

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

DEFERRED TAX – The Effect of the
Implementation of NZ IAS 12: *Income
Taxes*

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
Master of Business Studies (Accountancy)
At Massey University, Albany,
New Zealand

Kim Marie Mear
2011

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this thesis is to document the changes to income tax and deferred tax due to the implementation of New Zealand International Financial Reporting Standards (NZ IFRS), particularly New Zealand International Accounting Standard 12: *Income Taxes* (NZ IAS 12).

Motivation: Stent, Bradbury and Hooks (2010) investigate the effect of the implementation of NZ IFRS on assets and liabilities generally. The results indicate that tax assets and tax liabilities increase but an in-depth analysis of income tax and deferred tax changes and the reason for these changes is not provided.

Research Question: What was the impact of changing from Standard Statement of Accounting Practice 12: *Accounting for Income Tax* (SSAP 12) under NZ FRS to NZ IAS 12: *Income Taxes* under NZ IFRS on income tax and deferred tax as recorded in the statement of financial position and notes to the financial statements?

Design/Methodology: Using a sample of entities listed on the New Zealand Stock Exchange (NZX), I analyse the dollar effect, percentage change and direction of change to income tax, deferred tax and net tax assets (liabilities) due to the mandatory change to NZ IAS 12 for the period 2005 to 2008. I analyse the variables that influence the change in income tax and deferred tax due to the implementation of NZ IFRS including users of the partial and comprehensive basis, asset revaluation reserves, unrecognised deferred tax assets, early and late adopters and small and large entities.

Findings: The results indicate that partial basis deferred tax users and those with asset revaluation reserves have larger decreases (increases) in net tax assets (liabilities). Late adopters and smaller companies are also less affected by the implementation of NZ IAS 12 than their counterparts.

Research Limitations: Small sample

Practical Implications: I provide an extensive comparison between SSAP 12 pre NZ IFRS and NZ IAS 12 post NZ IFRS and highlight the differences between the partial basis and comprehensive basis. My results also provide information to the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) who are currently working towards convergence of United States Generally Accepted Accounting Policies (US GAAP) and IFRS.

PREFACE AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I highly appreciate the helpful and beneficial comments of Michael Bradbury, Massey University.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. Introduction	1
2. Literature review	5
3. Background on the adoption of NZ IFRS and the change from SSAP 12 to NZ IAS 12	11
4. Hypothesis development	18
5. Background on income tax legislation	26
6. Sample selection and data	28
7. Results	32
8. Conclusions and limitations	65
9. References	68
10. Appendices	70

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS AND TABLES

Appendices

Appendix A: Example of NZ FRS income tax and deferred tax	70
Appendix B: Example of NZ IFRS income tax and deferred tax	72
Appendix C: Tax terminology	75
Appendix D: Example of reported year	77
Appendix E: Example of restated year	78
Appendix F: Example of NZ IFRS reconciliation	79
Appendix G: Example of reclassification	80
Appendix H: Example of other variances	83

Tables

Table 6.1:	Selection criteria	28
Table 6.2:	Population and sample	29
Table 7.1:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax in the reported year under NZ FRS	33
Table 7.2:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax in the restated year under NZ IFRS	36
Table 7.3:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax for the change from the reported year to the restated year	38
Table 7.4:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS recorded in the IFRS reconciliation in the notes to the financial statements	40
Table 7.5:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS deflated by total assets recorded in the IFRS reconciliation in the notes to the financial statements	44
Table 7.6:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax for the change from the reported year to the restated year not recorded as being due to the implementation of NZ IFRS	46

Table 7.7:	Descriptive statistics of income tax and deferred tax for the change from the reported year to the restated year deflated by total assets not recorded as being due to the implementation of NZ IFRS	48
Table 7.8:	Descriptive statistics of net tax assets for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS deflated by total assets for the observations using the partial basis and comprehensive basis for deferred tax	51
Table 7.9:	Descriptive statistics of net tax assets for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS deflated by total assets for the observations with and without an asset revaluation reserve	54
Table 7.10:	Descriptive statistics of net tax assets for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS deflated by total assets for the observations with and without unrecognised deferred tax assets	56
Table 7.11:	Descriptive statistics of net tax assets tax for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS deflated by total assets for early and late adopters	59
Table 7.12:	Descriptive statistics of net tax assets for the change from NZ FRS to NZ IFRS deflated by total assets for smaller and larger entities	60
Table 7.13:	Correlation matrix	62
Table 7.14:	Results of regression model 1: $NTA_{(t)} = \alpha + NTA_{(t-1)} + \epsilon_{(t)}$	62
Table 7.15:	Results of regression model 2: $NTA_{(t)} = \alpha + NTA_{(t-1)} + P_{(t-1)} + ARR_{(t-1)} + UDTA_{(t-1)} + E_{(t-1)} + L_{(t-1)} + \epsilon_{(t)}$	63