

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE AIR GASIFICATION OF WOODY BIOMASS
FROM SHORT ROTATION FORESTS**

Opportunities for small scale biomass-electricity systems

A thesis

submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in Agricultural Engineering

at

Massey University

New Zealand

KINGIRI A. SENELWA

1997

ABSTRACT

Downdraft gasification of short rotation forestry (SRF) biomass was investigated to identify opportunities for small scale biomass-electricity systems. Case studies were conducted in Kenya to identify these opportunities by (i) defining the energy demand and supply structure to identify markets; (ii) evaluating the biomass resources available; and (iii) identifying the availability of other facilities required for such a system. At the same time, the yield potential of 12 SRF species, planted in Palmerston North, New Zealand in small plots at 3470 stems/ha and harvested after 2, 3, 4 and 5 years was evaluated. Samples were collected from each species to determine their energy properties. Data on tree growth, yield, and biomass properties were used to develop two multi-objective indices - the relative yield index (RYI) and the fuelwood value index (FVI) for evaluating SRF species. Biomass from the 4 year rotation harvest was used as feedstock to fuel a downdraft air gasifier rated 35 kW (electric). Feedstock gasification processes, gas quantity and quality were correlated with the biomass properties to define the characteristics of a good fuelwood species for gasification purposes.

The Kenyan studies highlighted constraints in the energy sector and identified opportunities for new bioenergy technologies. Small scale biomass gasification systems showed potential but suitable sites were restricted to sawmills where processing residues could be used as gasifier feedstock. Field trials of SRF systems were recommended to evaluate tree species over different silvicultural treatments, and to intensify biomass production. A demonstration plant at one of the bigger sawmills was recommended to stimulate interest among investors.

Species yields of the trial plantings in New Zealand in the 12 species assessed ranged from 6 ODt/ha/y for *Alnus glutinosa* to 73 ODt/ha/y for *Eucalyptus globulus* at 5 year rotations. A stocking density trial of *E.saligna* showed that 3,500 stems/ha managed on 4-5 year rotations provided the highest yields. Though these yields may not be achieved in field plantings or in Kenya, the study demonstrated the feasibility and methodology that could be applied.

Like yield, the bioenergy properties varied between species. Higher heating values ranged from 19.6-20.5 MJ/kg for wood, 17.8-20.6 MJ/kg for bark, and 19.5-24.1 MJ/kg for leaves. Gas yields varied between 1.88-2.89 g/g dry wood due mainly to moisture content variations which also affected the composition of the gas. Gas heating values varied from 4.602 to 6.112 MJ/Nm³, and were considered to be of sufficient quality to fuel internal combustion engines.

Both RYI and FVI showed that yield factors outweighed bioenergy properties when identifying a good fuelwood species. The large differences in yields indicated the benefits that could be achieved by selecting appropriate species for a specific region. Although feedstock properties affected the gasification processes and products, their overall influence was not statistically significant. The inclusion of bark in the feedstock did not adversely affect the suitability of the feedstock.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The energy supply and utilisation structure of many developing countries is constrained. Since the development of national economies is linked to the availability of appropriate energy resources, it is important that alternative energy supplies to fossil fuels that are environmentally friendly, economically sound, and those that will blend with the existing energy supply and utilisation technologies are identified. One such energy option is biomass when converted by thermal gasification for electricity generation. A successful application of this option requires (i) the identification of appropriate biomass energy applications and technologies; (ii) the identification of biomass resources to be supplied on a sustainable basis; (iii) the definition of biomass feedstock quality requirements for the selected technology; and (iv) the determination of the optimum operating conditions for high quality products. These were all covered in this research programme.

A case study was conducted in Kenya to identify the opportunities for modern biomass energy technologies like gasification for small scale biomass-electricity systems. At the same time, field trials of short rotation forestry (SRF) at Massey University, New Zealand were conducted to evaluate a selected range of tree species and silvicultural treatments (based on growth and yield). Samples were collected from each of the species grown under a range of silvicultural treatments and tested in the laboratory to characterise their energy properties. The data on growth, yield, and energy properties obtained were used to develop two multi-objective indices used to evaluate SRF species - the relative yield index (RYI) and the fuelwood value index (FVI). Samples of biomass harvested at the age of four years from nine of the twelve SRF species were processed and used as feedstock in a 35 kW (electric) downdraft gasifier. The gas produced under a controlled set of conditions was analysed and correlated with the laboratory feedstock characteristics to determine any differences in the fuelwood species.

In Kenya, fuelwood supplies more than 70% of the total national energy requirements. Oil provides 22-26%; coal 1%; and electricity (hydro, thermal, geothermal and imports) 2-4%, being 821 and 672 MW (installed and effective capacity, respectively). Recommendations for the development and use of more renewable energy sources were made based on economic, environmental and feasibility considerations, and favoured sustainable utilisation of biomass

in modern conversion technologies like gasification for electricity production. However, the standing tree stock as assessed on private farms in the densely populated rural areas (0.7-4.6 m³/household) would be insufficient to supply such technologies for rural areas. The location of such plants is therefore limited to sawmills processing more than 720 t/y of round wood and generating more than 1 t/day of solid residues (including slabs and offcuts but excluding sawdust). This quantity of residues could be supplied to a gasifier to generate on an annual basis more than 0.3 GWh (electric) and almost 0.6 GWh of heat in a co-generation plant. The 73 medium to large scale sawmills in Kenya have the potential to generate more than 100,000 t of solid residues per year with a producer gas potential of 221 x 10⁶ normal cubic metres per year (Nm³/y), equivalent to 24,000 t of oil. This gas could be used to generate up to 76 GWh (electric) plus another 141 GWh of heat per year.

A demonstration plant at any of the 73 sawmills was recommended to illustrate the potential of the technology. Also, field trials were recommended to (i) evaluate a range of high yielding SRF species suited to specific regions; (ii) evaluate a range of silvicultural treatments suited to the production of large quantities of high quality woody biomass; and (iii) intensify woody biomass production to supply gasifier installations in rural communities.

Of the 12 SRF species evaluated in New Zealand, being planted at 3470 stems/ha in small plots and harvested at 3, 4 and 5 year rotations, *Eucalyptus globulus* had the highest yields of 73 oven dry tonnes per hectare per year (ODt/ha/y), while *E.nitens* and *Acacia dealbata* had 59 and 49 ODt/ha/y respectively in the 5 year rotations. The lowest yielding were *Eucalyptus saligna* (< 12 ODt/ha/y); *Salix matsudana* x *alba* (1002), (< 10 ODt/ha/y); *Alnus glutinosa*, (< 6 ODt/ha/y); and *Paulownia tomentosa*, (< 7 ODt/ha/y) in all three rotations. Although the longer rotations had higher yields, the current annual increment (CAI) for most species was decreasing and approaching the mean annual increment (MAI) for the 5 year rotation. This indicated that the plots were nearing the optimum rotation length. In a “Nelder” radial design trial of *E.saligna*, the 4-5 year rotations at a stocking density of about 3500 stem/ha provided the optimum growing conditions for SRF systems in the Manawatu region of New Zealand. Results of the coppicing trial were not conclusive.

A series of biomass properties were recorded - the harvest index, proportion of bark on the stem, basic density, fixed carbon content, extractives content and heating values. These

varied significantly between species, and among the tree components tested (wood, bark and leaves). Most properties did not vary significantly with different silvicultural treatments except for the proportion of bark which declined with cutting age, and the wood basic density which increased. Biomass properties did not vary significantly with sampling height up the stem.

Higher heating values (HHV) ranged from 19.6-20.5 MJ/kg for wood, 17.8-20.6 MJ/kg for bark, and 19.5-24.1 MJ/kg for leaves. The highest HHV (20.5 MJ/kg for wood and 20.6 MJ/kg for bark) was obtained from *Pinus radiata*, the only softwood tested. The different properties were correlated indicating that the quality of biomass used as feedstock was defined by most of the properties. Therefore, each property of the potential feedstock must be considered when formulating guidelines for the design of biomass energy conversion equipment.

Multi-objective techniques incorporating measured yields and energy properties for the range of species showed that yield factors outweighed the energy characteristics when identifying a good fuelwood species. The large differences in species yield indicated the large gains in terms of GJ/ha/y to be made by selecting the most appropriate species for a specific region. Assuming that the average yields (27-39 and 41-55 ODt/ha/y of stemwood and total biomass respectively) from the 4 best species over the three rotations would be achievable under Kenyan growing conditions, it was estimated that a gasifier suitable to produce electricity and hot water for a village community would require a minimum of 10 hectares of SRF harvested (2.5 ha/year), to produce about 0.5 t of dry feedstock per day.

The processes and products of downdraft air gasification were influenced by (i) gasification temperature (ii) the equivalence ratio (ER) defined by the quantity of air used; and (iii) the feedstock moisture content. High temperatures (above 1000°C) produced larger quantities of gas with high heating values. The ER values of 0.195-0.328 (with an average of 0.250) were less than the optimum value of 0.275 and indicated that air flow was not optimum for most runs, resulting in insufficient gasification reactions (tending towards pyrolysis), and lower gas yields. High feedstock moisture contents reduced the reaction temperatures; altered the optimum temperature profiles; reduced the available feedstock substrate through hydrolysis, thereby reducing the quantity of gas achievable; and produced a gas with high moisture content, high CO₂ and N₂

content, but reduced CO and CH₄ content with consequently lower heating value. Although feedstock properties affected the gasification processes and products, their overall influence was not statistically significant. Similarly, the inclusion of bark in the feedstock did not adversely affect the suitability of the feedstock when compared to samples without bark.

Downdraft air gasification of biomass produced 1.88-2.89 grams of gas per gram of feedstock (equivalent to 2.1-3.0 m³/kg of dry feedstock). The gas composition varied but typically contained 51-62% nitrogen; 19-26% carbon monoxide; 8-13% hydrogen; 7.5-10.6% carbon dioxide; and 1.8-2.5% methane. The gas heating value varied from 4.602 to 6.112 MJ/Nm³, and the heating value of the stoichiometric gas-air mixture ranged from 2.241 to 2.524 MJ/Nm³ for air dry and oven dry feedstocks. The gas composition and heating values were considered to be of sufficient quality to fuel internal combustion engines.

The quantity of solid residues (and ash particulates) produced was not sensitive to the species or feedstock properties, reaction temperature, equivalence ratio (air supply) or the feedstock moisture content. The quantity of liquid residues (condensate) generated being 20-80 g/kg of dry gas from air dry feedstock and oven dry feedstock decreased with increasing gasification temperatures and increased with increasing feedstock moisture contents. The quantity of condensate was however not sensitive to the species or to the equivalence ratio. The pH, COD, electrical conductivity and turbidity of the condensate showed that it would be unsafe to dispose of the residue into water-ways or onto land without pre-disposal treatment. An initial step would be to filter the residue through the solid residues collected from both the ash port and from the cyclone.

The study demonstrated methodologies for (i) identifying and evaluating opportunities for biomass to electricity systems in developing countries, and in isolated remote regions of developed countries; and (ii) evaluating SRF species for fuelwood requirements using multi-objective indices - the relative yield index (RYI) and the fuelwood value index (FVI). The methodologies may be applied to other regions of the world. In particular, the indices could be used to evaluate SRF species grown under Kenyan conditions. The species which performed well under the Manawatu conditions, (*E.globulus*, *E.nitens*, *A.dealbata* and *E.ovata*), and others which are known to grow well under tropical conditions should be evaluated alongside high yielding local species.

Commercial gasifiers need to be compared and one selected for a demonstration to stimulate the concept in Kenya. A financial and economic evaluation of the demonstration plant would not necessarily indicate the true economic and environmental values of the venture as a learning process will be involved. However, given that the project would be in the experimental / demonstration stages, it would enable a full cost - benefit analysis to be undertaken to provide useful data which would be accurate enough to be used when comparing electricity generation from SRF crops and sawmill residues with other electricity generating technologies such as traditional fossil fuel fired power plants, or even photovoltaic, solar, wind, or diesel generator sets.

DEDICATION

This Thesis is dedicated to my mother, posthumously,

The Late



MAMA PAULINE MUHONJA KINGIRI



You got so close, yet

- (i) a few weeks shy of realising your own work
- (ii) many years shy of reaping the full benefits of your long, hard and painful struggle
- (iii) capable of much more, had you had the opportunities you gave us

“.....the long hours of sleep after finishing school will never have the meaning you meant”.

Gona ulahi

*Nyrsaye uskurumikiyi
butibansavili mwigulu*



May the almighty God rest her soul in eternal peace



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my Chief Supervisor, Associate Professor Ralph E.H. Sims, for his inspiration, guidance, thoughtful advice, kind assistance and support at every stage of the study. The friendly “atmosphere” during our discussions was a great asset. I could not have had better, and for all this, I am particularly grateful. My sincere thanks and appreciation are also due to Professor Gavin L. Wall, my Co-Supervisor for his inspiration and invaluable advice during the course of the study.

I am also grateful to the entire staff of the Department of Agricultural Engineering. In particular, I want to thank Leo Bolter, Gerard Harrigan, Dexter McGhie, Russel Watson and Ian Painter. I doubt they have had a more nagging student before. Mrs. Helen Harker, Irene, and all the others were wonderful. The assistance by Tavale Maiava and Andrew is noted.

Thanks are also due to the following who contributed immensely to the success of this study:

Mr. Doug Williams, Director, Fluidyne Gasification, Auckland, New Zealand was only a phone call away for the much appreciated technical advice on the operation of the gasifier. The frequent advice, based on his long standing expertise and experience in the manufacture and operation of gasifiers was an asset.

The advice with the statistical analysis from Jenny Edwards (Computing Services) and Dr. Siva Ganesh (Department of Statistics) was appreciated.

Ndugu Ndibalema Alphonse read through the draft script. The comments were invaluable.

The Ministry of External Relations and Trade, Government of New Zealand awarded me the fees scholarship which enabled me to come to New Zealand; Massey University awarded the Doctoral Scholarship; Massey University Graduate Research Fund provided funding for some of the experiments; and AGMARDT funded the purchase of the gasifier. I was also fortunate to receive a Helen E Akers postgraduate scholarship when all seemed to be “falling apart”. Without these financial backing, I would never have accomplished the work. Thank you all.

Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya is acknowledged for the grant of study leave to undertake this study; while the Moi University Deans Committee is acknowledged for partly funding the field work in Kenya. I am also grateful to the Department of Wood Science and Technology, Moi University, and all the staff for the support. In particular, Professor W.N. Ringo, and Mr. R.N. Mugweru were immensely helpful. Mr J. Musisi (formally Head, MU Library Services), Professor B.M. Khaemba (MU), and Prof. Maleche (formerly Deputy Vice Chancellor, MU) for the initial inspiration. Professor David O. Hall (Kings' College, University of London) provided the foundation for the work on biomass energy.

S.G. Abbas (Guzni) bhai, and bhabee Naheed, have been more than a big brother. Guo, Hamish and all the others in the Department for everything. Others who have been invaluable include Mr & Mrs F. & B. M'pelasoka and family, Mr. & Mrs. Alphonse & C.M. Ndibalema and family. Dr. & Mrs. Edward & Judy Wasige, Bryan and Claudia have been of immense support even though they were far away in Kassel, Germany. To the many other friends, I am grateful for the moral and or material support.

I ran the risk of forgetting that I was 20,000 km away from home - thanks to Cathy Sims.

There is a special place for the family, wide for an African, and expansive for a Luhya. I am indebted to my late mother, Mrs. Pauline Muhonja, for the constant prayers, inspiration, and all the opportunities she availed to me. I am also indebted to my father, Mr. Jonathan Kingiri Luvusi Bayi for being there. My brothers Luvusi H.K., Ijusa E.K., Luvusi P.K., and Muganagani M.K; and my sisters Vuhugwa M'mbone B., Kang'ahi Andisi J. and (the Late Kageha Rose); and all their families are acknowledged for the support, encouragement, and for taking care of home while I have been away. Ijusa gave up much more for the sake of his younger brothers and sisters. I trust all could have done better. The challenge to achieve greater is left to "*Visukulu va Baulina na Bayi*".

Finally, I want to specifically thank Pamela M. Ingutia for being that patient under the "strange" work routines I adopted. Thanks too for the encouragement, understanding, and everything else. Last, but by no means least, Denué Kingiri (*Mtoto*) provided the much needed "distraction", and added the all important fresh "spice" into everything in the family.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE
Abstract	i
Executive summary	ii
Dedication	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of contents	x
List of tables	xviii
List of figures	xx
List of appendices	xxii
CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Statement of the problem	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Justification	4
1.4 Preview of chapters	4
CHAPTER TWO : SMALL-SCALE BIOMASS-ELECTRICITY SYSTEMS IN KENYA	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.1.1 The energy sector	5
2.1.2 Electricity potential, generation and use in Kenya	7
2.1.3 The rural electrification programme in Kenya	10
2.1.4 Biomass energy options for Kenya	11
2.1.5 Objectives	13
2.2 Methodology	14
2.2.1 The forestry resource	14
2.2.2 The household survey	14
2.2.3 Wood processing residues	15
2.3 Results and discussion	16

2.3.1 Woody biomass resources	16
Inventory of the forest resource	16
Procurement and utilisation of biomass in rural areas	16
Socio-cultural factors in tree growing and utilisation activities	19
Farm and short rotation forestry configurations	20
Wood processing residues	21
2.3.2 Producer gas potential	21
Potential from gazetted forests and from farm forestry activities	22
Potential from wood processing residues	22
2.3.3 Small-scale biomass electricity systems	23
Demonstration unit requirements	25
2.4. Conclusions	28
CHAPTER THREE : SHORT ROTATION FORESTRY SPECIES EVALUATION	30
Preamble	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.1.1 Objectives	31
3.2 Biomass yields and assessments	32
3.2.1 Yield in short rotation forestry (SRF)	32
3.2.2 Influence of silvicultural treatments on biomass production	34
Stocking density	34
Rotation length and periodic growth pattern in trees	37
Coppicing	39
3.2.3 SRF species evaluation - a multi-objective approach	40
3.2.4 Tree weight equations in short rotation forestry	41
3.3 Materials and methods	42
3.3.1 Evaluation of SRF species for biomass production	42
3.3.2 Growth patterns in juvenile trees	44
3.3.3 Silvicultural treatments - “Nelder” (radial) trial	44

3.3.4 Sampling and tree measurements	46
3.3.5 Analysis of results	47
3.3.6 Multi-objective evaluation of SRF species for biomass production	48
3.4 Results	52
3.4.1 Short rotation forestry species growth and yield	52
Species evaluation	52
Growth patterns in juvenile trees	55
3.4.2 Effect of silvicultural treatments	57
Coppice vs. establishment crop yields	59
Stocking density, rotation length, coppicing, and yield relationships	59
Yield optimisation	61
3.4.3 The Relative yield index and multi-objective evaluation of SRF species	61
3.4.4 Yield estimation in short rotation forestry	64
3.5 Discussion	65
3.5.1 Growth and Yield in SRF species	66
Species periodic growth and the influence of stocking density	70
3.5.2 The influence of silvicultural treatments	72
Stocking density	72
Rotation length	73
Coppicing	74
3.5.3 Yield optimisation	75
3.5.4 SRF species selection and The Relative Yield Index (RYI)	76
3.5.5 SRF systems for a Village based Gasifier plant	77
3.5.6 Yield equations in SRF systems	78
3.6 Conclusions and recommendations	80
CHAPTER FOUR : ENERGY CHARACTERISTICS OF WOODY BIOMASS	82
4.1 Introduction	82
4.1.1 Objectives	83

4.2 Fuelwood characteristics	84
4.2.1 Energy properties of biomass materials	84
The proportion of bark on the stem (bark : wood ratio)	84
Basic density	85
Moisture in biomass materials	85
Ash	86
Volatile matter	87
Fixed carbon	88
Extractives	89
Heating value	90
4.2.2 Influence of silvicultural treatments on biomass energy properties	92
4.2.3 Interaction of properties and fuelwood quality	94
4.2.4 The fuelwood value index and species selection	95
4.2.5 Summary	96
4.3 Materials and methods	97
4.3.1 Experimental variables	97
4.3.2 Sampling	99
4.3.3 Laboratory procedures	99
4.3.4 Analysis of results	101
4.3.5 Fuelwood value index and species selection	101
4.4 Results	103
4.4.1 Experiment A: Species variability	103
4.4.2 Experiment B: The influence of silvicultural treatments	104
“Nelder” radial trial stock	105
4.4.3 Experiment C: Tree parts and components	108
4.4.4 Experiment D: Interaction of properties and fuelwood quality	109
Statistical correlation analyses	109
Influence of extractives - laboratory analysis	110
Influence of moisture content - laboratory analysis	111
Influence of particle size - laboratory analysis	111

4.4.5 Regression analysis between properties	112
Heating values	113
4.4.6 The fuelwood value index (FVI)	114
Assumptions	115
4.5 Discussion	117
4.5.1 Energy properties of biomass materials	117
Proportion of bark on the stem (Bark : wood ratio)	118
Basic density	119
Moisture in biomass materials	121
Ash	121
Volatile matter and fixed carbon contents	122
Extractives	123
Heating value	124
4.5.2 Influence of silvicultural treatments on properties	126
4.5.3 Interaction of properties and fuelwood quality	126
Influence of moisture content on heating values	127
Influence of extractives content on ash content, HHV and VM	127
Effect of particle size on biomass proximate analysis	129
Regression models	130
4.5.4 The fuelwood value index and species selection	130
4.6 Conclusion	133
CHAPTER FIVE : THE AIR GASIFICATION OF SRF WOODY BIOMASS	135
5.1 Introduction	135
5.1.1 Historical overview	135
5.1.2 Gasification processes	136
5.1.3 Thermal gasification of woody biomass	137
5.1.4 Objectives	139
5.2 Woody biomass gasification	140

5.2.1 Process of thermal gasification of biomass - a theoretical background	140
Heating and Drying	141
Primary pyrolysis	142
Secondary gasification reactions	144
5.2.2 Products of biomass gasification	145
Producer gas	145
Liquid residues	146
5.2.3 Mass and energy balances	147
5.2.4 Feedstock for thermal gasification	148
5.2.5 Effect of feedstock properties	149
Basic density	150
Particle size distribution and bulk density	150
Ash content	151
Volatile matter content (VM)	151
Feedstock charring and reactivity properties	152
Feedstock moisture content	152
5.2.6 The role of air	153
5.2.7 The role of high temperature	154
Temperature profiles	155
5.2.8 Sample (mass) loading	156
5.2.9 Gas residence time	156
5.3 Materials and methods	157
5.3.1 Instrumentation and set-up	157
Data-logging system	161
5.3.2 Test materials	161
5.3.3 Experimental variables and set-up of the tests	162
5.3.4 Operation of the gasifier and general measurements	163
5.3.5 Product gas sampling and analysis	164
5.3.6 Liquid residues sampling and analysis	164
5.3.7 Solid residues sampling	165
5.3.8 Theory, definitions and derivations	165

5.3.9 Analysis of results	168
5.4 Results	169
5.4.1 Processes and products of gasification	170
Material flows and products of downdraft air gasification	171
Product gas	172
Temperature	174
Mass loading and rate of gasification	177
Interaction of the process	177
5.4.2 The influence of feedstock type - tree species	178
Material flows and products distribution	180
Gas composition and quality	181
Process efficiency	183
Interaction in gasification parameters	183
5.4.3 Influence of feedstock properties	184
5.4.4 Influence of feedstock moisture content	186
Gas composition and quality	192
5.4.5 Particle size distribution and bulk density	194
5.4.6 Air flows and the equivalence ratio (ER)	194
5.4.7 Temperature	196
Peak temperature	196
Temperature fields	198
5.4.8 Solid residues	202
5.4.9 Liquid residues (condensate)	202
5.5 Discussion	206
5.5.1 Downdraft air gasification of SRF woody biomass	206
Gasifier operating conditions	207
Sample (mass) loading	210
5.5.2 Products of biomass gasification	210
Product gas	210
Solid residues	212
Liquid residues	212

5.5.3 Mass and energy balances	214
Energy balances	215
5.5.4 Energy conversion efficiencies	215
5.5.5 Feedstock characteristics	216
Basic density	216
Ash	216
Volatile matter content and fixed carbon content	217
Extractives	218
Feedstock heating value	218
Interactive effects	219
5.5.6 Tree species	219
5.5.7 Influence of feed particle size distribution and bulk density	221
5.5.8 The role of high temperature	223
Variations in temperature	225
5.5.9 Air flow and equivalence ratios	226
5.5.10 Influence of feedstock moisture content	228
5.5.11 SRF species for downdraft gasification	230
5.6 Conclusions	231
CHAPTER SIX : OVERVIEW DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	233
6.1 Identification of bioenergy application options	234
6.2 Feedstock supply options - short rotation forestry species evaluation	235
6.3 The gasification of SRF biomass	236
6.4 Short rotation forestry, gasification, and biomass-electricity systems	237
LIST OF REFERENCES	239
APPENDICES	266

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Energy end-use by sector and source for selected years ('000 toe)	7
2.2 Generation and utilisation of electricity for selected periods	8
2.3 The rural household economy and energy use in Vihiga/Kakamega region	17
3.1 Mean plot and tree characteristics at 3, 4 and 5 years old	53
3.2 Short rotation forestry species biomass production in age series plots	54
3.3 Influence of stocking density on early tree growth and yield in 4 species to 2 years	56
3.4 SRF species and rotation lengths relative yield indices	62
3.5 Relative yield indices of the establishment harvest of <i>E.saligna</i> planted in a “Nelder” radial trial	63
4.1 Fuelwood properties of 3 year old SRF tree species	103
4.2 The influence of rotation length on selected physical properties of SRF species	104
4.3 Variability within tree parts and components in 5 SRF species	108
4.4 Correlation analysis between properties	109
4.5 The influence of extractives on ash, volatile matter and heat values on selected samples	110
4.6 The influence of particle size on the proximate analysis of wood from 3 SRF species	112
4.7 Multiple regression models relating bioenergy properties	113
4.8 Regression models relating HHV and other biomass properties	114
4.9 Species fuelwood value index (FVI) and ranking	116
5.1 The main reactions in biomass gasification	144
5.2 Product gas from the air gasification of different materials	146
5.3 Gasifier feedstock and wood chips properties	169
5.4 Summary of the process and products of downdraft air gasification (An example of a sample run using oven dried <i>P.tomentosa</i> feedstock)	176
5.5 Process variables in the gasification of SRF species (air dry or oven dry, and with or without bark)	173
5.6 Gas analysis and process efficiencies from the gasification of SRF species (air dry or oven dry, and with or without bark)	182
5.7 Correlation between feedstock properties and the processes and products of gasification	184

5.8	The effect of feedstock moisture content on downdraft gasification processes	190
5.9	The effect of feedstock moisture content on product gas composition, quality and gasification efficiencies	190
5.10	Maximum recorded temperatures from each run	197
5.11	Analysis of collected liquid residues (condensate)	205
5.12	Theoretical products distribution in thermochemical processing of biomass	209

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Trends in total energy supply in Kenya (Mtoe)	6
2.2 The Kenyan grid network (and the rural household survey region)	9
2.3 Biomass-electricity systems demonstration unit requirements	26
3.1 Reported maximum tree species yield data from different regions of the world	33
3.2 Illustration of the influence of stocking density on tree size and yield in SRF	36
3.3 Determination of optimum rotation age (MAI/CAI curves)	38
3.4 Species trials plots before the 3 year rotation sampling	43
3.5 The “Nelder” (radial) design trial showing sub-plots of 2-5 year rotations	45
3.6 The “Nelder” radial trial after the first harvest	45
3.7 Sampling of fresh weight in the field	47
3.8 Comparison of yields (MAI and CAI) in 12 SRF species over the 3 rotations	54
3.9 SRF species height and diameter growths at 6,940 and 16,260 stems/ha	56
3.10 Tree characteristics in the establishment rotation of “Nelder” trial of <i>Eucalyptus saligna</i>	57
3.11 Effect of stocking density and cutting age on yield (MAI and CAI)	58
3.12 Establishment and coppice yields (MAI) harvested at 2, 3, 4 and 5 year rotations in a “Nelder” design trial of <i>E.saligna</i>	60
3.13 <i>Ophelimus eucalypti</i> (leaf gall wasp) infestation on <i>E.saligna</i>	68
3.14 Caterpillars of the emperor gum moths on <i>Eucalyptus</i> species	69
4.1 The influence of rotation length, stocking density and coppicing on wood properties in a “Nelder” radial coppicing trial of <i>E.saligna</i>	107
4.2 Relationships between heat value and moisture content	111
5.1 Major types of Gasifier reactors	137
5.2 Representation of the biomass gasification mechanisms	140
5.3 Mechanisms of biomass pyrolysis	143
5.4 Vertical cross section the Fluidyne downdraft gasifier	157
5.5 Position of the high temperature probe in the gasifier	158
5.6 Gasifier and product gas clean-up and sampling set-up	159
5.7 The Fluidyne Gas-engine generator rig	160

5.8	Arrangement of the sieve trays	162
5.9	Example of material movements in a down draft gasifier	171
5.10	Example of variation in gas composition and heating value during a run of one hopper batch of feedstock	173
5.11	Example of a profile of temperature in a down draft gasifier	175
5.12	Materials and products distribution in oven dry and air dry feedstocks	180
5.13	The effect of feed moisture content on gasification of <i>S.kinuyanagi</i> with bark	187
5.14	The effect of feed moisture content on gasification temperature of <i>S.kinuyanagi</i>	188
5.15	The influence of feedstock moisture content on gas heat values	192
5.16	The influence of feedstock moisture content on operating conditions	193
5.17	The effect of feedstock moisture content on product gas quality	193
5.18	The effect of air flows on gas yield and heat values	195
5.19	The effect of equivalence ratio (ER) on gas quality	195
5.20	The influence of temperature on input and output flows	198
5.21	Temperature fields in the Fluidyne downdraft gasifier	199
5.22	Top view of an un-disturbed oxidation/combustion zone within the gasifier	200
5.23	The influence of maximum gasifier temperature on gas yield and quality	201
6.1	Summary of the main phases necessary for implementation of the biomass gasification option for electricity generation	233

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
2.1 Rural households survey questionnaire	267
2.2 Medium-large scale sawmills annual residues, gas and electricity production potential	269
3.1 The influence of stocking density (stems/ha) and rotation length (years) on tree size and yield in a “Nelder” radial trial of <i>E.saligna</i>	270
3.2 Comparison of first rotation and coppice yields (ODt/ha/y) and the influence of stocking density (stems/ha) and rotation length (years) in a “Nelder” radial trial of <i>E.saligna</i>	271
4.1 Effect of stocking density, cutting age and coppicing on wood properties of 6 year old rootstock trees of <i>E.saligna</i> planted in a “Nelder” radial trial	272
5.1 Correlations between measured gasification process variables over the time of a batch fuelwood conversion process (OD <i>P.tomentosa</i>)	273
5.2 Correlations and interaction between processes in downdraft gasification of SRF species woody biomass (All tests)	274
5.3 The influence of particle size distribution and bulk density on down draft gasification processes and products	275
5.4 The influence of feedstock particle size distribution on gas composition and gasification ratios	275
5.5 Correlation between properties of liquid condensates and other gasification parameters	276
R.1 Proof reading copy of paper to the Journal - Biomass and Bioenergy: Senelwa K. and R.E.H. Sims (1997a) Tree biomass equations for short rotation eucalypts grown in New Zealand.	277