

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Path Based $p$ -Cycle for Resilient MPLS Network Design**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

*Master of Engineering*

*in*

*Telecommunications and Networking*



**Massey University**

Wellington,

New Zealand.

**Jing Zhang**

**2010**

*To my husband*

*Zheng Liu*

# Abstract

Resilient networks are those that are capable of continuously offering telecommunication services in the presence of network failures. New paradigms for reliable network design have been emerging and constantly improving network survivability. Failure-Independent Path-Protecting (FIPP)  $p$ -cycles are a path based extension of the well-known  $p$ -cycles and inherit the attractive properties of ring-like recovery speed and mesh-like capacity efficiency. They are suitable for application to the MultiProtocol Label Switching (MPLS) protocol that is widely used in Next Generation Networks (NGNs), in that it provides shared, failure independent, end-to-end protection to whole working paths.

This thesis contributes to advance in the state of the art for FIPP  $p$ -cycle based resilient networking. We firstly examine the two basic models: known as FIPP-SCP and FIPP-DRS. This is followed by an introduction to a Joint Capacity Allocation (JCA) design based on the FIPP-SCP model, which is more favourable to be used in MPLS networks.

The network design is referred to as the MFIPP-JCA model and involves three specific cases:

- i) The BR model allows for bifurcated normal routing and imposes no restriction on the use of FIPP  $p$ -cycles;
- ii) NBR or non-bifurcated routing, focuses on single path routing, while it retains the flexibility of a protection domain;
- iii) An SNBR model where the main difference from the NBR model is that only a single FIPP  $p$ -cycle can be used to protect a working path.

Case studies investigated the performance of the MFIPP-JCA models and, for a comparison with the basic FIPP-SCP model. The main areas of interest include the total

cost of capacity, the number of FIPP  $p$ -cycles, and the solution time. The studies are also performed regarding changes in performance with regard to the number of eligible cycles. Those candidate cycles are the  $N$ -shortest cycles that are selected on either a circumference-based or hop-based criterion. The final contribution of this thesis is an in-depth discussion on the implementation issues for FIPP  $p$ -cycles in MPLS networks. We propose two operation modes both for bidirectional FIPP  $p$ -cycles, and make a judgment on the potential of unidirectional FIPP  $p$ -cycles.

## **Acknowledgements**

I sincerely would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Richard Harris, for giving me the opportunity for being his Masters student, for trusting in my commitment, for his proficient guidance, and above all for his expert advice on many topics, which are not limited to the field of this research. I deeply appreciate his help and encouragement throughout my master studies.

I also would like to thank Senior Lecturer Dr. Edmund Lai for his patient explanations and support over the course of my master studies, and for his valuable suggestions on this thesis.

I would like to thank the staff of the School of Engineering & Advanced Technology of Massey University for providing me with technical support, along with a pleasant atmosphere for studying. Especially thanks to Mr. Poh Ng for helping me with the testing machine and the various types of software.

In addition, I would like to express my thanks to PhD student Le Thu Nguyen for her friendship and helpful advice on the research. Then, I wish to acknowledge Yun Liang and Chris Leather for their help on the writing of this thesis, as well as for their emotional support.

A very special thank you to my husband Zheng Liu, without your love, support and encouragement, I doubt that I would ever have begun my master studies. I cannot end without thanking my parents, who never hesitate to give me encouragement and their love.

# Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Network Recovery	1
1.2	Research Introduction	3
1.3	Layout of the Thesis	4
1.4	Notations	6
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Overview of MPLS Networks and <math>p</math>-Cycle Concept</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	MPLS Basics	10
2.1.1	How MPLS Works	10
2.1.2	Forwarding Plane	13
2.1.3	Control Plane	16
2.2	MPLS Failure Recovery Mechanisms	19
2.2.1	Evaluation Criteria	20
2.2.2	Global Repair	24
2.2.2.1	Global Restoration	25
2.2.2.2	Global Protection	25
2.2.3	Local Repair	26
2.2.3.1	Facility Backup	27
2.2.3.2	One-to-One Backup	28
2.3	$p$ -Cycles	29
2.4	Summary	32
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Studies of Failure-Independent Path-Protection (FIPP)</b>	
	<b><math>p</math>-Cycles</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1	Linear Programming Fundamentals	34

3.1.1	Linear and Integer Linear Programming .....	35
3.1.2	Solution Approaches .....	37
3.1.2.1	Geometric Solution.....	37
3.1.2.2	The Simplex Method.....	39
3.1.2.3	Algorithms for Integer Linear Programming .....	42
3.1.3	Quality of a Solution: Feasibility and Optimality .....	43
3.2	Principles of Failure-Independent Path-Protecting $p$ -Cycles .....	44
3.2.1	The FIPP $p$ -Cycles Concept .....	46
3.2.2	Relationships between Cycle and Working Path.....	48
3.3	FIPP $p$ -Cycle Network Design .....	53
3.3.1	Introduction .....	53
3.3.2	FIPP-SCP Model .....	54
3.3.3	FIPP-DRS Model .....	56
3.4	Further Studies on the Two Basic Models.....	57
3.5	Summary.....	62
 <b>Chapter 4 Optimal Design for MPLS Network Capacity .....</b>		<b>64</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	64
4.2	Extend FIPP $p$ -Cycles for Resilient MPLS Network Design.....	64
4.2.1	$p$ -Cycle Based Recovery for MPLS Layer.....	64
4.2.2	The Selection of Basic ILP Models.....	67
4.2.3	z-Case .....	69
4.3	FIPP $p$ -Cycles as an MPLS-Based Recovery Method .....	69
4.3.1	Comparison with Other MPLS Failure Recovery Mechanisms.....	69
4.3.2	Scalability Analysis .....	71
4.4	Joint Optimization .....	77
4.5	MFIPP-JCA Models .....	78
4.5.1	Principles and Assumptions .....	78



4.5.2	Case 1: Bifurcated Normal Routing (BR) .....	80
4.5.3	Case 2: Non-Bifurcated Normal Routing (NBR) .....	83
4.5.4	Case 3: Non-Bifurcated Normal Routing with Single Backup Structure (SNBR) .....	84
4.5.5	A Case Study on the Problem Size .....	88
4.6	Summary .....	89
<b>Chapter 5 Experimental Results for MPLS Network Design .....</b>		<b>91</b>
5.1	Experimental Setup .....	91
5.2	Results and Discussion .....	96
5.2.1	9-Node Network Family .....	96
5.2.2	Real Networks .....	101
5.2.3	Changes with the Number of Eligible Shortest Cycles .....	106
5.3	Summary .....	110
<b>Chapter 6 FIPP <math>p</math>-Cycles Implementation in MPLS Networks .....</b>		<b>113</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	113
6.2	Observations on Applying $p$ -Cycles to IP Networks .....	114
6.3	Operation .....	117
6.3.1	General Mode .....	118
6.3.2	TTL-Based Mode .....	120
6.4	Directional Issue for FIPP $p$ -Cycles .....	122
6.4.1	Bidirectional FIPP $p$ -Cycles .....	122
6.4.2	Unidirectional FIPP $p$ -Cycles .....	125
6.5	Summary .....	127
<b>Chapter 7 Conclusions and Future Work .....</b>		<b>129</b>
7.1	Conclusions .....	129

7.2 Future Work ..... 131

**Appendix A Test Networks**

**Appendix B Result Tables**

**Appendix C A Brief Description of Codes Developed during the  
Study**

**References**

# List of Figures

Figure 1 Resource allocation classification .....	2
Figure 2 MPLS operation .....	12
Figure 3 MPLS shim header.....	13
Figure 4 Recovery cycle [8] .....	22
Figure 5 Global 1:1 path protection .....	26
Figure 6 Facility backup operation in case of a link failure .....	27
Figure 7 One-to-one backup operation in case of a failure .....	28
Figure 8 a) A $p$ -cycle                      b) Failure of an on-cycle span                      c) Failure of straddling span .....	29
Figure 9 Geometric solution of the diet model [28] .....	39
Figure 10 A set of working paths protected by an FIPP $p$ -cycle.....	48
Figure 11 a) Fully on-cycle relationship                      b) The protection path provided by the cycle .....	49
Figure 12 a) Partially on-cycle relationship                      b) The protection path provided by the left segment...	50
Figure 13 z-Case .....	51
Figure 14 a) Fully straddling                      b) Two protection path provided by the cycle.....	52
Figure 15 Algorithms for Cycle-Path protection relationship .....	52
Figure 16 Process for FIPP-SCP model .....	57
Figure 17 Process for FIPP-DRS model.....	58
Figure 18 An example network .....	60
Figure 19 Comparison of required backup tunnels for global 1:1 path protection, .....	76
Figure 20 The number of backup paths over different sharing factors in FIPP .....	76
Figure 21 A 9-node network family .....	92
Figure 22 Atlanta network.....	93
Figure 23 German network .....	93
Figure 24 COST 239 network .....	94
Figure 25 Total capacity for n9-1 .....	96
Figure 27 Total capacity for n9-3 .....	98

Figure 26 Total capacity for n9-2 .....	98
Figure 28 Total capacity for n9-4 .....	99
Figure 29 Average number of FIPP <i>p</i> -cycles in the solution of all models .....	101
Figure 30 Total cost of network capacity for Atlanta and German network.....	103
Figure 31 The proportion of the first and second shortest paths in the working path set for the NBR (and SNBR, which is the same) solution for Atlanta and German cases .....	104
Figure 32 The composition of the final working path set for the BR model .....	105
Figure 33 Total cost of network capacity versus different group of N-shortest cycles,.....	107
Figure 34 Total cost of network capacity versus different group of N-shortest cycles,.....	108
Figure 35 The number of FIPP <i>p</i> -cycles versus the N-shortest cycles for MFIPP-JCA designs in the two trials .....	109
Figure 36 a) Two symmetric demands between a node pair.....	123
Figure 37 The portion of capacitated cycle LSPs response to each working path for symmetric demands .....	124
Figure 38 a) Asymmetric demands between a node pair.....	124
Figure 39 The portion of capacitated cycle LSPs response to each working path for asymmetric demands .....	125
Figure 40 Unidirectional FIPP <i>p</i> -cycles for asymmetric demands .....	126
Figure 41 a) A different FIPP <i>p</i> -cycle in use      b) A distinct path for primary LSP .....	127

# List of Tables

Table 1 Compositions and cost of a special feed.....	37
Table 2 Solution for the FIPP-SCP model.....	61
Table 3 The pre-selected DRSs for the problem.....	61
Table 4 Solution for the FIPP-DRS model.....	62
Table 5 Summary of MFIPP-JCA models.....	87
Table 6 Problem size for Atlanta network.....	89
Table 7 Real test networks.....	94
Table 8 Results for the Atlanta network.....	102
Table 9 Results for the German network.....	102
Table 10 Comparison of the total costs between circumference-based.....	109

## List of Abbreviations

AMPL	A Mathematical Programming Language
ATM	Asynchronous Transfer Mode
B&B	Branch and Bound
BR	Bifurcated (Normal) Routing
BIP	Binary Integer Programming
CAC	Call Admission Control
CSPF	Constrained Shortest Path First
DRS	Disjoint Route Set, or specific to the FIPP-DRS method
FEC	Forwarding Equivalency Class
FIPP	Failure-Independent Path-Protection
FIS	Fault Indication Signal
FRR	Fast Reroute
FTN	FEC-to-NHLFE Map
ILM	Incoming Label Map
ILP	Integer Linear Programming
IP	Internet Protocol
IS-IS	Intermediate System to Intermediate System
JCA	Joint Capacity Allocation
LDP	Label Distribution Protocol
LER	Label Edge Router
LIB	Label Information Base
LP	Linear Programming or Linear Program
LSP	Label Switched Path
LSR	Label Switched Router
MCMF	Multi-Commodity Maximum-Flow

MIP	Mixed Integer Programming
MPLS	Multi-Protocol Label Switching
NBR	Non-Bifurcated (Normal) Routing
NEPC	Node-Encircling $p$ -Cycles
NHLFE	Next Hop Label Forwarding Entry
O-D	Origin-Destination
OSPF	Open Shortest Path First
PDH	Plesiochronous Digital Hierarchy
PHP	Penultimate Hop Popping
PLR	Point of Local Repair
PML	Path Merge LSR
PSL	Path Switch LSR
QoS	Quality of Service
RHS	Right Hand Side
RSVP-TE	Resource Reservation Protocol – Traffic Engineering
SCA	Spare Capacity Allocation
SCP	specific to the FIPP-SCP method
SDH	Synchronous Digital Hierarchy
SNBR	Non-Bifurcated Normal Routing with Single Backup Structure
SONET	Synchronous Optical Network
VPLS	Virtual Private LAN Service
VPN	Virtual Private Network
WDM	Wavelength Division Multiplexing