

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Gas Exchange, Ripening Behaviour and Postharvest Quality of Coated Pears

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements

for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy
in Postharvest Physiology and Technology**

at

**Massey University
New Zealand**

**Cassandro Vidal Talamini do Amarante
1998**

*To my parents,
Iraci and João Wellington,
and my brother Alessandro,
for so much love,
support and encouragement*

Abstract

Pear cultivars ‘Bartlett’, ‘Beurre Bosc’, ‘Doyenne du Comice’, and ‘Packham’s Triumph’ were treated with different levels of deposits of a carnauba based wax on the skin and assessed for gas exchange, ripening behaviour and postharvest quality. The response to coating treatments was strongly dependent on cultivar, ripening stage and environmental temperature. ‘Bartlett’, ‘Comice’ and ‘Packham’s’, with non-lignified skin, had substantial reductions in skin permeance (P'_j) with small increases in coating deposit. Magnitudes of reduction in P'_j to different gases were observed in the order: $P'_{O_2} > P'_{CO_2} \gg P'_{H_2O}$. The skin of ‘Bosc’, with lignified cells, had high P'_{H_2O} and low P'_{CO_2} , and increasing the amount of coating deposited on the skin resulted in small reductions of P'_{H_2O} and a gradual reduction of P'_{O_2} and P'_{CO_2} . ‘Bartlett’ and ‘Bosc’ had a high risk of developing internal disorders caused by excessive internal accumulation of CO_2 at low temperatures when treated with substantial coating deposits, as a result of high respiration rate (‘Bartlett’) or low P'_{CO_2} of coated skin (‘Bosc’). These cultivars were also less tolerant to hypoxia (expressed in terms of internal lower O_2 limit, LOL^i) created by high coating concentrations, and their level of tolerance reduced with increasing ripeness. ‘Comice’ and ‘Packham’s’ were highly tolerant of hypoxia [the fruit did not ferment despite of an internal O_2 partial pressure ($p_{O_2}^i$) \cong 0 kPa]. Respiration rates, softening and colour change followed a Michaelis-Menten model when plotted against $p_{O_2}^i$, while internal CO_2 partial pressure ($p_{CO_2}^i$) had virtually no explanatory power for these variables during shelf life. Variable cover of skin pores in cultivars having high P'_j might result in variable P'_{O_2} and, consequently, variable $p_{O_2}^i$. This could increase the naturally high ripening variability of pears treated with a given coating concentration. Softening had a lower Michaelis-Menten constant for $p_{O_2}^i$ than skin colour. Therefore, coated pears with intermediary $p_{O_2}^i$ might have variable postharvest quality mainly in terms of colour change, and the fruit may still soften while being unable to change in colour. For ‘Comice’, higher levels of coating deposit resulted in more substantial modification of internal atmosphere during cold storage,

slightly increasing ripening delay. These treatments reduced wastage by diminishing the incidence of senescent breakdown and senescent scald after long term storage and by reducing skin friction discolouration during shelf life. Increasing the amount of coating deposit improved skin gloss and reduced senescent breakdown of 'Bartlett', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' during shelf life. The results show that optimisation of surface coatings should take into account differences between cultivars, ripening stage when the fruit is coated and storage temperature to avoid the risk of fermentation and physiological disorders. Even though there are some quality problems due to uneven ripening, wax coatings represent a technology with high potential for the pear industry, improving the finish of the skin, reducing water loss, delaying ripening and reducing the incidence of senescence related disorders.

Acknowledgements

My sincere thanks to my home University in Brazil, Santa Catarina State University, for giving me the opportunity to do my PhD here in New Zealand, in particular to Prof Paulo Roberto Ernani, Head of Department of Agronomy, for his encouragement and friendship.

I am deeply grateful for the financial support received from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Brazil, who awarded me a PhD scholarship from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

I am indebted to my chief supervisor Prof Nigel H Banks for his encouragement, inspiration, and friendship. I thank my co-supervisors, Dr David Wood for his advice and Dr Siva Ganesh for his helpful support with the statistical analysis, especially for introducing me to multivariate analysis techniques.

My thanks to ENZA New Zealand (International), Massey University Research Foundation and New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology for financial support for the experimental work.

I wish to acknowledge the friendship, assistance and encouragement from all postgraduate students and Plant Science Department staff at Massey University.

My sincere thanks to Shane Max, for his sense of humour and kind help with the experimental work done at Fruit Crops Unit, Massey University.

My very special thanks to Anna-Marie Kingsley, for her love, patience, encouragement and helpful support with my experimental work.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Symbols and Abbreviations	xxi

Chapter 1

General Introduction

1.1	Background to project.....	1
1.2	Research objectives and structure of the thesis.....	3
1.3	References.....	7

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1	Introduction.....	8
2.2	Permeability of coating films and permeance of coated commodities.....	11
2.3	Edible coatings used today: physico-chemical characteristics and barrier properties to water vapour and gases.....	15
	2.3.1 Lipids and resins.....	15
	2.3.2 Polysaccharides.....	21
	2.3.3 Proteins.....	23
	2.3.4 Composite and bilayer coatings.....	24
2.4	Factors affecting water loss, gas exchange and modification of internal atmosphere of coated commodities.....	28
	2.4.1 Coating formulation.....	28
	2.4.2 Coating deposit.....	32
	2.4.3 Mode of application.....	35
	2.4.4 Relative humidity.....	37

2.4.5	Temperature.....	40
2.4.5.1	Effects on barrier properties of coating films.....	40
2.4.5.2	Effects on commodity physiology.....	43
2.4.6	Fruit-coating interaction.....	44
2.5	Postharvest physiology and quality of coated fruits and vegetables.....	46
2.5.1	Physiological basis for the CA/MA storage of fruits and vegetables.....	46
2.5.1.1	Respiration.....	47
2.5.1.2	Ethylene.....	57
2.5.2	Postharvest physiology of coated commodities.....	60
2.5.2.1	Coating effects on ripening of fruits and vegetables.....	60
2.5.2.2	Mode of action of surface coatings in delaying ripening.....	63
2.5.2.3	Optimisation of surface coatings in relation to commodity internal atmosphere composition.....	69
2.5.3	Postharvest quality of coated commodities.....	74
2.5.3.1	Skin finish.....	74
2.5.3.2	Disorders.....	76
2.5.3.3	Diseases.....	80
2.5.3.4	Insects.....	85
2.5.3.5	Flavour.....	87
2.7	References.....	88

Chapter 3 **Ripening Behaviour, Postharvest Quality and Physiological Disorders of Coated Pears**

3.1	Abstract.....	105
3.2	Introduction.....	106
3.3	Materials and methods.....	108
3.4	Results.....	113
3.5	Discussion.....	131
3.6	Conclusion.....	136

3.7	References.....	137
-----	-----------------	-----

Chapter 4 Relationship Between Character of Skin Cover and Permeance to Gases of Coated Pears

4.1	Abstract.....	140
4.2	Introduction.....	141
4.3	Materials and methods.....	143
4.4	Results.....	147
4.5	Discussion.....	164
4.6	Conclusion.....	168
4.7	References.....	170

Chapter 5 Characterising Ripening Behaviour and the Lower Oxygen Limit in Relation to the Internal Atmosphere of Coated Pears

5.1	Abstract.....	173
5.2	Introduction.....	174
5.3	Materials and Methods.....	177
5.4	Results.....	179
5.5	Discussion.....	193
5.6	Conclusion.....	199
5.7	References.....	200

7.3.4	Relative Humidity.....	251
7.4	Draw-backs of skin coatings.....	252
7.4.1	Temperature abuse.....	252
7.4.2	Ripening uniformity.....	253
7.4.3	Variable response for different ripening attributes.....	253
7.5	Use of surface coatings to characterise ripening behaviour, <i>LOL</i> ⁱ and physiological disorders with respect to internal gas composition for CA/MA optimisation of horticultural commodities.....	255
7.6	Applications of multivariate analysis techniques in postharvest research.....	256
7.7	Future directions.....	260
7.8	Conclusions.....	262
7.9	References.....	265

Appendix 1 Equilibration of surface chambers to the internal atmosphere of 'Doyenne du Comice' pear

Equilibration of surface chambers to the internal atmosphere of 'Doyenne du Comice' pear.....	271
---	-----

Appendix 2 Attendance to Postharvest Conferences

A2.1	Postharvest 96 – International Postharvest Science Conference, Taupo, New Zealand.....	273
A2.2	Seventh International Controlled Atmosphere Research Conference, University of California, Davis, USA.....	275
A2.3	Australasian Postharvest Horticulture Conference, University of Western Sydney, Hawkesbury, NSW, Australia.....	282

List of Tables

Chapter 3	Ripening Behaviour, Postharvest Quality and Physiological Disorders of Coated Pears
Table 3.1	Fruit maturity at harvest of ‘Bartlett’, ‘Bosc’, ‘Comice’ and ‘Packham’s’ pears.....109
Table 3.2	Standardized canonical coefficients (SCC), correlation coefficients (r), and parallel discriminant ratio coefficient (DRC) between the first canonical discriminant function (CDF_1) and ripening variables of four pear cultivars.....120
Table 3.3	Means of standardized canonical scores of the first canonical discriminant function (CDF_1) of four pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....120
Chapter 4	Relationship Between Character of Skin Cover and Permeance to Gases of Coated Pears
Table 4.1	Parameter values and determination coefficients (R^2) for the fitted allometric models of the relationship between weight and area of different pear cultivars.....145
Table 4.2	Parameter values and determination coefficients (R^2) for the fitted models of the hyperbolic relationship between coating concentration (% v/v) and P'_{H_2O} of different pear cultivars, and weight loss of ‘Comice’ pears left in cold storage for two and a half months.....151
Table 4.3	Parameter values and determination coefficients (R^2) for the fitted models of the hyperbolic relationship between coating deposit (coating dry mass in $g.m^{-2}$) and P'_{H_2O} of different pear cultivars, and weight loss of ‘Comice’ pears left in cold

	storage for two and a half months.....	151
Table 4.4	Parameter values and determination coefficients (R^2) for the fitted models of the exponential decay relationship between coating concentration (% v/v) and P'_{CO_2} and P'_{O_2} of different pear cultivars.....	153
Table 4.5	Parameter values and determination coefficients (R^2) for the fitted models of the exponential decay relationship between coating deposit (coating dry mass in $\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$) and P'_{CO_2} and P'_{O_2} of different pear cultivars.....	153
Table 4.6	Standardized canonical coefficients (SCC), correlation coefficients (r), and parallel discriminant ratio coefficient (DRC) between canonical discriminant function 1 (CDF_1) and permeance attributes of four pear cultivars.....	163
Table 4.7	Means of standardized canonical scores of the first canonical discriminant function (CDF_1) of pear cultivars treated with different concentrations of a wax coating.....	164

Chapter 5 Characterising Ripening Behaviour and the Lower Oxygen Limit in Relation to the Internal Atmosphere of Coated Pears

Table 5.1	Estimated V_{max} and K_m of the Michaelis-Menten models fitted from the plots between $p_{\text{O}_2}^i$ and df/dt and dh/dt for different pear cultivars.....	185
Table 5.2	Estimated V_{max} and K_m of the Michaelis-Menten models fitted for the oxidative r_{CO_2} , and constants a and b of the exponential models fitted for the fermentative r_{CO_2} , from the plots between total r_{CO_2} and $p_{\text{O}_2}^i$ for different pear cultivars.....	185

List of Figures

Chapter 1 General Introduction

- Figure 1.1 Pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) cultivars (left to right) ‘Doyenne du Comice’, ‘Packham’s Triumph’, ‘Bartlett’ and ‘Beurre Bosc’4

Chapter 2 Literature Review

- Figure 2.1 Effect of internal partial pressure of O₂ ($p_{O_2}^i$) on relative respiration rate (relrr, expressed as a proportion of respiration rate maximum when O₂ is not limiting) for oxidative [$r_{CO_2(ox)}$], fermentative [$r_{CO_2(fer)}$], and total [$r_{CO_2(tot)}$] CO₂ production. Internal ‘Anaerobic Compensation Point’ (ACP^i) and internal ‘Fermentation Threshold’ (FT^i) are also presented graphically.....48
- Figure 2.2 Regulation of respiration metabolism by low O₂.....49
- Figure 2.3 Regulation of respiration metabolism by high CO₂.....50
- Figure 2.4 Regulation of ethylene biosynthesis and action by low O₂ and high CO₂.....58
- Figure 2.5 Plot of internal partial pressure of CO₂ ($p_{CO_2}^i$) versus internal partial pressure of O₂ ($p_{O_2}^i$) to identify the internal lower oxygen limit (LOL^i) of coated fruit.....67
- Figure 2.6 Plots of respiratory quotient (RQ) and internal ethanol concentration (c_{EtOH}^i) versus internal partial pressure of O₂ ($p_{O_2}^i$) to identify the internal ‘Fermentation Threshold’ (FT^i) of fruits and vegetables.....73

Chapter 3 Ripening Behaviour, Postharvest Quality and Physiological Disorders of Coated Pears

Figure 3.1	Chromameter for skin colour assessment.....	111
Figure 3.2	'Kiwifirm' for non-destructive assessment of firmness.....	112
Figure 3.3	Scale for senescent scald assessment.....	114
Figure 3.4	Respiration rate (r_{CO_2}), firmness (f) and hue angle (h°) during the shelf life at 20°C and 60-70 % RH of 'Bartlett', 'Bosc', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' pears.....	115
Figure 3.5	Fruit colour at the end of shelf life period of 'Bartlett', 'Bosc', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' pears treated with different coating concentrations.....	116
Figure 3.6	Skin blotchiness of 'Packham's' pears treated with coating concentrations of 20% and 40% after ripening at 20°C and 60-70 % RH.....	118
Figure 3.7	Standardized canonical scores of the first two canonical discriminant functions (CDFs) of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....	119
Figure 3.8	Skin gloss of pears treated with different coating concentrations.....	122
Figure 3.9	Sensory analysis scores of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations after ripening at 20°C and 60-70 % RH.....	123
Figure 3.10	Assessment of fruit quality after ripening at 20°C and 60-70 % RH of pear cultivars coated with different coating concentrations at harvest or after cold storage.....	124
Figure 3.11	Internal disorder of 'Bartlett' and 'Bosc' pears induced by high CO ₂ and/or low O ₂ of fruit treated with high coating concentrations at harvest before cold storage.....	125
Figure 3.12	Depression on the surface as a result of internal cavities induced by high CO ₂ and/or low O ₂ and low temperature in 'Bartlett' pears.....	127
Figure 3.13	Firmness (f) and skin colour (h°) during shelf life at 20°C and	

	60-70% RH of 'Comice' pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations and stored for six months at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH.....	128
Figure 3.14	Incidence of senescent breakdown and senescent scald after seven days shelf life at 20°C and 60-70% RH of 'Comice' pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations and stored for six months at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH.....	129
Figure 3.15	Plot of scald score and percentage of fruit affected by the disorder of 'Comice' pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations and stored for six months at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH.....	130
Figure 3.16	Variability in skin colour of 'Comice' pears treated with 20% coating concentration, after 15 days shelf life at 20°C and 60-70 % RH.....	133

Chapter 4 Relationship Between Character of Skin Cover and Permeance to Gases of Coated Pears

Figure 4.1	Coating deposit on the skin of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....	148
Figure 4.2	Surface tension of different coating concentrations.....	149
Figure 4.3	Effect of coating concentrations on permeance to H_2O ($P'_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) at 20°C and 60-70% RH of 'Bartlett', 'Bosc', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' pears, and weight loss (% of initial weight) of 'Comice' pears after two and a half months storage at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH.....	150
Figure 4.4	Permeance to O_2 (P'_{O_2}) and CO_2 (P'_{CO_2}) at 20°C and 60-70% RH of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....	154
Figure 4.5	Ratio between permeance to CO_2 and permeance to O_2 ($P'_{\text{CO}_2}/P'_{\text{O}_2}$) at 20°C and 60-70% RH of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....	155

Figure 4.6	Character of cover of the cuticle of ‘Comice’ treated with coating concentrations of 0%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 40%, and 100% of a commercial coating formulation.....	156
Figure 4.7	Character of cover of the lenticels of ‘Comice’ treated with coating concentrations of 0%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 40%, and 100% of a commercial coating formulation.....	157
Figure 4.8	Character of cover of the cuticle of ‘Bosc’ treated with coating concentrations of 10%, 20%, 40%, and 100% of a commercial coating formulation.....	159
Figure 4.9	Blockage of lenticels of ‘Bosc’ treated with coating concentrations of 10%, 20%, 40%, and 100%.....	160
Figure 4.10	Character of cover of the cuticle of ‘Bosc’ treated with coating concentrations of 20% and 40%.....	161
Figure 4.11	Standardized canonical scores of the first two canonical discriminant functions (CDFs) of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....	162

Chapter 5 Characterising Ripening Behaviour and the Lower Oxygen Limit in Relation to the Internal Atmosphere of Coated Pears

Figure 5.1	Surface chamber and cannulae for sampling internal gas composition in pears.....	178
Figure 5.2	Scatter plots between the internal partial pressure of O ₂ ($p_{O_2}^i$) and CO ₂ ($p_{CO_2}^i$) and the derivatives of firmness with respect to time (df/dt) at 20°C for ‘Bartlett’, ‘Bosc’, ‘Comice’, and ‘Packham’s’ pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations.....	180
Figure 5.3	Scatter plots between the internal partial pressure of O ₂ ($p_{O_2}^i$) and CO ₂ ($p_{CO_2}^i$) and the derivatives of skin hue angle with respect to time (dh/dt) at 20°C for ‘Bartlett’, ‘Bosc’, ‘Comice’, and ‘Packham’s’	

	pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations.....	181
Figure 5.4	Scatter plots between the internal partial pressure of O ₂ (p'_{O_2}) and CO ₂ (p'_{CO_2}) and r_{CO_2} , at 20°C for 'Bartlett', 'Bosc', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations.....	182
Figure 5.5	Scatter plots between the internal partial pressure of O ₂ (p'_{O_2}) and CO ₂ (p'_{CO_2}) and r_{CO_2} , at 20°C for 'Bartlett', 'Bosc', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' pears treated after cold storage with different coating concentrations.....	183
Figure 5.6	Plots of scores for the first pair of canonical variables of ripening attributes (represented by x axis and defined by linear combination of attributes r_{CO_2} , df/dt , dh/dt) and internal atmosphere composition (represented by y axis and defined by linear combination of attributes p'_{O_2} and p'_{CO_2}) of pear cultivars treated with different coating concentrations.....	184
Figure 5.7	Respiration rates (r_{CO_2}) at 20°C of different pear cultivars immediately after harvest (pre-climacteric) and 24 h after removal from cold storage (entering the climacteric).....	187
Figure 5.8	Scatter plots between internal partial pressure of O ₂ (p'_{O_2}) and internal partial pressure of CO ₂ (p'_{CO_2}) for 'Bartlett', 'Bosc', 'Comice' and 'Packham's' pears treated at harvest or after cold storage with different coating concentrations.....	188
Figure 5.9	Scatter plot between internal partial pressure of O ₂ (p'_{O_2}), skin hue angle (h°) and internal partial pressure of CO ₂ (p'_{CO_2}) of 'Bartlett' pears treated after cold storage with different coating concentrations.....	190
Figure 5.10	Internal partial pressure of O ₂ (p'_{O_2} , A) and of CO ₂ (p'_{CO_2} , B) of 'Comice' pears at 0°C and during equilibration at 20°C and 60-70% RH . Fruit were treated at harvest with	

	different coating concentrations and left at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH for 2 months before removal to 20°C and 60-70% RH.....	191
Figure 5.11	Changes in firmness (f) and skin hue angle (h°) of 'Comice' pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations and stored at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH.....	192
Figure 5.12	Plot of derivatives of firmness for time at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH (df/dt) and internal partial pressure of CO_2 (p'_{CO_2}) of 'Comice' pears treated at harvest with different coating concentrations.....	193
Chapter 6	Effects of Coating Concentration, Ripening Stage, Water Status and Fruit Temperature on Pear Susceptibility to Friction Discolouration	
Figure 6.1	Polyethylene brushes used to cause friction damage on pears.....	209
Figure 6.2	Scale for friction discolouration assessment on 'Comice' pears.....	210
Figure 6.3	Equilibration of core fruit temperature of 'Comice' pears removed from cold storage ($0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$) and left at temperatures of 0°C , 10°C , 20°C , and 30°C and 60-70% RH.....	212
Figure 6.4	Effects of coating concentration and duration of cold storage on friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears.....	214
Figure 6.5	Intensity of friction discolouration on pears treated with different coating concentrations and submitted to friction damage at harvest, and after one or two months in cold storage at $0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 95-98% RH.....	215
Figure 6.6	Effect of equilibration time at 20°C and 60-70% RH (after removal from cold storage) before friction damage on friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears treated with different coating concentrations at harvest.....	216
Figure 6.7	Relationship between respiration rate (r_{CO_2}), fruit firmness (f)	

	and skin colour (h°) of the fruit before friction damage and friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears.....	217
Figure 6.8	Relationship between permeance to water loss (P'_{H_2O}) and weight loss (% of the initial value) before friction damage and friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears.....	218
Figure 6.9	Relationship between internal partial pressure of O_2 (p'_{O_2}) and CO_2 (p'_{CO_2}) of the fruit after friction damage and friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears.....	220
Figure 6.10	Effect of fruit water status on friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears.....	221
Figure 6.11	Difference in friction discolouration between fruit having high or low water status before friction damage.....	222
Figure 6.12	Effect of fruit temperature on friction discolouration of 'Comice' pears.....	223
Figure 6.13	Differences in friction discolouration between fruit equilibrated at different temperatures before friction damage.....	224
Figure 6.14	Fruit firmness of 'Comice' pears after 8 h equilibration at different temperatures.....	225

Chapter 7

General Discussion

Figure 7.1	Schematic diagram for the effects of surface coatings and environmental conditions on gas exchange and postharvest physiology of coated pears.....	234
Figure 7.2	Hypothetical model for the differences in skin permeance to O_2 , CO_2 and water vapour in a non-coated and a coated pear without lignified cells in the skin.....	238
Figure 7.3	Hypothetical model for the differences in skin permeance to O_2 , CO_2 and water vapour in a non-coated and a coated pear with lignified cells in the skin.....	239
Figure 7.4	Changes in firmness and skin colour of coated pears	

in relation to internal O₂ partial pressure (p'_{O_2}).....254

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

A	=	surface area (m^2)
\AA	=	Ångstrom
AAT	=	alcohol acyltransferase
ACC	=	1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid
ACC-O	=	ACC oxidase
ACC-S	=	ACC synthase
Acet	=	acetaldehyde
ACP	=	anaerobic compensation point
ACP^e	=	external anaerobic compensation point
ACP^i	=	internal anaerobic compensation point
ADP	=	adenosine diphosphate
ADH	=	alcohol dehydrogenase
ANOVA	=	analysis of variance
ATP	=	adenosine triphosphate
ATP-PFK	=	ATP-phosphofructokinase
c^i_{EtOH}	=	internal ethanol concentration ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$)
CA	=	controlled atmosphere
CCA	=	canonical correlation analysis
CDA	=	canonical discriminant analysis
CDF_n	=	canonical discriminant function number n
C_2H_4	=	ethylene
cm	=	centimetre
CMC	=	carboxymethylcellulose
CO_2	=	carbon dioxide
D_j	=	diffusion coefficient for gas j ($\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
df/dt	=	derivative of fruit firmness for time
dh/dt	=	derivative of fruit hue angle for time
DHAP	=	dihydroxyacetone phosphate

<i>DL</i>	=	change in lightness of the skin after FD
DNA	=	deoxyribonucleic acid
DRC	=	parallel discriminant ratio coefficient, defined as the product of SCC and <i>r</i> .
DS	=	degree of substitution, or number of substituted hydroxyl groups per monomeric cellulose unit
dy/dt	=	derivative of fruit ripening process <i>y</i> for time
E_p	=	activation energy ($\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$)
EDTA	=	ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid
<i>EP</i>	=	extinction point
Eq.	=	equation
EtAc	=	ethyl acetate
ETC	=	electron-transport system
EtOH	=	ethanol
<i>f</i>	=	'Kiwifirm' fruit firmness (arbitrary units)
F1,6-P ₂	=	fructose 1,6-biphosphate
F2,6-P ₂	=	fructose 2,6-biphosphate
F6P	=	fructose 6-phosphate
FD	=	friction discolouration
Fig(s).	=	figure(s)
<i>FT</i>	=	fermentation threshold
FT^i	=	internal fermentation threshold
FT^i_{EtOH}	=	internal fermentation threshold based on ethanol accumulation
FT^i_{RQ}	=	internal fermentation threshold based on respiratory quotient
g	=	gram
GAP	=	3-phosphoglyceraldehyde
Glu	=	glucose
G6P	=	glucose 6-phosphate
h	=	hour
h°	=	fruit skin hue angle

H ₂ O	=	water
HPC	=	hydroxypropylcellulose
HPMC	=	hydroxypropyl methylcellulose
kg	=	kilogram
K_m	=	Michaelis-Menten constant
kPa	=	kilopascal
l	=	liter
Lac	=	lactate
LDH	=	lactate dehydrogenase
<i>LOL</i>	=	lower oxygen limit
<i>LOL^e</i>	=	external lower oxygen limit
<i>LOLⁱ</i>	=	internal lower oxygen limit
<i>M</i>	=	mass (kg)
m	=	metre
MA	=	modified atmosphere
MC	=	methylcellulose
mg	=	milligram
min	=	minute
mm	=	millimetre
mN	=	millinewton
mol	=	mole
mRNA	=	messenger ribonucleic acid
μm	=	micrometre
μM	=	micromolar
N	=	newton
N ₂	=	nitrogen
NAD ⁺	=	adenine dinucleotide (oxidised form)
NADH	=	adenine dinucleotide (reduced form)
nm	=	nanometre
nmol	=	nanomole

O_2	=	oxygen
OAA	=	oxaloacetate
OPP	=	<i>o</i> -phenylphenol
P	=	probability or level of significance of a statistical test
$P_{C_2H_4}$	=	permeability to ethylene ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$P'_{C_2H_4}$	=	permeance to ethylene ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P_{CO_2}	=	permeability to carbon dioxide ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P'_{CO_2}	=	permeance to carbon dioxide ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P_{H_2O}	=	permeability to water ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P'_{H_2O}	=	permeance to water ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P_i	=	inorganic orthophosphate
P_j	=	permeability to gas j ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
p_j	=	partial pressure of gas j (Pa)
P'_j	=	permeance to gas j ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$P'_{j,k}$	=	permeance of coating film k to gas j ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$P'_{j,skin}$	=	permeance of the commodity skin to gas j ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$P'_{j,total}$	=	total permeance to gas j of a commodity coated with a tightly adhering film ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P_{O_2}	=	permeability to oxygen ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
P'_{O_2}	=	permeance to oxygen ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$P'_{O_2,T}$	=	permeance to oxygen at temperature T ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$);
$p_{CO_2}^e$	=	external partial pressure of carbon dioxide (Pa)
p_j^e	=	partial pressure of gas j in the external atmosphere (Pa)
$p_{O_2}^e$	=	external partial pressure of oxygen (Pa)
$p_{CO_2}^i$	=	internal partial pressure of carbon dioxide (Pa)
p_j^i	=	partial pressure of gas j in the internal atmosphere (Pa)
$p_{O_2}^i$	=	internal partial pressure of oxygen (Pa)
Pa	=	pascal
PCK	=	pyruvate carboxykinase
PDC	=	pyruvate decarboxylase

PDH	=	pyruvate dehydrogenase
PEP	=	phosphoenolpyruvate
PEPC	=	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase
PEP-CK	=	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase
pH	=	measure of a solution concentration of hydrogen ions
PK	=	pyruvate kinase
pmol	=	picomole
PP _i	=	inorganic pyrophosphate
PPi-PFK	=	pyrophosphate phosphofructokinase
ppm	=	part per million
PPO	=	polyphenol oxidase
PYR	=	pyruvate
Δp_{H_2O}	=	water vapour pressure difference between the fruit and air stream (Pa)
Δp_j	=	difference in partial pressures of gas <i>j</i> between the internal and the external atmospheres (Pa)
Q_{10}	=	temperature coefficient ($=[\text{rate of O}_2\text{ uptake at } (T+10^\circ\text{C})] / [\text{rate of O}_2\text{ uptake at } T]$)
<i>r</i>	=	canonical correlation, or “pooled within-group canonical structure” (correlation between “within-group standardized canonical discriminant functions” and original variables)
®	=	registered brand
R^2	=	coefficient of determination (%), or proportion of variation in <i>y</i> values that is explained by <i>x</i>
r_{CO_2}	=	specific rate of transfer of carbon dioxide between internal and external atmospheres ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
$r_{CO_2(ax)}$	=	specific rate of oxidative CO ₂ production ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
$r_{CO_2(fer)}$	=	specific rate of fermentative CO ₂ production ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
$r_{CO_2(tot)}$	=	specific rate of total CO ₂ production ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)

$r_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	=	specific rate of transfer of water between the fruit and external atmosphere ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
r_j	=	specific rate of transfer of gas j between internal and external atmospheres ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
r'_j	=	rate of transfer of gas j between internal and external atmospheres ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
$r'_{j,k}$	=	rate of transfer of gas j through the coating film k ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
r_{O_2}	=	specific rate of transfer of oxygen between internal and external atmospheres ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
r'_{O_2}	=	rate of transfer of oxygen between internal and external atmospheres ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
$r'^T_{\text{O}_2}$	=	rate of oxygen uptake for the system at temperature T ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
RH	=	relative humidity
rpm	=	rotations per minute
RQ	=	respiratory quotient
RQB	=	respiratory quotient breakpoint
s	=	second
S_{CO_2}	=	solubility for carbon dioxide ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$S_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	=	solubility for water ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
S_j	=	solubility for gas j ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
S_{O_2}	=	solubility for oxygen ($\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}$)
$[S]_{0.5}^{[\text{O}_2]e}$	=	half-saturating substrate concentration with respect to O_2 in the external atmosphere for ACC-O activity
SAM	=	S-adenosylmethionine
SCC	=	standardized canonical coefficient
SD	=	standard deviation
SDH	=	succinate dehydrogenase
SE	=	standard error
T	=	temperature
v	=	volume (m^3)

V_{max}	=	maximum rate constant of Michaelis-Menten model
w	=	weight (kg)
Δx	=	film thickness (m)