

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**COMPUTERISED DECISION SUPPORT FOR IPM IN
NEW ZEALAND APPLE ORCHARDS**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

at

Massey University,

Palmerston North,

New Zealand.

Terrence Mark Stewart

July, 1997

ABSTRACT

New Zealand apple growers face a dilemma. Export fruit must be of the highest possible quality and free from quarantine pests, but contain increasingly fewer pesticides in lower amounts. The objective of this study was to define and develop decision support tools that may assist in the improved timing and/or reduction of pesticide usage.

Fifty randomly selected growers were interviewed in 1992 to determine their pest and disease problems, use of existing IPM methodology and requirements for an improved decision support service. The survey found more than 20% of growers had difficulties with common pests and diseases and many used IPM techniques. Most growers perceived a problem with pesticide residues and pest and disease resistance; they also expected to reduce pesticide usage and better target applications through improved technology in the future. Decision-support using fax and computer technology appeared feasible, subject to support from their advisers.

In 1993, twenty-seven Hawkes Bay apple pest and disease control "advisers" were interviewed to determine their role in growers' pest and disease spray decision-making. Horticultural merchant representatives believed they were the main spray decision-maker for 40% of growers, and half of the latter expected the horticultural merchant representatives to know more about the problems in the orchard than they did. Other advisers played an important role in strategic pest and disease advice to the industry. Introducing more complex spray-saving techniques, or taking full advantage of those that already exist, would require many growers either to upskill themselves, or employ consultants to manage their orchards. Basic pest and disease identification and biology, together with knowledge of pesticides were regarded as being essential to manage pests and diseases successfully. Nutritional problems and resistance development were two particular areas where growers required more knowledge.

Using the survey findings, a problem tree was created focussing on the question "Was pesticide use excessive in New Zealand apple orchards?". This conceptual model showed that pesticide use was excessive, and better grower education and training may

partly alleviate the problem. Using the data from the surveys, two computerised training tools were defined and developed to assist with this solution viz SPRAYCHECK and DIAGNOSIS.

SPRAYCHECK was developed to analyse grower black spot fungicide spray programs during the period of primary inoculum release. Using a series of models, incorporating weather data, infection periods and information from growers' spray diaries, grower black spot control decision-making was analysed and a recommended spray schedule for the season in question provided. Model construction revealed a lack of quantitative information on fungicide behaviour and the levels required to fully protect against black spot on apple foliage. A sensitivity analysis showed the rate of cover decay was very important in determining the number of fungicides required to fully protect a crop.

DIAGNOSIS is a training aid for teaching pest and disease diagnosis skills to crop protection trainees. This program simulates field and laboratory scenarios, in which trainees must actively seek clues and interpret observations on the cause of plant problems, in apples or other crops. Once trainees have recorded their diagnosis, justification and recommendations for action, they receive an automatic de-briefing on their problem-solving approach. Trainee input is recorded to disk for later tutor assessment.

Two decision-support tools were defined, developed and validated. One has been commercialised and the models in the other are likely to be used in an existing Decision Support System. During this exercise, knowledge was gained regarding the New Zealand apple industry in Hawkes Bay, in particular the close relationship between the growers, and horticultural merchant field representatives and their advisers. This relationship could either help or hinder IPM and improved decision support in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the following people:

- My supervisor Professor Ken Milne for his full support in every aspect of this study.
- Dr. John Mumford of the Dept Environmental Technology, Imperial College, U.K. for his guidance and encouragement.
- Dr. Jon Knight for his friendship and assistance with the SPRAYCHECK model.
- Dr. Peter Fenemore, who started me on this journey.
- Dr. Peter Long for taking on some of my responsibilities so I could do this.
- Dr. Geoff Norton for enthusiasm, encouragement and assistance with the grower survey and DIAGNOSIS.
- David Mankelow, Dr. Jim Walker and Dr. Rob Beresford, Hort+Research™ .
- The apple growers and advisers who participated in the two surveys.
- My family, for their patience and encouragement.
- Other staff in the Plant Health group and Plant Science Department for their support.

The research in this thesis was facilitated by the following organisations:

- The British Council.
- The Horticulture and Food Research Institute of New Zealand
- The Massey University Agricultural Research Foundation
- Co-Operative Research Centre for Tropical Pest Management, Queensland University, Australia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
 CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The New Zealand apple industry	2
1.3 What is the main problem?	2
1.4 Study objective and thesis context	3
1.5 References	3
 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 The New Zealand apple industry. – an overview	5
2.2 Pest and diseases of New Zealand apple crops, their significance and current control	9
2.2.1 Black spot of apples (<i>Venturia inaequalis</i>)	10
2.2.2 Powdery mildew (<i>Podosphaera leucotricha</i>)	11
2.2.3 Fire blight (<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>)	13
2.2.4 Leafrollers (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)	14
2.2.5 Phytophagous mites	14
2.2.6 Mealybugs	16
2.2.7 Apple leaf curling midge (<i>Dasyneura malii</i>)	17

2.3	Pest and disease control in apples – an historical overview	18
2.3.1	In the beginning	18
2.3.2	The golden age of pesticides	18
2.3.3	Problems with pesticides generally	19
2.3.3.1	Development of resistance	19
2.3.3.2	Effect on non-target organisms	19
2.3.3.3	Problems with pesticides in fruit growing	21
2.3.4	The IPM concept	22
2.3.4.1	IPM adoption in apples internationally	23
2.3.4.2	IPM in New Zealand apple crops	25
2.3.5	Decision-making and decision support	28
2.3.5.1	Decision support systems in apples internationally	30
2.3.5.2	Decision support systems in apples in New Zealand	31
2.4	Summary	32
2.5	References	33

CHAPTER THREE: PEST AND DISEASE DECISION SUPPORT FOR HAWKES BAY APPLE GROWERS – A SURVEY

3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Methods	49
3.3	Results	51
3.3.1	Grower and orchard characteristics	51
3.3.2	Troublesome pests and diseases	52
3.3.3	Sources of information	54
3.3.4	Use of existing IPM technology and methodology	55
3.3.4.1	European red mite management	55
3.3.4.2	Black spot infection periods	56
3.3.4.3	Fire blight infection periods	56
3.3.4.4	Pheromone traps	57
3.3.5	Factors important in the spray decision	57
3.3.6	Pest and disease decision support service	60

3.3.6.1	Desire for service	60
3.3.6.2	Existing equipment for delivery	60
3.3.6.3	Importance of selected features for a “hypothetical” pest and disease decision-aid	61
3.3.7	Residues and pest and disease resistance - A problem in the future?	62
3.4	Discussion	63
3.4.1	Grower and orchard characteristics	63
3.4.2	Troublesome pests and diseases	63
3.4.3	Use of existing IPM technology and methodology	63
3.4.4	Impact of the field representative or consultant	65
3.4.5	Whither pest and disease decision support	65
3.4.6	Footnote	66
3.5	References	67

**CHAPTER FOUR: PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT IN HAWKES BAY
APPLE ORCHARDS: RESULTS OF AN “ADVICE-GIVER’S”
SURVEY**

4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Method	71
4.3	Results and discussion	72
4.3.1	Horticultural merchant field representatives	72
4.3.2	Apple and Pear Marketing Board field representatives ..	76
4.3.3	Consultants	77
4.3.4	Apple plant protection scientists	81
4.4	Conclusions (and update)	85
4.5	References	86

CHAPTER 5: PROBLEM DEFINITION

5.1	Introduction	87
5.2	Is pesticide usage excessive?	87
5.2.1	Definition	87
5.2.2	Actual versus theoretical pesticide usage	88
5.3	Problem tree	91
5.3.1	Effects of excessive pesticide use	91
5.3.2	Causes of excessive pesticide use	94
5.4	Some solutions	95
5.5	References	96

CHAPTER 6: SPRAYCHECK - A MODEL FOR EVALUATING GROWER TIMING OF BLACK SPOT FUNGICIDES IN APPLE ORCHARDS

6.1	Introduction	97
6.2	Development of the models	99
6.2.1	Infection risk and fungicide cover	99
6.2.2	Minimum spray strategy	102
6.2.3	Decision analysis	104
6.2.4	Other models	104
6.3	Description of the program	104
6.3.1	Pre- or post-rain application model	106
6.3.2	Protectant cover model	106
6.3.3	Management analysis	107
6.3.3.1	Decision model	107
6.3.3.2	Exposure to risk, fungicide cost and resistant strategy information	109
6.3.3.3	Minimum spray strategy model	109
6.4	Testing and validation	111
6.4.1	High quality performance test - Method	111
6.4.2	High quality performance test - Results and Discussion	113
6.4.3	Sensitivity analysis	117
6.5	Orchard 2000	119
6.6	Summary	120

6.7 References	121
----------------------	-----

CHAPTER 7: DIAGNOSIS - A TRAINING TOOL FOR PEST AND DISEASE PROBLEM SOLVING IN APPLES AND OTHER CROPS

7.1 Introduction	123
7.1.1 The role of computers in training	123
7.1.2 How DIAGNOSIS differs from the rest	124
7.2 The Program	125
7.3 Playing DIAGNOSIS	127
7.4 Building scenarios	133
7.5 Performance and discussion	134
7.6 Conclusion	138
7.7 References	139

CHAPTER 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION

8.0 Introduction	141
8.1 The grower and adviser surveys - snapshots at a time of change	142
8.1.1 Apple growing today - the push for IFP	144
8.1.2 IFP implementation - the message from the surveys?	145
8.2 The problem defined	146
8.2.1 Grower training	146
8.2.2 The role of the advisor	147
8.3 SPRAYCHECK - education through decision-analysis	147
8.3.1 Improvements and further research	148
8.4 DIAGNOSIS - teaching problem-solving skills	148
8.4.1 Improvements and further development	149
8.5 Conclusion	150
8.6 References	152

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I:	Apple growth stages	153
APPENDIX II	Grower survey form	154
APPENDIX III:	Advisers survey form	164
APPENDIX IV:	Agrichemical legislation	171
APPENDIX V:	Listing of the SPRAYCHECK program	175
APPENDIX VI:	Example input/output files in SPRAYCHECK	189
A6.1	Spray diary data as input to SPRAYCHECK	189
A6.2	Meteorological data supplied for SPRAYCHECK evaluation	190
A6.3	Daytime infection periods	191
A6.4	SPRAYCHECK model output	192
APPENDIX VII:	SPRAYCHECK expert validation sheet	197
APPENDIX VIII:	DIAGNOSIS scenario walk-through	200
APPENDIX IX:	DIAGNOSIS reference from Dr Bill McHardy	207
APPENDIX X:	New Zealand Agricultural Chemical Education Trust	208