

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

GIS coupled Water Budget for Spatial and Temporal Analysis of Water Resources: Horowhenua, New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
of

Masters of Science
Geography

School of People, Environment and Planning
Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Simon Vale
2011

Abstract

A spatially and temporally enhanced water budget was developed for and applied for Horowhenua, New Zealand for 2007, 2008 and 2009. High resolution daily precipitation surfaces were generated in ArcGIS along with daily actual evapotranspiration derived from the FAO Penman-Monteith equation. Gauged stream flows were used to derive surface outflows from a regression model. Groundwater level data were used to derive potentiometric surfaces, storage change from water table fluctuations (WTF) and hydraulic gradient which in turn were used to calculate groundwater outflow via Darcy's Law. Annual groundwater storage change varied significantly with mean estimated values of -53.8, -23.9 and 42.5 Million cubic meters (Mcm) estimated for 2007, 2008 and 2009 respectively. Monthly storage had a higher variability, with values being greater in magnitude than net annual change. Total volume and rainfall pattern were identified as key explanations for the storage change behaviour. A low rainfall year inclines towards a negative storage change and a high rainfall year towards a positive storage change. However, a high rainfall year may have a negative recharge if storms occur whereby rainfall intensity is increased resulting in larger surface outflow as a percentage of rainfall. Resolution of GIS surfaces is very important for evapotranspiration which is affected by landuse, thus retention of spatial integrity with an appropriate resolution is important. Data availability was a major limitation to the potential of the GIS-coupled water budget technique, specifically a nonexistence of stream gauging stations in locations near the coast. Likewise, an absence of daily information for groundwater levels and water consumption data impeded the temporal resolution that could be achieved. This research has displayed the potential of a water budget coupled with high resolution GIS data to provide valuable information for water resources.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank those who made this thesis possible, chiefly, my supervisors Dr Ian Fuller and Dr Alan Palmer of Massey University whose expertise, guidance and academic experience have been invaluable to me, not to mention their patience and flexibility.

I would like to also thank Horizons Regional Council for a generous financial contribution and for supplying essential data. Horizon Regional Council staff deserve a special mention for their helpfulness and assistance; Hisham Zarour, whose expertise, insight, and understanding have been essential to my progress; as well as Brent Watson and Jeff Watson for their availability and acquisition of data. I would like to also thank Matt Irwin from the Institute of Natural Resources for his GIS technical support to which my research relied heavily on.

Lastly I would like to thank my family and friends for their encouragement and continued support given to me throughout my studies and endeavours of which I would not be here without.

Table of Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgements.....	II
List of figures.....	V
List of Tables	IX
List of Equations.....	XII
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Aim and objectives.....	3
1.3 Literature review.....	4
1.4 Study Area.....	14
Chapter 2 Methods.....	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Precipitation.....	24
2.3 Evapotranspiration.....	26
2.3.1 Introduction.....	26
2.3.2 Reference evapotranspiration (ET_0)	26
2.3.3 Crop Coefficient (K_{cb}).....	32
2.3.4 Water Stress Coefficient K_s	37
2.3.5 Evaporation Coefficient (K_e)	48
2.4 Urban precipitation and evapotranspiration	52
2.5 Groundwater Level and Outflow	53
2.6 Consumptive Use	56
2.7 Surface Water outflow.....	57
2.8 Water Budget and Storage Change.....	60
Chapter 3 Results.....	62
3.1 Introduction	62
3.2 Precipitation.....	62
3.3 Evapotranspiration.....	69
3.4 Excess rainfall.....	78
3.5 Groundwater levels and groundwater outflow	82
3.5.1 Introduction.....	82
3.5.2 Hydraulic gradient and potentiometric surface	82

3.5.3	Groundwater outflow.....	84
3.5.4	Groundwater level analysis and water table fluctuation (WTF)	85
3.6	Surface water	91
3.6.1	Introduction.....	91
3.6.2	Surface channel flow	91
3.6.3	Surface Outflow from Stream Extrapolation.....	96
3.6.4	Surface outflow from Change in Storage	97
3.1	Water Budget calculation	98
Chapter 4	Validation	100
4.1	Introduction	100
4.2	Rainfall	100
4.3	Evapotranspiration.....	101
4.4	Groundwater outflow, potentiometric surface and hydraulic gradient.....	102
4.5	Surface water outflow.....	104
4.6	Change in storage	105
Chapter 5	Discussion.....	106
5.1	Introduction	106
5.2	Geo-hydrological understanding	106
5.3	Critical appraisal of approach	113
Chapter 6	Conclusion.....	117
	Reference List.....	119
	Appendix 1: Hydraulic gradient	124

List of figures

Figure 1 Top: water quality domain of Manawatu showing Horowhenua has basement rock in the Tararua Range; predominately river and runoff recharge (qsw) in the plains and flanked by minor recharge (roq); and unconfined groundwater between Lake Horowhenua and the coast. Bottom: surface hydrogeology domains of the Manawatu showing Horowhenua is predominately valley fill (3), proximal valley fill (2a) and distal valley fill (5). Adapted from (Begg, Palmer, & Gyopari, 2005).	10
Figure 2 Conceptual hydrogeological cross section for Horowhenua showing proposed Levin Fault as a controlling structure to groundwater movement. From (Bekesi, 1996)	11
Figure 3 Recharge map from (Bekesi & McConchie, 1999) showing highest recharge occurring over the greywacke ranges	12
Figure 4 Low-flow gauging's of Ohau River showing river losses and gains from White et al. (2010)	13
Figure 5 Bottom left: map of New Zealand showing study region. Bottom right: Horowhenua study site shown within region. Top: Horowhenua study area showing key cultural features	15
Figure 6 Horowhenua sub-catchments adapted from White et al. (2010) with the three additional 'Hill' catchments	17
Figure 7 Digital elevation model and spot height values of Horowhenua (Data from Land Information New Zealand)	18
Figure 8 Hydrology features of Horowhenua showing extensive hydrological network in the upper catchments. Significant swamp type areas are shown in the coastal area west of the lakes as well as extensive drainage systems that have been constructed. An absence of surface water channels occurs in the immediate area to the east of the lakes. (Data from Land Information New Zealand)	19
Figure 9 Geological units in the Horowhenua, adapted from (Begg & Johnston, 2000);	21
Figure 10 Cross section running northeast-southeast along the Horowhenua plain between Ohau and Heatherlea. Also shows the surface outcrops of the Last Interglacial marine strata (green), Last Glacial Maximum strata (yellow) and Holocene deposits (grey). From (Hughes, 2005).....	22
Figure 11 Locations of weather stations (Table 4) for collected rainfall data	25

Figure 12 Location of weather stations used for collect radiation, air temperature, wind speed, relative and humidity air pressure evapotranspiration calculations.....	35
Figure 13 Landuse map for Horowhenua (50 m resolution) derived from New Zealand Land Cover Database 2 (LCDB2) administered by Ministry for the Environment (MfE) and described by Thompson (2004), and Thompson, Gr�uner & Gapare (2003).	36
Figure 14 Change in soil water content over time after Allen <i>et al.</i> (1998). When soil is at field capacity (Θ_{FC}) the water content is not limiting evapotranspiration and thus K_s is equal to 1. Providing no wetting event has occurred, the water content of the soil will decrease until it hits a threshold (Θ_t) or stress point at which the rate of transpiration begins to reduce as water content approaches wilting point (Θ_{wp}).	37
Figure 15 Soil map of Horowhenua derived from Land Resource Inventory (LRI) data. Soil types are clarified in Table 7	39
Figure 16 Spatial pattern of Readily Available Water (RAW) and Total Available Water (TAW) soil moisture content for 0.5 m and 1 m root depth in Horowhenua	42
Figure 17 Soil deficit values from 5 weather stations (Figure 11 & Figure 12) during the time period of 15 th December 2006 to 10 th January 2007. The soil deficit approaches zero a few days prior to start of 2007 and reaches approximately 10 mm on the 31 st December 2006.	44
Figure 18 Change in soil water content over time after Allen et al. (1998). When soil is at field capacity (Θ_{FC}) the water content is not limiting evapotranspiration and thus K_r is equal to 1. Providing no wetting event has occurred, the water content of the soil will decrease and between REW and TEW evaporable water will be reduced.	49
Figure 19 Lake Horowhenua monthly lake levels for September 1980 to May 1981 from (Williams, 2002)	54
Figure 20 Groundwater well data locations in Horowhenua obtained from Horizons	55
Figure 21 Horowhenua study location showing the flow meter locations on the Manakau, Waikawa and Ohau River	59
Figure 22 Annual rainfall for 2007, 2008 and 2009	64
Figure 23 Graph of average monthly rainfall for 2007, 2008 and 2009	65

Figure 24 Average monthly rainfall for Horowhenua showing smoothing trendline for 2007, 2008 and 2009.....	65
Figure 25 Spatial patterns for monthly rainfall in 2007.....	66
Figure 26 Spatial patterns for monthly rainfall in 2008.....	67
Figure 27 Spatial patterns for monthly rainfall in 2009.....	68
Figure 28 Monthly ETc and ETo comparison in 2007.....	70
Figure 29 Monthly ETc and ETo comparison in 2008.....	71
Figure 30 Monthly ETc and ETo comparison in 2009.....	71
Figure 31 Annual evapotranspiration for ETo and ETc in 2007, 2008 and 2009	72
Figure 32 Average monthly evapotranspiration values for 2007, 2008 and 2009	73
Figure 33 Spatial pattern of monthly evapotranspiration for 2007	74
Figure 34 Spatial pattern of monthly evapotranspiration for 2008	75
Figure 35 Spatial pattern of monthly evapotranspiration for 2009	76
Figure 36 Evapotranspiration for February 2007 with the soil group overlay.....	77
Figure 37 Monthly excess rainfall for 2007, 2008 and 2009.....	78
Figure 38 Excess rainfall for each sub-catchment and month in 2007.....	79
Figure 39 Excess rainfall for each sub-catchment and month in 2008.....	80
Figure 40 Excess rainfall for each sub-catchment and month in 2009.....	80
Figure 41 Daily excess rainfall over the first 12 days of January 2008	81
Figure 42 Averaged three year potentiometric surface for Horowhenua with overlaid sub-catchments.....	83
Figure 43 Hydraulic gradient for each of the coastal catchments at a monthly scale (Table shown in Appendix 1).....	84
Figure 44 Average daily outflow to the coast for each month for 2007, 2008 and 2009.....	85

Figure 45 Averaged groundwater level for Horowhenua with 3 point moving mean.....	86
Figure 46 Monthly groundwater level changes for the monitored wells in Horowhenua (Figure 19) from 2007 to 2009	87
Figure 47 Scatter graph between mean and standard deviation of the groundwater level (2007 – 2009) for the monitored wells in Horowhenua (Figure 19).....	88
Figure 48 Monthly volumetric changes in storage for Horowhenua (Mcm)	89
Figure 49 Scatterplot correlation of Ohau River and Manakau Stream flows showing line of best fit, R ² value and equation.....	91
Figure 50 Scatterplot correlation of Ohau River and Waikawa stream flows showing line of best fit, R ² value and equation.....	92
Figure 51 Logarithmic stream flows at gauging stations of Ohau River, Waikawa Stream and Manakau stream comparing 2007 (top), 2008 (middle) and 2009 (bottom)	93
Figure 52 Daily flow for Ohau River comparing 2007, 2008 and 2009	94
Figure 53 Total monthly channel flows from all gauging stations for 2007, 2008 and 2009	95
Figure 54 Monthly change in storage (ΔS) volume based on surface outflow from woods et al. (2006) plus Hokio and Waiwiri stream outflow (method 2)	99
Figure 55 Image of the wash-out of Kirkaldie Bridge from the 8 th January 2008 storm (Kete Horowhenua, 2008).....	110

List of Tables

Table 1 Water in the hydrosphere from Mather (1984) and Montgomery (2006)	1
Table 2 Preferred values for Horowhenua annual water budget from White et al. (2010)	13
Table 3 Calculated area for designated sub-catchments in Horowhenua	14
Table 4 Weather station names and observing authority for collected rainfall data	24
Table 5 Weather stations collected evapotranspiration calculation data, not all weather stations were used for all data	28
Table 6 Land Cover Database (LCDB) 2 names showing assigned K_{cb} (tab), mean plant height and root depth values	34
Table 7 The pairing of the soil sample from Palmer & Wilde (unpublished) with the 'gensoi' classification assigned in Land Resource Inventory (LRI) classification	38
Table 8 Calculated Readily Available Water (RAW) and Total Available Water (TAW) and the prescribed Land Resource Inventory (LRI) soil classes for a depth of 0.5 m and 1 m.	41
Table 9 Definition for the Soil Conservation Method (SCS) hydrological soil groups from Loucks et al. (2005) and the assigned soils to the hydrological soil group.	46
Table 10 Curve Numbers (CN) assigned to landcover and intersected hydrological soil groups	47
Table 11 Groundwater consumption in Horowhenua obtained from White et al. (2010)	56
Table 12 Calculated rainfall volumes for each sub-catchment and for years 2007, 2008, 2009	63
Table 13 Average monthly and annual rainfall for 2007, 2008 and 2009	65
Table 14 Comparison of ET_o and ET_c values for sub-catchments in 2007, 2008 and 2009	70
Table 15 Average monthly evapotranspiration values for 2007, 2008 and 2009	73
Table 16 Excess rainfall shown for sub-catchments in 2007, 2008 and 2009	79
Table 17 Hydraulic gradient for each coastal catchment (from appendix 1)	84

Table 18 Average daily outflow per month to coast for 2007, 2008 and 2009	85
Table 19 Monthly changes in groundwater volume for 2007, 2008 and 2009	89
Table 20 Annual groundwater storage change for each plain sub-catchment using water table fluctuation method	90
Table 21 Monthly and annual surface channel flow for Manakau Stream, Waikawa Stream and Ohau River	95
Table 22 Components of error calculation for each gauged upstream catchment where P = precipitation; E = Evapotranspiration; Q _m = measured catchment runoff; Err = error term for each catchment	96
Table 23 Components of outflow calculation for total catchments using error values derived from Table 22 where P = precipitation; E = evapotranspiration, and Q* = corrected runoff	96
Table 24 (Method 1) Water budget components using Water Table Fluctuation (WTF) method where; P = precipitation; E = evapotranspiration; ΔS = change in storage; G _{out} = groundwater outflow; C = groundwater consumption; S _{out} = surface water outflow (runoff).....	97
Table 25 (Method 2) Components of water budget calculation using Woods et al. (2006) stream flow calculation plus Hokio and Waiwiri stream outflow	98
Table 26 Water budget component showing the two different values for streamflow and change in storage as well as the averaged preferred values	99
Table 27 Monthly change in storage (ΔS) volumes based on surface outflow using Woods et al. (2006) plus Hokio and Waiwiri stream outflow	99
Table 28 Comparison of sub-catchment annual rainfall with annual mean values from White et al. (2010) for Horowhenua plains.	101
Table 29 Comparison of annual average evapotranspiration values from White et al. (2010) with annual evapotranspiration values for 2007, 2008 and 2009. Where, E _{NIWA} and E _{SPASMO} are two evapotranspiration values used by White et al. (2010) and ET _c is the actual evapotranspiration from this study	102
Table 30 Comparison of average annual sub-catchment hydraulic gradient calculated in this study for 2007, 2008 and 2009 with the long term average from White et al. (2010)	103

Table 31 Comparison of annual excess rainfall values with gauged stream flows for upstream catchments from the stream gauging locations in 2007, 2008 and 2009; and with the Ohau, Waikawa and totalled sub-catchment values in White et al. (2010)104

List of Equations

Equation 1	26
Equation 2	28
Equation 3	29
Equation 4	29
Equation 5	29
Equation 6	30
Equation 7	30
Equation 8	31
Equation 9	31
Equation 10	31
Equation 11	32
Equation 12	33
Equation 13	37
Equation 14	40
Equation 15	40
Equation 16	43
Equation 17	44
Equation 18	45
Equation 19	45
Equation 20	48
Equation 21	48
Equation 22	49
Equation 23	50
Equation 24	51
Equation 25	51
Equation 26	53
Equation 27	57
Equation 28	58
Equation 29	60
Equation 30	61