

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Epidemiology and management  
of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*  
(Lib.) de Bary in kiwifruit  
(*Actinidia deliciosa* (A. Chev.))

This thesis is presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Plant Science at  
Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.

Stephen Mark Hoyte  
September, 2012 March, 2001

## Abstract

Kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* (A. Chev.) C. F. Liang et A. R. Ferguson, var. *deliciosa* cv. 'Hayward'), a valuable fruit grown in New Zealand orchards for export, is susceptible to the necrotrophic and cosmopolitan fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary. *Sclerotinia* disease causes direct crop loss in the form of diseased fruitlets, scarring of fruit and field rot of fruit. Costs to the industry amounting to an estimated \$5 million pa. are incurred through crop loss on vines or during grading and through the purchase and application of fungicide.

This study showed that 19% (range 0–53%) of 3–4 day-old kiwifruit petals were colonised by *S. sclerotiorum* ascospores arising from apothecia that were present within most orchards. Adhering floral tissues (AFT) were present on 38% of fruit 7–8 weeks after anthesis and 11% (range 0–43%) of these were colonised by *S. sclerotiorum*. The incidence of fruit with scarring was significantly higher on fruit with AFT than fruit without AFT. Removal of AFT 10–14 days after anthesis resulted in a 66% reduction in diseased fruitlets and a 85% reduction in fruit with scarring. Adhering floral tissues on fruit were therefore determined to be a major source of secondary spread.

An *in vitro* assay was developed in which freshly detached kiwifruit petals were inoculated with dry *S. sclerotiorum* ascospores in a settling chamber and incubated for 72 h. The number of discrete colonies which formed on selective agar medium, when macerated petal tissue was spread on the agar, effectively quantified petal colonisation. Colonisation of petals significantly increased with increasing flower age. Colonisation of petals incubated under static conditions within saturated salt chambers was highest between 18–27°C and 90–100% relative humidity. Colonisation of petals in dynamically controlled environment chambers was inhibited by incubation in diurnally fluctuating temperature and relative humidity conditions typical of days with <5 mm rainfall during flowering. The minimum, maximum and optimum temperatures for mycelial growth on PDA and percentage germination of ascospores on water agar (5°C, 34°C and 23°C respectively) were similar to those for the rate of colonisation of petals by ascospores at 100% RH.

Symptoms of diseased fruitlets, fruit scarring and field rot were reproduced in field inoculation experiments, provided free moisture was present for at least 9 h. Sclerotia

were extracted from diseased fruitlets and fruit with field rot from three vines, yielding 2.7 and 18.6 sclerotia per unit respectively. Diseased fruitlets produced significantly smaller sclerotia, which had a higher germination rate and produced smaller apothecia, compared with sclerotia from fruit with field rot. External damage on 39% of sclerotia from fruit with field rot and their closer contact with soil micro-organisms during formation and maturation are likely causes for these observed differences in germination rate. Thus, the type of symptom which develops on pistillate vines can affect the potential for ascospore production during subsequent seasons through the production of ecologically distinct populations of sclerotia.

During field studies in 18 orchards, positive relationships were shown between primary inoculum source (apothecial density) and primary infection (incidence of petal colonisation) and between both these factors and the incidence of diseased fruitlets and fruit with scarring. A conceptual model of inoculum production and disease development was constructed. This model highlights the importance of primary colonisation of floral tissues and showed that disease risk can be estimated from the apothecial density or the colonisation of petals by *S. sclerotiorum*. Model structures have also been developed for predicting disease risk and disease incidence. Disease management strategies are discussed, and if implemented, would rationalise decision processes and potentially reduce the costs of controlling sclerotinia. Decision support software could be developed to incorporate such models once they are validated and combined with further research to determine effective control measures. This software could then be integrated into a sclerotinia management system for kiwifruit in New Zealand.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors and the many friends, colleagues and my family who have given me their support and encouragement throughout this research project and during the compilation of this thesis. Thank you Dr Peter Long (Massey University) for taking on this project and for your advice and assistance from the early planning stages right through to the many valuable comments during the final preparation. Thank you Dr Rob Beresford (MARC, HortResearch) for giving this epidemiology project a home within the Plant Pathogen Ecology and Disease Management Programme and for your sound learned advice and support throughout. Thank you Dr Mark Goodwin (Ruakura, HortResearch) for your vital on-site support, your many questions and encouragement for setting timetables (which I seldom kept to) for reaching the final goal. I am indebted to the New Zealand Kiwifruit Marketing Board (Zespri Group), in particular Bob Martin, for awarding me with their PhD research scholarship that provided my research project with a firm financial base. Many thanks also to HortResearch for the opportunity to further my career and to the Foundation for Science Research and Technology for funding this project.

I especially wish to thank Drs Henry Pak and Phil Elmer for their numerous and invaluable thought provoking discussions over the last four years. There are many who have helped me with practical aspects of my research, for which I am very grateful, Gary Piller, John Meekings, Maree Hart, Maia Melbourne, Rosemary Boyd, and Selwyn Hutchings. Many thanks to my fellow PhiDers: Scrott, Niggel and OllyBali who finished before me and showed me it was all possible. Many thanks to Heather McBrydie for lending so much equipment. Thanks to Sergid for all his welcome distracting emails. Also, thanks to Dave Morgan of University of California for supplying fresh kiwifruit flowers. I am very thankful for the enduring assistance that Barbara Dow has given me for statistical analyses. Thank you Tony Balony for your most valuable last minute proofing and comments. Thanks Mum for your never ending support and belief that I could do it, and for buying me a home computer.

To my partner, Maree, I wish to thank you for the fun times we've had and your endless support you have so freely given to me, I love you (*I luv u 2*). A special HI to my son Jack, born 3-3-00, who has been such a big part of the latter stages in preparing this thesis, you are a wonderful addition to my life.

# Table of Contents

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>II</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>IV</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>TABLE OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>TABLE OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>XII</b>
<b>TABLE OF PLATES</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 KIWIFRUIT IN NEW ZEALAND .....	1
1.2 <i>SCLEROTINIA SCLEROTIUM</i> .....	6
1.3 SCLEROTINIA DISEASE EPIDEMIOLOGY .....	9
1.4 SCLEROTINIA DISEASE OF KIWIFRUIT .....	10
1.5 ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE OF FRUIT LOSS .....	12
1.6 MANAGEMENT OF SCLEROTINIA DISEASE IN KIWIFRUIT .....	14
1.7 THESIS OBJECTIVES .....	16
1.8 THESIS OUTLINE .....	16
<b>CHAPTER 2: THE DISEASE CYCLE - SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS</b> .....	<b>18</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	18
2.2 OBJECTIVES .....	18
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	19
2.2.1 <i>Identity of apothecia</i> .....	19
2.2.2 <i>Disease signs and symptoms</i> .....	19
2.2.3 <i>Recovery of S. sclerotiorum from floral tissues</i> .....	20
2.3 RESULTS .....	21
2.3.1 <i>Observations and identity of apothecia</i> .....	21
2.3.2 <i>Descriptions of disease</i> .....	23
2.3.2.1 <i>Disease of flowers and fruitlets</i> .....	23
2.3.2.2 <i>Disease of leaves, shoots and canes</i> .....	25
2.3.2.3 <i>Diseased fruit</i> .....	26
2.3.2.4 <i>Other sclerotinia like symptoms</i> .....	30
2.3.3 <i>Recovery of S. sclerotiorum from floral tissues</i> .....	31
2.4 DISCUSSION .....	33
<b>CHAPTER 3: THE ROLE OF ADHERING FLORAL TISSUES IN DISEASE PROGRESS</b> .....	<b>38</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	38
3.2 OBJECTIVES .....	38
3.3 SURVEY OF ADHERING FLORAL TISSUES ON FRUIT .....	39
3.3.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	39
3.3.2 <i>Results</i> .....	40
3.4 SURVEY OF FRUIT SCARRING ON FRUIT WITH AND WITHOUT ADHERING FLORAL TISSUES .....	42
3.4.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	42
3.4.2 <i>Results</i> .....	42
3.5 INCIDENCE OF FUNGI FROM FIELD COLLECTED PETALS AND ADHERING FLORAL TISSUES .....	44
3.5.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	44
3.5.2 <i>Results</i> .....	45
3.6 EXPERIMENT 3-1: EFFECTS OF REMOVING ADHERING FLORAL TISSUES ON DISEASE INCIDENCE .....	46
3.6.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	46
3.6.2 <i>Results</i> .....	47
3.7 EXPERIMENT 3-2: EFFECTS OF INOCULUM TYPE AND MISTING ON RETENTION OF PETALS AND INCIDENCE OF DISEASED FRUITLETS .....	48
3.7.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	48
3.7.2 <i>Results</i> .....	50
3.8 EXPERIMENT 3-3: SURVIVAL OF <i>S. SCLEROTIUM</i> WITHIN PETALS .....	52
3.8.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	52
3.8.2 <i>Results</i> .....	53

3.9 DISCUSSION .....	54
<b>CHAPTER 4: INOCULATION OF PETALS AND QUANTIFICATION OF COLONISATION BY <i>S. SCLEROTIORUM</i> (IN VITRO) .....</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1 INTRODUCTION .....	59
4.1.1 <i>Inoculation techniques</i> .....	59
4.1.2 <i>Quantification of tissue colonisation</i> .....	61
4.2 OBJECTIVES .....	62
4.3 GENERAL METHODS .....	62
4.3.1 <i>Source of petals</i> .....	62
4.3.2 <i>Inoculation method</i> .....	63
4.3.3 <i>Petal handling</i> .....	65
4.3.4 <i>Determination of colony forming units from petals</i> .....	66
4.4 EXPERIMENT 4-1: ASCOSPORE DISTRIBUTION WITHIN THE BURKARD SETTLING CHAMBER .....	68
4.4.1 <i>Objective</i> .....	68
4.4.2 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	68
4.4.3 <i>Results</i> .....	70
4.4.4 <i>Discussion</i> .....	70
4.5 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF INCUBATION CHAMBERS FOR DETACHED KIWIFRUIT PETALS .....	71
4.5.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....	71
4.5.2 <i>Saturated salt solution chambers</i> .....	72
4.5.3 <i>Waterbath chambers</i> .....	73
4.5.3.1 <i>Design principle</i> .....	73
4.5.3.2 <i>Design and construction</i> .....	74
4.5.3.3 <i>Control system</i> .....	77
4.5.3.4 <i>Performance testing of environmental conditions</i> .....	78
4.5.4 <i>Discussion</i> .....	79
4.6 EXPERIMENT 4-2: EVALUATION OF SURFACE-STERILISATION OF KIWIFRUIT PETALS .....	80
4.6.1 <i>Objective</i> .....	80
4.6.2 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	80
4.6.3 <i>Results</i> .....	81
4.6.4 <i>Discussion</i> .....	82
4.7 CHAPTER DISCUSSION .....	82
<b>CHAPTER 5: FACTORS AFFECTING COLONISATION OF DETACHED PETALS BY ASCOSPORES (IN VITRO) .....</b>	<b>84</b>
5.1 INTRODUCTION .....	84
5.2 EVALUATION OF THE DETACHED PETAL ASSAY .....	84
5.2.1 <i>Objectives</i> .....	84
5.2.2 <i>Experiment 5-1: Effect of incubation temperature and time on petal colonisation</i> .....	85
5.2.2.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	85
5.2.2.2 <i>Results</i> .....	85
5.2.3 <i>Experiment 5-2: Effect of inoculum density on lesion numbers and colony forming units</i> ....	87
5.2.3.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	87
5.2.3.2 <i>Results</i> .....	88
5.2.4 <i>Experiment 5-3: Relationship between lesion numbers, lesion area and colony forming units</i> .....	89
5.2.4.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	89
5.2.4.2 <i>Results</i> .....	90
5.2.5 <i>Discussion</i> .....	91
5.3 EFFECT OF FLOWER AGE ON COLONISATION OF PETALS .....	93
5.3.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....	93
5.3.2 <i>Objectives</i> .....	94
5.3.3 <i>Experiment 5-4: Incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> in petals from different aged flowers</i> .....	94
5.3.3.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	94
5.3.3.1 <i>Results</i> .....	95
5.3.4 <i>Experiment 5-5: Effect of flower age on colonisation of petals by ascospores</i> .....	95
5.3.4.1 <i>Materials and methods</i> .....	95
5.3.4.2 <i>Results</i> .....	96
5.3.5 <i>Discussion</i> .....	98
5.4 EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON <i>S. SCLEROTIORUM</i> ASCOSPORE GERMINATION AND MYCELIAL GROWTH RATE (IN VITRO) .....	101
5.4.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....	101

5.4.2 Objectives .....	101
5.4.3 Experiment 5-6: Effect of temperature on <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospore germination.....	101
5.4.3.1 Materials and methods .....	101
5.4.3.2 Results.....	102
5.4.4 Experiment 5-7: Effect of temperature on mycelial growth rate of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> on PDA and lesion expansion on petals .....	103
5.4.4.1 Materials and methods .....	103
5.4.4.2 Results.....	104
5.4.5 Discussion.....	106
5.5 EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY ON COLONISATION OF PETALS.....	107
5.5.1 Introduction .....	107
5.5.2 Objectives .....	109
5.5.3 Experiment 5-8: Effect of temperature on colonisation of petals .....	109
5.5.3.1 Materials and methods .....	109
5.5.3.2 Results.....	110
5.5.4 Experiment 5-9: Effect of temperature and relative humidity on colonisation of petals .....	112
5.5.4.1 Materials and methods .....	112
5.5.4.2 Results.....	114
5.5.5 Experiment 5-10: Effect of fluctuating temperature and relative humidity on colonisation of petals .....	116
5.5.5.1 Materials and methods .....	116
5.5.5.2 Results.....	118
5.5.6 Experiment 5-11: Effect of duration of fluctuating temperature and relative humidity on colonisation of petals.....	120
5.5.6.1 Materials and methods .....	120
5.5.6.2 Results.....	121
5.5.7 Psychrometric summary .....	122
5.5.8 Discussion.....	125
5.6 CHAPTER DISCUSSION .....	127
<b>CHAPTER 6: EFFECT OF MISTING DURATION ON FLOWER AND FRUIT DISEASE (IN VIVO).....</b>	<b>130</b>
6.1 INTRODUCTION .....	130
6.2 OBJECTIVES .....	131
6.3 EXPERIMENT 6-1: EFFECTS OF INOCULUM TYPE, FLOWER AGE AND MISTING DURATION ON THE INCIDENCE OF DISEASED FRUITLETS .....	131
6.3.1 Materials and methods .....	131
6.3.2 Statistical analysis .....	134
6.3.3 Results.....	134
6.4 EXPERIMENT 6-2: EFFECTS OF INOCULUM SOURCE AND MISTING ON SEVERITY OF FRUIT SCARRING.....	135
6.4.1 Materials and methods .....	135
6.4.2 Results.....	136
6.5 EXPERIMENT 6-3: EFFECT OF MISTING DURATION ON FRUIT DISEASE IN DECEMBER.....	138
6.5.1 Materials and methods .....	138
6.5.2 Results.....	138
6.6 EXPERIMENT 6-4: EFFECT OF MISTING DURATION ON FRUIT DISEASE IN JANUARY .....	139
6.6.1 Materials and methods .....	139
6.6.2 Results.....	140
6.7 EXPERIMENTS 6-5 AND 6-6: EFFECTS OF TYPE AND SIZE OF INOCULUM ON FRUIT DISEASE IN JANUARY AND FEBRUARY.....	142
6.7.1 Materials and methods .....	142
6.7.2 Results.....	143
6.8 DISCUSSION .....	144
6.8.1 Diseased fruitlets.....	145
6.8.2 Scarring and field rot .....	146
<b>CHAPTER 7: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN INOCULUM AND DISEASE INCIDENCE .....</b>	<b>148</b>
7.1 INTRODUCTION .....	148
7.2 OBJECTIVES .....	150
7.3 FACTORS AFFECTING INOCULUM PRODUCTION .....	150
7.3.1 Production of sclerotia from diseased fruitlets and fruit.....	150
7.3.1.1 Materials and methods .....	150



7.3.1.2 Results.....	151
7.3.2 <i>Effects of size and source of sclerotia on apothecial production</i> .....	153
7.3.2.1 Materials and methods .....	153
7.3.2.2 Results.....	154
7.3.3 <i>Discussion</i> .....	157
7.4 RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN INOCULUM AND DISEASE INCIDENCE.....	161
7.4.1 <i>1996/97 season</i> .....	161
7.4.1.1 Materials and methods .....	161
7.4.1.2 Results.....	163
7.4.2 <i>1998/99 season</i> .....	166
7.4.2.1 Materials and methods .....	166
7.4.2.2 Results.....	167
7.4.3 <i>Discussion</i> .....	171
7.5 CHAPTER DISCUSSION .....	177
<b>CHAPTER 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>178</b>
8.1 INTRODUCTION .....	178
8.2 CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF SCLEROTINIA DISEASE IN KIWIFRUIT .....	183
8.3 DISEASE PREDICTION .....	185
8.4 MANAGEMENT OF SCLEROTINIA .....	189
8.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	193
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1: KIWIFRUIT ORCHARDS USED IN THIS STUDY.....</b>	<b>214</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2: SCLEROTIA AND ASCOSPORE PRODUCTION FROM <i>S. SCLEROTIUM</i></b>	
<b>ISOLATE SC1.....</b>	<b>216</b>
2.1 <i>Sclerotia production</i> .....	216
2.2 <i>Apothecia formation</i> .....	217
2.3 <i>Ascospore collection and storage</i> .....	218
2.4 <i>Density of ascospores on membrane filter discs</i> .....	219
<b>APPENDIX 3: <i>SCLEROTINIA SCLEROTIUM</i> SELECTIVE MEDIUM</b>	
<b>(JOHN KNIGHT'S).....</b>	<b>220</b>
3.1 <i>Recipe</i> .....	220
3.2 <i>Colony characteristics</i> .....	221
<b>APPENDIX 4: TEMPERATURE AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY WITHIN WATER CHAMBERS</b>	
<b>DURING DIURNALLY FLUCTUATING CONDITIONS.....</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>APPENDIX 5: CURVE FITTING RESULTS FOR THE THERMODYNAMIC GROWTH</b>	
<b>RATE MODEL.....</b>	<b>224</b>
<b>APPENDIX 6: PUBLICATIONS DURING THESIS .....</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>APPENDIX 7: CD-ROM ELECTRONIC COPY OF THESIS, CR10 DATALOGGER</b>	
<b>PROGRAMME, CONFERENCE PAPERS AND POWERPOINT PRESENTATIONS .....</b>	<b>227</b>

## Table of figures

Figure 1.1	Longitudinal section of (A) pistillate flower and (B) staminate flower. (Redrawn after McGregor (1976)).	5
Figure 2.1	Mean percentage of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> recovered from petals and stamens (n=100) and other floral tissues (n = 50) dissected from 3–4 day-old flowers in two orchards during November 1996.	32
Figure 2.2	Mean percentage of fungi recovered from floral tissues dissected from 3–4 day-old flowers in two orchards during November 1996.	32
Figure 3.1	Mean percentage of fruit with different categories of adhering floral tissues (AFT) from two orchards during an 8 week period post-flowering (n = 800, max SE $\pm$ 3.6%).	41
Figure 3.2	Percentage of fruit with different categories of adhering floral tissues (AFT) from 10 orchards sampled once, 7 to 8 weeks after flowering (n = 200), whiskers show 5% and 95% percentiles, horizontal line the median and (●) are outliers.	41
Figure 3.3	Mean percentage of scarred fruit with different categories of adhering petals and stamens from 11 orchards (n = 519 fruit) assessed during the 1996/97 season, (* = stamens clustered beneath adhering petals).	44
Figure 3.4	A and B. Mean incidence of fungi on PDA isolated from samples of (A) petals during flowering, November–December 1996 and (B) adhering floral tissues on fruit during January 1997, from 12 orchards.	46
Figure 3.5	Mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> recovered from petals colonised by <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and suspended on plastic boards in ‘exposed’ and ‘shaded’ positions within T-bar kiwifruit vines.	54
Figure 4.1	Burkard high throughput ‘Jet’ sampler with modified intake used for inoculation of detached kiwifruit petals with dry <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores, referred to as the ‘Burkard settling chamber’.	65
Figure 4.2	Micro-tube holder: kiwifruit petal supported within a micro-tube by means of a cotton wool bung and parafilm.	66
Figure 4.3	Position and numbering of glass cover slips placed on Corflute disc for evaluating ascospore distribution within the Burkard settling chamber.	69
Figure 4.4	Schematic diagram of controlled environment chambers constructed within two large waterbaths, (.....▶ = direction of air flow).	75
Figure 4.5	Schematic diagram of an individual controlled environment incubation chamber in waterbath 2, showing air flow (.....▶), position of petals in micro-tubes and wet- and dry-bulb thermistors.	76
Figure 4.6	Mean colony forming units (cfu)/petal (log scale) following a range of surface-sterilisation treatments, including sodium hypochlorite (NaClO), acetic acid (AA), Tween80 (Tw) and ethanol (EtOH), rinsing in sterile distilled water (SDW) and un-treated controls.	82
Figure 5.1	Mean percentage area of lesions (angular transformed scale) from petals incubated at 15, 20 and 25°C and sampled 15–72 h after inoculation with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores from 16 grids of membrane filter disc.	86
Figure 5.2	Mean colony forming units (cfu)/petal (log scale) from petals incubated at 15, 20 and 25°C and sampled 15–72 h after inoculation with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores from 16 grids of membrane filter disc using the Burkard settling chamber.	87
Figure 5.3	Relationship between the mean number of lesions on petals after 30 h and the number of grids of membrane filter disc used for inoculation.	88
Figure 5.4	Relationship between the mean number of colony forming units (cfu)/petal (log scale) after 72 h and the number of grids of membrane filter disc used for inoculation.	89

Figure 5.5	Relationship between the number of lesions/petal 25 h and 48 h after inoculation and the percentage area of lesions after 48 h, for 20 petals inoculated in the Burkard settling chamber with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores from four grids of membrane filter disc. ....	90
Figure 5.6	Relationship between the number of lesions/petal after 25 h and the mean number of colony forming units (cfu)/petal (log scale) after 48 h for 20 petals inoculated in the Burkard settling chamber. ....	91
Figure 5.7	Mean percentage recovery of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> from petals sampled from flowers of various ages (days from anthesis) and from petals with visible soft rot (■), collected from orchard 23 on 19 November 1998. ....	95
Figure 5.8	Relationship between flower age and mean percentage area of lesions on petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and on un-inoculated (control) petals, sampled from 1–6 day-old pistillate flowers. ....	97
Figure 5.9	Relationship between flower age and mean number of colony forming units (cfu)/petal of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> and <i>B. cinerea</i> (log scale) on petals inoculated with ascospores of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> (Inoc) and on un-inoculated (control) petals from 1–6 day-old pistillate flowers. ....	98
Figure 5.10	Mean percentage germination of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores on water agar at temperatures between 3 and 34°C after 3, 9 and 24 h incubation in the dark. ....	102
Figure 5.11	Mean mycelial growth rate of isolate Sc1 at a range of temperatures (5–34°C) and 13 isolates of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> (box plot) at three temperatures (15, 18 and 20°C), 17–53 h after inoculation on PDA. ....	105
Figure 5.12	Relative position of 10 inoculated petals (shaded and numbered according to inoculation batch) and three un-inoculated petals within incubation chambers for Experiment 5-8, and groupings (dotted lines) of petals used for determining colony forming units. ....	110
Figure 5.13	Relationship between temperature and mean percentage area of lesions on petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores (Inoculated) and on un-inoculated petals (Control), incubated for 80 h. ....	111
Figure 5.14	Relationship between temperature and the mean number of colony forming units (cfu/petal) of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> and <i>B. cinerea</i> recovered from petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores (Inoculated) and from un-inoculated petals (Control), incubated for 80 h. ....	112
Figure 5.15	A and B. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on percentage area of lesions on petals (A) inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and (B) un-inoculated petals (control), incubated for 72 h. ....	115
Figure 5.16	A and B. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on the number of colony forming units (cfu)/petal (log scale) from (A) petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and (B) from un-inoculated petals (control), incubated for 72 h. ....	115
Figure 5.17	Mean percentage area of lesions on petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and incubated at 20°C for 72 h at 98% RH and 85% RH in saturated salt chambers (static), 97% RH, 85% RH, fluctuating RH (70–100%), and fluctuating RH (70–100%) and temperature (10–20°C) in waterbath chambers. ....	119
Figure 5.18	Mean cfu/petal of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> and <i>B. cinerea</i> (log scale) on petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and incubated at 20°C for 72 h at 98% RH and 85% RH in salt chambers (static), 97% RH, 85% RH, fluctuating RH (70–100%), and fluctuating RH (70–100%) and temperature (10–20°C) in waterbath chambers. ....	119
Figure 5.19	Psychrometric points for treatments from Experiments 5-8 and 5-9 and mean 24-hourly psychrometric plot for waterbath chambers during treatments 3–6 in Experiment 5-10, colour coded as a percentage of the maximum log(cfu/petal) for each experiment. ....	123
Figure 5.20	Mean 24-hourly psychrometric plots within the waterbath chambers under fluctuating temperature and relative humidity and for data from the Te Puke Research Orchard weather station during October–December 1996 and during November–December 1996–1999 and January–February 1997–2000, each split into days with ≥5 mm rainfall/day and days with <5 mm rainfall/day. ....	124

Figure 6.1	Diagram of a two-vine plot with four half vine sub-plots (North, East, West and South), one buffer vine and the misting system, used for experiments in Chapter 6.....	132
Figure 6.2	A and B. The effect of misting for 9–120 h on (A) mean incidence of scarring and field rot symptoms and (B) mean area of scarring and field rot on fruit, compared with fruit under Ambient conditions and within a plastic Tent, 5 days after colonised petals were attached to the side of fruit with elastic ties .....	141
Figure 6.3	A and B. The effect of misting for 9–120 h on (A) mean incidence of scarring symptoms and field rot on the ground and (B) mean area of scarring on fruit, compared with fruit under Ambient conditions and within a plastic Tent, 14 days after colonised petals were attached to the side of fruit with elastic ties .....	141
Figure 7.1	Relationship between the number of sclerotia extracted and length of diseased fruitlets (random sub-set of 100) and fruit with field rot (n = 230) collected from three vines at orchard 22 .....	152
Figure 7.2	Mean percentage of sclerotia within various size categories determined by passing sclerotia through 1.0, 2.0, 3.5, and 5.0 mm sieves, collected from diseased fruitlets and fruit with field rot from three vines at orchard 22.....	153
Figure 7.3	Number of mature apothecia present within 12 plots of sclerotia collected from diseased fruitlets, fruit with field rot and grown on autoclaved (A/c) wheat (96 sclerotia/category), and graded with 5, 3.5, 2 and 1 mm sieves and buried on 13 June 1992. ....	156
Figure 7.4	Daily rainfall and soil temperature at 10 cm depth (9 a.m. readings) at Te Puke Research Orchard from 1 October 1992–6 January 1993.....	156
Figure 7.5	Relationship between the mean percentage of JK Petri dishes with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> colonies and mean apothecial density, during flowering in 11 orchards during 1996.....	164
Figure 7.6	A and B. Relationships between the mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within petals and (A) mean apothecial density (square root transformed scale) and (B) the mean percentage of JK Petri dishes with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> colonies during flowering, in 11 orchards during 1996....	164
Figure 7.7	Relationship between the mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within adhering floral tissues (AFT) and within petals in 11 orchards during 1996. ....	165
Figure 7.8	A and B. Relationships between (A) the mean incidence of diseased fruitlets and the mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within petals and (B) the mean incidence of scarring on fruit and the incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within adhering floral tissues (AFT) on fruit, in 11 orchards with and without fungicide applications during 1996/97. ....	166
Figure 7.9	Mean apothecial density determined in two blocks from orchard 2, 12, 13, and 22 by sampling two 0.25m <sup>2</sup> quadrats beneath 10 pistillate and 5 staminate vines, and daily rainfall (■) from 1 October to 15 December 1998 from meteorological site within 15 km. ....	168
Figure 7.10	Relationship between the mean apothecial density (square root transformed scales) beneath staminate vines (40 quadrats) and pistillate vines (80 quadrats) within 36 orchard blocks, mean of two assessments, during 1998 .....	170
Figure 7.11	A and B. Relationship between (A) the mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within petals sampled from staminate and pistillate vines and (B) the mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within petals and mean apothecial density during 1998.....	170
Figure 7.12	Relationship between the mean incidence of disease and the mean incidence of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> within petals in orchard blocks with and without fungicide applications, during 1998/99.....	171
Figure 8.1	Conceptual model of inoculum production and disease development of <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> in kiwifruit .....	184
Figure 8.2	Structure of a systems analysis model of disease risk of sclerotinia in kiwifruit constructed using Ithink modelling software.....	187
Figure 8.3	Structure of a systems analysis model of disease incidence of sclerotinia in kiwifruit constructed using Ithink modelling software. ....	189
Figure 8.4	Structure of a systems analysis model of a sclerotinia management system for kiwifruit constructed using Ithink modelling software. ....	193

## Table of tables

Table 3.1	Mean incidence and area of scarring symptoms and percentage reject fruit, from samples of fruit with adhering floral tissues (AFT) and without adhering floral tissues, from two Waikato orchards on 7 January 1997. ....	43
Table 3.2	Mean percentage of diseased fruitlets, fruit with scarring and mean area of scarring from fruit with no natural adhering floral tissues (AFT), fruit with removed adhering floral tissues and fruit with retained adhering floral tissues. ....	48
Table 3.3	Retention of petals and incidence of diseased fruitlets from flowers (n=100) inoculated with an agar plug containing <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> (misted with SDW and bagged for 48 h) or by dry brushing with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores, with and without misting and bagging. ....	50
Table 3.4	Retention of petals and incidence of diseased fruitlets on flowers (n = 50) inoculated with an agar plug containing <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> , a sterile agar plug, and by dry brushing with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores. All flowers were misted with SDW and bagged for 62 h. ....	52
Table 5.1	Set temperature, mean temperature and mean relative humidity, within sterile distilled water and saturated salt chambers during Experiment 5-9. ....	113
Table 5.2	Set points, mean, standard deviation and range of temperature and relative humidity conditions for treatments 1–6 during the first run of Experiment 5-10. ....	117
Table 5.3	Effect of incubation time within waterbath chambers under fluctuating temperature and RH conditions on the percentage area of lesions and log(cfu/petal + 20) for petals inoculated with <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> ascospores and incubated for 72 h. ....	121
Table 6.1	Effects of flower age and misting on the mean percentage incidence of diseased fruitlets 26 days after flower petals were inoculated with agar plugs of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> culture or with dry ascospores. ....	135
Table 6.2	Mean percent incidence of brown speckling and scarring symptoms on fruit 5 and 10 weeks after ‘field’ collected and ‘colonised’ petals were attached to fruit 1–2 weeks after anthesis. ....	137
Table 6.3	Mean area of scarring per fruit, of those with scarring, 5 and 10 weeks after ‘field’ collected petals and ‘colonised’ petals were attached to fruit 1–2 weeks after anthesis. ....	137
Table 7.1	Total number of sclerotia extracted from diseased fruitlets and fruit with field rot, collected from three kiwifruit vines at orchard 22, and mean number of sclerotia, percentage of sclerotia extracted from external surfaces, and percentage of external sclerotia with damage marks. ....	151
Table 7.2	Source and size categories of sclerotia used for burial trial at orchard 7. ....	154
Table 7.3	Mean percent germination, number of apothecia/sclerotium (of those that germinated) and mean apothecial diameter, from sclerotia of various sizes from diseased fruitlets, fruit with field rot and autoclaved (A/c) wheat, buried at orchard 7 on 13 June 1992 and monitored from 20 October to 6 January 1993. ....	155
Table 7.4	Apothecial density (per m <sup>-2</sup> ) from two assessments beneath 10 pistillate vines (40 quadrats) and 5 staminate vines (20 quadrats) in each of two blocks from 18 orchards, during 1998. ....	169

## Table of plates

Plate 2.1	Group of ca. 45 apothecia of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> beneath a pistillate kiwifruit vine at orchard 22..	22
Plate 2.2	Three apothecia emerging from the remains of a fruit with field rot that had formed sclerotia internally during the previous season. ....	22
Plate 2.3	Culture of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> grown on PDA, isolated from two kiwifruit petals, showing white mycelium and black sclerotia near colony margin. ....	23
Plate 2.4	A and B. Soft, limp kiwifruit petals with a water-soaked appearance on (A) pistillate flowers and (B) staminate flowers. ....	24
Plate 2.5	Secondary spread of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> from a diseased sepal that has over-extended and made close contact with the base of the pedicel, causing pedicel blight. ....	24
Plate 2.6	Three healthy fruitlets (right) 10 days after anthesis and a diseased fruitlet with adhering petal (A) and stamens, note the brown and slightly shrivelled lesion on the lower half of the pedicel (B). The colour of healthy fruit is variable, including the red/brown and green shown here. ....	25
Plate 2.7	A and B. (A) Necrotic lesion on kiwifruit leaf with adhering diseased fruitlet on the abaxial surface, (B) kiwifruit leaf collected from the ground, colonised by <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> , mature sclerotia (MS) and sclerotial initial (SI). ....	26
Plate 2.8	A and B. (A) Superficial scarring lesion on fruit with a corky appearance to the skin and (B) severe scarring lesion on fruit penetrating into pericarp. ....	27
Plate 2.9	A and B. (A) Adhering floral tissues on healthy 3–4 week old fruit and (B) large field rot lesion developing on a fruit that has contacted an adjacent diseased fruit. ....	28
Plate 2.10	Healthy fruit (left) and sclerotinia field rot on 3 cm long fruit (cross-section on right). ....	28
Plate 2.11	A and B. (A) Fruit with early stages of infection showing white mycelium between adhering floral tissues and fruit surface, ‘brown speckle’ symptom (arrow) and (B) field rot lesion on fruit directly beneath adhering floral tissues, note exudation droplet and pale green lesion margin. ....	29
Plate 2.12	Dried and shrivelled remains of a fruit with field rot and many black sclerotia. ....	30
Plate 2.13	Kiwifruit flower with bacterial blossom blight symptoms, showing browning and stunting of stamens and pistils. ....	31
Plate 4.1	A and B. Colonies of <i>S. sclerotiorum</i> formed on John Knight’s selective medium (Appendix 3) after 2–3 weeks incubation, (A) is top view and (B) is underside view of two JK Petri dishes. Colony counts are 17 and 10 for the upper and 77 and 93 for the lower Petri dish, respectively. ....	68