

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Interactions of Whey Protein Isolate and Human Saliva – as related to the Astringency of Whey Protein Beverages

A thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirement of the degree of Master of
Technology in Food Technology at Riddet Institute, Massey University,
New Zealand

Christina Streicher

2010



MASSEY UNIVERSITY
TE KUNENGA KI PŪREHUROA

Table of Content

Table of Figures	IV
Table of Tables	VII
Abstract	1
Acknowledgement	4
Chapter 1: Introduction	5
Chapter 2: Literature review	9
2.1 Whey proteins	9
2.1.1 β -lactoglobulin (β -lg)	10
2.1.2 α -lactalbumin	11
2.1.3 Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)	12
2.1.4 Lactoferrin	12
2.2 Human Saliva	13
2.2.1 Composition of human saliva	13
2.2.2 Properties and functions of human saliva	15
2.2.3 Handling of human saliva	15
2.3 Astringency – definition and perception	16
2.3.1 Definition of astringency	16
2.3.2 Astringency: tactile versus gustatory sensation	16
2.3.3 Perception of astringency	17
2.3.4 Factors influencing the astringent sensation	18
2.3.4.1 Salivary flow	18
2.3.4.2 Salivary proteins	19
2.3.4.3 pH change	19
2.3.4.4. Sweeteners	20
2.4 Interactions between proteins and human saliva	20
2.4.1 Chitosan-saliva interactions	20
2.4.2 Whey protein-saliva interactions	21
2.5 Approach to determine protein-saliva interactions	21
2.5.1 Hydrodynamic diameter	22
2.5.2 ζ -Potential	22

Tables of Content

2.5.3 Turbidity	23
2.5.4 Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).....	24
Chapter 3: Materials and methods	27
3.1 Materials	27
3.2 Protein solution preparation	27
3.3 Human saliva collection	28
3.4 Preparation of protein-saliva mixtures	28
3.5 Mixtures of WPI and saliva collected from the mouth	29
3.6 Measurement of the hydrodynamic diameter.....	30
3.7 ζ -Potential measurement.....	30
3.8 Turbidity measurement	31
3.9 Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	31
3.10 Statistical analysis	33
Chapter 4: Results.....	35
4.1 Behaviour of human saliva at different pH-levels.....	35
4.2 Interactions between lactoferrin and human saliva	40
4.2.1 Interactions between lactoferrin (unheated/heat-treated), which was adjusted to different pH-levels, and human saliva.....	40
4.2.1.1 Hydrodynamic diameter.....	40
4.2.1.2 ζ -Potential.....	43
4.2.1.3 Turbidity	45
4.2.1.4 SDS-PAGE	47
4.2.2 Interactions between lactoferrin (unheated/heat-treated), which was adjusted to different pH-levels, and human saliva at readjusted pH-levels.....	49
4.2.2.1 Hydrodynamic diameter.....	49
4.2.2.2 ζ -Potential.....	51
4.2.2.3 Turbidity	53
4.2.2.4 SDS-PAGE	55
4.3 Interactions between β -lactoglobulin and human saliva.....	60
4.3.1 Interactions between β -lactoglobulin (unheated/heat-treated), which was adjusted to different pH-levels, and human saliva.....	60
4.3.1.1 Hydrodynamic diameter.....	60
4.3.1.2 ζ -Potential.....	63
4.3.1.3 Turbidity.....	65

Tables of Content

4.3.1.4 SDS-PAGE	67
4.3.2 Interactions between β -lactoglobulin (unheated/heated), which was adjusted to different pH-levels, and human saliva at readjusted pH-levels	69
4.3.2.1 Hydrodynamic diameter	69
4.3.2.2 ζ -Potential	71
4.3.2.3 Turbidity	73
4.3.2.4 SDS-PAGE	75
4.4 Interactions between WPI and human saliva	80
4.4.1 Interactions between WPI (unheated/heated), which was adjusted to different pH-levels, and human saliva	80
4.4.1.1 Hydrodynamic diameter	81
4.4.1.2 ζ -Potential	83
4.4.1.3 Turbidity	85
4.4.1.4 SDS-PAGE	87
4.4.2 Interactions between WPI (unheated/heated), which was adjusted to different pH-levels, and human saliva at readjusted pH-levels	89
4.4.2.1 Hydrodynamic diameter	89
4.4.2.2 ζ -Potential	91
4.4.2.3 Turbidity	93
4.4.2.4 Electrophoresis	95
4.5 Mixtures of heated WPI and saliva collected from the mouth	100
4.6 Proteins remaining on the tongue following the consumption of heated WPI-solutions, which were adjusted to different pH-levels	108
Chapter 5: Discussion	113
5.1 Lactoferrin	113
5.2 β -Lactoglobulin	114
5.3 WPI	115
Chapter 6: General discussion	118
Chapter 7: Conclusions	122
References	123

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Particle sizes of human saliva at adjusted pH-levels.....	36
Figure 2: ζ -Potentials of human saliva at adjusted pH-levels.	36
Figure 3: SDS-PAGE of human saliva.....	37
Figure 4: Hydrodynamic diameter of the mixtures of unheated (A) and heated (B) lactoferrin and human saliva.	42
Figure 5: ζ -Potentials of the mixtures of unheated (A) and heated (B) lactoferrin and human saliva (1:1).....	44
Figure 6: Turbidity of the mixtures of unheated (A) and heated (B) lactoferrin and human saliva (1:1).....	46
Figure 7: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of unheated lactoferrin and saliva (1:1).....	48
Figure 8: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of heated lactoferrin and saliva (1:1).....	48
Figure 9: Hydrodynamic diameter of (A) lactoferrin (unheated) and the mixture of unheated lactoferrin and human saliva 1:1 at adjusted pH-levels and (B) heated lactoferrin and mixture of heated lactoferrin with human saliva (1:1).	50
Figure 10: ζ -Potential of (A) lactoferrin (unheated) and the mixture of unheated lactoferrin and human saliva 1:1 at adjusted pH-levels and (B) lactoferrin (heated) and the mixture of heated lactoferrin and human saliva 1:1 at adjusted pH-levels ..	52
Figure 11: Turbidity of (A) unheated lactoferrin, the mixture of unheated lactoferrin with human saliva (1:1) and (B) heated lactoferrin and the mixture of heated lactoferrin and human saliva 1:1 at adjusted pH-levels	54
Figure 12: SDS-PAGE of the sediment of the mixture of unheated lactoferrin with saliva at adjusted pH levels (unheated).....	56
Figure 13: SDS-PAGE of the sediment of the mixture of heated lactoferrin with saliva at adjusted pH levels (heated).....	56
Figure 14: Hydrodynamic diameter of the mixture of unheated (A) and heated (B) β -lg and human saliva (1:1).....	62
Figure 15: ζ -Potentials of the mixture of unheated (A) and heated (B) β -lg and human saliva (1:1).....	64
Figure 16: Turbidity of the mixture of unheated (A) and heated (B) of β -lg and human saliva (1:1).....	66
Figure 17: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of unheated β -lg with saliva.	68
Figure 18: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of heated β -lg with saliva..	68
Figure 19: Hydrodynamic diameter of (A) β -lg (unheated), the mixture of unheated β -lg and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels and (B) of β -lg (heated) and the mixture of heated β -lg and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels.	70

Tables of Figures

Figure 20: ζ -Potential of (A) β -lg (unheated), the mixture of unheated β -lg and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels, and (B) heated β -lg and the mixture of heated β -lg and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels.....	72
Figure 21: Turbidity of (A) unheated β -lg, the mixture of unheated β -lg and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels, (B) heated β -lg and the mixture of heated β -lg and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels.....	74
Figure 22: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of unheated β -lg with saliva at readjusted pH-levels.....	76
Figure 23: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of heated β -lg with saliva at adjusted pH-levels.....	76
Figure 24: Hydrodynamic diameter of the mixture of unheated (A) and heated (B) WPI and human saliva (1:1).....	82
Figure 25: ζ -Potential of the mixture of unheated (A) and heated (B) WPI and human saliva (1:1).....	84
Figure 26: Turbidity of the mixture of unheated (A) and heated (B) WPI and human saliva (1:1).....	86
Figure 27: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of unheated WPI and saliva (1:1).....	88
Figure 28: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of heated WPI and saliva (1:1).....	88
Figure 29: Hydrodynamic diameter of (A) WPI (unheated), the mixture of unheated WPI and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels and (B) heated WPI and the mixture of heated WPI and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels	90
Figure 30: ζ -Potentials of (A) WPI (unheated), the mixture of unheated WPI and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels and (B) heated WPI and the mixture of heated WPI and human saliva 1:1 at adjusted pH-levels	92
Figure 31: Turbidity of (A) WPI (unheated), the mixture of unheated WPI and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels and (B) heated WPI and the mixture of heated WPI and human saliva 1:1 at readjusted pH-levels.....	94
Figure 32: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of unheated WPI with saliva at readjusted pH-levels.....	96
Figure 33: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of heated WPI with saliva at adjusted pH-levels.....	96
Figure 34: Astringency ranking of 5 participants (averaged) after consuming WPI (heat-treated) solutions adjusted to pH 6.8, 3.6, 3.4, 3.0, 2.5 and 2.0.....	101
Figure 35: Hydrodynamic diameter of 5 participants (averaged) consuming WPI solutions (heat-treated) at different pH-levels.....	102
Figure 36: ζ -Potential of 5 individuals (averaged) consuming WPI solutions (heat-treated) with different pH-values	103
Figure 37: Turbidity of 5 individuals (averaged) consuming heated WPI solutions adjusted to different pH-values.....	104
Figure 38: SDS-PAGE of the sediments of the mixtures of heated WPI and saliva of 1 participant	105

Tables of Figures

Figure 39: Particle size of the remaining material on the tongue of 5 participants (averaged) after consuming WPI solutions (heat-treated), which were adjusted to particular pH-values	109
Figure 40: ζ -Potentials of the remaining material on the tongue of 5 participants (averaged) after consuming WPI solutions (heat-treated), which were adjusted to particular pH-values	110

Table of Tables

Table 1: pH change in lactoferrin (unheated/heated) solutions when mixed with human saliva (1:1).....	40
Table 2: pH change in β -lg (unheated/heated) solutions when mixed with human saliva (1:1).....	60
Table 3: pH change in WPI (unheated/heated) solutions when mixed with human saliva (1:1).....	80
Table 4: The pH changes of heated WPI solutions, adjusted to different pH-levels, when mixed with human saliva in the mouth.	100
Table 5: pH change on the tongue after consuming heated WPI solutions.	108

Abstract

Interactions between 3 different proteins (lactoferrin, β -lactoglobulin and Whey Protein Isolate) and human saliva were determined. Lactoferrin and whey proteins are known to be astringent at low pH. Astringency is defined as the tactile sensation, mainly on the tongue, caused by astringent compounds when in contact with human saliva. Proline-rich proteins are already known to be directly involved in the astringency of polyphenols. Whey proteins do not contain polyphenols. However, because whey proteins at low pH develop an astringent sensation when consumed, it was expected to detect proline-rich proteins in the interaction between Whey Protein Isolate (WPI) and saliva as well.

The protein solutions were adjusted to different pH-levels, ranging from neutral to high acidic, where a part of each protein solution was heat-treated. All solutions were mixed with human saliva in the same ratio (w/w). One part of all mixtures was pH-readjusted. Additionally, WPI model solutions were prepared, adjusted to different pH-levels, heat-treated and then consumed by voluntary participants, who swirled each solution in their mouth for at least 10 seconds. These mixtures of WPI and saliva were collected for further analysis. After consuming the WPI model solutions, followed by rinsing the mouth with water, tongue swabs were taken to determine the particle sizes and ζ -potentials of the remaining material on the tongue. Control tongue swabs of the clean tongue were taken by the participants before any consumption of the WPI model solutions.

All mixtures as well as lactoferrin, β -lactoglobulin (β -lg), WPI and saliva on their own, were analysed for particle size, ζ -potential and turbidity, which may give an indication for possible aggregation/precipitation of the proteins as well as the analysis of the SDS-PAGE profile of the sediments of the sample mixtures.

Saliva is negatively charged between neutral pH and 3.0, whereas lactoferrin has a positive charge below pH 8.0. WPI has a positive charge below pH 5.1; the same applies to β -lg. None of the proteins themselves showed aggregation/precipitation at

Abstract

pH-levels 6.8, 3.6, 3.4, 3.0, 2.5 or 2.0. However, after the proteins were mixed with saliva, the pH of mixtures shifted towards neutral pH.

The mixtures of lactoferrin (unheated/heat-treated) and saliva neither showed any significant increases in particle size nor the presence of turbidity. Salivary proteins were not detected in any mixtures at any observed pH either, despite the known fact that lactoferrin causes astringency. The mixtures of β -lg (unheated/heated) and saliva displayed high particle sizes below final pH 3.6, whereas the high turbidities of both mixtures were measured between final pH 3.6 and 3.4. Furthermore, only at final pH 2.8 were salivary proteins (mainly glycosylated proline-rich proteins and α -amylase) detected. However, higher concentrations of salivary proteins were measured when heat-treated β -lg was mixed with saliva. The mixtures of WPI and saliva presented the strongest interaction compared to lactoferrin and β -lg. High aggregation/precipitation occurred in the mixtures between pH 4.3 and 3.0, where significantly high particle sizes and turbidities were detected.

The pH-readjusted mixtures of lactoferrin/ β -lactoglobulin/WPI and saliva showed similar values in particle size and turbidity as the mixtures of the proteins and saliva without pH-readjustment at similar pH-values. Furthermore, the pH-readjusted mixtures of the proteins and saliva showed in their sediments the presence of α -amylase and glycosylated proline-rich proteins.

The mixtures of heat-treated WPI and saliva, collected from the mouth after taking a sip (ratio unknown), revealed that the strongest interactions occurred when WPI-solutions were adjusted to pH 3.6 and 3.4. Similar observations were made for heat-treated WPI-solutions, which were adjusted to pH 3.6 and 3.4, when mixed with saliva 1:1 (w/w). However, additionally to the glycosylated proline-rich proteins and α -amylase, faint bands of mucin as well as basic proline-rich proteins were detected in the mixtures collected from the mouth.

The proteins of the material remaining on the tongue followed the consumption of WPI-solutions and rinsing with water showed that the particle size measurements

Abstract

were not reliable. However, pH-levels between 6.8 and 5.7 occurred and negative charges were measured on the tongue after rinsing the mouth twice with water.

The strongest interactions between the proteins and human saliva occurred when the proteins, in particular β -Ig and WPI, were positively charged and then mixed with saliva (negative charge). Concluding from that it is suggested that electrostatic interactions may cause the astringent sensations. However, since no evidence could be found that salivary proteins were involved in the interaction between lactoferrin and saliva (without pH-readjustment), it is suggested that other interactions than electrostatic interactions cause the astringent sensation of lactoferrin.

Acknowledgement

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to the Riddet Institute and in particular Prof. Harjinder Singh, for giving me the opportunity to work on “the interactions of Whey Protein Isolate and human saliva”, which is related to the astringency of whey protein beverages.

I am especially thankful to my supervisor Dr. Aiqian Ye, who extensively supported me throughout this project with his patience, constructive talks, advises and suggestions.

I would like to thank Dr. Sharon Henare for her support and help dealing with the application to the Ethics Committee at Massey University for this project. Without her help it would not even be possible to start this project.

Janiene Gilliand and all the other lab colleagues supported my project enormously with their help in laboratory endeavors, their help in operating the instruments as well as giving helpful suggestions.

Likewise, I am deptful to the participants of the saliva-collection group and the whey protein-tasting group. They spend a lot of time in the sensory booths for this project and this thesis could not be finished without their help. I hope that all the chocolate bars I offered could compensate a bit for the effort they have put into this project.

I would like to thank all co-workers at the Riddet Institute, who were welcoming and always keen to help.