

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**POTASSIUM IONTOPHORESIS AS AN EXPERIMENTAL
PAIN STIMULUS: ITS PSYCHOPHYSICAL
CHARACTERISTICS AND ITS UTILITY FOR
INVESTIGATING THE SPINAL MODULATION OF PAIN**

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology
at Massey University

Steven Albert Humphries

1995

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my first supervisor Professor Nigel Long for his helpful assistance, practical advice and patience; Malcolm Johnson for his help and useful ideas; Dr John Podd for believing in good experimental design; and Dr. Alan Winton for his constant support, and for being enthusiastic about psychology.

I would also like to thank the people who gladly volunteered for the experimental studies.

A special thanks to Andrea who proof-read the draft. Thank you for helping me at the important final stage.

And, finally, to my wife Sharon and family who provided love and understanding throughout the research. Your support has helped me bring this thesis to fruition.

ABSTRACT

The present study investigated the psychophysical characteristics of potassium iontophoresis and its suitability as an experimental pain stimulus. Experiment One investigated the optimal duration of the pain stimulus for reliable reporting across repeated trials, and whether the relationship between stimulus and subject response was linear, logarithmic or a power function. Experiment Two determined the optimal inter-stimulus interval (ISI) for reliable pain reporting and evaluated stimulus history effects, both in terms of session effects and the effects of immediately preceding stimuli. Experiment Three compared potassium iontophoresis with a sodium iontophoresis control.

Linear functions described the stimulus-pain relationship best. No significant differences in the goodness-of-fit coefficients of determination, correlations, or coefficients of variation, were found for the stimulus durations of 1, 2 and 4 seconds. Significant stimulus history effects were found across a session, with adaptation and enhancement of responding for low and moderate intensity stimuli respectively. The effects of the immediately preceding stimuli were suppression or enhancement of pain response depending on the ISI, the preceding stimulus intensity and the present stimulus intensity. Potassium iontophoresis was a significantly more effective pain stimulus than sodium iontophoresis.

It was concluded that potassium iontophoresis is a convenient and reliable experimental pain stimulus. It can be presented rapidly and repeatedly with minimal loss in consistency of subject pain report. Potassium iontophoresis provides a technique for investigating the neural modulation of pain in the relative absence of inflammation processes and tissue damage.

The properties of potassium iontophoresis determined in Experiments One, Two and Three indicated that it could be an ideal nociceptive stimulus for a quantitative analysis of some of the spinal modulation mechanisms predicted by the gate control theory of pain. Clinical and experimental support for the gate control theory of pain was overviewed.

According to the gate control theory of pain a peripheral stimulus that activated both small and large-diameter afferent fibres would be perceived as painful, though there would be some reduction in the intensity of the pain due to the inhibitory action of the large fibre activity.

The present study investigated a prediction of gate control theory that there would be a transient increase in pain above that of the background level - a pulse of pain - as the pain stimulus was being ramped off due to the large fibre activity at the spinal level falling away more quickly due to the different peripheral conduction velocities of large and small fibres. A further prediction was that the more distant the peripheral stimulus was from the spine the greater the pain pulse would be for any given ramp-off rate. Supraspinal pain modulatory mechanisms were overviewed but excluded as possibly obscuring the predicted pain pulse generated through the ramping off of the peripheral nociceptive stimulus.

Fourteen subjects had the experimental pain stimulus of iontophoretically applied potassium ions (K^+) applied to an upper and a lower site on the dominant arm. Each stimulus trial consisted of four seconds of constant pain followed by the stimulus being ramped off. In a threshold detection task a double random staircase method was used to adjust the ramp-off rate. Subjects were asked to indicate if they could detect a brief pulse of additional pain during this ramp-off phase. Subjects were clearly able to detect a pulse of pain at both sites. The average rate of stimulus ramp-off in order to detect a pain pulse was statistically greater for the upper-arm site ($14.3 \mu\text{g } K^+/\text{s}$), than for the lower-arm site ($9.4 \mu\text{g } K^+/\text{s}$). The average ramp-off time required to generate a detectable pain pulse was 192 ms and 261 ms for the upper and lower arm sites respectively.

These results were consistent with the predictions of gate control theory and our ramp-off model. Alternative explanations for the results, including intrinsic differences in nociceptive responding for different dermatomes and anode break excitation, were considered.

It was concluded that the detection of a pain pulse during the ramping off of a peripheral pain stimulus potentially provides a quantitative measure of the spinal modulation of pain as described by the gate control theory of pain. However, further studies would be required to confirm the causal mechanisms that generate the observed pulse of pain.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	The role of experimental pain stimuli in pain research	2
1.2	Requirements for experimental pain stimuli	4
1.3	Review of commonly used experimental pain stimuli	6
1.3.1	a) Thermal stimulation.....	7
1.3.2	b) Cold Pressor stimulation.....	7
1.3.3	c) Mechanical pressure stimulation	8
1.3.4	d) Ischemic stimulation.....	9
1.3.5	e) Electrical stimulation.....	10
1.3.6	f) Chemical stimulation.....	11

CHAPTER 2: DEPENDENT MEASURES

2.0	Dependent variable measures of pain	13
2.0.1	a) Physiological measures	13
2.0.2	b) Behavioural measures.....	15
2.0.3	c) Signal detection theory measures	15
2.0.4	d) Pain tolerance measures.....	16
2.1	Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).....	16
2.2	Pain threshold measures.....	20

CHAPTER 3: POTASSIUM IONTOPHORESIS

3.0	Potassium ions as a pain stimulus.....	23
3.1	Potassium iontophoresis as an experimental pain stimulus.....	25
3.2	Prior research with potassium iontophoresis.....	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

CHAPTER 4: OVERVIEW OF THE INVESTIGATION OF POTASSIUM IONTOPHORESIS

4.0	General aims of the investigation of potassium iontophoresis	29
4.1	Overview of the experimental studies.....	29

CHAPTER 5: EXPERIMENTS ONE TO THREE - GENERAL METHOD

5.0	General method for Experiment One, Two and Three	31
5.1	Subjects.....	31
5.2	Apparatus.....	31
5.2.1	VAS measure.....	33
5.3	Procedure.....	34
5.3.1	Experimental design.....	37
5.4	Statistical Analysis	38

CHAPTER 6: EXPERIMENT ONE - STIMULUS DURATION

6.0	Introduction: The effects of stimulus duration on subject responding	39
6.1	Method.....	40
6.2	Results and Discussion	41

CHAPTER 7: EXPERIMENT TWO - INTERSTIMULUS INTERVAL

7.0	Introduction: The effects of interstimulus interval on subject responding	51
7.1	Method.....	54
7.2	Results and Discussion	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

CHAPTER 8: EXPERIMENT THREE - SODIUM CONTROL

8.0	Introduction: Potassium iontophoresis compared with a sodium control	63
8.1	Method.....	64
8.2	Results and Discussion	65

CHAPTER 9: GENERAL DISCUSSION:

EXPERIMENTS ONE, TWO AND THREE

9.0	General discussion of Experiment One, Two and Three	69
9.1	Potassium iontophoresis and the requirements for an experimental pain stimulus.....	69
9.2	The psychophysics of potassium iontophoresis.....	73
9.3	The use of potassium iontophoresis to investigate pain mechanisms	77

CHAPTER 10: OVERVIEW OF THE GATE CONTROL THEORY OF PAIN

10.0	Overview of the gate control theory of pain.....	79
10.1	Clinical support for gate control theory.....	82
10.2	Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS).....	83
10.3	Dorsal column stimulation.....	87
10.4	Peripheral vibratory stimulation	88
10.5	Time course for spinal nociceptive processes.....	90

CHAPTER 11: SUPRASPINAL INFLUENCES ON PAIN PROCESSING

11.0	Supraspinal influences on spinal nociceptive processes	93
11.1	Descending supraspinal influences.....	93
11.2	Ascending supraspinal influences.....	95
11.3	Supraspinal controls and gate control theory.....	96

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

CHAPTER 12: INVESTIGATION OF GATE CONTROL THEORY USING POTASSIUM IONTOPHORESIS

12.0	Investigation of gate control theory by ramping off a potassium iontophoretic pain stimulus.....	98
12.1	Mathematical simulation model of ramping off a potassium iontophoretic pain stimulus.....	104
12.2	Assumptions underlying the ramping off of potassium iontophoresis	106
12.2.1	Assumptions involving sustained nociceptive neural processes.....	108

CHAPTER 13: EXPERIMENT FOUR - INVESTIGATION OF THE GATE CONTROL THEORY OF PAIN

13.0	Introduction: Investigation of the gate control theory of pain	111
13.1	Method	111
13.2	Results and discussion: Time of ramp-off of nociceptive stimulus	114
13.3	Results and discussion: Reaction times to nociceptive stimulus.....	120

CHAPTER 14: EXPERIMENT FIVE - INVESTIGATION OF GATE CONTROL THEORY WITH A SODIUM CONTROL

14.0	Introduction: Investigation of gate control theory with a sodium control	126
14.1	Method	126
14.2	Results and discussion	129

CHAPTER 15: GENERAL DISCUSSION: EXPERIMENTS FOUR & FIVE

15.0	General discussion of Experiment Four and Five.....	131
15.1	Conclusions and implications for future research	132

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

REFERENCES 135

APPENDIX A: Publication of Experiments One, Two and Three in the journal
'Perception & Psychophysics' 173

APPENDIX B: Subject consent form used in Experiment One 186

APPENDIX C: Subject medical check-list used in Experiment One 188

APPENDIX D: Questionnaire used in Experiment One 190

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Depolarization of muscle fibre cell membrane potential as a function of the log of extracellular K^+ concentration	23
Figure 2:	Cross-section of human epidermis showing the iontophoretic transport of ions across the epidermal barrier	26
Figure 3:	The placement of the anode bowl containing the potassium chloride gel on the volar surface of the subject's arm, with the opposing cathode silver plate on the dorsal surface of the arm	32
Figure 4:	Visual analogue scale (VAS) - sensory intensity scale	33
Figure 5:	Three-key control panel for moving the cursor on the computer VDU presented VAS	34
Figure 6:	VAS pain responses as a function of stimulus intensity. Experiment One.....	46
Figure 7:	The change in ratio scores as a function of ISI, intensity level of the present stimulus, and intensity level of the immediately preceding stimulus in Experiment Two	59
Figure 8:	The linear regression lines for each subject for sodium and potassium iontophoresis in Experiment Three	67
Figure 9:	Schematic diagram of the gate control theory of pain mechanisms, as proposed by Melzack and Wall (1965).....	80
Figure 10:	The rate of applied K^+ 'seen' at the spinal level while a peripheral nociceptive K^+ stimulus is ramped off over 300 ms	103
Figure 11:	The rate of applied K^+ 'seen' at the spinal level while a peripheral nociceptive K^+ stimulus is ramped off over 100 ms	104
Figure 12:	Mathematical simulation of T cell activity in response to peripheral inputs.....	105
Figure 13:	Double random staircase threshold detection of the pain pulse for Subject One and Subject Two	116
Figure 14:	Double random staircase threshold detection of the pain pulse averaged over all subjects.....	117

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Coefficients of determination (r^2) for linear, logarithmic and power functions for the relationship between iontophoretic potassium stimulus.....	43
Table 2:	Mean ratio scores, mean VAS responses and Range of VAS means as a function of stimulus duration. Experiment One	49
Table 3:	Coefficient of determination (r^2) for linear, logarithmic and power functions for the relationship between iontophoretic potassium stimulus and pain report on the pain-intensity VAS. Experiment Two.....	55
Table 4:	Ratio scores and VAS scores (in brackets) as a function of ISI, session block and stimulus level. Experiment Two	56
Table 5:	Ratio scores and VAS scores (in brackets) as a function of the present and immediately preceding stimulus pain levels. Experiment Two	58
Table 6:	Coefficients of determination (r^2) for linear, logarithmic and power functions for the relationship between iontophoretic potassium stimulus and pain report on the pain-intensity VAS for both the potassium and sodium groups. Experiment Three.....	65
Table 7:	Average VAS pain response for sodium and potassium iontophoresis. Experiment Three	68
Table 8:	Applied stimulus level and the ramp-off rate for the upper and lower arm sites to produce threshold detection of a pain pulse. Experiment Four.....	118
Table 9:	Median reaction times to the perceived pain pulse. Experiment Four.....	122
Table 10:	Ramp rate required to produce a perceptible pain pulse for K^+ and Na^+ stimulation. Experiment Five.....	129