

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**DISTRIBUTION OF *NEOTYPHODIUM LOLII*-ENDOPHYTE
METABOLIC ACTIVITY IN PERENNIAL RYEGRASS (*LOLIUM
PERENNE*, L.) AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR ALKALOID
DISTRIBUTION AND PHOTOSYNTHESIS**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in Microbiology

at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Martin Jürgen Spiering

2000

Abstract

Neotyphodium lolii is a fungal endophyte of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). In this symbiosis, alkaloids are produced that significantly impact on the performance of farmed animals. Little was previously known about the physiological conditions for the endophyte in the plant leading to their production. A strain of *N. lolii*, previously transformed with the β -D-glucuronidase (GUS) gene of *E. coli* under the control of a constitutive fungal promoter, was used for investigations into the *in planta* metabolic activity of the endophyte; distribution of the alkaloids ergovaline, peramine, and lolitrem B; and photosynthesis.

In vitro studies with this transformed *N. lolii* strain demonstrated the utility of constitutive GUS expression for assessing the metabolic state of the endophyte. By using constitutive GUS expression and a method for quantitation of the *in planta* biomass of the endophyte, the endophyte metabolic state (EMS) in the grass plant was determined. The EMS was high and uniform in plant tissues and genotypes differing in endophyte concentration, indicating that proliferation of the endophyte in the plant is not controlled *via* the EMS.

Ergovaline, peramine, and lolitrem B exhibited each a characteristic within-tiller distribution maintained across different plant genotypes. None of the alkaloids was distributed in exact proportion to the distribution of metabolically active endophyte mycelium. Differences in the accumulation of the alkaloids per mycelium were observed between plant tissues and plant genotypes, suggesting differential rates of synthesis and/or degradation of the alkaloids in the mycelium and translocation within the grass tiller.

Rates of net photosynthesis at high light intensities were lower in plants infected by *N. lolii*, indicating for an effect on photosynthetic capacity. However this effect was plant-age dependent. Plant growth was not strongly affected by the endophyte, but infected plants had consistently lower leaf elongation rates. The changes in leaf elongation and photosynthetic capacity in infected plants might signal for an effect of *N. lolii* on the nitrogen metabolism of its host.

The experiments concerning the *in planta* EMS, alkaloid distribution, plant growth, and photosynthesis were conducted in a controlled environment the establishment of which was part of this study. In addition, for the detailed mapping of ergovaline within the grass tiller a method for quantitative extraction of this alkaloid was developed and optimised.

Acknowledgements

I like to express my sincerest gratitude to my first supervisor, Dr Jan Schmid, for giving me the opportunity to work on this project. I highly appreciate the support and encouragement to embark on all aspects of this study and financial support throughout the project that allowed me to attend conferences in New Zealand and overseas. I am also grateful to my co-supervisor, Dr Geoff Lane at AgResearch Grasslands, for discussions, and guidance in all aspects of this project, particularly the alkaloid work, and immediate feedback throughout the whole project. I am thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr Paul O'Toole, for also providing supervision and suggestions.

Mike Christensen at AgResearch Grasslands provided an invaluable input of ideas and suggestions that were a great inspiration and incentive for me to undertake this study. Thank you Mike! The work on the photosynthesis in this thesis would not have been possible without Dr Dennis Greer at HortResearch, who provided instrumentation and much technical and other advice for which I am also grateful. Liz Davies from the Endophyte Toxin Lab at AgResearch I like to thank for her great and valuable help and lots of advice on the alkaloid analyses. Thanks in this regard go also to Dr Brian Tapper, and for contributing many suggestions throughout the alkaloid work. Dr Ollie Ball I wish to thank for letting me have a go at ELISA. I am also indebted to Dr Christina Moon for comments on my introduction, and to Dr Chris Schardl for discussions and literature advice on clonal propagation. I also wish to thank the various people in the lab at Massey, Yong Tan and Dr Taha Al-Samarrai for technical assistance, especially with the plant maintenance and Nicole von Maltzahn for making the lab a lively place and for the enjoyable coffee and lunch breaks.

A special thanks goes to the people at Massey, Austen Ganley for giving me the chance to perform dilettantly in cricket and all the members of the weekly soccer game and the former Dept. of Microbiology and Genetics. A big thanks to friends and people that made my stay in New Zealand very enjoyable and memorable in many ways: Mikki, Henning & Lise, Nicole & Christoph, Sheralee & Alan, Steffen, Thomas & Anke, Andrew, Delwyn, Maria, Peter Lewis and Stuart and all from Manawatu Hapkido, Peter Scott, and finally Elizabeth and Gregor for being loving (?) and lovable flatties. Many thanks to you all!!!

A big thank you goes also to my friends back home, especially Kai & Heike and Palle for coming all the long way, Pete & Susanne for catching up regularly, and also to my parents for their continuous support. A special thanks goes to Amira Bianca.

This work was supported by a Massey Doctoral Scholarship and by AgResearch Grasslands, Palmerston North.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiv
Related Publications	xvii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The Fungi - An Important Group of Organisms.....	1
1.2 Fungal Endophytes of Grasses.....	2
1.2.1 Endophyte Growth in the Grass Plant and Plant-Endophyte Interactions at the Cellular Level.....	4
1.2.2 Effects of Endophyte-Infection on Plant Growth and Photosynthesis	7
1.2.3 The Production of Secondary Metabolites by Grass Endophytes and Their Effects on Animal Health and Plant Persistence.....	8
1.3 Biosynthesis, Analysis, <i>In Planta</i> Distribution, and Physiology of Alkaloid Production.....	12
1.3.1 The Biosynthesis of Ergovaline, Lolitrem B and Peramine	12
1.3.2 Analytical Methods for the Quantitative Determination of the Alkaloids.....	15
1.3.3 Alkaloid Distribution within the Plant	18
1.3.4 Endophyte Concentration and Alkaloid Production as Influenced by the Environment, and Putative Roles of Physiological Parameters for Alkaloid Synthesis.....	19
1.4 The GUS Reporter Gene: A Tool for Assessing the Metabolic State of Endophyte Mycelium	21
1.5 Aims and Objectives of this Study.....	23
2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	26
2.1 Fungal Strains and Plant Lines	26
2.2 Media.....	26
2.2.1 Potato Dextrose Agar and Potato Dextrose Broth (PDA and PDB)	26

2.2.2	Yeast Extract Glucose Medium (YEG Medium)	26
2.3	Buffers and Solutions	26
2.3.1	GUS Extraction Buffer	28
2.3.2	GUS Assay Buffer	28
2.3.3	GUS Stop Buffer.....	28
2.3.4	GUS Calibration Solution.....	28
2.3.5	Saline Solution.....	28
2.3.6	Lacto-Glycerol Solution.....	28
2.3.7	Decolorisation Solution	29
2.3.8	Bleaching Solution.....	29
2.3.9	Mounting Solution.....	29
2.3.10	Aniline Blue Solution.....	29
2.3.11	10x Fungal Nuclear Staining Solution.....	29
2.3.12	10x Fungal Septa Staining Solution.....	29
2.3.13	Chlorine Bleach	29
2.4	Growth and Maintenance of Fungal Cultures and Plants.....	30
2.4.1	Fungal Isolation from Plant Tissues	30
2.4.2	Preparation of Inoculum and Growth in Liquid Cultures	30
2.4.3	Determination of Fungal Biomass as Dry Weight in Liquid Cultures	31
2.4.4	Plant Culture and Maintenance of Symbioses.....	32
2.4.5	Inoculation of Plants with Endophyte Mycelium	35
2.4.6	Generating of Endophyte-Free Clonal Plants by Fungicide Treatment	35
2.5	Harvesting of Plants, Isolation of Endophyte and Plant Tissue Extractions ...	36
2.5.1	Plant and Tiller Dissection for Analyses of GUS Activity and Alkaloids.....	36
2.5.1.1	Plant Dissection	36
2.5.1.2	Tiller Dissection.....	36
2.5.2	Simultaneous Assessment of GUS Activity and Alkaloids in Plant Tissues .	38
2.5.3	Extraction of Endophyte-Linked GUS Activity from Fungal Mycelium and Plant Tissue	38
2.6	β -Glucuronidase (GUS) Assays	39
2.6.1	Quantitative Extractive Assay	39
2.6.2	Semi-quantitative Microtitre Plate GUS Assay	41
2.6.3	Qualitative Microtitre Plate GUS Assay.....	42

2.7	Determination of Hyphal Biomass Concentration in Plant Tissues	42
2.8	Analyses of Alkaloids in Plant Tissues	46
2.8.1	Extraction and Quantitation of Peramine.....	46
2.8.1.1	Extraction with Isopropanol-Lactic Acid.....	46
2.8.1.2	Separation and Quantitation of Peramine by HPLC.....	47
2.8.2	Extraction and Quantitation of Lolitrem B	48
2.8.2.1	Extraction with Chloroform-Methanol	48
2.8.2.2	Extraction with 1,2-Dichloroethane, 10% Methanol	48
2.8.2.3	Separation and Quantitation of Lolitrem B.....	49
2.8.3	Extraction and Quantitation of Ergovaline.....	49
2.8.3.1	Chloroform-Methanol-Ammonia Extraction.....	49
2.8.3.2	Acetic Acid Extraction	50
2.8.3.3	Isopropanol-Lactic Acid Extraction.....	50
2.8.3.4	Separation and Quantitation of Ergovaline.....	50
2.9	Fluorescent Microscopy of Nuclei and Septa in Fungal Mycelium.....	51
2.10	Observations during Plant Growth.....	52
2.10.1	Tiller Number and Tillering Rate	52
2.10.2	Leaf Extension Rates	52
2.11	Assessment of Leaf Net-Photosynthesis	52
2.11.1	Principle of the Open Infrared Gas Analysis System.....	52
2.11.2	Configuration of the Analysis System for Measurements of Leaf Net Photosynthesis.....	53
2.11.3	Measurements of Leaf Net-Photosynthesis	54
2.11.3.1	Determination of Light Response	54
2.11.3.2	Determination of Temperature Response.....	55
3.0	RESULTS.....	56
3.1	Method Development	56
3.1.1	Development of a Method for Quantitative Extraction of GUS Activity from Fungal Mycelium.....	57

3.1.2	Development of a Selection System for Obtaining Genetically Homogeneous Endophyte Isolates from Non-Sporulating Mycelium	59
3.1.3	Establishment of a Controlled Environment for Studies of Endophyte-Plant Interactions	63
3.1.3.1	Plant Growth in the Growth Cabinet.....	63
3.1.3.2	Assessment of the Impact of Fungicide and Insecticide Application on <i>In Planta</i> GUS Activity.....	64
3.1.4	Development and Optimisation of a Method for Extraction of Ergovaline from Small Quantities of Endophyte-Infected Plant Material	66
3.1.4.1	Sample Material for Method Development.....	67
3.1.4.2	Extraction with Solvents Containing Isopropanol and Lactic Acid.....	70
3.1.4.3	Extraction and Recovery of Ergovaline and Internal Standard with an Acetic Acid Solvent	74
3.1.4.4	Time Course of Ergovaline Extraction and of Recovery of Internal Standard	76
3.1.4.5	The Influence of Sample Dry Weight in Extraction of Ergovaline and Recovery of Internal Standard.....	78
3.1.4.6	Summary and Conclusions.....	80
3.1.5	Comparison of the Quantitative Extractive GUS Assay with a Semi-Quantitative Microtitre Plate Assay.....	81
3.1.6	Determination of <i>In Planta</i> -Endophyte Mycelial Concentration by Microscopy	83
3.1.7	Assessment of Fresh Weight-Dry Weight Coefficients for Plant Tissues....	86
3.1.8	Positive Selection for GUS Reporter-Gene Activity in Endophyte Mycelium - Segregation of GUS-Expressing and Non-Expressing Mycelium into Grass Tillers	88
3.1.9	Estimation of Inhibition of GUS Activity in Plant Tissue Extracts.....	91
3.2	Endophyte Metabolic Activity and Alkaloid Synthesis in <i>N. lolii</i> Endophyte-Infected Perennial Ryegrass	93
3.2.1	Assessment of the Correlation of GUS Activity with Endophyte Metabolic Activity in Fungal Transformants.....	93

3.2.1.1	GUS Activity in the Endophyte Correlates with Active Growth of Mycelium	93
3.2.1.2	Determination of the Maximum GUS Activity Level in Metabolically Active Mycelium.....	97
3.2.1.3	GUS Activity Declines in the Presence of an Inhibitor of Endophyte Metabolism	99
3.2.1.4	Summary and Conclusions.....	101
3.2.2	Selection of Different Plant Genotypes Infected by a GUS-Transformed Endophyte Strain	102
3.2.3	The Endophyte Metabolic State is Uniformly High in Different Plant Tissues and Plant Genotypes.....	105
3.2.4	<i>In Planta</i> Alkaloid Distributions and Their Relation to Endophyte Metabolic Activity – None of the Alkaloids is distributed in Exact Proportion to Metabolically Active Endophyte Mycelium.....	111
3.2.4.1	Within-Tiller Distribution of Endophyte Metabolic Activity in Three Plant Genotypes	112
3.2.4.2	Levels of Endophyte Metabolic Activity in Leaf Meristematic Regions Provide Evidence for Synchronous Growth of Endophyte and Plant.....	114
3.2.4.3	Distribution of the Alkaloid Ergovaline in Plant Tissues, and Its Relationship to Endophyte Metabolic Activity	116
3.2.4.4	Distribution of the Alkaloid Peramine in Plant Tissues, and Its Relationship to Endophyte Metabolic Activity.....	120
3.2.4.5	Distribution of the Alkaloid Lolitrem B in Plant Tissues and Its Relationship to Endophyte Metabolic Activity	123
3.2.4.6	Levels of the Alkaloid Lolitrem B are Affected by Plant Age.....	126
3.2.4.7	Summary and Conclusions	128
3.3	Effects of Endophyte-Infection on Plant Growth and Photosynthesis ...	129
3.3.1	Some Effects of Endophyte-Infection on Plant Growth.....	129
3.3.2	Leaf-Net Photosynthesis in Response to Light Intensity and Temperature in Endophyte-Infected and Endophyte-Free Plants	132
3.3.2.1	Endophyte-Infection Reduces Net Photosynthesis Under High Light Intensities and Moderate Leaf-Temperature in Young, Actively Growing Plants.....	132
3.3.2.2	Net Photosynthesis Assessed at Different Leaf-Temperatures is not affected by Endophyte-Infection in Older Plants.....	135

3.3.3	Summary and Conclusions.....	138
4.0	DISCUSSION	139
4.1	GUS Reporter Gene Expression in Culture – Constitutive Expression Indicates for the Metabolic State of Endophyte Mycelium.....	142
4.2	Plant Growth in a Controlled Environment – A Necessary Pre-Requisite for Studying Alkaloid Production in Endophyte-Grass Associations.....	144
4.3	Endophyte Distribution and Its Metabolic State <i>in Planta</i>	146
4.3.1	Evidence that the Endophyte remains in a Highly Active Metabolic State throughout the Plant.....	146
4.3.2	Endophyte Growth and Proliferation in the Plant is not Controlled <i>via</i> Regulation of the EMS	149
4.3.3	The <i>In Planta</i> Distribution of the Endophyte Suggests Plant-Regulated Proliferation of Mycelium.....	154
4.5	Alkaloid Accumulation and Its Relationship to Endophyte Metabolic Activity	159
4.5.1	An Optimised Extraction Method for the Alkaloid Ergovaline Allows for Quantitation from Small Sample Quantities	159
4.5.2	Each Alkaloid Exhibits a Characteristic Distribution in Grass Tillers	162
4.5.3	The <i>in Planta</i> Concentrations of the Alkaloids are influenced by Plant Genotype	167
4.6	Some Evidence for Defined Segregation of GUS-expressing and Non- expressing Hyphae into Developing Tillers.....	170
4.7	Photosynthesis and Plant Growth in Perennial Ryegrass are affected by Infection with <i>N. lolii</i>	176
4.7.1	Rates of Leaf Photosynthesis and Elongation could indicate for an Effect of the Endophyte on the Nitrogen Metabolism of Its Host	176
4.7.2	Altered Regulation of Nitrogen Allocation in Endophyte-Infected Plants may improve Plant Persistence	180
5.0	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	182
	APPENDIX.....	185
	REFERENCES.....	189

List of Tables		page
Table 1	Fungal strains and plant genotypes used in this study.....	27
Table 2	GUS activity concentration in fungicide-treated plants and untreated controls	65
Table 3	Ergovaline concentrations in pseudostem fractions of four clonal endophyte-infected plants extracted with chloroform-methanol-ammonia.....	69
Table 4	Estimated ergovaline concentrations and peak area of internal standard and ergovaline after extraction with isopropanol and lactic acid.....	71
Table 5	Estimated ergovaline concentrations and peak areas of ergovaline and internal standard after extraction with five concentrations of aqueous isopropanol, 1% lactic acid, and recoveries of ergovaline and internal standard after re-extraction overnight.....	73
Table 6	Estimated concentrations of ergovaline and peak areas of internal standard from plant tissue extractions with aqueous acetic acid; isopropanol, and peak areas in re-extractions with the same solvents.....	75
Table 7	Estimation of ergovaline concentrations from samples varying in dry weight...	79
Table 8	Hyphal diameters of the endophyte strain Lp 19 in leaf sheaths and leaf blades of two plant genotypes.....	85
Table 9	GUS activity concentration in exponentially growing endophyte mycelium grown in serial liquid cultures.....	98
Table 10	Averages for endophyte biovolume concentration, GUS activity concentration and endophyte metabolic state in the plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV.....	107
Table 11	Averages for endophyte biovolume concentration, GUS activity concentration and endophyte metabolic state in leaf blades of Nui UIII and UIV.....	107

Table 12	Averages for endophyte biovolume concentration, GUS activity concentration and endophyte metabolic state in different-aged leaves of Nui UIII.....	107
Table 13	The endophyte metabolic state in three different leaf tissues calculated with endophyte biovolume and with estimated endophyte biomass.....	108
Table 14	Ratios of endophyte metabolic activity concentration between blade and sheath in meristematic and mature leaves.....	115
Table 15	Means of endophyte metabolic activity concentration, ergovaline, peramine, lolitrem B, and of ratios alkaloid : endophyte metabolic activity for each Nui D, UIII, and UIV.....	117
Table 16	Concentration of the alkaloid lolitrem B in leaf tissues of plants varying in age.....	127
Table 17	Leaf extension rates of plants infected by the endophyte strain Lp 19 and uninfected.....	130
Table 18	Rank numbers for the three plant genotypes used in this study, depending on the concentration of endophyte metabolic activity, each of the alkaloids, and ratios alkaloid to endophyte metabolic activity.....	169

List of Figures		page
Figure 1	Structures of the three major alkaloids produced in the symbiosis of <i>Lolium perenne</i> with <i>Neotyphodium lolii</i>	10
Figure 2	Putative biosynthetic pathways of the alkaloids lolitrem B and ergovaline.....	13
Figure 3	Grass plants grown and maintained in an environmentally controlled plant growth chamber.....	34
Figure 4	Dissection scheme for a grass tiller.....	37
Figure 5	Light microscopy of a cross-section through a leaf section of an endophyte-infected plant.....	44
Figure 6	GUS activity released from endophyte mycelium <i>versus</i> inverse number of sonication cycles applied.....	58
Figure 7	Scenarios of nuclear segregation after a mutation had occurred, for two known types of nuclear and cell division.....	60
Figure 8	Fluorescent microscopy of mycelium of the endophyte strain Lp19 grown in liquid culture.....	61
Figure 9	Time course of extraction of ergovaline and recovery of internal standard from grass tissue extracts.....	77
Figure 10	Comparison of the extractive method with the microtitre assay method for determination of GUS activity.....	82
Figure 11	The relationship of fresh weight to dry weight in three different leaf tissues...	87
Figure 12	GUS expression in two endophyte-infected tillers, grown from one plant.....	89
Figure 13	Maintenance of the GUS phenotype in endophyte mycelium.....	89
Figure 14	GUS activity in assays with different dry weight amounts of extracted plant tissue.....	92

Figure 15	GUS activity concentrations in mycelium and mycelial concentration per culture volume of the GUS-transformant KS1 during growth in YEG medium.....	95
Figure 16	GUS activity concentration in mycelium and mycelial concentration per culture volume of the GUS-transformant KS1 in PDB medium.....	96
Figure 17	GUS activity concentration in endophyte mycelium in PDB and PDB + 10 mM KCN.....	100
Figure 18	GUS activity (endophyte metabolic activity) concentration and concentrations of the three alkaloids ergovaline, peramine, and lolitrem B in 5 (4) genotypes of the three cultivars KLM, Nui, and Greenstone.....	104
Figure 19	GUS activity (endophyte metabolic activity) concentration in 19 sections of a tiller of the three plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by the strain Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1)	113
Figure 20	Distribution of the alkaloid ergovaline within a tiller of the three plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by the strain Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1).....	118
Figure 21	Ergovaline-GUS ratios in 19 stem and leaf sections of a tiller of the plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1).....	119
Figure 22	Distribution of the alkaloid peramine within a tiller of the three plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by the strain Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1).....	121
Figure 23	Peramine-endophyte metabolic activity ratios in 19 stem and leaf sections of a tiller of the plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1)	122

Figure 24	Distribution of the alkaloid lolitrem B within a tiller of the three plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by the strain Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1).....	124
Figure 25	Lolitrem B-endophyte metabolic activity ratios in 19 stem and leaf sections of a tiller of the plant genotypes Nui D, UIII, and UIV infected by Lp19 (GUS-transformant KS1)	125
Figure 26	Growth assessed as number of tillers (per plant) of plants infected by the endophyte strain Lp 19 and endophyte-free controls.....	131
Figure 27	Net photosynthesis in endophyte-infected and endophyte-free perennial ryegrass leaves in response to light intensity.....	134
Figure 28	Net photosynthesis in endophyte-infected and endophyte-free plants of the genotypes Nui D and Nui UIV in response to leaf temperature.....	136
Figure 29	Model outlining a hypothetical mechanism by which regulated colonisation of tillers by clonal hyphae could occur.....	175

Related Publications

Some of the material presented in this thesis has been published:

Schmid, J., Spiering, M. J. & Christensen, M. J. (2000). Metabolic activity, Distribution, and Propagation of Grass Endophytes *in Planta*: Investigations Using the GUS Reporter System. In *Microbial Endophytes* (White, J. F. W., Jr. & Bacon, C. W., eds.), pp. 295-322. Marcel Dekker, New York.