

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**SOME ASPECTS OF THE HOST-PARASITE RELATIONSHIP  
BETWEEN GOATS AND GASTROINTESTINAL NEMATODES**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Veterinary Science at Massey University

William Ernest Pomroy  
1994

## ABSTRACT

Experiments were conducted investigating the acquisition, by goats, of resistance to *Haemonchus contortus* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*. Neither 5.5 or 14 months old Saanen goats given a trickle infection with *H. contortus*, which was terminated with anthelmintic after 10 and 14 weeks respectively, showed significant resistance to a challenge infection. Serum pepsinogen levels rose significantly as a result of infection. Serum gastrin levels also rose as a result of infection but, following challenge, were generally higher for naive goats than previously infected goats. There were no significant correlations between worm counts, gastrin and pepsinogen levels at the time of slaughter.

By contrast, a high level of resistance to *T. colubriformis* developed in 9 months old Saanen goats given trickle and two challenge infections. Goats exposed only to the two challenge infections developed worm burdens intermediate between, and significantly different from both trickle-infected and previously uninfected goats given just the second challenge. Both priming infections were removed with anthelmintic. Globule leukocyte (GL) counts in the proximal small intestine of trickle-infected goats were significantly higher than in goats given a single challenge infection, whilst counts for the group given two challenge infections were intermediate and not significantly different from other treatments. Nematode fecundity (eggs per female) and male:female ratios were also significantly decreased in the previously infected goats.

Ninety four percent of all *T. colubriformis* were found in the proximal 50% of the small intestine in both young goats and older goats. Male:female ratios increased and eggs/female nematode decreased with distance down the small intestine. Only about 50% of adult *T. colubriformis* were recovered from recently killed goats by opening and massaging the small intestine under running water.

Antiparasite activity of intestinal mucus from groups of Angora-cross goats killed 9, 18 or 27 days after infection with *T. colubriformis*, increased significantly in infected versus uninfected goats with no difference between infected groups. However, the establishment rate (57-67%) suggests little immunity was being expressed. Following infection, proximal small intestinal GL counts fell with time but there was still a clear negative relationship between GL counts and worm burdens. Mucosal mast cell and eosinophil counts showed no significant trends relative to duration of infection or worm

burden. Differential cell counts were made using a monoclonal antibody to sheep mast cells developed with diaminobenzidine, combined with haematoxylin and Biebrich's scarlet. This method was shown to be superior to others tried. In Angora-cross goats killed sequentially after removal of an infection with *T. colubriformis*, proximal small intestinal GL counts increased with time. However, antiparasite activity of intestinal mucus was consistently low. GL counts in all these Angora-cross goats were generally higher further down the intestine beyond the location of a large proportion of the *T. colubriformis* burden.

In Angora goats fitted with ileal cannulae and infected with *T. colubriformis*, antiparasite activity of ileal contents increased significantly with time after infection in all goats. However, the establishment rate (10.6-61.8%) indicated only a moderate degree of resistance was being expressed although a large proportion of established worms were inhibited L3s.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The experiments described in this thesis and the preparation of this thesis could not have been completed without the help of many people. I would like to thank:

The Vice-Chancellor and University Council for permission to undertake this study whilst a member of staff;

Associate-Professor W.A.G. Charleston for his invaluable advice, encouragement and patience throughout the experimental work and preparation of this thesis;

Professor B.W. Manktelow for the opportunity to undertake this study whilst a member of staff and for his advice during the preparation of this thesis;

Ms B.C. Adlington and Miss S.M. Calder for their extensive technical help, particularly with worm and egg counting, and with animal care;

Dr P.G.C. Douch for supply of isolates of *Haemonchus contortus* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*, and the sheep mast cell monoclonal antibody (C8);

Dr G.W. Reynolds and Dr C. Reid for surgery to insert the ileal cannulae

Mr R.J. Shaw for advice on immunocytochemistry;

Mrs P. Slack and Mrs P. Davey for assistance with preparation of histological material;

Dr H. Varela-Alvarez and Professor R. Munford for statistical advice;

Mrs A. Scott for secretarial assistance, particularly thesis layout and printing.

I acknowledge, with thanks, the financial assistance of the C. Alma Baker Trust, The Riverside Farm Research Fund, The Smith Kline Animal Health Foundation and the Massey University Research Fund. Without their help this research would not have been possible.

Special thanks to my wife Linda and more recently my son Timothy for their love and tolerance during the period of this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
<b>Chapter One: Introduction and literature review</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Gastrointestinal nematode infections in goats	1
1.1.1 Nematode species infecting goats	1
1.1.2 Development of resistance to gastrointestinal nematodes	2
1.1.3 The effect of nutrition	3
1.1.4 Comparison between sheep and goats	3
1.1.5 Periparturient relaxation of resistance (PPR)	5
1.2 The development of resistance to <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> in sheep	5
1.2.1 The effect of age	5
1.2.2 The effect of genetic factors	6
1.2.3 Effect of nutrition	7
1.2.4 "Self-cure"	7
1.2.5 Population dynamics	8
1.3 The development of resistance to <i>Trichostrongylus colubriformis</i> in sheep	10
1.3.1 The effect of age	10
1.3.2 The effect of genetic factors	11
1.3.3 The effect of nutrition	12
1.3.4 Population dynamics	12
1.4 T cells	15
1.5 Eosinophils	16
1.5.1 Introduction	16
1.5.2 Inflammatory mediators	17
1.5.3 Eosinophil modulation of inflammation	17
1.5.4 Phenotypic heterogeneity	18
1.5.5 Anti-helminth activity	18
1.5.6 Eosinophil responses in ruminants with gastrointestinal nematodes	19

1.6	Mast cells	20
1.6.1	Introduction	20
1.6.2	Phenotypic heterogeneity	21
1.6.3	Fixation and staining	24
1.6.4	Mast cell proliferation and differentiation	25
1.6.5	Temporal relationships between mastocytosis and nematode infections	26
1.6.6	Mast cell protease release	28
1.7	Globule leukocytes	29
1.7.1	Introduction	29
1.7.2	Morphology	29
1.7.3	Derivation	29
1.7.4	Association of globule leukocytes with mast cells in the response to nematodes	30
1.8	The leukotrienes	31
1.8.1	Introduction	31
1.8.2	Biological activity	33
1.8.3	Anti-nematode activity	33
1.9	Biogenic amines - histamine and 5-hydroxytryptamine	35
1.10	Prostaglandins	37
1.11	Platelet-activating factor	37
1.12	Mucus trapping	38
1.13	Specificity of nematode expulsion	39
1.14	Hypothesis for worm expulsion	40

**Chapter Two: Failure of young goats to acquire resistance to *Haemonchus contortus*** 42

2.1	Introduction	42
2.2	Materials and methods	42
2.3	Results	46
2.4	Discussion	59

**Chapter Three: Development of resistance to *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* goats (Experiment 3.1)** 66

3.1	Introduction	66
3.2	Materials and methods	66
3.3	Results	68
3.4	Discussion	72

**Chapter Four: The distribution of *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* in the small intestine (Experiment 4.1)** 75

4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Materials and methods	75
4.3	Results	77
4.4	Discussion	83

**Chapter Five: A study on the host response of field-reared goats to infection with *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* and repeatability of faecal egg counts over successive infections (Experiment 5.1)** 86

5.1	Introduction	86
5.2	Materials and methods	86
5.3	Results	87
5.4	Discussion	90

**Chapter Six: The use of a monoclonal antibody and traditional staining methods in counting mast cells and eosinophils in intestinal mucosa (Experiment 6.1)** 93

6.1	Introduction	93
6.2	Materials and methods	93
6.3	Results	98
6.4	Discussion	103

**Chapter Seven: The dynamics of larval migration inhibitory activity, mast cell and eosinophil counts in goats infected with *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*** 105

7.1	Introduction	105
7.2	Materials and methods	105
7.3	Results	109
7.4	Discussion	125

**Chapter Eight: The dynamic pattern of larval migration inhibitory activity in ileal contents of goats with ileal cannulae that were infected *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* (Experiment 8.1)** 134

8.1	Introduction	134
8.2	Materials and methods	134



8.3	Results	138
8.4	Discussion	145
<b>Chapter Nine: General discussion</b>		<b>150</b>
<b>Appendices</b>		<b>155</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>253</b>
<b>Published papers based on work described in this thesis</b>		<b>303</b>

#### Location of Appendices

Appendix 1a	A table of experimental results detailing the development of resistance to <i>H. contortus</i>	155
Appendix 1b	A table of experimental results detailing the development of resistance to <i>T. colubriformis</i>	165
Appendix 2a	Formulation of pelleted ration	172
Appendix 2b	Modified McMaster technique for counting strongylate eggs	173
Appendix 2c	Pepsin digest technique	174
Appendix 2d	Pepsinogen assay	175
Appendix 2e	Faecal egg counts of Experiment 2.1	177
Appendix 2f	Faecal egg counts of Experiment 2.2	179
Appendix 2g	Graphs and statistical analyses for blood parameters in Experiment 2.1	181
Appendix 2h	Graphs and statistical analyses for blood parameters in Experiment 2.2	204
Appendix 3a	Faecal egg counts for Experiment 3.1	226
Appendix 3b	Graphs and statistical analyses for serum proteins in Experiment 3.1	228
Appendix 5a	Parasitological results for Experiment 5.1	234
Appendix 6a	Gills haematoxylin and eosin	237
Appendix 6b	Toluidine blue	238
Appendix 6c	Immunocytochemistry for mast cells	239
Appendix 7a	Faecal egg counts for Experiment 7.1	242
Appendix 7b	Larval migration inhibition assay	244
Appendix 7c	Correlation of LMI with worm, eosinophil, mast cells and globules leukocyte counts for Experiment 7.1	245
Appendix 7d	Correlation of total worm count with mast cell, eosinophils and globule leukocyte counts in Experiment 7.1	247
Appendix 7e	Correlation of two different counting techniques for globule leukocytes in Experiment 7.1	248
Appendix 7f	Correlation of globule leukocyte counts (using the monoclonal antibody) with mucosal mast cell and eosinophil counts in Experiment 7.1	249
Appendix 8a	Comparison of larval migration inhibition (LMI) between days following the first and second challenge	250
Appendix 8b	Correlation of various parameters for goats in Experiment 8.1	252

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1. The 5-lipoxygenase pathway of leukotriene synthesis (from Lam and Austen, 1992).	32
Figure 2.1. Faecal egg counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.1.	49
Figure 2.2. Faecal egg counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.2.	49
Figure 2.3. Packed cell volumes (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.1.	51
Figure 2.4. Packed cell volumes (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.2.	51
Figure 2.5. Serum pepsinogen values (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.1.	55
Figure 2.6. Serum pepsinogen values (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.2.	55
Figure 2.7. Serum gastrin values (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.1.	57
Figure 2.8. Serum gastrin values (mean $\pm$ s.e.) from Experiment 2.1.	57
Figure 3.1. Faecal egg counts ( $\pm$ range) of Experiment 3.1.	69
Figure 4.1. The distribution of the proportion of worm counts by the proportion of small intestinal length for young goats (n = 10) in Experiment 4.1.	78
Figure 4.2. The distribution of the proportion of worm counts by the proportion of small intestinal length for older goats (n = 8) in Experiment 4.1	79
Figure 4.3. The mean distribution of the proportion of worm counts by the proportion of small intestinal length for young goats (n = 10) and older goats (n = 8) in Experiment 4.1.	80
Figure 4.4. Scatterplot of eggs per female for the young goats in Experiment 4.1.	82

Figure 4.5.	Scatterplot of eggs per female for the older goats in Experiment 4.1.	82
Figure 6.1.	Tissue fixed in IFAA and stained with toluidine blue (430 x magnification).	96
Figure 6.2.	Tissue fixed in formalin and stained with toluidine blue (430 x magnification).	96
Figure 6.3.	Tissue fixed in formalin, probed with the monoclonal MABC8, stained with diaminobenzidine and counterstained with Weigert's haematoxylin and Biebrich's scarlet (430 x magnification).	97
Figure 6.4.	Tissue fixed in IFAA, probed with the monoclonal MABC8, stained with diaminobenzidine and counterstained with Weigert's haematoxylin and Biebrich's scarlet (430 x magnification).	97
Figure 6.5.	Tissue fixed in formalin, stained with haematoxylin and eosin and observed with fluorescence microscopy (215 x magnification).	99
Figure 6.6.	Tissue fixed in formalin, stained with haematoxylin and eosin and observed with fluorescence microscopy (430 x magnification).	99
Figure 7.1.	Experiment 7.1: LMI-index (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part A.	111
Figure 7.2.	Experiment 7.1: LMI-index (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part B.	111
Figure 7.3.	Experiment 7.1: Mucosal mast cell counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part A.	113
Figure 7.4.	Experiment 7.1: Mucosal mast cell counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part B.	113

Figure 7.5a. Experiment 7.1: Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part A; stained using a monoclonal antibody.	115
Figure 7.5b. Experiment 7.2: Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part A; stained with H & E.	115
Figure 7.6a. Experiment 7.1: Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part B; stained using a monoclonal antibody.	117
Figure 7.6b. Experiment 7.1: Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part B; stained with H & E.	117
Figure 7.7. Experiment 7.1: Eosinophil counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part A.	119
Figure 7.8. Experiment 7.1: Eosinophil counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of goats in Part B.	119
Figure 7.9. Experiment 7.2: Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.), stained using H & E.	122
Figure 7.10. Experiment 7.3: Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.), stained using H & E.	123
Figure 8.1. LMI-index of intestinal contents of goats (n = 6) infected with 35,000 <i>T. colubriformis</i> on Day 0 and again on Day 48 after being treated with ivermectin on Day 28.	141
Figure 8.2. Comparison of samples of intestinal contents on day 59.	142
Figure 8.3. Comparison of the LMI-index of intestinal mucus collected at necropsy with LMI-index of intestinal contents collected 2 days pre-slaughter.	143
Figure 8.4. Globule leukocyte counts (mean $\pm$ s.e.) of sections taken at metre intervals down the small intestine.	144

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 1.1	Recorded roles or functions of T <sub>H</sub> 2 cytokines.	16
Table 1.2.	Morphological and functional characteristics of rodent mast cells.	22
Table 2.1.	<i>H. contortus</i> burdens for Experiment 2.1.	47
Table 2.2.	Statistical analysis of two sample T-test of the total worm counts of Experiment 2.1.	48
Table 2.3.	<i>H. contortus</i> burdens in Experiment 2.2.	50
Table 2.4	Correlations between faecal egg counts, pepsinogen values and gastrin values at the end of the trickle infections.	58
Table 2.5	Correlations between total worm counts, pepsinogen values and gastrin values at the time of slaughter.	59
Table 3.1.	<i>T. colubriformis</i> worm counts, eggs per female, male:female ratios and final egg counts of Groups 1, 2 and 3.	70
Table 3.2	Correlation coefficients at the time of slaughter for goats with an egg count >0 epg.	71
Table 4.1.	Multiple comparison of male:female ratio for all goats (n=18).	81
Table 5.1.	Spearman rank correlations between egg counts for 3 successive infections.	88
Table 5.2.	Arithmetic mean egg counts for the three infections.	89
Table 5.3	Correlations between parasitological parameters following the third infection.	89

Table 6.1.	Comparison of mean cell counts (n=5) per mm <sup>2</sup> for different fixative/stain combinations.	100
Table 6.2.	Correlation coefficients between mean cell counts using different fixative/stain combinations (n = 8).	101
Table 7.1	Experimental schedule for Experiment 7.1.	106
Table 7.2.	Worm counts, establishment and eggs per female for Experiment 7.1.	110
Table 7.3a.	Stepwise regression analysis of total worm counts of goats in Groups B2, B3 and B4, Experiment 7.1.	120
Table 7.3b.	Stepwise regression analysis of LMI-index of small intestinal mucus of goats in Groups B2, B3 and B4, Experiment 7.1.	121
Table 7.4.	Correlations of various parasitological parameters with GL counts for Experiment 7.3 (n=29).	124
Table 7.5.	Experiment 7.4 - LMI-index of small intestinal contents at varying intervals of storage at -20°C.	124
Table 8.1	Faecal egg counts, worm counts, eggs per female worm and larval migration inhibitory activity of intestinal mucus and ileal contents of goats challenged with 35,000 <i>T. colubriformis</i> , given anthelmintic treatment after 28 days, rechallenged as before after 20 days and killed after a further 28 days.	139