

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Postharvest Apple Softening: Effects of At-harvest and Post-harvest Factors

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Plant Science

at

Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

Jason William Johnston

2001

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF REQUEST TO EMBARGO A THESIS
(Pursuant to AC98/168 (Revised 2), Approved by Academic Board 16.02.99)

Name of Candidate: Jason William JOHNSTON I.D. Number: 93009991

Degree: PhD in Plant Science Dept / Institute / School: Natural Resources

Thesis Title: Postharvest apple softening: effects of at-harvest and post-harvest factors

Name of Chief Supervisor: Professor FW Hewett Telephone Ext: 9648
025 605 2431.

As author of the above named thesis, I request that my thesis be embargoed from public access until (date) 31.08.2002 for the following reasons:

- Thesis contains commercially sensitive information.
- Thesis contains information which is personal or private and / or which was given on the basis that it not be disclosed.
- Immediate disclosure of thesis contents would not allow the author a reasonable opportunity to publish all or part of the thesis.
- Other (specify): _____

Please explain here why you think this request is justified:

The subject of this thesis relates to the serious commercial problem of apple softening after harvest. New Zealand Pipfruit Ltd (including former identities) has partially funded this thesis, with results potentially being used to manage or reduce this problem. So that New Zealand Pipfruit Ltd has time to achieve a competitive advantage from this research, it is requested that this thesis be embargoed for the given duration.

Signed (Candidate): J.W.Johnston Date: 13/8/01

Endorsed (Chief Supervisor): Christopher Hewett Date: 13 Aug 2001

Approved / ~~Not Approved~~ (Representative of VC): ZRLovey Date: 14 Aug 2001

Note: Copies of this form, once approved by the representative of the Vice Chancellor, must be bound into every copy of the thesis.

Abstract

‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ (COP) and ‘Royal Gala’ (RG) are rapid softening apple cultivars. This makes it difficult for growers to meet minimum firmness standards in the marketplace. Research was undertaken to characterise softening curves of COP and RG in relation to different at- and post-harvest factors, and to compare these cultivars with the slower softening cultivars ‘Granny Smith’ (GS) and ‘Pacific Rose™’ (PR). Regular measurement of firmness during low-temperature storage showed that the postharvest softening curve for all cultivars was triphasic with an initial slow softening phase (I), followed by a phase of more rapid softening (II), and then a final slow softening phase (III). Phase I largely determined the fruit market life for firmness, as fruit with a short phase I had less market life than fruit with a longer first phase. Phase I of RG and COP was lengthened by harvesting fruit at an earlier rather than later maturity, by rapidly cooling fruit after harvest to 0.5-3°C, and by placing fruit in controlled atmospheres (CA). Rate of phase II softening was not affected by harvest maturity, but decreased as storage temperature was reduced from 22 to 0°C, and was reduced in CA relative to air. A modified Arrhenius equation described softening rates of COP and RG at different temperatures, where softening rate increased from 0°C to a maximum at 22°C, and then decreased through 35°C. In contrast, this equation could not describe softening rates of PR and GS at different temperatures, as both cultivars softened slowly at similar rates from 0-12°C, and phase II did not occur at 20-35°C. Prior cold or ethylene treatment induced phase II softening at 20°C for GS, but not PR. Internal ethylene concentration (IEC) may have a role in regulating onset of phase II softening in RG and COP at 0-35°C, while for GS and PR fruit sensitivity to ethylene may have a more important regulatory role than IEC. A prototype model was developed for estimating loss of RG and COP firmness through the postharvest handling chain. This model has potential to improve commercial management of the “soft fruit” problem in the marketplace.

Thesis summary

Consumers worldwide are demanding apples that are crisp and crunchy, and not dry or mealy. However, some early season cultivars soften rapidly after harvest making it difficult for growers to meet these consumer requirements. This research was undertaken to obtain information on how different pre- and postharvest factors influence softening rates of the early season apple cultivars ‘Royal Gala’ (RG) and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ (COP). The softening characteristics of these rapid softening cultivars were compared with the slow softening ‘Granny Smith’ (GS) and ‘Pacific Rose’ (PR) cultivars, in an attempt to determine why the RG and COP cultivars soften rapidly during postharvest handling. Factors studied in this thesis included harvest maturity, fruit size, orchard, and the temperature and atmosphere during storage.

Regardless of the factor studied, the postharvest softening curves for RG and COP cultivars was triphasic, with an initial slow softening phase immediately after harvest, followed by a phase of more rapid softening, and then a final slow softening phase. COP and RG fruit harvested at a later maturity were softer at harvest, and subsequently had a shorter initial slow softening phase during storage at 0-3°C or 20°C, than fruit picked less mature. Variation in postharvest softening rates of fruit from different orchards in two seasons was largely accounted for by differences in maturity at harvest, where fruit from orchards picked at an earlier maturity generally softened slower in storage than fruit from orchards picked at a later maturity. Fruit size had a minimal effect on all phases of softening when COP and RG were harvested at a early to mid stage of maturity, but at a late stage of maturity smaller fruit softened slower than both medium and large fruit.

Temperature influenced the firmness of apples both physically and physiologically. RG, COP and GS fruit were physically firmer at harvest, and physically softer after storage, when firmness was measured at a fruit temperature of 20°C rather than at 0-3°C. In contrast, firmness of PR was not affected by fruit temperature during measurement, regardless of prior storage duration. The physiological influence of temperature on softening rates differed between cultivars. Softening of RG and COP at different temperatures was described by a modified Arrhenius equation, where rate of softening increased as storage temperature increased from 0 to 22°C, and decreased thereafter as

temperature increased through 35°C. Softening of GS and PR at different temperatures could not be described by a modified Arrhenius equation, as these cultivars softened slowly and at similar rates from 0 to 12°C, and rapid phase softening did not occur at 20 to 35°C. The non-occurrence of rapid phase softening in GS and PR at 20°C was overcome by prior ethylene or cold treatment in GS, but not in PR. Apple cultivars also had different responses to prior temperature treatments, where the softening rate at a given temperature was similar regardless of prior times at 0 to 20°C for both RG and COP, but the longer that GS fruit were stored at 0.5°C the slower the subsequent softening rate was at 20°C. PR was unique in that rapid phase softening did not occur at 20°C, regardless of prior ethylene or cold treatment. It is possible that PR maybe a mutant genotype of apple with reduced capacity for ethylene biosynthesis and action, and hence softening.

Storage of RG and COP in controlled atmospheres (CA) significantly increased the initial slow softening phase and reduced the rate of rapid phase softening when compared to air storage. However for both cultivars, CA was most effective at reducing softening when applied during the initial slow softening phase. Exposure to CA had minimal effects on subsequent softening rates in air at low or shelf life temperatures.

The mechanism by which all these factors influenced softening may be mediated by ethylene, as the initial slow softening phase occurred when internal ethylene concentrations were low (<1.5 $\mu\text{l.l}^{-1}$), and the rapid softening phase occurred once IEC's increased from this low basal concentration for all experiments. Thus, it is suggested that the onset of rapid phase softening may be induced by system II ethylene production. However, exceptions to this relationship were observed for GS and PR at 20°C, as the onset of rapid phase softening in GS was delayed relative to the prior cold treatment induced increase in IEC at 20°C, and rapid phase softening was not induced in PR at 20°C despite IEC's being in excess of 100 $\mu\text{l.l}^{-1}$. Thus, the fruits sensitivity to ethylene may have a more important role in regulating rapid phase softening than the ethylene concentration *per se* in GS and PR at shelf life temperatures.

Results from temperature studies allowed development of a prototype model (FirmCalc) that describes the influence of temperature on softening of RG and COP through

different phases of postharvest handling. Once harvest maturity, orchard and CA are accounted for in this model, it should be possible to predict softening rates of RG and COP before storage, and therefore provide a tool that can be used commercially to assist with management of the “soft fruit” problem in the marketplace.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my supervisors, Professor Errol Hewett and Dr F. Roger Harker for their excellent supervision throughout this thesis. I also thank Professor Nigel Banks for his input during the first 1½ years of my thesis program as a supervisor, and his successor Drs Maarten Hertog for providing invaluable input on data analysis, interpretation, and the construction of this thesis. I also thank Professor Hewett for becoming an invaluable friend to my wife and myself during the last three years.

The research performed in this thesis would not have been possible without the continued support of New Zealand Pipfruit Limited (formerly ENZAFRUIT New Zealand (International)), through awarding me the Don Sinclair Research Fellowship, and providing funding and fruit for experimentation. In particular I thank Doug King, Duncan Park, Christine Bromley, Barbara Alexander, Sonja Reid and Mike Butcher.

I am also grateful for the financial support of the Claude McCarthy Fellowship, New Zealand Fruitgrowers Charitable Trust, Royal Society of New Zealand, and New Zealand Society of Plant Physiology, that allowed my participation in the 4th International Conference on Postharvest Science in Israel, March 2000. This was my first international conference, and was an invaluable experience.

I thank Peter Young, Michael Moss and David Easton for allowing orchard access and the provision of export quality fruit. I also thank Jill Stanley and her colleagues at HortResearch for picking the Nelson fruit and coordinating transport of this fruit to Palmerston North.

From Massey University I thank Chris Rawlingson and Bob Toes for assistance in analysing tissue digests for mineral concentrations, Hugh Neilson for assistance with microscopy work, Ray Johnstone and Sue Nicholson for coordinating and managing the coolstorage facilities for my experiments, Ben Anderson and Ewen Cameron of the Fruit Crops Unit for being an invaluable and flexible source of fruit for experiments, Lorraine Davis for coordinating the ordering of materials essential for my experiments, Lois Mather for taking the stress out of managing the experimental budgets, Pam Howell for assistance with report preparations, Simon Brown for assistance with NIR

measurements, and Associate Professor M.H. Behboudian, Dr S. Lawes, Dr D.J. Woolley, Dr B. MacKay, Dr K. Funnell, Dr K. Fisher, Dr M. Nichols, Dr K. Maguire and Dr L. Opara for critical comments on chapters presented in this thesis.

This thesis would not have been possible without the friendship and advice (both personal and professional) of fellow students Jayson Benge and Paul Johnstone, and Horticultural Science technician Chris Rawlingson, who were worthy opponents at squash and golf. I also thank the other Horticultural Science postgraduates for their friendly banter in the various computer rooms, and during social activities.

Lastly, I thank the emotional support and inspiration that my family, and in particular my wife Sarah, provided me throughout my PhD program. I also thank my sister Tippany and my mother for giving up some of their spare time to help with measurements on days when numerous measurements were required. I also thank my wife for unselfishly helping with measurements on numerous occasions, for running my experiments while I was away in Israel, and sacrificing her own thesis time to do so.

Table of contents

Abstract	i
Thesis summary	ii
Acknowledgements	v
List of figures	xii
List of tables	xvi
List of symbols and abbreviations	x
Chapter 1 Introduction.	1
1.1 Why is apple softening an important issue?	2
1.2 How is apple firmness measured?	4
1.3 What is the cellular basis of firmness and how do apples soften?	7
1.3.1 <i>Fruit anatomy and cell packing.</i>	8
1.3.2 <i>Cell walls.</i>	10
1.3.3 <i>Cell membranes.</i>	15
1.3.4 <i>Calcium.</i>	16
1.3.5 <i>Cell turgor and water loss.</i>	18
1.4 What regulates softening?	21
1.4.1 <i>Ethylene.</i>	21
1.4.2 <i>Other growth regulators?</i>	25
1.4.3 <i>Apoplastic pH.</i>	28
1.5 What effect do pre-, at, and postharvest factors have on apple softening?	28
1.5.1 <i>Preharvest factors.</i>	28
1.5.2 <i>At-harvest factors.</i>	30
1.5.3 <i>Postharvest factors.</i>	31
1.6 A schematic model of apple softening.	35
1.7 Can softening rates of apples be predicted before storage?	36
1.8 Thesis objectives.	38
1.9 References	39

Chapter 2 General materials and methods.	57
Chapter 3 Physical change in apple texture with fruit temperature: effect of cultivar and time in storage.	58
3.1 Abstract	58
3.2 Introduction	58
3.3 Materials and methods	59
3.3.1 Storage time experiment	59
3.3.2 Relationship between physical change in firmness and fruit temperature at harvest and after prolonged storage	60
3.3.3 Water loss experiment	61
3.3.4 Orchard and harvest date experiment	61
3.3.5 Texture assessment	61
3.3.6 Data analysis	62
3.4 Results	62
3.5 Discussion	69
3.6 References	72
Chapter 4 Temperature induces differential softening responses in apple cultivars.	74
4.1 Abstract	74
4.2 Introduction	74
4.3 Materials and methods	76
4.3.1 Fruit supply and treatments	76
4.3.2 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration measurements	77
4.3.3 Data analysis	77
4.4 Results	79
4.5 Discussion	86
4.6 References	90

Chapter 5 Temperature and ethylene affect induction of rapid phase softening in slow softening apple cultivars.	93
5.1 Abstract	93
5.2 Introduction	94
5.3 Materials and methods	95
5.3.1 <i>Fruit supply and treatments</i>	95
5.3.2 <i>Storage measurements</i>	96
5.3.3 <i>Data Analysis</i>	97
5.4 Results	97
5.5 Discussion	103
5.6 References	107
Chapter 6 Characterisation of postharvest coolchain effects on softening of apples.	111
6.1 Abstract	111
6.2 Introduction	111
6.3 Materials and methods	113
6.3.1 <i>Fruit supply and treatments</i>	113
6.3.2 <i>Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration measurement</i>	114
6.3.3 <i>Data analysis</i>	115
6.4 Results	115
6.5 Discussion	123
6.6 References	126
Chapter 7 Harvest date and fruit size affect postharvest apple softening.	128
7.1 Abstract	128
7.2 Introduction	128
7.3 Materials and methods	130
7.3.1 <i>Fruit supply and treatments</i>	130

7.3.2 <i>Measurements</i>	132
7.3.3 <i>Data analysis</i>	132
7.4 Results	133
7.5 Discussion	140
7.6 References	144
Chapter 8 Softening rate variation for apples from different orchards.	147
8.1 Abstract	147
8.2 Introduction	147
8.3 Materials and methods	149
8.3.1 <i>Fruit supply and treatments</i>	149
8.3.2 <i>At harvest and storage measurements</i>	150
8.3.3 <i>Data analysis</i>	151
8.4 Results	152
8.5 Discussion	163
8.6 References	166
Chapter 9 Characterisation of apple softening in controlled atmospheres.	169
9.1 Abstract	169
9.2 Introduction	169
9.3 Materials and methods	172
9.3.1 <i>Fruit supply, treatments and measurements</i>	172
9.3.2 <i>Data analysis</i>	173
9.4 Results	174
9.5 Discussion	180
9.6 References	185

Chapter 10 General discussion.	188
10.1 Change in softening rates with time.	188
10.2 Influence of postharvest and at-harvest factors on the apple softening profile.	190
10.3 Differential cultivar responses differences to temperature.	194
10.4 Role of ethylene in apple softening.	195
10.5 Cultivar differences in softening rates.	199
10.6 A schematic model of apple softening.	202
10.7 Can softening rates be predicted before storage?	204
10.8 Future research.	207
10.9 Thesis conclusions.	210
10.10 References	211
Chapter 11 Appendices.	217
11.1 Appendix A	217
11.2 Appendix B	218

List of figures

Fig. 1-1 Sensory ratings from 1034 untrained consumers for 'McIntosh' apples with different flesh firmness readings.	3
Fig. 1-2 Typical force deformation curves for products with a bioyield point.	5
Fig. 1-3 Force deformation curves from puncture testing of apples that were measured immediately after harvest, and after storage at -1°C and 15°C.	6
Fig. 1-4 Transverse and longitudinal sections of mature apple fruit.	8
Fig. 1-5 Schematic radial diagram of cell packing in the epidermis, hypodermis and cortex tissues of apple fruit.	9
Fig. 1-6 Force-deformation curve from compression of 'Ida Red' apple tissues incubated in different concentrations of mannitol.	19
Fig. 1-7 Schematic diagram summarising the mechanism for apple softening, and effects of different pre-, at- and post-harvest factors on loss of apple firmness.	36
Fig. 3-1 Flesh firmness for 1999 'Royal Gala', 'Granny Smith', 'Pacific Rose' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' measured at different fruit temperatures.	63
Fig. 3-2 Cortical tensile strength of 1999 'Royal Gala', 'Granny Smith', 'Pacific Rose' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' measured at different fruit temperatures.	64
Fig. 3-3 Firmness change with increasing fruit temperature, and tensile strength change with increasing fruit temperature, for 'Royal Gala', 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose' at 0°C, and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' at 3°C.	65
Fig. 3-4 Harvest and minimum flesh firmness for 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' fruit measured at different fruit temperatures.	66
Fig. 3-5 Firmness and mass loss for 'Royal Gala' after one day of paring and temperature treatments.	69
Fig. 4-1 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Royal Gala' apples stored continuously at temperatures from 0 to 35°C.	80
Fig. 4-2 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples stored continuously at temperatures from 0 to 35°C.	81
Fig. 4-3 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Granny Smith' apples stored continuously at temperatures from 0 to 35°C.	82
Fig. 4-4 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Pacific Rose™' apples stored continuously at temperatures from 0 to 35°C.	83
Fig. 4-5 Maximum internal ethylene concentration at different temperatures for 'Royal Gala', 'Cox's Orange Pippin', 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose™' apples.	84

Fig. 4-6 Rate of firmness change at different temperatures for 'Royal Gala', 'Cox's Orange Pippin', 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose™' apples.	85
Fig. 5-1 Flesh firmness, cortical tensile strength, respiration rate, internal ethylene concentration, density and skin background colour of 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose™' apples continuously stored at 0.5 and 20°C.	98
Fig. 5-2 Flesh firmness and cortical tensile strength of 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose™' apples ± ethylene treatment at harvest and held at 20°C, or held at 0.5°C for 10, 30 and 50 days before being held at 20°C.	99
Fig. 5-3 Internal ethylene concentration and respiration rate of 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose™' apples ± ethylene treatment at harvest and held at 20°C, or held at 0.5°C for 10, 30 and 50 days before being held at 20°C.	101
Fig. 5-4 Density and skin background colour of 'Granny Smith' and 'Pacific Rose™' apples ± ethylene treatment at harvest and held at 20°C, or held at 0.5°C for 10, 30 and 50 days before being held at 20°C.	102
Fig. 6-1 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 1999 season 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples from different delayed cooling treatments.	116
Fig. 6-2 Flesh firmness of 2000 season 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples from different delayed cooling or intermittent warming treatments.	117
Fig. 6-3 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 1999 season 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples from different intermittent warming treatments.	119
Fig. 6-4 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 1999 season 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples held at 0.5°C or 3°C for different times before transfer to 20°C.	120
Fig. 6-5 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 1999 season 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples held at different temperatures before transfer to 20°C.	121
Fig. 6-6 Predicted and actual firmness of 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples exposed to different coolchain scenarios.	122
Fig. 7-1 Flesh firmness, total soluble solids, starch pattern index, titratable acidity, internal ethylene concentration and skin background colour of different sized 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at harvest, when harvested at different times from two orchards.	134
Fig. 7-2 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Royal Gala' apples at 0.5°C, that were harvested on five dates from two orchards.	135
Fig. 7-3 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 3°C, that were harvested on five dates from two orchards.	136

Fig. 7-4 Flesh firmness of 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 20°C, that were harvested on five dates from two orchards.	137
Fig. 7-5 Flesh firmness of small (<70 mm diameter), medium (70 to 75 mm), and large (>75 mm) 'Royal Gala' apples at 0.5°C and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 3°C, that were harvested on three dates.	139
Fig. 7-6 Rate of firmness change values for small (<70 mm diameter), medium (70 to 75 mm), and large (>75 mm) 'Royal Gala' apples at 0.5°C and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 3°C, that were harvested on three dates.	140
Fig. 8-1 Frequency distribution of initial firmness, rate of firmness change, time to 65N at 0.5°C, and firmness at harvest, for 'Royal Gala' fruit from 15 orchards in 1999 and 8 orchards in 2000.	154
Fig. 8-2 Frequency distribution of initial firmness, rate of firmness change, time to 65N at 3°C, and firmness at harvest, for 'Cox's Orange Pippin' fruit from 15 orchards in 1999 and 8 orchards in 2000.	155
Fig. 8-3 Rate of firmness change at 20°C for 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples with different k values at 0.5°C or 3°C.	156
Fig. 8-4 Rate of firmness change for 'Royal Gala' apples at 0.5°C, and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 3°C, for fruit with different cortical calcium concentrations.	159
Fig. 8-5 Prediction of times to 65N and 55N for 'Royal Gala' at 0.5°C and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' at 3°C, for fruit from different orchards.	162
Fig. 9-1 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 0.5°C or 3°C respectively in regular atmosphere (RA), controlled atmosphere (CA), and in RA for 7, 20, 50 and 80 days before transfer to CA.	175
Fig. 9-2 Softening rates in controlled atmospheres, and time to soften to 65N, for 'Royal Gala' apples at 0.5°C and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 3°C after different times in regular atmosphere before transfer to controlled atmosphere.	177
Fig. 9-3 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 0.5°C or 3°C respectively in regular atmosphere (RA), controlled atmosphere (CA), and in CA for 7, 20, 50 and 80 days before transfer to RA at 0.5°C or 3°C.	179
Fig. 9-4 Flesh firmness and internal ethylene concentration of 'Royal Gala' and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' apples at 0.5°C or 3°C respectively in regular atmosphere (RA), controlled atmosphere (CA), and in CA for 7, 20, 50 and 80 days before transfer to RA at 20°C.	180
Fig. 10-1 A typical softening profile for apple fruit at 0-5°C.	190

Fig. 10-2 Diagram summarising the influence of different at harvest and postharvest factors on increased internal ethylene concentration and transition between the initial slow and rapid softening phases.	196
Fig. 10-3 Firmness and internal ethylene concentration of ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’, ‘Royal Gala’, ‘Granny Smith’ and ‘Pacific Rose™’ at 0°C.	200
Fig. 10-4 Proposed schematic diagram for regulation of initiation of rapid phase softening in apple fruit.	203
Fig. 10-5 Theoretical firmness of ‘Royal Gala’ apples exposed to different postharvest coolchain scenarios using the FirmCalc model.	206
Fig. 11-1 Firmness and weight loss of ‘Royal Gala’ apples at 0.5°C and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ apples at 3°C stored in commercial cardboard cartons with and without commercial perforated polyethylene plastic liners.	217
Fig. 11-2 Sucrose, glucose and fructose concentrations for ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ apples with different total soluble solids, and starch concentrations for apples from these cultivars with different starch pattern index ratings.	218

List of tables

Table 1-1 Summary of cell wall modifying enzymes identified in ripening apple fruit thus far.	13
Table 2-1 Locations for descriptions of materials and methods used in this thesis.	57
Table 3-1 Firmness, and change in firmness with increasing fruit temperature 1-3 days after harvest for ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ from different orchards.	67
Table 3-2 Firmness, and change in firmness with increasing fruit temperature 1-3 days after harvest for ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ harvested on different dates from different orchards.	68
Table 4-1 Values for rate of firmness change and the minimum firmness asymptote at 0°C for ‘Royal Gala’, ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’, ‘Granny Smith’ and ‘Pacific Rose™’ apples.	86
Table 6-1 Treatment structure for delayed cooling, intermittent warming, and shelf-life experiments conducted on ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ and ‘Royal Gala’ apples in 1999 and 2000.	114
Table 7-1 Summary of harvest dates for different sized ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ apples from different orchards.	131
Table 8-1 Correlation coefficients between variables measured at harvest and subsequent softening parameters at 3°C for ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ apples from different orchards in 1999 and 2000.	157
Table 8-2 Correlation coefficients between variables measured at harvest and subsequent softening parameters at 0.5°C for ‘Royal Gala’ apples from different orchards in 1999 and 2000.	158
Table 8-3 Proportion of variation (R^2) in ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ softening at 3°C explained by multiple variables measured at harvest, for fruit from different orchards in 1999 and 2000.	160
Table 8-4 Proportion of variation (R^2) in ‘Royal Gala’ softening at 0.5°C explained by multiple variables measured at harvest, for fruit from different orchards in 1999 and 2000.	160
Table 9-1 Rate of firmness change in ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ in regular atmosphere and a controlled atmosphere of 2.0%O ₂ :1.8%CO ₂ at 0.5°C or 3°C.	176
Table 10-1 Comparison of the effects of different at harvest and postharvest factors on the change in market life of ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’ apples.	193
Table 10-2 Theoretical firmness of ‘Royal Gala’ apples after different phases of postharvest handling using interactive FirmCalc model.	205

List of symbols and abbreviations

ACC	1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
B	Boron
Ca	Calcium
CA	Controlled atmospheres
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
COP	Cox's Orange Pippin apple cultivar
Cu	Copper
Cs	Caesium
d	Day
DC	Delay between harvest and cooling to 0.5-3°C
DF	Degrees of freedom
DM	Dry matter
DW	Dry weight
Ea.R ⁻¹	Activation energy • gas constant ⁻¹ (°K)
f ₁	Firmness; fruit stored at 0-3°C and measured without delay at 0-3°C (N)
f ₂	Firmness; fruit stored at 0-3°C and measured after 24 hours at 20°C (N)
f ₃	Firmness; fruit stored at 0-3°C, transferred to 20°C for 24 hour, and returned to 0-3°C for 24 hour before measurement (N)
f _{-∞}	Initial firmness asymptote for sigmoidal softening equation (N)
f _{+∞}	Final firmness asymptote for sigmoidal softening equation (N)
f _H	Firmness at harvest (N)
Fruc.	Fructose
Δf _{temp}	Physical change in firmness with increasing temperature (N.°C ⁻¹)
FW	Fresh weight
g	Gram
Gluc.	Glucose
GS	Granny Smith apple cultivar
h	Hour
H1	First harvest date
H2	Second harvest date

H3	Third harvest date
H4	Fourth harvest date
H5	Fifth harvest date
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
$\Delta H.R^{-1}$	Increment of enthalpy • gas constant ⁻¹ (°K)
hue°	Skin greenness (hue angle)
IEC	Internal ethylene concentration ($\mu\text{l.l}^{-1}$)
IW	Temporary period of intermittent warming at 10-20°C during low temperature storage at 0-3°C
K	Potassium
<i>k</i>	Rate of change for sigmoidal softening equation (day ⁻¹)
<i>k_a</i>	Rate constant for modified Arrhenius equation
kg	Kilograms
l	Litre
LSD	Least significant difference
M	Molarity (mol.l ⁻¹)
MCP	1-Methylcyclopropene
Mg	Magnesium
mg	Milligram
min	Minute
ml	Millilitre
mol	Mole
mmol	Millimole
Mn	Manganese
N	Newton
N ₂	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
nmol	Nanomole
ns	Not significant, $P>0.05$
NZ	New Zealand
O ₂	Oxygen
<i>P</i>	Probability

P	Phosphorus
PG	Polygalacturonase
PME	Pectin methyl esterase
PR	Pacific Rose™ apple cultivar
<i>r</i>	Correlation coefficient
R^2	Coefficient of determination
RA	Regular atmosphere (air)
r_{CO_2}	Respiration rate (nmol.kg.s ⁻¹)
RG	Royal Gala apple cultivar
RH	Relative humidity (%)
s	Second
SPI	Starch pattern index
Sr	Strontium
$\Delta S.R^{-1}$	Increment of entropy • gas constant ⁻¹
Suc.	Sucrose
<i>t</i>	Time (day)
T	Temperature (°C)
TA	Titratable acidity (mmol.l ⁻¹)
TSS	Total soluble solids (%)
Δt_{temp}	Physical change in tensile strength with increasing temperature (N.°C ⁻¹)
μl	Microlitre
μmol	Micromole
Zn	Zinc
*	Significant at $P \leq 0.05$
**	Significant at $P \leq 0.01$
***	Significant at $P \leq 0.001$