

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

---

Quantum many-body dynamics  
of  
bright matter-wave solitons

---



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
at  
Massey University, Albany  
New Zealand

**Thomas Ernst**

August 2011



# Abstract

The interplay of particle and resonant wave scattering including nonlinear effects creates systems of diverse and interesting quantum many-body physics. A better understanding of the physics in these systems could lead to new and exiting application exploiting their quantum nature.

As an example, in this thesis we investigate the scattering of bright matter-wave solitons in ultracold gases on a square well in one spatial dimension. For this, solutions of the mean-field Gross-Pitaevskii approximation and a full quantum many-body method, the so-called multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree approach (MCTDH), are compared.

The MCTDH method is based on a finite basis set expansion, which naturally leads to errors in system properties, such as energies and densities, when compared to exact results. In this thesis, we propose an efficient solution to this problem by rescaling the interaction strength between the particles. Even for very large interactions in the Tonks-Girardeau limit, the rescaling leads to significant improvements. This is validated by successfully applying the rescaling to problems in ring systems as well as external confinements, such as a harmonic well and a double-well.

The MCTDH method is then applied to the soliton scattering problem and compared to results from mean-field calculations. The latter verify that solitons, when scattered on a well, show quantum effects, such as reflection. For the first time, we show that a soliton can be additionally permanently trapped by the well due to resonances with bound states.

For this thesis, to extend these results to a full many-body approach, we developed QiwiB. It is a program package implementing the MCTDHB method, which is a derivative of the MCTDH method, but optimised for bosonic systems. Limits for the validity of the MCTDHB approach are addressed by convergence studies on the soliton scattering problem. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the scattering on the well enables the creation of macroscopic binary quantum superposition states, i.e. *NOON* states. Novel *NOON* states corresponding to a superposition of a reflected soliton and a trapped soliton are observed. These states are shown to exist for a large range of initial conditions, and a possible experimental realisation is discussed.

---

# Acknowledgments

Firstly, I want to thank my supervisor Prof. Joachim Brand for bringing me all the way from Germany to New Zealand and introducing me to the fascinating world of matter-wave solitons. I am very grateful for all the fruitful discussions, suggestions and his patience with me as well as all the critical comments, from which I have learned so much.

I also want to thank David Hallwood, for the many extensive and inspiring discussions as well as for being such a great work colleague. I also want to thank Jake Gulliksen for being an awesome office mate. I really enjoyed collaborating with them on physics projects as well as the time together on the tennis court. I also want to thank Renyuan, Sasha and Gaby for all the great conversations and the fun time together, and creating such a great atmosphere in the office.

I also would like to thank Prof. Peter Schwerdtfeger for all the BBQs and the great advice on physics problems as well as life issues.

Furthermore many thanks go to all current and former members of the CTCP, in particular Andreas, Andy, James, Matthias, and Michael for their awesome work on the computer administration, and Patrick for help and advice as well as all the coffee.

My gratitude also goes to Prof. Hans-Dieter Meyer, for his kind introduction to MCTDH, and his patience in finding answers to all my questions.

Most of all, I want to thank Susan, for just being her and making my life a dream. You are wonderful and I would not know what to do without you.

Last but not least, I want to thank my parents, who always supported me. Me going to New Zealand certainly was not what they were hoping for, and therefore I am very grateful and happy to have parents who I can always count on and never stop loving me. Thank you for everything, you are the best.

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background and motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Outline of this thesis . . . . .	7
1.3	Publications . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Theoretical background</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Simple theories on Bose-Einstein condensates . . . . .	11
2.1.1	The ideal gas . . . . .	11
2.1.2	Interactions . . . . .	12
2.1.3	Gross-Pitaevskii equation . . . . .	13
2.1.4	Beyond Gross-Pitaevskii . . . . .	15
2.2	One-dimensional system . . . . .	16
2.2.1	Gross-Pitaevskii equation in 1D . . . . .	16
2.2.2	Long range order . . . . .	17
2.2.3	Superfluidity . . . . .	18
2.3	Dimensionless equations . . . . .	19
2.4	Exact solvable models . . . . .	21
2.4.1	Tonks-Girardeau (TG) gas . . . . .	21
2.4.2	Lieb-Liniger model . . . . .	23
2.5	Solitons . . . . .	25
2.6	MCTDH/MCTDHB - Theory behind Qiwib . . . . .	27
2.6.1	Finite basis-set expansion . . . . .	28
2.6.2	Variationally optimised basis - MCTDH . . . . .	29
2.6.3	MCTDHB/Qiwib . . . . .	30
<b>3</b>	<b>Bright soliton scattering with Gross-Pitaevskii</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1	Introduction to the problem . . . . .	38
3.2	Phenomenology of soliton scattering by a quantum well . . . . .	39
3.3	Variational analysis . . . . .	49
3.3.1	Two-mode model . . . . .	49
3.3.2	Numerical results . . . . .	52



## CONTENTS

---

3.4	The trapping process . . . . .	56
3.4.1	Analytical model . . . . .	56
3.4.2	Temporal trapping . . . . .	63
3.5	Probing energy levels . . . . .	66
3.6	Summary . . . . .	70
<b>4</b>	<b>MCTDH - simple improvements due to rescaling of the interaction</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1	Convergence studies . . . . .	72
4.1.1	Discussion on CPU times . . . . .	75
4.1.2	Convergence with respect to the number of single-particle functions . . . . .	76
4.1.3	Convergence with respect to the number of grid points . . . . .	78
4.1.4	Convergence with respect to the width of the interaction potential . . . . .	78
4.1.5	Conclusion . . . . .	79
4.2	Rescaling of the interaction . . . . .	79
4.2.1	Theoretical background . . . . .	79
4.2.1.1	Two particles on a ring . . . . .	80
4.2.1.2	Empirical rescaling . . . . .	83
4.2.1.3	Connection to $T$ matrix renormalisation . . . . .	84
4.2.1.4	MCTDH . . . . .	85
4.2.2	Bosons in a ring . . . . .	85
4.2.3	Bosons in a harmonic potential . . . . .	89
4.2.4	Bosons in a double well . . . . .	91
4.3	Summary . . . . .	94
<b>5</b>	<b>Full quantum dynamics of soliton scattering</b>	<b>97</b>
5.1	Introduction to the problem . . . . .	98
5.2	Two-mode MCTDHB model . . . . .	99
5.2.1	$TRL$ window for the second bound state . . . . .	102
5.2.2	$NOON$ -like superposition states inside the $RT$ window . . . . .	102
5.3	Multi-mode MCTDHB models . . . . .	104

5.3.1	<i>TRL</i> windows for the two-mode MCTDHB . . . . .	105
5.3.2	Loss of coherence for multi-mode systems . . . . .	109
5.4	Convergence properties . . . . .	114
5.4.1	Motivation . . . . .	114
5.4.2	Discussion . . . . .	117
5.5	Summary . . . . .	121
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Outlook</b>	<b>125</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	125
6.2	Outlook . . . . .	127
	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Robust mesoscopic superposition of strongly correlated ultracold atoms</b>	
	<b>- an application of the rescaling method</b>	<b>129</b>
A.1	Motivation . . . . .	129
A.2	Results . . . . .	130
A.3	Particle loss . . . . .	133
A.4	Summary . . . . .	134
<b>B</b>	<b>Numerical methods</b>	<b>137</b>
B.1	Wave propagation . . . . .	137
B.2	Imaginary time propagation . . . . .	140
B.3	Numerical implementation of QiwiB . . . . .	141
B.3.1	Single-particle functions . . . . .	141
B.3.2	Propagation of prefactor $\vec{C}$ . . . . .	141
B.3.3	Improved relaxation . . . . .	142
B.3.4	Implementation of the whole propagation scheme . . . . .	143
B.3.5	Calculation of $\mathbf{H}_{\vec{C}}$ and the mean fields $\rho_{\mathbf{kq}}$ and $\rho_{\mathbf{k}sq1}$ . . . . .	144
B.3.6	Transformation to natural orbital basis . . . . .	145
<b>C</b>	<b>QiwiB - Influence of N and M on CPU times</b>	<b>147</b>

## CONTENTS

---

<b>D The QiwiB program package</b>	<b>151</b>
D.1 Background . . . . .	151
D.2 Summary of key features . . . . .	152
D.3 Examples . . . . .	154
D.3.1 Particles in a well . . . . .	154
D.3.2 Travelling bright soliton . . . . .	158
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>164</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	Transition to a BEC. . . . .	2
1.2	Bright and dark soliton. . . . .	4
3.1	Sketch of a soliton moving towards a well. . . . .	38
3.2	GP results $R$ and $T$ vs velocity. . . . .	40
3.3	GP results for $R$ , $T$ , $L$ vs potential depth. . . . .	43
3.4	Density at $V_0 = 5.2$ and $t = 77$ (full trapping) and $V_0 = 6.5$ and $t = 65$ (partial trapping). . . . .	44
3.5	Time evolution of the density for the cases of full trapping, reflection and transmission as well as partial trapping. . . . .	45
3.6	Comparing $L$ (GP) and $N_{L,rel}$ (time-independent GP) for different well widths. . . . .	47
3.7	$T$ from GP calculations compared to exact one-body results for increasing $v_{initial}$ . . . . .	48
3.8	Phase difference $\Delta\Phi$ from two-mode model. . . . .	52
3.9	$R$ , $T$ , $L$ from the two-mode model. . . . .	53
3.10	Time evolution of the density in the two-mode model for the cases of full reflection, trapping, transmission as well as partial trapping. . . . .	55
3.11	$T$ vs kinetic energy from GP calculations. . . . .	57
3.12	Time evolution of the kinetic and interaction energies for the transmitted part of the soliton from GP results at $V_0 = 4.9$ and $V_0 = 5.1$ . . . . .	58
3.13	Logarithmic density plot of the condensate for different times at $V_0 = 4.9$ . A reflected component (radiation) is clearly visible. . . . .	59
3.14	Logarithmic density plot of the condensate for different times at $V_0 = 5.1$ . Compared to Fig. 3.13 the reflected part is clearly larger. . . . .	59
3.15	Time evolution of the kinetic and interaction energies for the reflected part of the soliton from GP results at $V_0 = 4.9$ and $V_0 = 5.1$ . . . . .	60
3.16	Maximal possible trapping vs initial velocity. . . . .	61
3.17	Time evolution of the density at $V_0 = 5.182$ ( $T \approx 1$ ) and $V_0 = 5.183$ ( $L \approx 1$ ). . . . .	63

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

3.18	Temporary trapping time for the soliton compared to exact one-body results. . . . .	64
3.19	Time evolution of the density for temporary trapping. . . . .	65
3.20	Number of trapped atoms $N_L$ vs chemical potential $\mu$ from GP calculations compared with time-independent GP results. . . . .	68
3.21	Number of trapped atoms $N_L$ vs chemical potential $\mu$ from GP calculations compared to the location of linear bound states. . . . .	69
4.1	Total CPU time vs number of single-particle functions and number of grid points. . . . .	73
4.2	Relative energy difference different numbers of single-particle functions. . . . .	75
4.3	Relative energy difference for different numbers of grid points. . . . .	77
4.4	Relative energy difference for different widths of the Gaussian-shaped interaction potential. . . . .	79
4.5	MCTDH results for the ground state energy vs interaction strength for the two bosons in a ring. . . . .	80
4.6	Relative energy difference vs interaction strength before and after rescaling. . . . .	86
4.7	Density-density correlation function for 5 particles in a ring for different interaction strengths. . . . .	88
4.8	Maximal relative deviation of the energy after rescaling from the exact result $E_{LL}$ over the range of interaction strengths $0 < g < \infty$ . . . . .	89
4.9	Density function for 5 particles in a harmonic well for different interaction strengths. . . . .	90
4.10	Ground- and excited-state energies for two particles in a harmonic well compared to exact results. . . . .	91
4.11	Excited-state energies for two particles in a harmonic well compared to exact results in the TG limit. . . . .	93
5.1	$R, T, L$ for the $TRL$ window for different particle numbers. . . . .	100
5.2	$R, T, L$ for the $RT$ window for different particle numbers. . . . .	101
5.3	Density, natural orbitals and the state populations in the natural orbital basis for $N = 80, M = 2$ and $V_0 = 4.85$ as well as $V_0 = 4.864$ . . . . .	103

5.4	<i>R, T, L</i> for the <i>TRL</i> window for $N = 120$ and different numbers of single-particle functions. . . . .	106
5.5	<i>R, T, L</i> for the <i>TRL</i> window for $N = 10$ and different numbers of single-particle functions. . . . .	107
5.6	Time evolution of the density and the natural orbitals at $t = 150$ for $N = 10$ , $M = 8$ and $V_0 = 4.88$ . . . . .	108
5.7	Time evolution of the density and natural orbital populations for $N = 10$ , $M = 8$ and $V_0 = 4.84$ . . . . .	109
5.8	Time evolution of the density and natural orbitals for $N = 120$ , $V_0 = 6.5$ and $M = 2$ as well as $M = 4$ . . . . .	110
5.9	Reflected soliton density for <i>NOON</i> and mixed state. . . . .	111
5.10	Time evolution of the one-body density matrices at different times for $N = 120$ , $V_0 = 6.5$ and $M = 2$ as well as $M = 4$ . . . . .	113
5.11	Time evolution of the density and the natural orbital populations for $N = 80$ , $M = 2$ and $V_0 = 4.82$ . . . . .	115
5.12	Maximal natural orbital populations for different particle numbers and their respective maximal feasible number of single-particle functions. . . . .	118
5.13	Time evolution of the density and the natural orbital populations at $t = 150$ for $N = 1000$ , $M = 3$ and $V_0 = 4.848$ . . . . .	123
6.1	Outlook: Collision of two solitons in a <i>NOON</i> state. . . . .	127
A.1	Sketch of rotating bosons in a ring stirred by an external potential. . . . .	130
A.2	Energy level splitting vs interaction strength and total momentum state occupation numbers in the non-interacting regime and for the TG limit. . . . .	132
A.3	The superposition quality after particle loss vs interaction strength. . . . .	135
C.1	Total CPU time vs number of particles for QiviB calculations. . . . .	148
C.2	Total CPU time vs number of single-particle functions for QiviB calculations. . . . .	149

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

D.1	Original <i>Octave</i> picture of the density at $t = 3.79$ for 20 particles in a harmonic well for Qiwib calculations. . . . .	155
D.2	Original <i>Octave</i> picture for the reduced one-body density matrix of 20 particles in a harmonic well for Qiwib calculations. . . . .	157
D.3	Original <i>Octave</i> picture for the time evolution of the density with 20 particles in a harmonic well for Qiwib calculations. . . . .	159

# List of Tables

5.1	Natural orbital populations for $N = 80$ and $M = 2 \dots 4$ at $V_0 \approx 4.82$ at $t \approx 60$ . . . . .	116
5.2	Maximal natural orbital populations for $N = 10 \dots 10000$ and $M =$ $2 \dots 8$ inside the $RT$ window. . . . .	119



## LIST OF TABLES

---

# List of abbreviations

## General abbreviations

BEC	Bose-Einstein condensate
GP	Gross-Pitaevskii
GPE	Gross-Pitaevskii equation
1D	one dimension or one-dimensional
NOON	NOON state: superposition of $N$ particles occupying the first natural orbital and $N$ particles occupying the second one, i.e. $\alpha N, 0\rangle + \beta 0, N\rangle$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ being complex numbers.
MCTDH	Multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree
MCTDHB	Multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree explicitly optimised for bosonic symmetry
MCTDH(B)	MCTDH and/or MCTDHB
Qiwib	Quantum integrator with interacting bosons, a program package developed for this thesis, which solves the MCTDHB equations
TG	Tonks-Girardeau
LL	Lieb-Liniger
RK	Runge-Kutta

## Physical observables and operators

$T$	Transmission, i.e. in this thesis the relative number of particles that passed the well
$R$	Reflection, i.e. in this thesis the relative number of particles that got reflected from the well
$L$	Trapping, i.e. in this thesis the relative number of particles that got trapped inside the well
$TRL$	$TRL$ window: range of potential depths around the resonance with a bound state of the well and where the reflection is less than one.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

$RT$	$RT$ window: range of potential depths between a regime of full reflection and full transmission
$N$	number of particles
$M$	number of single-particle functions, equivalent to the number of natural orbitals
$N_g$	number of grid points for the discretised spatial coordinate in the numerical calculations
$\psi(x, t)$	Gross-Pitaevskii wave-function in one dimension
$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$	Total wave function for $N$ particles in one dimension
$ \Psi(t)\rangle$	Total wave function for $N$ particles in second quantised form
$g$	dimensionless effective interaction strength in one dimension
$\mu$	chemical potential
$\rho(x, y, t)$	Reduced one-body density matrix given as $\rho(x, y, t) = N \int dx_2 \dots dx_N \Psi^*(x, x_2 \dots, x_N) \Psi(y, x_2 \dots, x_N)$
$\phi_i$	$i$ th single particle function
$\phi_i^{NO}$	$i$ th natural orbital defined by $\rho(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^M \rho_i [\phi_i^{NO}(y)]^* \phi_i^{NO}(x)$
$\rho_{kq}$	Density matrix in single-particle representation defined by $\rho(x, y, t) = \sum_{k,q=1}^M \rho_{kq} \phi_k^*(y) \phi_q(x)$
$\rho_i$	Density matrix in natural-orbital representation defined by $\rho(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^M \rho_i [\phi_i^{NO}(y)]^* \phi_i^{NO}(x)$
$ \vec{n}\rangle$	many-body state in second quantisation: $ \vec{n}\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_k!}} [b_k^\dagger(t)]^{n_k}  \text{vac}\rangle$ with $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_M)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^M n_i = N$
$C_{\vec{n}}$	Configuration amplitude defined by $ \Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\vec{n}} C_{\vec{n}}  \vec{n}\rangle$ in the single-particle representation
$C_{\vec{n}}^{NO}$	Configuration amplitude defined by $ \Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\vec{n}} C_{\vec{n}}  \vec{n}\rangle$ in the natural-orbital representation

## Physical constants

$\hbar$	Planck's constant $\hbar = 1.054571726(47) \times 10^{-34} J s$
$k_b$	Boltzmann constant $k_b = 1.3806488(13) \times 10^{-23} J/K$