

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

*The impacts of reduced flow on
instream habitat condition and
macroinvertebrate behaviour*

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Ecology
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand**

Alexander Bryan Wilfried James

2008

Abstract

The allocation of water and setting of minimum flows is a contentious issue. Despite this, there is little research into the impacts of reduced stream flows on instream habitat and biota. Previous studies tend to concentrate on fish or macroinvertebrate community structure with few studies investigating the behavioural responses of macroinvertebrates to flow reduction. Therefore the aim of my thesis was to assess the impact of severe flow reduction on habitat condition and macroinvertebrate behaviour (drift and refugia use) using before-after, control-impact (BACI) experimental manipulations in natural (Wairarapa, New Zealand) and artificial stream channels (Canterbury, New Zealand). Instream channels were also used to assess the impact of flow reduction duration and magnitude on macroinvertebrate community structure and vertical distribution in the substrate. Reduced flow tended to decrease depth, velocity, and wetted width and increase fine sediment deposition. However, the common assertion that it would lead to increased temperatures and lowered dissolved oxygen levels was not supported by my results, although this may occur in some streams. I found that severely reduced flow in small streams had minimal effect on water temperature, although it can depress nighttime dissolved oxygen minima. Flow reduction markedly increased the drift propensity of some taxa immediately following flow reduction, before it fell back to near background levels for the rest of the reduced flow period. This increased drift occurred as animals redistributed themselves to more suitable microhabitats within the stream. Additionally, flow reduction reduced the drift distance of animals making it unlikely that drifting would be a viable way of escaping low flow conditions. Flow reduction had no impact on the densities or vertical distribution of animals within the substrate, however, most species were present at all depths sampled in the hyporheic zone, providing a source of colonists should some event (flood or drying) denude the benthos of animals. An instream channel experiment showed that apart from changes to the relative abundances of a few common taxa, flow reduction magnitude (up to 98% reduction) had little impact on the macroinvertebrates of a lowland river. Overall, my results suggested that severe flow reduction stresses a number of taxa, causing them to drift as they redistribute themselves within the stream. I found no evidence that animals actively seek refuge in the hyporheic zone, and in a lowland river, the magnitude and duration of flow reduction had minimal effect on the macroinvertebrate community.

Acknowledgements

I express my appreciation to my main supervisor Russell Death who has provided guidance and encouragement as well as occasional discouragement. I particularly liked Russell's hands-off approach that allowed me to develop my own ideas and organise my own business. I thank Ian Henderson, Mike Joy and the various students who have gone through the 'Stream Team' over the last few years for their advice and critical comment. I also appreciated the help of Massey University Ecology staff, especially Erica Dahya who helped organise various things and Barbara Just who helped me purchase all the equipment I required and was great at organising funding to attend numerous conferences. I am grateful for the Massey University Doctoral Scholarship that supported me for three years although it is a shame these scholarships do not go for four years which is a more realistic timeframe to produce a quality Ph.D. thesis.

I would not have been able to produce this work without the logistical and emotional support of Zoë Dewson who for the last 9 ½ years has shared all aspects of my life including this project. Stanley (English Cocker Spaniel) and Archer (Schnauzer) have provided much amusement and a welcome distraction. They ensure I get up early and many ideas have come to me whilst walking them. My parents, Hertha and Bryan James have provided endless encouragement, financial and logistical support. Zoë's parents have always been encouraging and I thank them for letting me have their daughter.

The Wairarapa flow reduction experiment was made possible by the generosity of landowners at Kiriwhakapapa Stream and Booths Creek who allowed stream manipulations on their property. The Department of Conservation (DOC), especially Lindsay Chadderton arranged funding. Greater Wellington Regional Council (GW) provided financial and technical support by way of providing resource consents and building of diversion weirs. We particularly thank Matt Rowland (GW) for his enthusiasm and efforts in weir construction.

I thank John Richardson and Carin Bondar at the University of British Columbia for logistical support and access to the streamside channels in the Malcolm Knapp Research Forest where I conducted the short-term experiment included in Chapter 3. I thank Alastair Suren of the National Institute of Water and Atmosphere who allowed me to collaborate on the experiment that has formed Chapter 5.

Lastly I thank the numerous anonymous reviewers from journals I have submitted manuscripts to for their comments and suggestions.

Thesis structure and authorship note

This thesis consists of a series of manuscripts each produced for publication in relevant journals. Thus, there is a certain amount of repetition especially in the methods sections. The numbering of figures, tables and photographic plates restarts at the beginning of each chapter. Manuscripts are co-authored to acknowledge the input of others as appropriate. My main thesis supervisor, Russell Death was instrumental in the design and funding of the New Zealand flow reduction experiment and the idea of the Canadian streamside channel experiment originated with him. Russell edited manuscripts and provided guidance on all aspects of my work. Zoë Dewson completed her Ph.D. thesis alongside mine using the same experimental setup (natural channel severe flow reduction) thus she helped with all the fieldwork. She also processed the benthic invertebrate samples while I processed all drift, hyporheic and pool samples. The literature review that forms chapter 1 originated from Russell's idea to combine two separate reviews on macroinvertebrate community (ZD) and individual (AJ) responses to flow reduction into one complete review for publication. Zoë and I had the greatest and equal input into this review. I was responsible for all aspects (design, fieldwork, sample processing, and analysis) of Chapters 2, 3 and 4. Chapter 5 was the result of a collaborative experiment with Alastair Suren of NIWA. Alastair designed and installed the experiment whilst I assisted in the sampling, processed all the samples, conducted all the analyses of biotic data and wrote the manuscript. Alastair did much of the abiotic analysis, wrote some parts of the methods (abiotic measurements), and had editorial input.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Abstract | ii |
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Thesis structure and authorship note | iv |
| General Introduction | 1 |
| Chapter 1 A review of the consequences of reduced flows for habitat condition and macroinvertebrates | 8 |
| Chapter 2 What happens to small stream habitat condition when flow is reduced? Observations from experimental flow reductions and a test of a predictive computer program | 39 |
| Chapter 3 Macroinvertebrate drift in response to reduced flows | 74 |
| A: The effect of experimental flow reductions on macroinvertebrate drift in natural and streamside channels | 75 |
| B: The influence of flow reduction on macroinvertebrate drift propensity and distance in three New Zealand streams | 101 |
| Chapter 4 Do stream macroinvertebrates use instream refugia in response to severe short-term flow reduction in three New Zealand streams? | 126 |
| Chapter 5 The response of macroinvertebrates to a gradient of flow reduction – an instream channel study in a New Zealand lowland river | 163 |
| Synthesis | 199 |
| E-appendices (CD-ROM inside back cover contains raw biotic and abiotic data) | |
| Appendix 1 – Natural channel flow reduction summer 2003-2004 | |
| Appendix 2 – Natural channel flow reduction summer 2004-2005 | |
| Appendix 3 – UBC streamside channel drift experiment | |
| Appendix 4 – NIWA instream channel reduction magnitude experiment | |
| PDF file of published paper derived from Chapter 1 | |
| PDF file of published paper derived from Chapter 3A | |
| PDF file of published paper derived from Chapter 4 | |