

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN ASSESSMENT OF THE NITROGEN FERTILIZER
REQUIREMENTS OF WINTER CABBAGES
(*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.)

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science
at Massey University

ROBERTO REGINALDO BONOAN

1990

ABSTRACT

The increasing costs of N fertilizers and the danger of creating environmental pollution due to excessive N fertilisation practices create a need for more efficient N fertilisation of vegetable crops. This present study was conducted with the main objective of assessing the N fertilizer requirements of winter cabbages on a coarse loamy mixed mesic Dystric Eutrochrept soil and consequently developing a model which would assist in predicting N fertilizer requirements over a wider area.

Glasshouse and field experiments were conducted to assess the utility of soil and plant (sap) tests for assisting in determining the N fertilizer requirements of winter cabbages. The concentrations of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ in either the xylem or petiole sap of cabbages were found to be influenced by several factors such as leaf position, time of day, sample storage time, plant age and form of fertilizer N.

A large field trial indicated that at 4 sampling dates (50, 60, 80 and 90 days after transplanting; DAT) and prior to sidedressing, xylem ($R^2 = 0.73^{**}$) and petiole ($R^2 = 0.86^{**}$) sap were strongly correlated to extractable $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ in the soil to a depth of 30 cm. Nitrate-N levels in xylem sap at 60 and 80 DAT and petiole sap at 50, 60 and 80 DAT were good predictors of harvestable fresh head yield. Maximum marketable fresh head yield (55 t/ha) was achieved with an initial N application of 300 kg N/ha over a growing period of 150 days in which 448 mm of drainage was estimated. At heading, on the 300 kg N ha⁻¹, soil mineral N levels were 75 kg N ha⁻¹, xylem sap concentration was 333 $\mu\text{g NO}_3\text{-N ml}^{-1}$ and 1651 $\mu\text{g NO}_3\text{-N ml}^{-1}$ in the petiole sap. This critical value for petiole sap is higher than that reported in the literature for cabbages. At petiole sap levels below the critical value, sidedressing with 100 kg N/ha as urea was required to achieve a similar yield as found with an initial application of 300 kg N ha⁻¹ as calcium ammonium nitrate.

In a small scale field experiment, plant recovery (62-65%) of sidedressed

^{15}N labelled urea N did not differ between sidedressing rates (100 and 200 kg N). Total recovery of ^{15}N in the plant and soil was considered high ($114 \pm 0.9\%$ and $90 \pm 1.1\%$) for the respective rates.

Using the data obtained from the field trials, a simple model termed a "sidedressing model" was developed. The model specifically determines the amount of N fertilizer needed to be applied as a sidedressing at a critical time (heading) to obtain maximum yield. The model was validated, using the data from another N fertilizer field trial conducted in the following year. The model successfully predicted whether N sidedressing is required or not but only a limited validation could be made of the prediction rates.

The limitation of the sidedressing model of being site and season specific can be reduced by using simple submodels to predict the measured component which assessed N in cabbages at heading (N_h). One submodel used (the heat unit model) was modified by including data from 2-year trial results, to predict N_h and also provided a prediction of N uptake at maturity (N_y). Although not able to be validated in this study, the model shows potential for use by environmental administrators in predicting the likely effects of various growers practices in relation to identifying problems associated with $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in drinking water and in edible cabbage heads.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely grateful to:

Dr Paul Gregg and Dr Mike Hedley for their supervision, guidance, patience and friendship during my studies.

Other members of the Soil Science Department for valuable discussions and assistance during the work.

Dr Santiago Obien, director of the Philippine Rice Research Institute, for the encouragement to pursue postgraduate studies.

Ms Lee Heng and Mr Wichien Chatupote for assistance with computer work and final preparation of this thesis. Mr Donald Tambunan and other postgraduate students and all technicians in the Department for help with glasshouse and field work.

All Filipino friends particularly Mr and Ms Angel Carambas.

The Ministry of External Relations and Trade of New Zealand for the scholarship grant.

The National Tobacco Authority of the Philippines for the study leave.

Lastly, but most important, to my mother, brothers and sisters for their great moral support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION.....	1
-------------------	---

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1	NITROGEN FERTILIZER REQUIREMENTS OF CROPS, PARTICULARLY VEGETABLE CROPS.....	3
2.2	DIAGNOSTIC TESTS FOR DETERMINING N FERTILIZER REQUIREMENTS OF CROPS	4
2.2.1	Soil Testing.....	4
2.2.2	Plant Analysis	6
2.2.2.1	<i>Total N</i>	6
2.2.2.2	<i>Extractable N</i>	8
2.2.2.3	<i>Sap nitrate testing</i>	9
2.2.3	Factors Affecting Nitrate Concentrations in Plants.....	14
2.2.3.1	<i>Genetic control</i>	14
2.2.3.2	<i>Light and temperature</i>	15
2.2.3.3	<i>Rate and amount of nitrate supply</i>	16
2.2.3.4	<i>Form of fertilizer N</i>	17
2.2.3.5	<i>Time and method of N application</i>	18
2.2.3.6	<i>Other macronutrients</i>	19
2.2.3.7	<i>Nitrification inhibitors</i>	19
2.2.4	Critical N Levels in Vegetables.....	20
2.3	EFFECT OF FERTILIZER FORM ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CROPS	23
2.3.1	Effect of N Fertilizer Form.....	23
2.3.2	Effect of Fertilizer (N/P) Forms.....	25
2.4	FATE OF FERTILIZER N IN SOILS.....	27
2.4.1	Value of ¹⁵ N Labelled Fertilizer.....	27
2.4.2	Fertilizer N Balance Studies.....	28

2.5	MODELLING THE N FERTILIZER REQUIREMENTS OF CROPS	32
-----	--	----

CHAPTER 3

METHODS OF MEASURING SAP NITRATE CONCENTRATIONS IN CABBAGES

3.1	INTRODUCTION	41
3.2	OBJECTIVE.....	42
3.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	42
	3.3.1 Soil	42
	3.3.2 Plant Growth.....	42
	3.3.3 Fertilizer Treatments	44
	3.3.4 Plant Harvest.....	45
	3.3.5 Plant Analysis	45
	3.3.5.1 <i>Petiole sap NO₃-N</i>	45
	3.3.5.2 <i>Xylem sap NO₃-N</i>	46
	3.3.5.3 <i>Acetic acid soluble NO₃-N</i>	46
	3.3.5.4 <i>Total N in whole plants</i>	48
	3.3.6 Soil Analysis.....	48
	3.3.7 Statistical Analysis.....	48
3.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	48
	3.4.1 Effect of N on Yield	48
	3.4.2 Effect of N on Total N Uptake	50
	3.4.3 Relationship Between Total Plant N and Plant Sap NO ₃ -N Concentrations and Rate of N Application.....	52
	3.4.3.1 <i>Effect of N on petiole sap NO₃-N</i>	52
	3.4.3.2 <i>Effect of N on xylem sap NO₃-N</i>	57
	3.4.3.3 <i>Effect of N on acetic acid soluble NO₃-N</i>	60
	3.4.3.4 <i>Effect of N on total N</i>	60
	3.4.4 Effect of N on Soil NO ₃ -N and NH ₄ -N.....	62
	3.4.5 Relationships Between the Merck Test Strip and the Standard Laboratory Methods of Measuring NO ₃ -N...	67
	3.4.6 Comparison of the Methods for Xylem Sap Collection....	69
	3.4.7 Relationship Between Plant N Concentrations and Final Yield.....	69
3.5	CONCLUSION.....	73

CHAPTER 4

FACTORS AFFECTING SAP NUTRIENT
CONCENTRATIONS IN CABBAGES

4.1	INTRODUCTION	75
4.2	OBJECTIVE.....	75
4.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	75
4.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	76
4.4.1	Effect of Leaf Position.....	76
4.4.2	Effect of Time of Day.....	79
4.4.3	Effect of Sample Storage Time.....	81
4.4.4	Effect of Plant Age.....	84
4.4.5	Plant to Plant Variability of Petiole Sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$	86
4.5	CONCLUSION.....	87

CHAPTER 5

INFLUENCE OF FERTILIZER FORMS ON SAP NUTRIENT
CONCENTRATIONS AND YIELD OF WINTER CABBAGES

5.1	INTRODUCTION	88
5.2	OBJECTIVES.....	89
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	89
5.3.1	Laboratory Experiment	89
5.3.1.1	Preparation of PAPR	89
5.3.1.2	Preparation of N/PAPR.....	90
5.3.1.3	Moisture content of the fertilizers.....	91
5.3.1.4	Total N and P contents of the fertilizers.....	94
5.3.1.5	Solubility of the fertilizers	94
5.3.2	Glasshouse Experiment (1987)	95
5.3.3	Field Experiment (1988).....	100
5.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	101
5.4.1	Glasshouse Experiment.....	101
5.4.1.1	Effect of N fertilizer form on NO_3^- and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ concentration in xylem sap.....	101
5.4.1.2	Effect of P fertilizer form on NO_3^- and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ concentration in xylem sap.....	104
5.4.1.3	Effect of N fertilizer form on $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ concentration in xylem sap	107
5.4.1.4	Effect of fertilizer form (N/P) on $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ concentration in xylem sap	107
5.4.1.5	Effect of straight N and straight P fertilizer form on dry matter yield.....	109

5.4.1.6	<i>Effect of fertilizer form (N/P) on dry matter yield.....</i>	109
5.4.1.7	<i>Effect of N fertilizer form on soil pH.....</i>	115
5.4.1.8	<i>Extractable NH₄⁺ levels in the soil.....</i>	115
5.4.1.9	<i>Soluble salt concentration in soil extracts.....</i>	120
5.4.2	Field Experiment.....	120
5.4.2.1	<i>Yield, N/P concentrations and N/P uptake.....</i>	123
5.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	125

CHAPTER 6

PREDICTING NITROGEN FERTILIZER REQUIREMENTS OF WINTER CABBAGES BY PLANT (SAP) AND SOIL TESTS

6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	127
6.2	OBJECTIVE.....	128
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	128
6.3.1	Sap Sampling Techniques.....	131
6.3.2	Soil Measurements.....	131
6.3.3	N Depletion Zone Around the Roots of a Cabbage Plant.....	132
6.3.4	Meteorological Data.....	132
6.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	132
6.4.1	Meteorological Conditions.....	132
6.4.2	Effect of N Sidedressing on Xylem Sap NO ₃ -N.....	134
6.4.3	Effect of Sidedressing on Petiole Sap NO ₃ -N.....	134
6.4.4	Soil NO ₃ ⁻ and NH ₄ -N Concentrations.....	137
6.4.5	N Depletion Zone Around the Roots of a Cabbage Plant.....	140
6.4.6	Correlation of Soil and Sap Tests.....	145
6.4.7	Relationships Between Sap N Concentration and Harvestable Fresh Head Yield.....	148
6.4.8	Critical Plant Sap NO ₃ -N Concentrations.....	153
6.4.9	Effect of N Rates on Cabbage Head Yield and N Uptake.....	159
6.4.10	Effect of Sidedressing on Marketable Fresh Head Yield.....	159
6.5	CONCLUSION.....	159

CHAPTER 7

EFFICIENCY OF ^{15}N LABELLED UREA FERTILIZER APPLIED
AS A SIDEDRESSING TO WINTER CABBAGES

7.1	INTRODUCTION	162
7.2	OBJECTIVE.....	162
7.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	162
	7.3.1 Sampling and Analytical Procedures.....	163
	7.3.1.1 <i>Plant</i>	163
	7.3.1.2 <i>Soil</i>	165
	7.3.1.3 <i>Soil solutions</i>	165
	7.3.1.4 ^{15}N analysis.....	165
7.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	166
	7.4.1 Yield, N concentrations and N Uptake	166
	7.4.2 Recovery of Labelled Fertilizer N in the Plant.....	167
	7.4.3 Recovery of Labelled Fertilizer N in the Soil.....	174
	7.4.4 Balance of Applied Labelled Fertilizer N.....	176
	7.4.5 Extrapolation of Results From ^{15}N Plot to the Main Trial.....	180
7.5	CONCLUSION.....	180

CHAPTER 8

MODELLING THE NITROGEN FERTILIZER
REQUIREMENTS OF WINTER CABBAGES

8.1	INTRODUCTION	185
8.2	GENERAL METHODOLOGY.....	185
8.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	186
	8.3.1 The Sidedressing Model.....	186
	8.3.2 Development of the Model	187
	8.3.2.1 <i>Nitrogen demand (N_y, N_h)</i>	187
	8.3.2.2 <i>Nitrogen supply (N_s, N_m)</i>	188
	8.3.2.3 <i>Efficiency of plant uptake (E_s, E_f)</i>	189
	8.3.3 Validation of the Sidedressing Model	189
	8.3.4 Discussion of Validation.....	192
	8.3.5 Possibilities for Improving the Model.....	198
	8.3.5.1 <i>Predicting N_h</i>	198
	8.3.5.2 <i>Predicting N_s</i>	217
8.4	CONCLUSION.....	224

CHAPTER 9

SUMMARY226

BIBLIOGRAPHY231

APPENDICES247

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1	Method of xylem sap collection using filter paper strips.....	47
Figure 3.2	The effect of N application rate on fresh head yield of cabbages at final harvest.....	49
Figure 3.3	The effect of N application rate on total N uptake by cabbages at final harvest.....	52
Figure 3.4	The effect of N application rate on petiole sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration measured by the Merck test strip.....	55
Figure 3.5	The effect of N application rate on petiole sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration measured by the autoanalyser method.....	56
Figure 3.6	The effect of N application rate on xylem sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration measured by the autoanalyser method.....	59
Figure 3.7	The effect of N application rate on acetic acid soluble $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in cabbage tissues.....	61
Figure 3.8	The effect of N application rate on total N contents (roots + shoots) in cabbages.....	63
Figure 3.9	The effect of N application rate on $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration in the soil.....	64
Figure 3.10	The relationship between soil and sap tests.....	65
Figure 3.11	Comparison of (a) $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and (b) $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ concentrations in xylem sap of cabbages collected by different methods.....	70
Figure 4.1	Showing the position of (a) young mature leaf (YML) and (b) wrapper leaf (WL) within a cabbage plant.....	77
Figure 4.2a	The effect of leaf sampling position on the petiole sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration of cabbage at heading (glasshouse experiment).....	78
Figure 4.2b	The effect of leaf sampling position on the petiole sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration of cabbage at heading (field experiment).....	80
Figure 4.3	The effect of time of day on xylem and petiole sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations in cabbages.....	82

Figure 4.4	The effect of sample storage time on xylem sap N and P concentrations in cabbages.....	83
Figure 4.5	The effect of plant age and rates of N application on (a) xylem and (b) petiole sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations in cabbages	85
Figure 5.1a	The effect of N fertilizer form on $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration in xylem sap of cabbages. Rate of N application (N g/pot): N0(0); N1(1); N2(2) and N4(4)	102
Figure 5.1b	The effect of N fertilizer form on $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ concentration in xylem sap of cabbages. Rate of N application (N g/pot):N0(0); N1(1); N2(2); N4(4).....	103
Figure 5.2a	The effect of P fertilizer form on $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration in xylem sap of cabbages. Rate of N/P application (N/P g/pot): N0(0); N2(2); N4(4).....	105
Figure 5.2b	The effect of P fertilizer form on $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ concentration in xylem sap of cabbages Rate of N/P application (N/P g/pot): N0(0); N2(2); N4(4).....	106
Figure 5.3	The effect of N fertilizer form on P concentration in xylem sap of cabbages Rate of N application (N g/pot): N0(0); N1(1); N2(2) and N4(4).....	108
Figure 5.4	The effect of N/P fertilizer form as Urea/PAPR on P concentration in xylem sap of cabbages.....	110
Figure 5.5	The effect of (a) straight N and (b) straight P fertilizer forms on dry matter yield of cabbages	111
Figure 5.6	The effect of N/P fertilizer form as Urea/PAPR on dry matter yield of cabbages	113
Figure 5.7	The effect of N/PAPR and N/MCP fertilizer forms on dry matter yield of cabbages. Rates of P application are given in Table 5.5.....	114
Figure 5.8	The symptoms of NH_4^+ toxicity exhibited by cabbage plants fertilised with AmS/PAPR at 4 and 5 N/P g/pot application rate	119
Figure 5.9	The relationship between specific conductivity and percent yield reduction of cabbages at 40 DAT	122
Figure 6.1	General view of the field experiment.....	129
Figure 6.2	Total monthly rainfall during the conduct of the	

	experiment relative to the 10-year average.....	133
Figure 6.3	NO ₃ -N concentration in xylem sap of cabbages (▼) Indicates time of N fertilizer sidedressing.....	135
Figure 6.4	NO ₃ -N concentration in petiole sap of cabbages. (▼) Indicates time of N fertilizer sidedressing.....	136
Figure 6.5	Petiole sap NO ₃ -N concentration in relation to soil N after N fertilizer sidedressing	138
Figure 6.6a	Soil NO ₃ ⁻ + NH ₄ -N concentrations (0-30 cm depth) at each harvest.....	139
Figure 6.6b	Soil NO ₃ -N concentrations (>30 cm depth) in relation to cumulative drainage.....	141
Figure 6.7a	NO ₃ -N depletion zone around cabbage roots at (a) 20 and (b) 40 DAS	142
Figure 6.7b	NH ₄ -N depletion zone around cabbage roots at (a) 20 and (b) 40 DAS	143
Figure 6.8	Correlation between soil and sap tests.....	144
Figure 6.9a	Harvestable fresh head yield vs xylem sap NO ₃ -N measured at various sampling dates.....	146
Figure 6.9b	Harvestable fresh head yield vs petiole sap NO ₃ -N measured at various sampling dates.....	147
Figure 6.10	The relationship between S and nitrate concentration in (a) xylem and (b) petiole sap	150
Figure 6.11	The effect of N additions on dry matter yield of cabbages.....	154
Figure 6.12	The effect of N additions on harvestable fresh head yield.....	155
Figure 6.13	The effect of N additions on N uptake by cabbages.....	157
Figure 6.14	Percent recovery of fertilizer N	158
Figure 7.1	Showing the microplots used in the ¹⁵ N field experiment	164
Figure 7.2	The effect of rate of N fertilizer sidedressing on total (roots + shoots) dry matter yield of cabbages.....	168

Figure 7.3	Total N concentrations in cabbages.....	170
Figure 7.4	Total N uptake (roots + shoots) by cabbages.....	171
Figure 7.5	Balance of applied labelled fertilizer N in the plant and soil.....	178
Figure 7.6	Daily rain and drainage data after sidedressing ¹⁵ N fertilizer	181
Figure 7.7	NO ₃ -N concentrations in water samples collected at 30 cm depth.....	182
Figure 8.1	The effect of N additions on harvestable fresh head yield of cabbages in the 1989 field trial.....	193
Figure 8.2	The %N in the dry matter yield (above ground parts of cabbages plotted against their dry matter yield measured at intervals during growth on treatments (x) 300 and (+) 0 kg N/ha in the 1988 field trial.....	203
Figure 8.3	Predicted N uptake (N kg/ha) by winter cabbages (above ground parts) against heat units in the (a) 1988 and (b) 1989 field trial.....	209
Figure 8.4	The relationship between B/Bmax and petiole sap NO ₃ -N concentrations at heading in the (a) 1988 and (b) 1988 + 1989 field trials	211
Figure 8.5	The relationship between fresh head yield (t/ha) and N uptake (N kg/ha) by cabbages at final harvest for the 1988 and 1989 field trials (pooled data).....	218
Figure 8.6	Measured and predicted soil N levels (N kg/ha) prior to (+) and at heading (x) in the 1989 field trial.....	220
Figure 8.7	Available N (NO ₃ ⁻ + NH ₄ -N) in the soils (0-30 cm depth) from transplanting to heading in (a) 1988 and (b) 1989 field trial	223

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Nitrogen levels in cabbages as reported in the literature.....	21
Table 3.1	Properties of Manawatu fine sandy loam topsoil used in the glasshouse experiment.....	43
Table 3.2	Total dry matter yield (g/pot) of cabbages at different sampling dates.....	51
Table 3.3	Total N uptake (N g/pot) by cabbages at different sampling dates.....	53
Table 3.4	Correlation between N application rate and sap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ acetic acid soluble $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and total N using a quadratic function (n=32).....	54
Table 3.5	The effect of N fertilizer rates on N concentrations in cabbages at 60 DAT.....	58
Table 3.6	Soil $\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ($\mu\text{g/g}$ soil) after each harvest.....	66
Table 3.7	Linear correlation coefficients (r) between Psap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations determined by the Merck test strip and the autoanalyser method and acetic acid extraction (n=32).....	68
Table 3.8	Linear regression equations showing the relationship between Psap $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations measured by Merck test strip and autoanalyser method (n=32).....	68
Table 3.9	Summary of regression coefficients (R^2) of the predictive equations relating plant N parameters at 60 DAT and final yield of cabbages (n=32).....	72
Table 5.1	Yield weights of the different fertilizer materials.....	92
Table 5.2	Total N(%N) and total P(%P) analyses of the N/PAPR fertilizers (oven-dry basis, 90°C for 24-hr).....	93
Table 5.3	The total P content and the percentage P extracted from different N/PAPR products by water, 2% citric and 2% formic acid.....	96
Table 5.4	Dry matter yield (g/pot) of broccoli from the preliminary glasshouse experiment.....	98
Table 5.5	Forms and rates of N/P; N or P application in the glasshouse experiment.....	99

Table 5.6	Effect of fertilizer forms (N/P) on dry matter yield (g/pot) of cabbages.....	116
Table 5.7	Soil pH at each harvest	117
Table 5.8	Extractable $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ levels ($\mu\text{g/g}$) in the soils and %N concentrations in plants after each harvest.....	118
Table 5.9	Conductivity of saturated soil extracts ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}$) at 40 DAT	121
Table 5.10	The effect of fertilizer form on dry matter yield and fresh head yield (g/plant) of cabbages.	124
Table 5.11	N and P concentrations (%) and N and P uptake (g/plant) by cabbages at final harvest	124
Table 6.1	Some initial properties of Karapoti fine sandy loam topsoil used in the field experiment.....	130
Table 6.2	Cabbage data and simulation results using xylem sap....	151
Table 6.3	Cabbage data and simulation results using petiole sap...152	
Table 7.1a	The effect of rate of N fertilizer sidedressing on harvestable fresh head yield (kg/plant) of cabbages.....	169
Table 7.1b	The effect of rate of N fertilizer sidedressing on total dry matter yield (g/plant) of cabbages.....	169
Table 7.2	Recovery of labelled fertilizer N in the plant.....	173
Table 7.3	Recovery of labelled fertilizer N in the soil.....	175
Table 7.4	Balance of applied labelled fertilizer N in the plant and soil.....	177
Table 7.5	Statistical analysis of fresh head yield and N uptake in the ^{15}N plot (A) and main trial (B).....	183
Table 8.1	Fertilizer N (N_f) sidedressing requirements of winter cabbages in the 1989 field trial.....	191
Table 8.2	Monthly rainfall (mm) and drainage (mm) during the conduct of the field experiments.....	195
Table 8.3	Measured and predicted N_m (N kg/ha) from heading to final harvest in the 1989 field trial.....	195
Table 8.4	$\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) in petiole sap (YML) of winter cabbages at two sampling growth stages in	