

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Integrative medicine: A contested practice

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Psychology

at Massey University, Albany,  
New Zealand

Helen Madden

2012

# Abstract

In contemporary Western society, the healthcare arena has become increasingly technology-based, rationalised and specialised with alternative forms of medicine being taken up alongside orthodox medicine. This shifting healthcare terrain has brought forth challenges to practitioners' and patients' identity and to the practitioner-patient relationship. Today, the expertise and autonomy underpinning general practitioners' professional identity has been challenged. In parallel, patients have become reconceptualised from subjects in need of medical expertise, to 'informed' and 'active' health consumers. Within this fluid healthcare arena integrative medicine (IM) is emerging, a practice which amalgamates orthodox medicine with forms of alternative medicine. This emerging form of healthcare produces a number of paradoxes. These occur around the paradigm clash between treatment philosophies, issues of professionalism and the standardisation of treatments, power relationships and debates around dominance and subordination, and issues relating to patient autonomy and treatment responsibility. A discursive analysis of IM practitioners' and patients' talk reveals that the practice of IM gives rise to a number of contradictions and challenges for both IM practitioners and patients. Practitioners draw on discourses of holism, liberal humanism, spirituality and empowerment to construct IM as a healthcare model which maintains elements of both allopathic and alternative medicine in place, but also to legitimate practices inherent in IM. Through doing so, they reconstruct their medical professional identity. In conjunction, patients' draw on practitioners' extended knowledge-base and open-mindedness to construct IM practitioners as trustworthy professionals and to legitimate their own engagement in exploratory forms of treatment. This has implications for patients' and practitioners' subjectivity. Patients become individuals who deserve to be understood on a multitude of levels: psychologically, spiritually, emotionally and physically through which they gain recognition. Concurrently, practitioners' become placed in a paradoxical position with respect to their practice. They resolve this by resisting the position of biomedical expert and by incorporating a range of alternative medicine treatments to extend the healthcare they offer to patients. In conclusion, the practice of IM is shown to legitimate patients' engagement in self-reflexivity practices and to reproduce Cartesian dualism. Further, the research reveals how discourses of holism and empowerment, that are held to represent the fundamental difference between allopathic and alternative medicine, are drawn on by practitioners to legitimate a more in depth practice and a deeper level of

engagement with patients. Finally, the research questions whether IM constitutes a truly 'integrative' medicine.

# Acknowledgements

I sincerely thank my supervisors Professor Kerry Chamberlain and Professor Darrin Hodgetts who have provided much valued guidance, support and useful advice along my journey, and in particular Kerry Chamberlain whose continued faith in my ability has been unwavering.

I would also like to say a special thank you to my participants, who so willingly shared their understandings and experiences.

I would also like to extend a special mention to all the staff in the School of Psychology at Massey, Albany for on-going support and encouragement, and for always boosting my spirits when they were flagging.

My deep appreciation goes to fellow staff and students within the Albany Discourse and Narrative Group, whose enthusiasm and creativity were a valued source of motivation and inspiration. Being amongst a group of such warm, creative and intelligent people, most of whom were on a similar journey to myself was a comfort in moments when I felt most 'at sea'.

My deepest thanks to all my friends and family outside of the academic world, whose love and support mean so much to me, especially the love and sustenance of my sister Jenny Clifton and my best friend, Tracey Stretch.

And finally, a big thank you to Dave McFarlane for coming into my life at just the right time and for believing in me so whole-heartedly.

*To Dad, with love*

# Table of Contents

Abstract .....	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Table of contents .....	v
List of tables.....	vii
Abbreviations.....	viii
Terminology.....	ix

## Chapter 1:

<b>Healthcare in context.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction .....	1
The impact of medicalization and pharmaceuticalisation on society.....	5
The fluidity of medical professionalism .....	7
The emergence of the healthcare consumer.....	11
Healthcare in contemporary New Zealand.....	14
Conclusion.....	17

## Chapter 2:

<b>The growth of alternative medicine; the emergence of integrative medicine.....</b>	<b>19</b>
The growth of alternative medicine .....	20
The professionalisation of alternative medicine.....	23
The problem of defining integrative medicine.....	25
The paradoxes of integrative medicine.....	29
The incommensurability of alternative medicine and allopathic medicine.....	29
The contradictions underlying holism and empowerment.....	31
Professionalism, best practice and autonomy.....	33
Conclusion and implications.....	33
Research objectives .....	35

## Chapter 3:

<b>Methodology .....</b>	<b>37</b>
Theoretical underpinnings.....	38
An initial foray into the field of integrative medicine .....	43
Participants .....	45
Method.....	47
My reflections on the interview process.....	50
Data analysis.....	52

## Chapter 4:

<b>Practitioners' talk: Reconstructing medical professional identity.....</b>	<b>56</b>
A holistic practice and “a whole different philosophy” .....	57
Patient-centred medicine: The provision of personalised care and compassion .....	65

Reconstructing expertise and facilitating education through ‘best practice’ and ‘good doctoring’ .....	71
‘Best practice’ .....	73
‘Good doctoring’.....	76
Conclusion.....	81

## **Chapter 5:**

<b>Practitioners’ talk: Reconstructing the patient</b> .....	<b>86</b>
The patient’s treatment pathway: A perpetual journey .....	87
The patient: A complexly interconnected “whole person” .....	93
“Optimal health” and “ultimate healing” .....	98
Illness as transformative .....	102
Conclusion.....	105

## **Chapter 6:**

<b>Patients’ talk: Recognition, trust and responsibility</b> .....	<b>107</b>
The integrative medicine patient: A collaborator and a ‘normal’ citizen.....	108
The collaborator .....	108
Recognition and constructing the ‘normal’ citizen.....	111
The integrative medicine practitioner: A professional to trust .....	117
The integrative medicine practitioner-patient relationship.....	122
Integrative medicine treatment: Holistic medicine and responsibility for health.....	127
Health, illness and wellbeing.....	135
Conclusion.....	141

## **Chapter 7:**

<b>Discussion</b> .....	<b>145</b>
The positioning of practitioners and patients within and by integrative medicine.....	146
How do integrative medicine practitioners resolve the paradoxical position they are shown to occupy?.....	149
Is integrative medicine integrative.....	151
My reflexive engagement with the research.....	153
Future directions.....	156

<b>References</b> .....	<b>158</b>
-------------------------	------------

<b>Appendices</b> .....	<b>170</b>
Appendix A: Practitioners’ information sheet .....	170
Appendix B: Patients’ information sheet.....	172
Appendix C: Locality assessment form .....	174
Appendix D: Interview guide for practitioners.....	176
Appendix E: Interview guide for patients .....	177
Appendix F: Ethics approval .....	178

# List of Tables

## Table

1	Practitioners' sphere of alternative medicine practice, duration of experience and patient participants treated .....	44
---	---	----

# Abbreviations

<b>AIMA</b>	Australasian Integrative Medicine Association
<b>CAM</b>	Complementary and alternative medicine
<b>DHB</b>	District health board
<b>DTCA</b>	Direct to consumer advertising
<b>EBM</b>	Evidence-based medicine
<b>GP</b>	General practitioner
<b>HPCA</b>	Health Practitioners Competence Assurance Act (2003)
<b>IM</b>	Integrative medicine
<b>MACCAH</b>	Ministerial Advisory Committee on Complementary and Alternative Health
<b>PHO</b>	Primary health organisation
<b>RCT</b>	Randomised clinical trials
<b>SES</b>	Socio-economic status

# Terminology

A variety of terms are used interchangeably throughout this thesis to describe the healthcare practices referred to. These fall within three categories:

- 1) Allopathic medicine, orthodox medicine, conventional medicine or biomedicine
- 2) Alternative medicine, complementary medicine, complementary and alternative medicine (CAM), and non-orthodox medicine
- 3) Integrative medicine (IM)

These categories are outlined below. In each case the term that is most frequently referred to throughout the thesis is used as the descriptor for each category.

**Allopathic medicine** refers to treatment modalities conducted under the Western scientific paradigm. However, it should be noted that allopathic medicine is also practised in many non-Western societies. In New Zealand, allopathic medicine is the dominant model of healthcare practised and receives funding from the state (largely through taxation revenue). GPs in New Zealand operate as private businesses and set their own consultation fees. However, the government has recently injected more funding to ensure lower cost GP consultations for people enrolled in primary health organisations (PHOs), to which most GPs belong, and to encourage free GP consultations for children under six.

**Alternative medicine** is the term used to describe treatment modalities that fall outside the parameters of the Western scientific paradigm. However, it should be noted that what is considered 'alternative' medicine tends to shift over time. For example, through processes such as professionalisation, alternative medicine modalities may become understood differently and, in conjunction, individuals are likely to differ in their views about what is considered allopathic medicine and what is considered alternative medicine (Dew, 2003). In New Zealand, alternative medicine is considered marginal in comparison to allopathic medicine, largely because alternative therapies (and the training required to become proficient in such therapies) do not attract government subsidies.

**Integrative medicine** refers to healthcare which combines biomedicine with some form(s) of alternative medicine. The term integrative medicine is used in the current context to refer to treatment employed by medical doctors who combine the use of allopathic medicine and alternative medicine. In New Zealand, IM practitioners are not provided with government subsidies.

It should be noted that all participants understood the types of healthcare I referred to during the research. However, on a few occasions some clarification became necessary. In those instances, I clarified the meaning of the term used, and if the participant had a preference for using a specific term to describe that modality of healthcare, I attempted to use this myself so as to overcome any confusion.