

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Autonomous Anthropomorphic Robotic Arm to Monitor Plant Growth in a Laboratory

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Masters
in
Engineering

at
Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Mark Seelye

2011



Abstract

An autonomous anthropomorphic robotic arm was designed, fabricated and programmed for monitoring of plant tissue grown in a modified *in vitro* clonal plant propagation system being developed by The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research. The custom fabricated aluminium robotic arm uses a vertical linear ball shaft and high speed stepper motors to provide arm joints movements enabling the arm to swivel 180 degrees horizontally. Sensors located at the end of the arm are used to monitor plant growth and the immediate growing environment. This includes a compact colour zoom camera on a pan and tilt mount for image capturing, red, green and blue (RGB) colour sensors to monitor leaf colour as well as temperature, relative humidity and carbon dioxide sensors. The robotic arm is capable of reaching over multiple trays (600mm x 600mm) of plantlets. Captured plant tissue images are processed using innovative algorithms to determine tissue or whole plant growth rates over specified time periods. Leaf colour sensors provide information on tissue health status when compared to predetermined optimum values. Custom software fully automates the operation of the arm and the data capture, allowing the arm to return to specified sites (i.e. individual plantlets) at set time intervals to identify subtle changes in growth rates and leaf colour. This allows plant nutrient levels and the immediate environment to be regularly adjusted in response to continuous sensing resulting in optimised rapid growth with minimal human input.

Acknowledgements

In completing this Masters degree in Mechatronic Engineering, I would like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank:

My supervisor, Dr Gourab Sen Gupta from the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT), Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand for his guidance and expertise throughout this project from start to finish.

Mr Clive Bardell, Mr Kerry Griffiths and Mr Greg McLeay from the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology's (SEAT) metal workshop and CNC lab for their guidance and expertise in teaching me the hands on skills required to build the robotic arm and program and running of the CNC machine.

Associate professor Donald Bailey from the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT), for his input into colour transformations leading to the calibration of the colour sensors.

Dr Rory Flemmer from the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT) for his input into the control algorithms required to control the robotic arm and input into the image analysis.

Mr John Seelye, Scientist, Breeding Technologies Group, The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Palmerston North, New Zealand for sponsoring the entire project.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	ii
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables.....	xi
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Literature Review.....	5
2.1. Robotics in the Workplace	5
2.2. Robotic Systems	14
2.3. Summary.....	18
3. Robotic Arm Design.....	21
3.1. Required Parts	21
3.1.1. Z-axis Motion – (Linear Ball Shaft)	21
3.1.2. Stepper Motors.....	23
3.1.3. Bearings	23
3.1.4. Gearing System.....	23
3.1.5. Prototypes	24
3.1.6. Machining.....	30
3.2. Automation & Control.....	32
3.2.1. Overview	32
3.2.2. Motor Controller.....	33
3.2.3. Microstep Driver	37
3.2.4. Stepper Motors.....	38
3.2.5. Control Theory (Inverse Kinematics)	40
4. Sensors	45
4.1. Colour	45

4.1.1.	Background to Colour.....	45
4.1.2.	Why an RGB Colour Space was Used	47
4.1.3.	ColorPal Colour Sensor.....	49
4.1.4.	TSC3200 Colour Sensors	50
4.1.5.	Selection and Calibration of Colour Sensors.....	51
4.2.	Proximity Sensor.....	70
4.3.	Temperature and Humidity Sensor	72
4.4.	Carbon Dioxide Sensor.....	75
4.5.	Microcontroller and Development Board.....	75
4.6.	Colour Camera.....	77
4.6.1.	Frame Grabber.....	79
4.7.	Overview.....	80
5.	Software Implementation.....	83
5.1.	Timer & Serial Port.....	83
5.2.	Motor Controller	83
5.3.	Microcontroller	85
5.4.	Sensor Programming	87
5.5.	Camera Control through Software	89
5.6.	Integration of a Database.....	91
5.7.	Metrology methodology for plant size calculation.....	94
5.8.	Integration of all Parts.....	97
5.9.	Automation of the Entire System.....	98
5.9.1.	Homing the Robotic Arm	98
5.9.2.	Manual Motor Control (manual control of the robot).....	99
5.9.3.	Automatic Motor Control (Autonomous control of the robot).....	100
5.9.4.	Overview	101

6.	Summary and Future Research.....	103
7.	References	105
8.	Appendix	109
8.1.	Publications to Date	109
8.2.	Detailed SolidWorks Drawing Showing all Dimensions.....	129
8.3.	G code Generated in SolidCam of Part Shown Above.....	130
8.4.	Methodology / Information on linear transformation from XYZ to sRGB	133
8.5.	Sony VISCA Protocol for the Various Camera Controls.....	140
8.6.	Basic Stamp Program Example	143

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Robotic arm designed as part of Honours project.....	3
Figure 2:	The original Unimate, considered to be the first industrial robot.	5
Figure 3:	Basic concept of a robotic system (left) with an example of a modern day Kuka KR 100 robot (right).	6
Figure 4:	Cartesian robot design.....	14
Figure 5:	Cylindrical robot design.....	15
Figure 6:	Polar robot design.....	15
Figure 7:	SCARA (Selective Complaint Assembly/Articulated Robotic Arm).	16
Figure 8:	Articulated robot design.	16
Figure 9:	Parallel robot design.	17
Figure 10:	Cut away of how a ball screw operates.....	22
Figure 11:	Concept drawing of robot, showing joint 1 to be prismatic, joint 2, 3, 4 and 5 to be revolute.	24
Figure 12:	Design 1, showing how the arm was able to extended (or retract) using a sleeve approach.....	25
Figure 13:	Cut away of deign 1, showing gearing system used.	25
Figure 14:	Design 1 complete (less the pan and tilt system).....	26
Figure 15:	Screenshot of original joint (left) and the redesigned joint (right) allowing for more freedom.	27
Figure 16:	Solidworks Images of redesigned parts to reduce excess weight.	27
Figure 17:	Complete robotic arm (design 2).....	28
Figure 18:	Gear Backlash example.....	28
Figure 19:	Image of Final design 4.....	29
Figure 20:	CNC machine in use machining a component out.	30
Figure 21:	Screenshot of SolidCam interface, setting up a profile cut.....	31
Figure 22:	Pan& tilt system in Solidworks (top), after machining (middle) and with camera and sensors (bottom) – Design 2.....	32
Figure 23:	Ocean Control KTA-190 Serial Stepper Motor Controller.	33
Figure 24:	KTA-190 Accleration example.....	37

Figure 25: Ocean Controls M542 microstepper driver.	38
Figure 26: Ocean Controls MOT-122 hybrid stepper motor.	39
Figure 27: Ocean Controls CNC Wiring diagram.	40
Figure 28: Representation of the Electromagnetic spectrum.	45
Figure 29: HSL and HSV graphical representation.	46
Figure 30: 1931 XY Chromaticity diagram.	47
Figure 31: Parallax ColorPAL RGB Sensor.	49
Figure 32: Parallax TCS3200-DB RGB colour sensor.	50
Figure 33: Light absorbed from TCS3200 across the white LED light spectrum when the sensor is positioned at 6 different heights. Results obtained using a USB4000 Spectrometer.	52
Figure 34: Captured image showing areas of "darkness" caused by overlapping which would lead to false colour readings.	52
Figure 35: SpectraMagic NX software interface.	53
Figure 36: TCS3200 sensor RGB readings, calibrated and un-calibrated, compared to the CM-700D readings of: Red (A); Green (B); Blue (C).	58
Figure 37: TCS3200 sensor RGB readings, calibrated and uncalibrated, compared to the CM-700D readings of: Red (A); Green (B); Blue (C) for a range of 15 RHS green/yellow colours using an sRGB transformation matrix.	61
Figure 38: TCS3200 sensor RGB readings, calibrated and uncalibrated, compared to the CM-700D readings of: Red (A); Green (B); Blue (C), using a CIE RGB transformation matrix.	64
Figure 39: TCS3200 sensor RGB readings, calibrated and uncalibrated, compared to the CM-700D readings of: Red (A); Green (B); Blue (C).for a range of RHS green colours. (Colour samples are as given in Table 18.	67
Figure 40: 3D representation monitoring the colour of a leaf (scale 0 - 255).	69
Figure 41: 3D representation of RGB monitoring for the colour on a plant on a reduced scale.	69
Figure 42: Parallax Ping)))™ ultrasound proximity sensor.	70
Figure 43: Graph of actual measured height v proximity height.	72

Figure 44: Parallax Sensirion SHT11 Temperature and humidity sensor.	73
Figure 45: Comparisons between Vernier GO!™ Temp USB temperature probe and Sensirion SHT11 Temperature sensor.....	74
Figure 46: Parallax CO ₂ gas sensor module.	75
Figure 47: Parallax Basic Stamp 2e Microcontroller.	76
Figure 48: Parallax USB Board of Education (BOE) for prototyping and testing.	77
Figure 49: Sony FCB-1X11AP colour box camera.....	77
Figure 50: Sony FCB-IX11AP camera connections and pin allocation.	78
Figure 51: Illustration of how multiple cameras can be connected.....	79
Figure 52: BASIC Stamp software interface.....	85
Figure 53: Example of serial command data required to communicate with camera.....	89
Figure 54: GUI of camera control form, showing camera controls and image as seen by camera.....	90
Figure 55: Complete code for zoom function of camera.	91
Figure 56: Example of a database table of the motor positions showing rows and columns.	94
Figure 57: Screenshot of a program designed to identify thresholds for plant growth calculations. It allows a mouse down event to display current pixel and RGB value.....	95
Figure 58: Screenshot of program designed to identify thresholds for plant growth calculations, showing all pixel values in a grid view.	95
Figure 59: Initial testing of the image analysis algorithm to determine quantity of pixels that make up a leaf on a white background.....	96
Figure 60: Effect of an improved image analysis algorithm to determine quantity of pixels that make up a multiple leafs on a blue background.	97
Figure 61: Complete system showing how each part integrates into a complete system.....	97
Figure 62: Flow diagram showing method of homing robot (joints 2, 3 & 4). ..	99

List of Tables

Table 1:	Commands and Parameters for KTA-190 Stepper Motor Controller.	35
Table 2:	Representation of the binary number returned from the status command.	36
Table 3:	M542 Microstep resolutions and configuration.....	38
Table 4:	Parallax comparison between ColourPAL and TCS3200 RGB colour sensor – (the more stars the better)	51
Table 5:	X, Y and Z chromaticity values of red, green and blue for an XYZ to sRGB conversion.	54
Table 6:	Results obtained comparing the TCS3200 colour sensor (calibrated and uncalibrated) to the CM-700D over a range of 7 diverse colours.....	57
Table 7:	Average Error (0-255), percentage error and standard deviation for red, green and blue measurements of the TCS3200 colour sensor, calibrated and uncalibrated, when compared to CM-700D results across a range of colours.....	57
Table 8:	Results obtained comparing the TCS3200 colour sensor (calibrated and uncalibrated) to the CM-700D over a range of 15 colours using a sRGB transformation matrix.....	60
Table 9:	Average Error (0-255), percentage error and standard deviation for red, green and blue measurements of the TCS3200 colour sensor, calibrated and uncalibrated, when compared to CM-700D results across a range of 15 RHS colour chart samples using sRGB transformation).	60
Table 10:	Visual results showing the RGB colour interpreted by the CM-700D and TCS3200 colour sensor, before and after calibration (RHS 141C).	62
Table 11:	X, Y and Z chromaticity values of red, green and blue for an XYZ to CIE RGB conversion.	62

Table 12:	Results obtained comparing the TCS3200 colour sensor (calibrated and uncalibrated) to the CM-700D over a range of 15 colours using a CIE RGB transformation matrix.	63
Table 13:	Average Error (0-255), percentage error and standard deviation for red, green and blue measurements of the TCS3200 colour sensor, calibrated and uncalibrated, when compared to CM-700D results across a range of 15 RHS colour chart samples using CIE RGB transformation.	63
Table 14:	An example of a green colour interpreted by the CM-700D and TCS3200 colour sensor before and after a calibration factor (143C).....	65
Table 15:	Comparisons between CIE RGB and sRGB transformation matrix, showing the CIE RGB results to be more accurate than the sRGB.	65
Table 16:	Results obtained comparing the TCS3200 colour sensor (calibrated and uncalibrated) to the CM-700D over a range of 16 colours using a least squares regression analysis on CIE RGB transformation matrix.	66
Table 17:	Average Error (0-255), percentage error and standard deviation for red, green and blue measurements of the TCS3200 colour sensor, calibrated and uncalibrated, when compared to CM-700D results across a range of colours using a least squares regression (when using CIE RGB).	66
Table 18:	Comparison between Gamma calculation and least squares regression.	68
Table 19:	Comparisons between actual height measured and proximity height.	72
Table 20:	Comparisons between Vernier GO!™ Temp USB temperature probe and Sensirion SHT11 Temperature sensor.	74