

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF A
COMPUTERISED EXPERT SYSTEM FOR IMPORT RISK
ANALYSIS**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Massey University**

Samuel D. Beckett

January, 2000

Acknowledgements

Firstly, my principal supervisor Professor Roger Morris. This was an unusual project, but I was nevertheless given the freedom to approach it in the way that I thought best, and to write this thesis according to my own structure and ideas. Admittedly this was at times discouraging, but overall it has given me the confidence to undertake academic challenges with high stakes, and has taught me to compartmentalise ill-defined issues.

Secondly, I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Stuart MacDiarmid, whose help, guidance and encouragement while revising drafts of this thesis were absolutely invaluable. Indeed, I believe that it was Stuart's contribution during the latter stages of the project that enabled me to remain focussed, and to condense my ideas into a readable and informative document.

Aside from my supervisors, this project could not have been carried out without the wisdom, energy, humour and unending enthusiasm provided by Kevin Simmons, the software developer directly involved with HandiRISK. Kevin has been supported in this role by the software design and engineering talents of Mark Stern, and to Mark I'm also extremely grateful. Research and software development do not run smoothly together without a lot of consideration, empathy, and the willingness to provide sensible answers to desperate midnight phone calls from Paris - all very much appreciated!

On a more personal note, I would like to acknowledge the friendship and support provided by this group - fellow postgraduate students, software developers, support staff and academics alike. It really has been a privilege to be a part of the EpiCentre at Massey University - an amazingly diverse group of brilliant people - whatever inevitable traumas arose from the intensity of doctoral research, I will always be grateful for this experience.

Abstract

Since the establishment of the World Trade Organization, and the need to base trade restrictions that exceed those recommended by the relevant international organisations on a scientific assessment of the risks to human, animal or plant health, import risk analysis has been recognised as a discrete scientific discipline. As such, import risk analysis has seen the trends in methodologies typical of an emerging scientific discipline. The OIE International Animal Health Code chapter on import risk analysis has recently been revised, and the changes made reflect an international move toward a closer adherence to the requirements of the WTO Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, the so-called SPS Agreement.

This thesis examines the SPS Agreement and other pertinent components of the current regulatory environment for trade in animal products. The thesis also examines risk analysis methodologies. Fifty-five sample qualitative and quantitative import risk analyses were obtained for review. Methodologies reported in these analyses were evaluated in conjunction with those advocated in the current and previous OIE Code chapters on import risk analysis. The OIE International Aquatic Animal Health Code was also included in the review, since many of the sample analyses were carried out for aquatic animals or products. These evaluations led to a synthesis of existing methodologies for import risk analysis, and the identification of key areas for continued research and development.

An expert system was designed and implemented to enable the results of the evaluations to be conveyed to risk analysts. It was envisaged that delivering these results by way of an expert system would enable analysts to carry out risk analyses efficiently and in a structured manner. The expert system was designed in a modular format and by using the object-orientated paradigm. This approach enabled expert knowledge to be stored efficiently, and meant that the system could be easily updated as research in the specified areas continued. The design also meant that the system could be extended to pest risk analysis, or to non-biological disciplines such as actuarial and project risk analysis.

Table of contents

	Page
Acknowledgments	i
Abstract	iii
Table of contents	v
List of tables	vii
List of figures	ix
PREFACE	1
CHAPTER 1: A review of the regulation of international trade in animals and animal products	9
CHAPTER 2: An evaluation of methods and approaches for import risk analysis	
Reference base for Chapter 2	39
Evaluation I: Frameworks for import risk analysis	47
Evaluation II: Hazard identification	59
Evaluation III: Likelihood evaluation	
Evaluation III Part I: Qualitative likelihood evaluation	67
Evaluation III Part I: Quantitative likelihood evaluation	87
Evaluation IV: Consequence assessment	169
Evaluation V: Risk estimation	179
Evaluation VI: Risk management	187
Evaluation VII: Risk communication	193
Annex to Chapter 2	205
CHAPTER 3: Development and specification of an expert system for import risk analysis	
Introduction	248
Interface elements	249
System design	263

Table of contents

Implementation of an automated approach to import risk analysis	282
System development life cycle	336
System validation	346
Annex to Chapter 3	353
DISCUSSION	371
BIBLIOGRAPHY	389

List of tables

Table 1:	A summary of frameworks for import risk analysis.....	53
Table 2:	Hazard identification in the sample import risk analyses.....	61
Table 3:	Approaches to qualitative likelihood evaluation.....	73
Table 4:	Semi-quantitative scores and probability ranges.....	76
Table 5:	Three protocols for combining semi-quantitative scores.....	79
Table 6:	Calculation of partial probabilities of exposure for hypothetical pig meat exposure pathways.....	85
Table 7:	Approaches to quantitative likelihood evaluation.....	89
Table 8:	Statistical processes and probability distributions used to model systems or phenomena in quantitative risk analyses.....	96
Table 9:	The reported use of conditional probabilities.....	120
Table 10:	Conditional forms for the release assessment.....	124
Table 11:	Units in which overall likelihoods, and those for the release and exposure assessments, were reported.....	131
Table 12:	Consequence assessment in the sample import risk analyses.....	173
Table 13:	Risk estimation matrix cited in an assessment of the risks associated with importation of porcine semen into Australia (Porcine semen AUS, 1999).....	182
Table 14:	Risk estimation matrix.....	184
Table 15:	Methods for presenting technical components of risk analyses.....	195
Table 16:	Calculation of the P(infected imported).....	213
Table 17:	Systematic results from the 'importation of live animals' sample model template for each conditional form of the release assessment.....	214
Table 18:	Frequency and proportion of Uniform (0,1) variates as generated by @Risk....	219
Table 19:	Statistics from comparative stochastic models in which natural variation was calculated directly or simulated.....	225
Table 20:	Output from two summed or multiplied standard normal distributions, when the rank order correlation between iterated values varied between -1.0 and +1.0....	228
Table 21:	The effect of negative rank order correlation between group size and the sensitivity of clinical examination on the probability that an infected group will be detected by this means.....	232
Table 22:	Spreadsheet for stochastic sensitivity analysis.....	237
Table 23:	Results of sensitivity analyses based on stepwise multiple regression and	

Tables and figures

	Spearman's rank order correlation.....	239
Table 24:	Output from the stochastic live animals model, including original results and those obtained from the two sensitivity simulations.....	240
Table 25:	A comparison between the results of the original simulation and those obtained when values for quarantine sensitivity were systematically raised and lowered.....	241
Table 26:	Key words included in the HandiRISK knowledge base.....	270
Table 27:	Substituted variables stored and referenced by HandiRISK.....	273
Table 28:	The name, description and classification of generic questions included in HandiRISK Version I.....	274
Table 29:	Schema illustrating the requirement for eight similar templates per specified importation.....	279
Table 30:	The results of the systematic validation of model instances generated from the generic Live Animals model template.....	353

List of figures

Figure 1:	The OIE framework for import risk analysis.....	49
Figure 2:	Hypothetical pathway for the importation of pig semen.....	77
Figure 3:	Hypothetical exposure scenarios for imported pig meat.....	83
Figure 4:	Steps in the exposure of feral pigs to imported pig meat.....	84
Figure 5:	Comparison of binomial (5,0.50) and hypergeometric (100,50,5) probability mass functions - sampling fraction equals 5 percent.....	111
Figure 6:	Comparison of binomial (10,0.50) and hypergeometric (100,50,25) probability mass functions - sampling fraction equals 10 percent.....	111
Figure 7:	Comparison of binomial (25,0.50) and hypergeometric (100,50,25) probability mass functions - sampling fraction equals 25 percent.....	112
Figure 8:	Comparison of binomial (250,0.50) and hypergeometric (1000,500,250) probability mass functions - sampling fraction equals 25 percent.....	112
Figure 9:	Components of the structured semi-quantitative consequence assessment.....	177
Figure 10:	Sample influence diagram - abbreviated stages in the importation of embryos..	197
Figure 11:	Simplified decision tree for an animal selection procedure.....	199
Figure 12:	Simplified scenario tree depicting events in the importation of a live animal....	200
Figure 13:	Simplified fault tree depicting events leading to the incursion of an hypothetical disease.....	202
Figure 14:	Sample histogram.....	203
Figure 15:	Sample cumulative probability plot.....	204
Figure 16:	Simplified event tree for the importation of live animals.....	208
Figure 17:	Calculation of the $P(\text{imported} \text{infected})$ as described by Vose (Vose, 1997b)..	211
Figure 18:	Distribution of the uniform (0,1) variates.....	220
Figure 19:	Probability distributions obtained by exact algebraic and simulation methods..	224
Figure 20:	Cumulative distributions obtained by exact algebraic and simulation methods..	225
Figure 21:	Probability distributions obtained from summed standard normal (0,1) distributions.....	229
Figure 22:	Cumulative distributions obtained from summed standard normal (0,1) distributions.....	230
Figure 23:	Probability distributions obtained from the product of two standard normal	

Tables and figures

	distributions.....	230
Figure 24:	Cumulative distributions obtained from the product of two standard normal (0,1) distributions.....	231
Figure 25:	Probability distributions for the group testing model when rank order correlation is set to 0, -0.25, -0.50, -0.75 and -1.0.....	232
Figure 26:	Cumulative distributions for the group testing model when rank order correlation is set to 0, -0.25, -0.50, -0.75 and -1.0.....	233
Figure 27:	Cumulative probabilities obtained from the simulation of the original model and from each of the two sensitivity simulations.....	242
Figure 28:	HandiRISK home screen - the model management system, menus and the question-window interface.....	250
Figure 29:	Right-mouse-click menu generated when an incomplete model is selected from the model management system.....	252
Figure 30:	Database pull-down menu.....	257
Figure 31:	Template pull-down menu.....	258
Figure 32:	Edit pull-down menu.....	259
Figure 33:	Action pull-down menu.....	260
Figure 34:	Outputs pull-down menu.....	261
Figure 35:	Help pull-down menu.....	262
Figure 36:	Design of the HandiRISK system database.....	265
Figure 37:	The user interface for substituted variables.....	272
Figure 38:	The HandiRISK user log-on procedure.....	283
Figure 39:	Description of an importation scenario.....	285
Figure 40:	Specification of zones and regions.....	286
Figure 41:	Options for determining a preliminary list of hazards.....	288
Figure 42:	Display of the HandiSTATUS splash screen as HandiRISK performs the search query of the OIE's data files.....	289
Figure 43:	Results of the HandiSTATUS query.....	280
Figure 44:	Occurrence codes and their interpretation, as displayed by HandiRISK in the results of a HandiSTATUS query.....	291
Figure 45:	The results obtained when HandiRISK directly queries the OIE data files for a list based on the imported animal species (cattle).....	293
Figure 46:	Specification of a 'new disease' - that is one that does not appear in the OIE's animal disease data files.....	294
Figure 47:	Hazard refinement for endemic diseases.....	295

Figure 48:	Removal warning for screening hazard identification.....	296
Figure 49:	Hazard refinement for exotic diseases (I) - the ability of the proposed agent to become established in the importing country.....	297
Figure 50:	Hazard refinement for exotic diseases (II) - consequence assessment for the selected disease agent.....	299
Figure 51:	Modelling alternatives available in HandiRISK.....	302
Figure 52:	Template building tool: Opening screen.....	303
Figure 53:	Template building tool: Stage 1.....	304
Figure 54:	Preliminary descriptive screen for the release assessment.....	305
Figure 55:	Quantitative data entry for a proportion, prevalence or probability.....	306
Figure 56:	Quantitative data entry for an unrestricted value.....	307
Figure 57:	Data entry for a published reference.....	308
Figure 58:	Data entry for an unpublished reference.....	309
Figure 59:	Simulation parameters for stochastic models.....	311
Figure 60:	Tabulated correlations obtained from a sensitivity analysis.....	313
Figure 61:	Tornado diagram obtained from a sensitivity analysis.....	314
Figure 62:	Qualitative data entry.....	316
Figure 63:	Consequence assessment in HandiRISK.....	317
Figure 64:	Risk management options generated by the generic herd-selection question.....	319
Figure 65:	Comprehensive report (I): Title page showing analysis description.....	321
Figure 66:	Comprehensive report (II): Consequence assessment.....	322
Figure 67:	Comprehensive report (III): Description of model 1.....	322
Figure 68:	Comprehensive report (IV): Excerpt from the data entry and calculations for live animals model 1.....	323
Figure 69:	Comprehensive report (V): Excerpt from the results generated for live animal model 1.....	323
Figure 70:	Comprehensive report (VI): Excerpt from the bibliography.....	324
Figure 71:	Summary report (I): Description of analysis.....	327
Figure 72:	Summary report (II): List of models to be reported.....	327
Figure 73:	Summary report (III): Summarised results for the seven models.....	328
Figure 74:	Influence diagram (excerpt) for a model based on the importation of porcine semen.....	329
Figure 75:	Decision pathway diagram (excerpt) for a model based on the importation of porcine semen.....	331
Figure 76:	Scenario pathway diagram (excerpt) for a model based on the importation of	

Tables and figures

	porcine semen.....	332
Figure 77:	Histogram.....	334
Figure 78:	Cumulative probability plot (CPP).....	335
Figure 79:	The “waterfall” model for software engineering.....	337
Figure 80:	The “prototyping” model for software development.....	338
Figure 81:	The “fourth generation techniques” approach to software engineering.....	339
Figure 82:	HandiRISK’s development life-cycle.....	340