

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ARE WE DOING GOOD?

**Catholic Social Teaching
and the ethics of public policy outcomes
in New Zealand**

**A THESIS
SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN PUBLIC POLICY**

**MASSEY UNIVERSITY
ALBANY**

**BRENDA MARGARET RADFORD
2010**

Dedicated to my father

John Robert Fittes
1920 – 2005

His calm guidance shaped my ethical perspective
and sense of fair play
in the light of our rich spiritual heritage

Requiescat in pace

ABSTRACT

From the perspective that avoidable social and environmental injustices exist in New Zealand, this research examines the ethics of public policy. It suggests that our society would be more justly sustainable if the ethics of policy outcomes were to supersede political expediency as the dominant influence in government's decision-making. An Appreciative Inquiry with expert interviewees is applied to the two-part proposition that: (a) a greater focus on ethics and social morality is required for effective policy-making; and (b) the application of the principles of Catholic Social Teaching would enhance the ethical coherence of government policy, programme and service development.

The research has found that the public policy system in New Zealand enables its workers to 'do well,' but often prevents them from 'doing good,' in policy domains such as housing and employment. Erroneous assumptions by policy actors that their work is morally neutral limit their appreciation of the effects that government decisions have on society and the natural environment. The research suggests that government should insist on ethical analysis of policy proposals and impacts as a pre-requisite for its decisions. Since Catholic Social Teaching is congruent with our accepted standards of social morality, it could helpfully be applied to policy design and implementation in this country. The best way to position CST as a moral signpost for policy-making would be to apply it to specific policies and services.

This project has been oriented from the outset towards applicability in the public policy environment. Accordingly, the research includes three new policy-making frameworks which combine the principles of Catholic Social Teaching with the methodology of Appreciative Inquiry. Use of these analytical frameworks would enable all policy actors to assess the ethics of recommendations and decisions in terms of their impacts on people and the earth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Significant goals are achieved only in relationship with other people. In completing this research project, I owe a debt of gratitude to these friends:

Jack Fittes, my father, for unwavering support and thoughtful questioning as the early phases of this research project took shape

Carolyn Radford, my daughter, for believing in me. We all need her kind of loyalty, to help us through difficult journeys in life and in research

Associate Professor Michael O'Brien, my principal research supervisor, who insisted that I maintain conceptual focus, integration and prioritisation in a project redolent with absorbing highways and byways to explore

Rev Dr Neil Vaney, my research co-supervisor, who protected the integrity of Catholic Social Teaching and increased my understanding of it, as I applied it to a project that was policy-oriented rather than theological

Joy Oehlers, the former College Librarian at Albany, who, with great enthusiasm, invested in my topic with the purchase of 25 library books on Catholic Social Teaching, thus causing Christmas to arrive early in 2005

My research participants, for their generosity, encouragement, insight and engagement with the research project.

The Social Work Programme Team at Albany, who welcomed me into their professional environment as I gained a taste of tertiary teaching and refined my approach to social research

My students in the 2008 MSW (Applied) course, who engaged with me through their research projects as we all clarified aspects of the research task

My former Ministry of Education Planning Team, whose members cheerfully tolerated, even enjoyed, my early experiments in Appreciative Inquiry, as we sought to embed the 'best of the best' in our work

Thank you. I will always be grateful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgments.....	iv
Abbreviations.....	x
Part One – Exploring: principles and theories	
Chapter 1 – Introduction to this research.....	3
Chapter 2 – Social and environmental justice: towards just sustainability...	13
Chapter 3 – Catholic Social Teaching and today’s concerns.....	29
Chapter 4 – Catholic Social Teaching and tomorrow’s solutions.....	49
Chapter 5 – Institutional links between CST and public policy.....	77
Chapter 6 – The New Zealand public policy system.....	93
Chapter 7 – Key areas of public policy: housing and employment.....	113
Chapter 8 – Indicative links between CST and public policy.....	133
Part Two – Grounding: Research methods and fieldwork	
Chapter 9 – Research methodology.....	155
Chapter 10 – Towards CST in practice: fieldwork design.....	177
Chapter 11 – Discovering and dreaming through Appreciative Inquiry.....	199
Chapter 12 – Designing a destiny and delivering it through AI.....	219
Chapter 13 – Research findings within a social morality framework.....	243
Chapter 14 – Research findings within a just sustainability framework.....	261
Chapter 15 – Research findings on housing and employment.....	283
Chapter 16 – Research findings within the public policy framework.....	307
Part Three – Aspiring: To a justly sustainable future	
Chapter 17 – Fieldwork synthesis, interpretations and implications.....	331
Chapter 18 – Catholic Social Teaching, ethical aspirations and reality.....	355
Chapter 19 – CST and new frameworks for policy-making.....	379
Chapter 20 – Conclusions and reflections: That we might do good.....	405
Appendices	
Appendix One – The Social Magisterium in summary.....	423
Appendix Two – Research ethics procedures and forms.....	426
Appendix Three – Research participants.....	432
Appendix Four – Research interview questions.....	434
Appendix Five – Fieldwork findings and implications.....	445
Bibliography.....	449

PART ONE – EXPLORING: principles and theories

1. Introduction to this research.....	3
1.1 The orientation and purpose of the research.....	3
1.2 What is Catholic Social Teaching?.....	4
1.3 Significant assumptions for this research.....	6
1.4 The central research questions.....	7
1.5 The scope and structure of the research....	9
1.6 Research outcomes and significance.....	11
2. Social and environmental justice: towards just sustainability.....	13
2.1 The nature and concerns of social justice.....	14
2.2 The nature and concerns of environmental justice.....	21
2.3 The integrated concept of just sustainability.....	25
3. Catholic Social Teaching and today’s concerns.....	29
3.1 Scoping the Catholic Social Teaching literature review.....	30
3.2 The origins and development of the Catholic Social Teaching principles.....	33
3.3 Human dignity and authentic development.....	34
3.4 The family as the foundation of society.....	35
3.5 The common good and solidarity.....	37
3.6 Freedom, rights and reciprocal responsibilities.....	39
3.7 Social justice and equality.....	40
3.8 The preferential option for the poor.....	42
3.9 Respect for cultural autonomy and diversity.....	45
3.10 Stewardship of creation and sustainability.....	46
4. Catholic Social Teaching and tomorrow’s solutions.....	49
4.1 The moral imperative of economic justice.....	50
4.2 The universal destination of public and private goods.....	53
4.3 The dignity of work and the rights of workers.....	55
4.4 Subsidiarity and the role of government....	60
4.5 Effective participation in civil and political processes.....	63
4.6 Global and local development and peace.....	66
4.7 Critiques of Catholic Social Teaching.....	68
4.8 Political perspectives and Catholic Social Teaching.....	71

5. Institutional links between CST and public policy.....	77
5.1 Church/state relationships in New Zealand.....	77
5.2 Catholic education for citizenship and social contribution.....	86
6. The New Zealand public policy system.....	93
6.1 Public policy structures.....	94
6.2 Theories of public policy.....	97
6.3 Public policy in practice.....	103
6.4 Ethical effectiveness of public policy in New Zealand.....	106
6.5 Governance issues for public policy.....	109
7. Key areas of public policy: housing and employment.....	113
7.1 Housing policy in New Zealand.....	113
7.2 Employment policy in New Zealand.....	124
8. Indicative links between CST and public policy.....	133
8.1 The theoretical elements within each paradigm.....	134
8.2 Catholic Social Teaching and just sustainability.....	136
8.3 Catholic Social Teaching and housing policy.....	138
8.4 Catholic Social Teaching and employment policy.....	142
8.5 Systems and instruments that support Catholic Social Teaching.....	146
8.6 Catholic Social Teaching and public policy processes.....	148
 PART TWO – GROUNDING: Research methods and fieldwork	
9. Research methodology.....	155
9.1 Reframing public policy outcomes.....	156
9.2 Creating meaning through social constructionism.....	157
9.3 Appreciative Inquiry.....	159
9.4 Catholic Social Analysis.....	167
9.5 The use of Appreciative Inquiry in the Catholic Church.....	170
9.6 Reflections on Appreciative Inquiry as a methodology.....	172

10. Towards CST in practice: fieldwork design.....	177
10.1 Scope of the interactive research.....	178
10.2 Themes from the interim theoretical synthesis.....	179
10.3 The range of interviewees.....	182
10.4 Application of Appreciative Inquiry.....	184
10.5 Qualitative data analysis.....	186
10.6 Research ethics and procedures.....	188
10.7 Reflections on methodological design effectiveness.....	191
11. Discovering and dreaming through Appreciative Inquiry.....	199
11.1 Complex topics and Appreciative Inquiry.....	199
11.2 Appreciative Inquiry ‘Discovery’ participant responses.....	200
11.3 Appreciative Inquiry ‘Dream’ participant responses.....	210
11.4 Key insights among the ‘discover’ and ‘dream’ responses.....	216
12. Designing a destiny and delivering it through Appreciative Inquiry... 	219
12.1 Appreciative Inquiry ‘Design’ participant responses.....	219
12.2 Appreciative Inquiry ‘Destiny’ participant responses.....	228
12.3 Appreciative Inquiry ‘Delivery’ participant responses.....	233
12.4 Key insights among the responses.....	240
13. Research findings within a social morality framework.....	243
13.1 Something’s missing: a search for moral anchors.....	244
13.2 Examining a moral tradition: Catholic Social Teaching in New Zealand.....	252
13.3 Key insights among the social morality responses.....	259
14. Research findings within a just sustainability framework.....	261
14.1 Participation in purposeful and cohesive communities.....	261
14.2 Social justice as a fair distribution of benefits and burdens.....	266
14.3 Environmental justice now and in the future.....	275
14.4 Key insights among the above responses.....	281
15. Research findings on housing and employment.....	283
15.1 Decent housing as a pre-requisite for human dignity.....	283
15.2 Work and authentic human development.....	296
15.3 Questions and insights for housing and employment policy.....	305

16. Research findings within the public policy framework.....	307
16.1 Governance and decision-making.....	307
16.2 Coherent responsiveness in government policies and services.....	321
16.3 Key insights among the foregoing responses.....	327

PART THREE – ASPIRING: To a justly sustainable future

17. Fieldwork synthesis, interpretations and implications.....	331
17.1 Generating momentum from the research design.....	331
17.2 The need for ethical approaches to policy-making.....	332
17.3 Locating Catholic Social Teaching potential for policy input.....	343

18. Catholic Social Teaching, ethical aspirations and reality.....	355
18.1 Society, environmental concerns and related CST principles.....	356
18.2 The political economy and related CST principles.....	366
18.3 Assessing CST potential as a public policy resource.....	372

19. Catholic Social Teaching and new frameworks for policy-making...379	
19.1 CST-based social and environmental indicators of effectiveness.....	380
19.2 CST-based economic and political indicators of effectiveness.....	381
19.3 A triangulated approach to policy-making and services.....	385
19.4 Framework 1: Needs assessment for policies and programmes.....	390
19.5 Framework 2: Applying CST and AI to policy development.....	394
19.6 Framework 3: Policy implementation and service delivery ethics.....	399

20. Conclusions and reflections: that we might do good.....	405
20.1 Towards just sustainability.....	407
20.2 Significant messages in the research findings.....	409
20.3 Avenues for research consolidation and extension.....	413
20.4 Doing good and doing better.....	416

ABBREVIATIONS

AI	Appreciative Inquiry
ALMP	Active labour market programme
ARGF	Auckland Regional Growth Forum
AS	Accommodation Supplement
CA	<i>Centesimus Annus</i> (social encyclical 1991)
CAFOD	Catholic Agency for Overseas Development
CBEW	Catholic Bishops Conference of England and Wales
CCJP	Catholic Commission for Justice and Peace
CELAM	Conference of Latin American Bishops
CIV	<i>Caritas in Veritate</i> (social encyclical 2009)
CPAG	Child Poverty Action Group
CST	Catholic Social Teaching
DCE	<i>Deus Caritas Est</i> (encyclical 2005)
DOL	Department of Labour
EA	<i>Ecclesia in America</i> (apostolic exhortation 1999)
EN	<i>Evangelii Nuntiandi</i> (apostolic exhortation 1995)
EO	<i>Ecclesia in Oceania</i> (apostolic exhortation 2000)
ES	<i>Ecclesiam Suam</i> (encyclical 1964)
GS	<i>Gaudium et Spes</i> (pastoral constitution 1965)
HNZC	Housing New Zealand Corporation
HRC	Human Rights Commission
IM	<i>Iustitia in Mundo</i> (World Synod of Bishops' Statement 1971)
IRD	Inland Revenue Department
IRR	Income-related rents
LE	<i>Laborem Exercens</i> (social encyclical 1981)
MM	<i>Mater et Magistra</i> (social encyclical 1961)
MSD	Ministry of Social Development
MUHEC	Massey University Human Ethics Committee

NAIRU	Non-accelerating inflation rate of unemployment
NGO	Non-government organisation
NPM	New public management
NZCCB	New Zealand Conference of Catholic Bishops
NZCCSS	New Zealand Council of Christian Social Services
NZCEO	New Zealand Catholic Education Office
OA	<i>Octogesima Adveniens</i> (apostolic letter 1971)
PCE	Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
PCJP	Pontifical Council for Justice and Peace
PMTFE	Prime Ministerial Task Force for Employment
PP	<i>Populorum Progressio</i> (social encyclical 1967)
PSCIA	Private Schools Conditional Integration Act 1975
PT	<i>Pacem in Terris</i> (social encyclical 1963)
QA	<i>Quadragesimo Anno</i> (social encyclical 1931)
RMA	Resource Management Act 1991
RN	<i>Rerum Novarum</i> (social encyclical 1891)
SANZ	Sustainable Aotearoa New Zealand (Inc)
SAS	Social Allocation System
SCCE	Sacred Congregation for Catholic Education
SRS	<i>Sollicitudo Rei Socialis</i> (social encyclical 1987)
SS	<i>Spe Salvi</i> (encyclical 2007)
SSC	State Services Commission
TOP	Training Opportunities Programme
TPK	Te Puni Kokiri, Ministry of Maori Development
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)
UN	United Nations
UNCED	UN Conference on Environment and Development (1992)
USCCB	United States Conference of Catholic Bishops
WCED	World Commission on Environment and Development