

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SOME ASPECTS OF DYSTOCIA IN SHEEP
WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO
ROMNEY STUD EWES

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Veterinary Science
at Massey University

Keith David McSporran
B.V.Sc. (Massey)

March 1975

ABSTRACT

Three studies were undertaken to investigate dystocia in Romney stud ewes.

In the initial study an analysis was made of 4,400 individual performance records collected over a period of nine years from a Romney stud flock. On the basis of the records, dystocia in the flock was attributed to a physical disproportion between the lamb and the maternal pelvis, and its incidence was shown to be highly correlated with the mean birth weight of single lambs ($r=0.84$). Dystocia appeared to be unrelated to the effects of parity, weight at mating, or litter size of ewes. A high repeatability of the condition was demonstrated. Differences between sires with respect to dystocia in their progeny at birth were demonstrated in some years and were shown to be related to lamb weight, such that those sire groups with higher average lamb weight experienced the greatest incidence of dystocia. The decline in the incidence of dystocia, apparent from the records, was attributed to a reduction in mean birth weight of lambs and a possible increase in pelvic dimensions of the ewes, brought about by selective breeding.

Secondly, a tocometric study of 18 Romney ewes was made using intra-amniotic open ended catheters and intra-abdominal balloons implanted at laparotomy. Dystocia occurred in 12 ewes and, with the exception of one ewe that developed uterine inertia, was associated with abnormalities in presentation, position or posture (maldisposition) of the lamb at birth. By comparison, the presentation, position and posture (disposition) of the lambs born to the eutocous ewes was normal. A significant difference in birth weight of lambs was demonstrated between ewes that gave birth to lambs in normal disposition as opposed to those that gave birth to maldisposed lambs, and between ewes that experienced eutocia and dystocia. Uterine activity during late pregnancy, characterised by alternate periods of activity and quiescence, was not related to the disposition of the lamb at birth. During first stage labour uterine activity was higher in those ewes that experienced dystocia. However, this was considered to be a reflection of the higher lamb birth weight in this group as birth weight was correlated with uterine activity during the final three hours of first stage labour. Low abdominal bearing down effort was thought

to contribute to the lack of progress during parturition in three ewes with posteriorly presented foetuses. Except for one case of uterine inertia, low uterine pressures or abdominal bearing down effort was not implicated as a primary cause of dystocia in these ewes.

In the final study a method of radiographic pelvimetry was developed which was used to study the relationship between pelvic size and lamb size at birth in ewes with histories of eutocia and dystocia. In addition, three different age groups of ewes were radiographed to provide information on changes in pelvic dimensions with age. Large differences in respect to the relationship between estimated area of the pelvic inlet and the size of the lamb were demonstrated between ewes with histories of eutocia and dystocia. The relatively large pelvic area of the eutocous ewes was considered to be largely responsible for differences in the recorded incidence of dystocia between the groups. Estimates of correlations between internal and external pelvic measurements taken from the radiographs were thought to be too low to be of practical use in selection for larger internal pelvic area. Furthermore the relationship between internal and external pelvic measurements was found to alter with age in some cases, indicating that the effect of age on these relationships would have to be examined further if selection by means of external pelvic measurements were to be attempted.

As a result of these investigations, the hypothesis was advanced that dystocia in Romney stud ewes is commonly caused either by the relatively small size of the pelvic inlet of the ewe, or the relatively large size of the lamb, or both. There were indications from the first and third studies that the flock incidence of dystocia can be reduced by genetic selection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Professor E.D. Fielden for giving me the opportunity to undertake this study and for his support and constructive criticism throughout. My thanks also go to Dr A.N. Bruere and Dr C.S.W. Reid for their help throughout the study and their helpful criticism of the manuscript.

To the many people in the Veterinary Clinical Sciences Department who have assisted me at various times I extend my thanks.

I wish to thank Mr W. Deighton, Mr C. Martin, Mr D. Webster and Mr R. Faulding for their willing assistance.

Many Romney stud breeders have assisted by supplying sheep, I would like to thank them, and in particular mention Mr R. Buchanan who kindly allowed me to undertake an analysis of his extensive stud records for an important section of this thesis.

I wish also to thank Mr I. Hooper-Smith, Mr A. Anderson and Mr R. Marshall for their co-operation with various aspects of the study.

Professor R.E. Munford assisted with the statistical analyses and for this help I am very grateful.

To Mr T. Law Mrs M. McComish, Mr P. Herbert and his staff, and to the staff of the Massey University Computer Unit I wish to express my thanks.

I wish to thank the librarians of the Massey University and Palmerston North Public Hospital Libraries for their co-operation and cheerful assistance throughout. To those people who assisted by translating Papers from foreign languages my thanks are due.

Mrs J. Foster undertook the typing of the final manuscript, an arduous task very well done, and for which I am very grateful. Thanks also to Mrs. J. Pearce for assistance with the typing.

To my wife Carol and Dr Helen Chapman who spent many hours checking the manuscript I wish to record my gratitude.

Finally, to my wife, without whose encouragement this thesis may never have been completed, I wish to express my sincere thanks.

This work was undertaken while the author was the recipient of a W. & R. Fletcher's Post Graduate Fellowship. I wish to thank them for their financial support and interest in the work.

Additional financial assistance for this project was provided by the Veterinary Research Fund.

| | | |
|------------------|--|----|
| <u>II.3.3.5.</u> | Dystocia referable to pathology of the pelvis and reproductive tract | 23 |
| <u>II.3.4.</u> | The influence of the sire | 24 |
| <u>II.3.5.</u> | The influence of the calf on dystocia | 25 |
| <u>II.3.5.1.</u> | The effect of birth weight | 25 |
| <u>II.3.5.2.</u> | The effect of calf sex | 26 |
| <u>II.3.5.3.</u> | The effect of gestation length on dystocia | 26 |
| <u>II.3.5.4.</u> | The effect of conformation of the calf on dystocia | 27 |
| <u>II.3.5.5.</u> | The effect of pathology of the foetus | 28 |
| <u>II.3.6.</u> | The influence of season of birth on dystocia | 28 |
| | | |
| <u>III:</u> | DYSTOCIA IN A ROMNEY STUD FLOCK | 30 |
| <u>III.1.</u> | Introduction | 30 |
| <u>III.2.</u> | Materials and Methods | 30 |
| <u>III.2.1.</u> | Breeding management and lambing | 30 |
| <u>III.2.2.</u> | Collection and processing of data | 31 |
| <u>III.2.3.</u> | Statistical methods | 33 |
| <u>III.3.</u> | Results | 33 |
| <u>III.4.</u> | Discussion | 49 |
| <u>III.5.</u> | Conclusion | 55 |
| | | |
| <u>IV:</u> | A TOCOMETRIC STUDY OF THE OVINE UTERUS | 56 |
| <u>IV.1.</u> | Introduction | 56 |
| <u>IV.2.</u> | Literature Review: Internal Tocometry | 56 |
| <u>IV.2.1.</u> | Introduction | 56 |
| <u>IV.2.2.</u> | Internal tocometry in women | 57 |
| <u>IV.2.3.</u> | Internal tocometry in ruminants | 62 |
| <u>IV.3.</u> | Materials and Methods | 65 |
| <u>IV.3.1.</u> | Animals | 65 |
| <u>IV.3.2.</u> | Surgery | 67 |
| <u>IV.3.3.</u> | Experimental procedure | 70 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| <u>IV.3.4.</u> | Analysis of records | 73 |
| <u>IV.4.</u> | Results | 75 |
| <u>IV.4.1.</u> | Pre-partum activity | 78 |
| <u>IV.4.2.</u> | First stage labour | 86 |
| <u>IV.4.3.</u> | Second stage labour | 92 |
| <u>IV.5.</u> | Discussion | 96 |
| <u>IV.6.</u> | Conclusion | 102 |
| | | |
| <u>V:</u> | A COMPARATIVE PELVIMETRIC STUDY OF EWES WITH HISTORIES OF EUTOCIA AND DYSTOCIA | 103 |
| | | |
| <u>V.1.</u> | Introduction | 103 |
| | | |
| <u>V.2.</u> | Literature Review | 103 |
| <u>V.2.1.</u> | Introduction | 103 |
| <u>V.2.2.</u> | The anatomy of the pelvic canal in the ewe and physiological changes which occur during pregnancy and parturition | 103 |
| <u>V.2.3.</u> | Pelvimetry in the ewe | 106 |
| <u>V.2.3.1.</u> | Methodology | 106 |
| <u>V.2.3.2.</u> | The effect of age on pelvic dimensions of sheep | 106 |
| <u>V.2.3.3.</u> | The effect of breed of ewe on pelvic dimensions | 106 |
| <u>V.2.3.4.</u> | The relationship between external and internal measurements of the dissected pelvis | 109 |
| <u>V.2.3.5.</u> | The relationship between measurements of the pelvis in the live ewe, and internal pelvic dimensions | 110 |
| <u>V.2.3.6.</u> | The relationship between internal pelvic dimensions and other body measurements | 111 |
| <u>V.2.3.7.</u> | Pelvic dimensions and dystocia | 111 |
| <u>V.2.4.</u> | Pelvimetry in cattle | 112 |
| <u>V.2.4.1.</u> | Methodology | 112 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>V.2.4.2.</u> The effect of age on pelvic dimensions | 113 |
| <u>V.2.4.3.</u> The effect of breed on pelvic dimensions | 113 |
| <u>V.2.4.4.</u> The relationship between internal and external pelvic measurements | 114 |
| <u>V.2.4.5.</u> Pelvic dimensions and dystocia | 114 |
| <u>V.2.5.</u> Summary | 114 |
| <u>V.3.</u> Materials and Methods | 115 |
| <u>V.3.1.</u> Radiographic pelvimetry | 115 |
| <u>V.3.1.1.</u> Method | 115 |
| <u>V.3.1.2.</u> Procedure | 116 |
| <u>V.3.1.3.</u> Analysis of films | 117 |
| <u>V.3.1.3.1.</u> Pelvic diameters measured from radiographs | 117 |
| <u>V.3.1.3.2.</u> Calculations | 118 |
| <u>V.3.2.</u> Animals | 120 |
| <u>V.4.</u> Results and Discussion | 122 |
| <u>V.4.1.</u> Pilot trial | 122 |
| <u>V.4.1.1.</u> Results | 122 |
| <u>V.4.1.2.</u> Discussion | 122 |
| <u>V.4.2.</u> Between group and between age comparisons of pelvic measurements | 124 |
| <u>V.4.2.1.</u> Results | 124 |
| <u>V.4.2.2.</u> Discussion | 132 |
| <u>V.5.</u> Conclusion | 136 |
| <u>VI:</u> FINAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION | 137 |
| REFERENCES | 139 |
| APPENDICES | 01 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| II(i): Lamb mortality attributed to dystocia (based on necropsy findings) | 4 |
| II(ii): The incidence of dystocia in sheep | 5 |
| II(iii): The incidence of maldisposed lambs at birth in sheep | 7 |
| II(iv): Types of foetal disposition seen in sheep with their frequencies of occurrence | 8 |
| II(v): Incidence of dystocia in four flocks | 10 |
| II(vi): The incidence of dystocia in cattle | 14 |
| II(vii): Crossbreeding and dystocia in cattle | 16 |
| II(viii): The influence of parity of the dam on the incidence of dystocia | 20 |
| II(ix): Influence of the sire on the incidence of dystocia and peri-natal mortality | 24 |
| II(x): The effect on peri-natal mortality of using sires selected against this trait in an artificial breeding programme involving heifers | 25 |
| II(xi): Influence of calf sex on incidence of calving difficulties | 26 |
| III(i): Flock history of dystocia | 37 |
| III(ii): Comparison of ewe weights at 18 months in relation to dystocia at 2 years | 38 |
| III(iii): The incidence of dystocia in relation to parity of ewe | 39 |
| III(iv): The incidence of dystocia in ewes in relation to their performance in the previous year | 40 |
| III(v): Single lamb weights of assisted ewes compared with those of unassisted ewes | 41 |
| III(vi): Proportion of ram lambs in total assisted single births | 42 |
| III(vii): The influence of sex of lamb on single lamb weight | 43 |
| III(viii): Proportion of dystocous births which were associated with a maldisposed lamb in ewes bearing single and twin lambs | 44 |
| III(ix): Proportion of dystocous births which were associated with a maldisposed lamb in primiparous and multiparous ewes | 45 |
| III(x): Influence of the sire on dystocia | 46 |

| Table | Page | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| III(xi): | Estimates of coefficients for the multiple regression equation relating % dystocia to mean lamb weight and standard deviation of lamb weight for sire groups in seven years | 47 |
| III(xii): | Comparison of single lamb weights of maiden and older ewes | 48 |
| IV(i): | The development of internal tocometry in human obstetrics | 61 |
| IV(ii): | Type of birth and history of ewes in the study | 76 |
| IV(iii): | Lamb birth weight, gestation length, pelvic area and the ratio of pelvic area to lamb birth weight in relation to disposition of the lamb at birth | 77 |
| IV(iv): | Pre-partum uterine activity and disposition of the lamb | 79 |
| IV(v): | Active periods in which uterine tone fell more than 2.5mm Hg below the resting pressure | 80 |
| IV(vi): | First stage labour activity and type of birth | 92 |
| V(ia): | Differences in pelvic dimensions between Dorset Horn and Border Leicester ewes | 108 |
| V(i): | Comparison of pelvic dimensions obtained by radiographic pelvimetry and actual measurement after slaughter | 123 |
| V(ii): | Mean pelvic dimensions and body weights of groups I, II and III ewes (mature ewes) | 125 |
| V(iii): | Significance of differences in means between groups I, II and III ewes | 126 |
| V(iv): | The relationship between pelvic area and birth weight of the lamb in the three groups of mature ewes | 126 |
| V(v): | Correlations between pelvic dimensions of groups I, II and III ewes (mature ewes) | 127 |
| V(vi): | Mean pelvic dimensions and body weights of groups III, IV and V ewes | 129 |
| V(vii): | Significance of differences in means between groups III, IV and V ewes | 130 |
| V(viii): | Correlations between pelvic dimensions of groups III, IV and V ewes | 131 |
| V(ix): | Pelvic measurements of New Zealand Romney ewes | 132 |
| VI(i): | Decrease in the incidence of dystocia achieved by selection | 138 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| III(i): | Incidence of dystocia and mean birth weight of single lambs | 35 |
| III(ii): | Difference in birth weight between male and female lambs and the percentage of assisted single lambs that were males | 36 |
| IV(i): | Intra-abdominal balloon (a), intra-amniotic catheter (b) and stainless steel probe (c) | 68 |
| IV(ii): | Block diagram showing arrangement of equipment used for monitoring intra-uterine pressure | 71 |
| IV(iii): | Pre-partum uterine activity | 80 |
| IV(iv): | Pre-partum uterine activity | 81 |
| IV(v): | Pre-partum uterine activity | 82 |
| IV(vi): | Pre-partum uterine activity | 83 |
| IV(vii): | Pre-partum uterine activity | 84 |
| IV(viii): | Uterine activity during first stage labour | 87 |
| IV(ix): | Uterine activity during first stage labour | 88 |
| IV(x): | Uterine activity during first stage labour | 89 |
| IV(xi): | Uterine activity during first stage labour | 90 |
| IV(xii): | Uterine activity during first stage labour | 91 |
| IV(xiii): | Work done by the accessory muscles during second stage labour | 93 |
| IV(xiv): | Work done by the accessory muscles during second stage labour | 94 |
| IV(xv): | Work done by the accessory muscles during second stage labour | 95 |
| V(i): | Schematic representation of method of determining distances of planes of interest from the cassette, for calculation of magnification factors | 119 |

LIST OF PLATES

| Plate | | Between Pages |
|--------|---|---------------|
| III.1. | Lockwood Romney Stud (Kairanga) | 30-31 |
| III.2. | Lockwood Romney Stud (Hawke Bay) | 30-31 |
| IV.1. | Ewes in recording stall during monitoring of intra-uterine and intra-abdominal pressures | 66-67 |
| IV.2. | Active period 14 days before labour in sheep 75R showing amplitude measurement 'a' | 66-67 |
| IV.3. | Active period in sheep 82Y 2 days before labour | 85-86 |
| IV.4. | Decrease in resting pressure during an active period in sheep 64R 1 day before labour | 85-86 |
| IV.5. | Decrease in resting pressure for part of an active period in sheep 64R 1 day before labour | 85-86 |
| IV.6. | Decrease in resting pressure during an active period in sheep 64R 1 day before labour | 85-86 |
| IV.7. | Onset of first stage labour in sheep 57R | 85-86 |
| IV.8. | Onset of first stage labour in sheep 82Y 18 hours 30 minutes before delivery | 85-86 |
| IV.9. | First stage labour in sheep 82Y 8 hours 30 minutes before delivery | 91-92 |
| IV.10. | First stage labour in sheep 82Y 6 hours before delivery | 91-92 |
| IV.11. | First stage labour in sheep 82Y 5 hours 30 minutes before delivery | 91-92 |
| IV.12. | First stage labour in sheep 82Y 2 hours before delivery | 91-92 |
| IV.13. | Second stage labour in sheep 82Y | 91-92 |
| IV.14. | Active period in sheep 64R 2 days before labour | 91-92 |
| IV.15. | Active period in sheep 64R 2 days before labour | 91-92 |
| IV.16. | Onset of first stage labour in sheep 64R 10 hours before delivery | 91-92 |
| IV.17. | First stage labour in sheep 64R 6 hours 30 minutes before delivery | 91-92 |
| IV.18. | First stage labour in sheep 64R 30 minutes before second stage labour, 50 minutes before delivery | 91-92 |
| V.1. | Pelvic diameters on lateral radiograph | 121-122 |
| V.2. | Pelvic diameters on ventro-dorsal radiograph | 121-122 |
| V.3. | Romney stud ewe from group I | 121-122 |
| V.4. | Romney ewes from hill country property (groups III, IV and V) | 121-122 |

| Plate | | Between Pages |
|-------|---|---------------|
| V.5. | Ewe being radiographed for pelvimetry. Lateral exposure | 121-122 |
| V.6. | Ewe being radiographed for pelvimetry. Ventro-dorsal exposure | 121-122 |
| V.7. | Ventro-dorsal radiograph of pelvis of a eutocous 3 year old ewe from group IV | 131-132 |
| V.8. | Ventro-dorsal radiograph of pelvis of a dystocous Romney stud ewe from group I | 131-132 |