K(\theta)$	unsaturated hydraulic conductivity	[m s ⁻¹]
K_d	distribution constant	[m ³ kg ⁻¹]
K_{Me-Y}	metal-EDTA formation constant	-
K_S	saturated hydraulic conductivity	[m h ⁻¹ or m s ⁻¹]
L	length of the column	[m or mm]
M	total solute concentration	[kg or mol m ⁻³]
M_{Cu}	EDTA-extractable copper	[mol kg ⁻¹]
M_{Fe}	EDTA-extractable iron (rapidly reacting)	[mol kg ⁻¹]
M_{Fe}^*	slowly reacting iron fraction	[mol kg ⁻¹]
P	pressure potential	[m]
R	dimensionless retardation factor	-

S	sink/source term accounting for any chemical reactions bringing that chemical species into or out of solution [mol m ⁻³ of soil s ⁻¹]	
S_{Cu}	source/sink term for CuEDTA ²⁻	[mol m ⁻³ of soil s ⁻¹]
S_{Fe}	source/sink term for FeEDTA ⁻	[mol m ⁻³ of soil s ⁻¹]
S_0	source/sink term for EDTA ₀	[mol m ⁻³ of soil s ⁻¹]
S_s	amount of solute adsorbed	[kg or mol kg ⁻¹]
T	dimensionless time ($v t/z_c$)	-
U_{max}	maximum water uptake from the the column/core	[s ⁻¹]
U_s	copper uptake by roots	[mol m ⁻³ of soil s ⁻¹]
U_{tot}	total root water uptake rate	[m s ⁻¹]
U_w	sink term for root water uptake	[s ⁻¹]
Y	ligand	-
Z	gravitational potential in equation (1-1)	[m]
Z	dimensionless depth (z/z_c)	-
α	mol fraction of a particular EDTA species	-
θ	volumetric water content	[m ³ m ⁻³]
θ_m	mobile water fraction	[m ³ m ⁻³]
θ_{im}	immobile water fraction	[m ³ m ⁻³]
θ_i	initial water content	[m ³ m ⁻³]
θ_{FC}	water content at field capacity	[m ³ m ⁻³]
λ	dispersivity	[m or mm]
ρ_b	soil bulk density	[Mg m ⁻³]
τ	λ/z_c	-

Abbreviations

BTC	breakthrough curve
CDE	convection-dispersion equation
CEC	cation exchange capacity
CuEDTA^{2-}	copper-EDTA complex
DOC	dissolved organic carbon
EDTA	ethyline diamine tetraacetic acid
EDTA_0	Influent solution (mixture of EDTA, halide tracer and KOH)
Fe(III)EDTA^-	ferric-EDTA complex
Me	any metal
Me-EDTA	any metal-EDTA complex
MIM	mobile-immobile model
$\text{Na}_2\text{H}_2\text{EDTA}$	disodium ethyline diamine tetra acetic acid
PV	pore volumes