

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SOME EFFECTS OF GENOTYPE  
ON THE CONVERSION OF PASTURE  
TO MILK BY FRIESIAN COWS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Animal  
Science at Massey University

by

Christopher Grainger

1982

13320-88

## LIST OF CONTENTS

|  | Page                                       |
|--|--|
| ABSTRACT   | xiii                                       |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   | xvi  |
| CHAPTER ONE  |  |
| A REVIEW OF THE RELATION BETWEEN<br>GENETIC MERIT OF COWS FOR VOLUME<br>OF MILK PRODUCTION AND THEIR<br>GENETIC MERIT FOR EFFICIENCY<br>OF MILK PRODUCTION |  |
| 1.1  | INTRODUCTION 1                             |
| 1.2  | EVIDENCE OF GENETIC IMPROVEMENT 3          |
| 1.3  | CHARACTERISTICS OF THE HIGH YIELDING COW 8 |
|  | 1.3.1 Evidence from energy metabolism 9    |
|  | studies                                    |
|  | 1.3.1.1 Metabolisability 13                |
|  | 1.3.1.2 The intake, efficiency 16          |
|  | of use and partitioning                    |
|  | of metabolisable energy                    |
|  | 1.3.2 Evidence from nutritional studies 21 |
|  | 1.3.2.1 Feed intake and potential 21       |
|  | for lactation                              |
|  | 1.3.2.2 Milk production and live- 23       |
|  | weight change                              |
|  | 1.3.2.3 Stocking rate experiments 27       |
|  | with high and low producing                |
|  | cows                                       |
|  | 1.3.3 Conclusions 31                       |
| 1.4  | GENETIC SELECTION EXPERIMENTS 32           |
|  | 1.4.1 Summary of the main points of 33     |
|  | the review by Freeman (1975)               |
|  | 1.4.1.1 Gross energetic efficiency 33      |
|  | 1.4.1.2 Genetic differences in 34          |
|  | consumption                                |
|  | 1.4.1.3 Correlated responses 34            |

|               | Page  |
|---------------|---|
| 1.4.2         | More recent genetic selection studies 36  |
| 1.4.3         | Genotype by environment interaction 43  |
| 1.4.4         | Conclusions 45  |
| 1.5           | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS 46  |
| 1.5.1         | Response to protein supplementation 46  |
| 1.5.2         | Blood metabolites and hormones 48   |
| 1.6           | CONCLUSIONS 51  |
| 1.7           | PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE INVESTIGATION 52   |
| CHAPTER TWO   |   |
|               | THE PERFORMANCE OF HIGH AND LOW BREEDING INDEX COWS UNDER STALL FEEDING AND GRAZING CONDITIONS 55         |
| 2.1           | EXPERIMENTAL AIMS 55  |
| 2.2           | MATERIALS AND METHODS 55  |
| 2.2.1         | Environment 55  |
| 2.2.2         | Animals and management 55   |
| 2.2.3         | Treatments 57   |
| 2.2.4         | Measurements 58   |
| 2.2.5         | Statistical methods 61  |
| 2.3           | RESULTS 64  |
| 2.3.1         | Milk yield and liveweight changes over the whole lactation 64   |
| 2.3.2         | Feed intakes and feed quality 72  |
| 2.3.3         | Milk production and liveweight changes 75   |
| 2.4           | DISCUSSION 85   |
| 2.5           | CONCLUSIONS 90  |
| CHAPTER THREE |   |
|               | ENERGY AND NITROGEN BALANCE EXPERIMENTS WITH LACTATING AND NON-LACTATING HIGH AND LOW BREEDING INDEX COWS |
| 3.1           | EXPERIMENTAL AIMS 91  |
| 3.2           | MATERIALS AND METHODS 91  |

|         | Page  |     |
|---------|---|-----|
| 3.2.1   | Experiment 1: Energy balances<br>with lactating cows in early and<br>late lactation   | 91  |
| 3.2.2   | Experiment 2: Indoor feeding<br>experiment including energy<br>balances with non-lactating cows<br>at two stages of pregnancy | 93  |
| 3.2.2.1 | Indoor feeding experiment<br>using twelve cows  | 93  |
| 3.2.2.2 | Energy balances using eight<br>cows at two stages of<br>pregnancy during the<br>indoor feeding experiment                     | 94  |
| 3.2.3   | Collection periods  | 95  |
| 3.2.4   | Chemical methods  | 97  |
| 3.2.5   | The calorimeters and their<br>operation   | 99  |
| 3.2.6   | Statistical analysis  | 104 |
| 3.3     | RESULTS   | 109 |
| 3.3.1   | Experiment 1  |     |
| 3.3.1.1 | Animal health   | 109 |
| 3.3.1.2 | Lactation performance   | 109 |
| 3.3.1.3 | Performance of cows<br>during collection periods  | 110 |
| 3.3.2   | Experiment 2  |     |
| 3.3.2.1 | Collection periods  | 131 |
| 3.3.2.2 | Indoor feeding experiment   | 140 |
| 3.4     | DISCUSSION  | 143 |
| 3.4.1   | Energy balance  | 143 |
| 3.4.1.1 | Experiment 1:<br>Lactating cows   | 143 |
| 3.4.1.2 | Experiment 2:<br>Non-lactating cows   | 149 |
| 3.4.2   | Nitrogen balance  | 154 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Experiment 1  | 154 |
| 3.4.2.2 | Experiment 2  | 155 |
| 3.5     | CONCLUSION  | 156 |

## CHAPTER FOUR

THE IMPLICATIONS OF CORRELATED ERRORS  
TO THE ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF  
NUTRITION EXPERIMENTS

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION  | 157 |
| 4.2 | ENERGY BALANCE EXPERIMENTS  | 158 |
|     | 4.2.1 Correlated errors and energy<br>balance experiments   | 158 |
|     | 4.2.2 Implications of correlated errors<br>to the statistical analysis and<br>interpretation of energy balance<br>experiments | 161 |
|     | 4.2.2.1 Energy balance with<br>repeated measurements on<br>the same cow (within-cow)  | 162 |
|     | 4.2.2.2 Energy balance with no<br>repeated measurements on<br>each cow  | 167 |
|     | 4.2.3 Additional considerations   | 168 |
|     | 4.2.3.1 The estimation of the<br>relation between tissue<br>energy balance and gross<br>energy intake                         | 169 |
|     | 4.2.3.2 The statistical procedure<br>when metabolisable energy<br>intake is used as the<br>independent variable               | 170 |
| 4.3 | NUTRITION EXPERIMENTS   | 173 |
|     | 4.3.1 Correlated errors and nutrition<br>experiments  | 173 |
|     | 4.3.2 Implications of correlated errors<br>to the statistical analysis and<br>interpretation of nutrition<br>experiments      | 177 |
| 4.4 | CONCLUSION  | 179 |

|              | Page   |
|--------------|--|
| CHAPTER FIVE |  |
|              | GENERAL DISCUSSION                           |
| 5.1          | INTRODUCTION 180                             |
| 5.2          | SUMMARY AND SYNTHESIS OF THE 180             |
|              | EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS                         |
|              | 5.2.1 Chapter two: Performance of 181        |
|              | H and L cows when grazed and                 |
|              | fed individually in stalls                   |
|              | 5.2.2 Chapter three: Energy and 182          |
|              | nitrogen balance experiments                 |
|              | with lactating and non-lactating             |
|              | H and L breeding index cows                  |
|              | 5.2.3 Overall conclusion 183                 |
| 5.3          | COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS WITH 183  |
|              | OTHER PUBLISHED WORK                         |
|              | 5.3.1 Energy balance experiments 183         |
|              | 5.3.2 Experiments in which animals were 186  |
|              | fed individually in stalls or                |
|              | grazed                                       |
|              | 5.3.2.1 Milk fat production and 186          |
|              | changes in liveweight and                    |
|              | condition score                              |
|              | 5.3.2.2 Marginal and gross 187               |
|              | efficiency of milk fat                       |
|              | production                                   |
| 5.4          | MECHANISMS OF INCREASED PRODUCTION 190       |
| 5.5          | IMPLICATIONS OF RESULTS ON FARM PRODUCTIVITY |
|              | 5.5.1 Efficiency of feed conversion 192      |
|              | to milk fat during lactation                 |
|              | 5.5.2 Efficiency of feed conversion 194      |
|              | to milk fat over 12 months                   |
|              | 5.5.3 Predicted performance of high and 195  |
|              | low breeding index cows on a                 |
|              | hypothetical farm                            |
| 5.6          | FUTURE RESEARCH 196                          |

|            | Page  |
|------------|---|
| APPENDICES |   |
| 2.1        | DETAILS OF THE COWS PURCHASED FOR<br>THE EXPERIMENTS REPORTED IN THIS<br>THESIS, AND THE METHOD OF<br>CALCULATING BREEDING INDEXES 200                                    |
|            | 2.1.1 Details of cows purchased for<br>use in experiments 200   |
|            | 2.1.2 Calculation of breeding indexes 203   |
| 2.2        | EXAMPLES OF THE STATISTICAL ANALYSES<br>USED IN CHAPTER TWO 205   |
|            | 2.2.1 Split-plot analysis examining<br>differences in faecal outputs<br>between genotypes and periods<br>(0-5 and 6-10 days of collection) 205                            |
|            | 2.2.2 Stepwise regression analysis<br>testing differences between<br>genotypes in the relation between<br>metabolisable energy intake and<br>output of energy in milk 206 |
|            | 2.2.3 Multivariate analysis of differences<br>in milk fat yield between genotypes,<br>before, during, and after under-<br>feeding on a within-cow basis 207               |
| 2.3        | CALCULATION OF THE EFFECT OF SOIL INGESTION<br>ON ESTIMATION OF INTAKE FOR EXPERIMENT 3 209   |
| 3.1        | GAS LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY OF FATTY ACIDS<br>OF MILK FAT 212   |
|            | 3.1.1 Analysis of fatty acids 212   |
|            | 3.1.2 Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters 213   |
| 3.2        | CALCULATION OF HEAT PRODUCTION FROM<br>RAW DATA 214   |



|     | Page  |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 3.3 | MEAN VALUES FOR GROSS ENERGY INTAKE,<br>FAECAL, URINARY, METHANE, HEAT AND<br>MILK ENERGIES FOR COLLECTION PERIODS<br>DURING LACTATION AND THE DRY PERIOD<br>FOR HIGH AND LOW BREEDING INDEX COWS                                       | 217 |
|     | 3.3.1 Collection periods during lactation   | 217 |
|     | 3.3.2 Collection periods at two stages<br>of pregnancy; approximately 210<br>and 230 days of pregnancy  | 218 |
|     | 3.3.2.1 At 210 days of pregnancy  | 218 |
|     | 3.3.2.2 At 230 days of pregnancy  | 219 |
| 3.4 | AN EXAMPLE OF THE METHOD OF STATISTICAL<br>ANALYSIS USED IN ANALYSING THE ENERGY<br>BALANCE DATA  | 220 |
| 3.5 | A COMPARISON OF THE RELATION BETWEEN<br>ENERGY RETENTION (MILK PLUS BODY<br>TISSUE) AND METABOLISABLE ENERGY<br>INTAKE OBTAINED IN THE PRESENT STUDY<br>WITH OTHER PUBLISHED DATA FROM<br>EXPERIMENTS WHERE COWS WERE FED<br>ON PASTURE | 224 |
| 3.6 | DETAILS OF THE CALCULATIONS RELATING TO<br>THE ENERGY REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN AND<br>GAIN BODY CONDITION FOR DRY, PREGNANT,<br>DAIRY COWS  | 225 |
|     | 3.6.1 Estimation of energy required to<br>maintain and gain body condition<br>for the 62 day indoor feeding<br>period (12 cows)   | 225 |
|     | 3.6.1.1 Maintenance of body condition   | 225 |
|     | 3.6.1.2 Gain in body condition  | 226 |

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 3.6.2 Estimation of energy required to maintain zero maternal energy retention at two stages of pregnancy (approximately 210 and 230 days of pregnancy) by indirect calorimetry | 226  |
| 3.6.2.1 Period 1  | 226  |
| 3.6.2.2 Period 2  | 226  |
| 3.6.3 Theoretical estimates of the metabolisable energy required to maintain and gain body condition (ARC, 1980)  | 228  |
| 3.6.3.1 Maintenance of zero maternal energy retention   | 228  |
| 3.6.3.2 Gain in body condition  | 229  |
| 3.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF THE FAECES, URINE AND METHANE COMPONENTS OF ENERGY BALANCES CARRIED OUT DURING LACTATION.   | 230  |
| 5.1 CALCULATION OF KG DM EATEN PER KG MILKFAT PRODUCED FOR THE 1979/80 LACTATION.   | 232  |
| REFERENCES  | 235  |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure Number |  | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| 1.1           | Partitioning of feed energy within the animal  | 10   |
| 1.2           | In contrast to total energy balance, milk production is not linear with ME intake but is subject to diminishing returns in that an increasing amount of energy is diverted into body tissue energy at higher feed intakes (from Moe and Tyrrell, 1975) | 17   |
| 1.3           | The response of low and high yielding cows to an additional input of energy  | 25   |
| 2.1           | Mean daily milk fat yield and mean condition scores for ten high (H) and ten low (L) breeding index cows during 1979/80  | 66   |
| 2.2           | Mean daily milk fat yield and mean condition scores for ten high (H) and seven low (L) breeding index cows during 1980/81 (three-year old cows)  | 67   |
| 2.3           | Mean daily milk fat yield, and mean condition scores for six high (H) and seven low (L) breeding index cows during 1980/81 (four-year old cows)  | 68   |
| 3.1           | The urine and faecal harnesses used for total collection of excreta  | 96   |
| 3.2           | Hood used in calorimetry experiments   | 100  |
| 3.3           | Heat energy versus gross energy intake (GEI) for high (H) and low (L) breeding index cows; regression lines (—) with 95% confidence limits for H (----) and L (.....) cows   | 115  |

| Figure Number |   | Page |
|---------------|---|------|
| 3.4           | Heat, milk and body tissue energy productions regressed against predicted metabolisable energy intake ( $\hat{MEI}$ ) for high (○) and low (●) breeding index cows in early lactation when the feeding sequence was <u>ad libitum</u> followed by restricted feeding    | 123  |
| 3.5           | Heat, milk and body tissue energy productions regressed against predicted metabolisable energy intake ( $\hat{MEI}$ ) for high (○) and low (●) breeding index cows in early lactation when the feeding sequence was restricted followed by <u>ad libitum</u> feeding    | 124  |
| 3.6           | Heat, milk and body tissue energy productions regressed against predicted metabolisable energy intake ( $\hat{MEI}$ ) for high (○) and low (●) breeding index cows in late lactation when the feeding sequence was <u>ad libitum</u> followed by restricted feeding     | 125  |
| 3.7           | Percentage by weight of $C_{18:0-1}$ fatty acids and tissue changes for H (□) and L (■) cows at the mean energy intakes during collection periods in the sequence <u>ad libitum</u> ( $AL_1$ ), restricted (R), <u>ad libitum</u> ( $AL_2$ ) feeding in early lactation | 132  |
| 3.8           | Tissue energy retention versus metabolisable energy intake ( $\hat{MEI}$ ) for H(Δ) and L(▲) BI cows at approximately 210 (Period 1) and 230 (Period 2) days of pregnancy   | 137  |

| Figure Number |   | Page |
|---------------|---|------|
| 3.9           | Partitioning of metabolisable energy for H (□) and L (■) BI cows at the mean energy intakes during collection periods in the sequence <u>ad libitum</u> (AL <sub>1</sub> ), restricted (R <sub>1</sub> ), <u>ad libitum</u> (AL <sub>2</sub> ) feeding in early lactation, and <u>ad libitum</u> (AL), restricted (R) feeding in late lactation         | 145  |
| 3.10          | Ratio of milk output (H:L) for the five collection periods during lactation (AL <sub>1</sub> , R <sub>1</sub> , AL <sub>2</sub> , AL, R) in chronological order; unadjusted ratio, ratio after adjusting for differences in intake and tissue changes   | 146  |
| 3.11          | A comparison of the relation between energy retention (milk plus body tissue) and metabolisable energy intake obtained in the present study with other published data from experiments where cows were fed on pasture   | 148  |
| 4.1           | Schematic representation of split-plot error structure. The mean slope of the line for high (H) and low (L) breeding index (BI) cows and individual slopes for two H (H <sub>1</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> ) and two L (L <sub>1</sub> and L <sub>2</sub> ) BI cows are presented. The sub-plot and main-plot errors are labelled for cow H <sub>1</sub> . | 164  |

## ABSTRACT

The New Zealand Dairy Industry has been aiming to bring about genetic improvement of dairy cattle by the use of genetically superior (progeny tested) bulls in the Artificial Breeding Scheme. There is evidence to show that there has been a genetic improvement in the level of milk fat production per cow, but little evidence to show the mechanisms by which the increase has been achieved.

At present the genetic merit of a New Zealand cow for milk or milk fat production is measured by her breeding index (BI). The main objective of the work was to determine the mechanisms whereby cows of high BI produce more milk than cows of low BI.

A total of 40 Friesian cows with high BI (approximately 125) or low BI (approximately 100 which is equivalent to the average cow in 1960) were identified and purchased from New Zealand dairy farmers. Experiments were carried out to determine the performance of high and low BI cows; when grazed as one group; when fed cut pasture individually in stalls at two levels of feeding; and when subjected to complete energy and nitrogen balances whilst lactating and non-lactating.

Over the whole lactation, high BI cows produced more milk fat and gained less liveweight than low BI cows. The difference between BI groups in milk fat production was in close agreement with the expected differences based on BI's. Differences in liveweight changes between genotypes were not measurable in the short term (approximately five weeks) feeding experiments.

One exception was in late lactation when high BI cows partitioned significantly ( $P < 0.10$ ) more metabolisable energy to milk at the expense of body tissue than the low BI cows.

The two genotypes had similar intakes of fresh cut pasture offered ad libitum in stalls. However high BI cows ate, on average, 7% more pasture per unit metabolic liveweight than low BI cows, but the differences between genotypes in intake were significant only in two of the four indoor feeding experiments ( $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.10$ ).

There were no significant differences between BI groups in their ability to metabolise feed energy and in their efficiency of use of metabolisable energy (as measured by heat production at a given energy intake). There was one anomalous result during restricted feeding in early lactation when high BI cows produced less heat ( $P < 0.05$ ) at a common energy intake than low BI cows. Differences in nitrogen balance between genotypes were small and inconsistent.

The feed required to maintain body condition and to promote a gain of body condition during the dry period was similar for both genotypes.

The statistical methods developed in the course of analysing the experimental data were outlined in detail because it was considered that the analyses were more appropriate than those normally used.

It was concluded that high BI cows produced more milk fat because they ate more and partitioned a higher proportion of their metabolisable energy intake to the synthesis of milk rather than to liveweight gain, than the low BI cows.

The implications of the results were considered by making some preliminary predictions about the likely effect of genetic merit on farm productivity.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the following people and organizations:

My supervisors, Dr. A.W.F. Davey, Dr. C.W. Holmes, and Dr. D.D.S. Mackenzie, who were always willing to discuss ideas and who assisted in the conduct of the experiments, and in the writing up of this thesis;

Dr. A.W.F. Davey who had initiated and set in motion the research programme outlined in this thesis, prior to the candidate's arrival at Massey University.

Professor R.J. Townsley who perceived that the normal methods of statistical analysis used in nutritional and energy balance experiments were inappropriate and who spent considerable time developing more appropriate methods of analysis;

Mr. A. Gilmour for providing technical help in analysis of the data;

Dr. C.W. Holmes who designed and supervised the construction of the hoods used in the calorimetry experiments, and with assistance from Mrs. Y. Moore, tested and operated the calorimeters during the calorimetric balance experiments.

To members of the Dairy Husbandry Department, Massey University who contributed ideas and practical assistance;

Mr. J. Raven, Mrs. Y. Moore, Mrs. J. Rumbal and Miss J. Frain for skilled assistance with laboratory analyses and analyses of milk samples.

Messrs B. Parlane and R. McLenaghan for harvesting the pasture, and for assisting with the handling and feeding of the stock;

Messrs A. Lowe, S. Harmer and G. Fowlds for milking and management of the cows;

Mr. J.W. Hughes of the Ruakura Agricultural Research Centre who developed the urine harnesses used in the calorimetric balance experiments.

The New Zealand Dairy Board for identifying the animals and to New Zealand dairy farmers for providing the animals;

Mrs. A. Bull and Mrs. C. Castle for typing this thesis;

The Massey Agricultural Research Foundation, the Town Milk Producers Federation, and the New Zealand University Grants Commission who provided financial assistance for the project;

The Victorian Department of Agriculture who granted me leave with pay, and the Dairy Research Committee who granted me a postgraduate education grant;

This thesis is dedicated to my wife Elizabeth in appreciation for her support and encouragement, and for the creditable way in which she has brought up our young family during the three years of this study.