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ABSTRACT

The news media's role in general election campaigns in New Zealand remains mysterious because few indigenous research antecedents inform the debate about the democratic function of journalists reporting election campaigns. This study analyses the creation of news by reporters during the 1993 New Zealand general election campaign and examines the relationships between journalists, politicians and third party sources which impact on political communication. The analysis leads to a discussion of the role of the news media in reporting election news.

The notions that news is created by journalists and through relationship between journalists, politicians and third party sources are central to the study. The concept that news is "manufactured" or socially constructed is explained in the introductory chapter and linked to theoretical perspectives in the literature review in Chapter Two. The two broad research questions which the study answers are:

1. how was the news manufactured during the 1993 New Zealand election campaign?
2. what does this mean for the "dialogue of democracy" (Taylor, 1992)?

The manufacture of news is examined from three perspectives in the research. These can be called the "doing" perspective which employs action research methodology, the "watching" perspective which uses participant observation methodology and the "measuring" perspective utilising content analysis. The research notes the failure of "any particular holistic paradigm" to sweep the field of political communication and employs "ground-up paradigm building" by carving out broad research problem areas and examining them through the use of appropriate research methodologies (Bennett, 1993, p.182).

The objectives of the action research project were twofold. First, to assist an individual political candidate contesting the election to develop systematic processes to use the news media to raise the candidate's profile. Second, to increase the level of understanding by the political candidate of news media processes and news concepts such as newsworthiness, candidate accessibility and news angles so she could utilise the knowledge to generate news and photographic opportunities. The findings revealed that the political candidate perceived that her level of understanding of news processes
was enhanced through the action research. The study found, too, that a systematic process of source relationship was cultivated between the political candidate and journalist, which in principle enhanced the democratic function of the news media as a platform for political debate. The action research project, was, however, bound by the prevailing climate of political rhetoric with all its shortcomings.

The participant observation methodology explored the nature of the contest between the triumvirate of political candidates as sources, journalists and third party sources such as "spin doctors". A second research question examined whether reporters seek to reassert their role in such a way as to influence the news when traditional journalistic autonomy is threatened. The findings showed a complex, shifting contest for control over knowledge and power in the making of news. This contest was characterised by features such as the increased sophistication of third party sources actively intervening in news processes, by strategic utilisation of "new" news formats by politicians and by journalists vigorously defending their status and enlarging their role. The impact of the findings on democratic journalism were examined using Golding's (1990) suggestion of a revival of the notion of citizenship, "to what degree and in what ways are people denied access to necessary information and imagery to allow full and equal participation in the social order?" (p.98).

The third methodology, content analysis, tested Edelstein, Ito and Kepplinger’s (1989) theory of news content using a cognitive construct, the problematic situation. The results confirmed a larger interpretive as opposed to purely descriptive role for metropolitan newspaper journalists reporting news in the 1993 general election campaign in New Zealand. Over 70% of election campaign issues in the period sampled were defined problematically and negatively with a high reliance on references to loss of value and conflict. The findings have implications for how political candidates could and should tailor their political messages.

Overall the results taken together have fundamental implications for the "dialogue of democracy" (Taylor, 1992). They disclose a powerful dilemma about the manufacture of news in the 1993 New Zealand general election. The expanded influence of the news media has not been accompanied by a reassessment of what it is the news media should be doing in election campaign reportage. Reformative suggestions are made in the final chapter of the study and the implications for political journalism in a democracy are discussed.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements .................................................. i
Abstract ................................................................ ii
Table of Contents .................................................... iv
List of Tables .......................................................... xi
List of Figures .......................................................... xiii
List of Appendices ..................................................... xiv

Chapter One: Scope of the Research ................................ 1
1.1 Introduction ....................................................... 1
1.2 Elevating the study of political journalism ................... 1
1.3 The manufacture of election news .............................. 2
1.4 Research strategy and choice of methodologies ............ 3
1.5 Research questions ............................................... 4
1.5.1 Action research .............................................. 5
1.5.2 Participant observation .................................... 6
1.5.3 Content analysis .......................................... 7
1.6 Research project plan ........................................... 9
1.7 Theoretical frameworks ....................................... 10
1.8 Structure of the research report .............................. 11

Chapter Two: Literature Review .................................... 12
2.1 Introduction ....................................................... 12
2.2 Why election campaigns? ...................................... 15
2.3 Some theoretical perspectives in news media scholarship .... 16
2.3.1 The British cultural studies perspective ............... 18
2.3.2 The social construction of reality perspective ........ 20
2.3.3 The intrusion of the media into politics perspective .. 22
2.3.4 The commercial rationale perspective .................. 23
2.3.5 The media logic perspective ............................ 24
2.3.6 The call for reassessment of journalistic writing ...... 27
2.3.7 What is news anyway? ..................................... 28
2.4 Election campaigns ............................................ 29
2.4.1 The widening of scholarship ............................ 29
2.4.2 The good news and bad news debate about news coverage of election campaigns .................. 30
2.4.3 American studies ......................................... 32
2.4.4 British studies ............................................. 37
2.4.5 Australian studies ......................................... 42
2.4.6 Comparative studies ..................................... 43
2.4.7 New Zealand writing ..................................... 44
2.5 The democracy debate ......................................... 62
2.6 Literature review conclusion .................................. 63
Chapter Three: Action Research: The Debate .................................................. 65
  3.1 Introduction: the "insider"/"outsider" debate ........................................ 65
  3.2 How "scientific" is action research? ....................................................... 68
  3.3 Diversity of action research ................................................................. 73
  3.4 Action research scholarship ................................................................. 77
  3.5 Choice of action research methodology ................................................ 77
  3.6 Definition of action research ............................................................... 78

Chapter Four: Action Research Methodology ............................................. 85
  4.1 Introduction .............................................................................................. 85
  4.2 Model of the action research process ...................................................... 86
  4.3 Negotiating access ................................................................................... 88
  4.4 Ethical framework established ............................................................... 90
  4.5 Situational analysis .................................................................................. 91
    4.5.1 Situational analysis - methodology .................................................. 91
    4.5.2 Situational analysis - results .......................................................... 93

Chapter Five: Action Research Goals, Data and Options ............................ 100
  5.1 Introduction ............................................................................................. 100
  5.2 The method of setting goals ..................................................................... 100
  5.3 Goal results .............................................................................................. 101
  5.4 Data collection .......................................................................................... 103
    5.4.1 Introduction ....................................................................................... 103
    5.4.2 Methodology ..................................................................................... 103
    5.4.3 Data results ........................................................................................ 105
  5.5 Option results ........................................................................................... 112
    5.5.1 Introduction ....................................................................................... 112
    5.5.2 The method of generating options ................................................... 112
    5.5.3 Option results ................................................................................... 114
  5.6 Group feedback ........................................................................................ 117
    5.6.1 Introduction ....................................................................................... 117
    5.6.2 Methodology ..................................................................................... 118
  5.7 Iteration .................................................................................................... 118

Chapter Six: Action Research Results: Source Relationships ..................... 120
  6.1 Introduction ............................................................................................. 120
  6.2 Significance of source relationships in news media processes ............... 121
  6.3 General background ................................................................................ 122
  6.4 The notion of incumbency and the news ............................................... 122
  6.5 Source relationship and the Eastern News .............................................. 124
  6.6 Marginalisation of the source .................................................................. 126
  6.7 Journalistic appropriation ......................................................................... 128
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Group feedback</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>Iteration: Budget cuts and the fire service</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>Iteration: The Hodgson visit</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>Iteration: The Hobart Park Flats</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>Some comments on iteration</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chapter Seven: Action Research Results: Building the Candidate's Self</strong></td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Results of action aimed at improving the candidate's self confidence</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Results of action aimed at raising the level of understanding about the manufacture of news</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chapter Eight: Action Research Results: Relevant Strategies</strong></td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Events orientation of the news</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3.2</td>
<td>Electorate visits</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3.3</td>
<td>Stunts</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3.4</td>
<td>Creating ceremonies</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Reinforcing campaign publicity</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chapter Nine: Action Research Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Minimising bias</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Continuing group feedback</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>Evaluative techniques: self perception questionnaires</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4.1</td>
<td>Bi-polar scaling</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4.2</td>
<td>Results of the questionnaires</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Evaluative techniques: content analysis</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5.2</td>
<td>Results of content analysis</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>Theoretical considerations and general evaluation</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Limitations of the action research project</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7.1</td>
<td>The dilemma of presentation</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7.2</td>
<td>The degree of emotional involvement</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7.3</td>
<td>The election campaign as political contest</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Strengths of the action research study and future research directions</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter Ten: Field Research

10.1 Introduction ................................................. 196
10.2 Methodology ................................................. 198
  10.2.1 General .................................................. 198
  10.2.2 Purpose of participant observation and choice of methodology .................................. 199
  10.2.3 The nature of participant observation ................................................................. 199
  10.2.4 Participant observation as a tradition in news media scholarship ...................... 201
  10.2.5 Definition of participant observation ................................................................. 202
  10.2.6 Pragmatic considerations ................................................... 204
  10.2.7 Ethics ...................................................... 204
  10.2.8 Observing .................................................. 207
  10.2.9 Documentation .............................................. 208
  10.2.10 Interviews and documents ........................................... 209
  10.2.11 Limitations ................................................. 209
10.3 Chapter structure ............................................. 210

Chapter Eleven: Participant Observation Results ................................ 211

11.1 Introduction .................................................. 211
11.2 Low level politicking - "door stop" meetings ............................................................ 211
11.3 Low level politicking - factory and school visits ....................................................... 212
11.4 Low level politicking - reportorial response ............................................................. 214
11.5 Critical instances: Introduction .............................................. 216
11.6 Conceptual framework: the "modern publicity process" ............................................ 217
11.7 The growth of news management ................................................... 220
11.8 The notion of "spin doctoring" ................................................... 222

Chapter Twelve: Backwards Spin Doctoring ................................ 224

12.1 Introduction .................................................. 224
12.2 Backwards and forwards spin doctoring ................................................................. 225
12.3 The taxation story and backwards spin doctoring ..................................................... 226
12.4 Analysis of the attempt at backwards spin doctoring .............................................. 236
12.5 News media acceptance of spin doctoring ............................................................. 239
12.6 Reformulating the hypothesis ................................................... 239

Chapter Thirteen: Forwards Spin Doctoring ................................ 241

13.1 Introduction .................................................. 241
13.2 Media strategy revised ........................................... 242
13.3 Accelerating the "secret agenda" ................................................... 244
13.4 "Secret agenda" replaces "tax tiff" ................................................... 250
Chapter Seventeen: The Problematic Situation in the News

17.1 Introduction ........................................... 298
17.2 The concept of the problematic situation in communication research ............... 300
17.3 Conditions of discrepancy .................................. 302
17.4 A "testable" theory of the news .................................. 303
17.5 Distinguishing the cognitive theory of the news ................................ 307
17.6 The 1993 general election as a problematic situation .................................. 310

Chapter Fourteen: The Reportorial Role, The Primacy of Television and the Allocation of Journalistic Resources .............. 259
14.1 Introduction ................................. 259
14.2 Defensive strategies ............................. 259
14.3 Going on the offensive ................. 261
14.4 The journalist as news ..................... 263
14.5 The influence of television ............. 265
14.6 Spinning the backdrop ..................... 266
14.7 Election campaign choreography ......... 267
14.8 Television logic .............................. 268
14.9 Resource allocation ................. 270

Chapter Fifteen: The "New" News .............. 277
15.1 Introduction ............................. 277
15.2 Definition of the "new" news ............. 277
15.3 The New Zealand context ................. 278
15.4 Shifting allegiances ............................. 279
15.5 Access and proximity ....................... 281
15.6 Avoiding journalistic accountability ...... 282
15.7 "News" news and a "new" audience? ....... 284
15.8 The impact of the "new" news ............. 286
15.9 Conclusion ............................. 287

Chapter Sixteen: Field Research Conclusion .............. 288
16.1 Introduction ........................................... 288
16.2 The question of generalizability .............. 289
16.3 Generalizable findings ............................. 291
16.4 Theory development ............................. 292
16.5 The manufacture of news and the dialogue of democracy .............. 293

Chapter Seventeen: The Problematic Situation in the News .......... 298
17.1 Introduction ........................................... 298
17.2 The concept of the problematic situation in communication research ............... 300
17.3 Conditions of discrepancy ..................... 302
17.4 A "testable" theory of the news ............. 303
17.5 Distinguishing the cognitive theory of the news ................................ 307
17.6 The 1993 general election as a problematic situation .................................. 310
20.10 Some descriptive factors ................................................. 377
20.10.1 Hard "news"/"soft" news ............................................. 378
20.10.2 Story bylines ....................................................... 380
20.10.3 Headline fit ....................................................... 383
20.10.4 Good news/bad news ............................................... 383
20.10.5 Candidate focus ................................................... 386
20.10.6 Election "horse race" ............................................... 388
20.11 Summary of principal results ......................................... 389

Chapter Twenty-One: Content analysis conclusion ...................... 392
21.1 Introduction .......................................................... 392
21.2 Comparison with previous studies .................................... 393
21.3 The implications for the manufacture of news ....................... 396
21.4 Implications of the findings for the nature of journalistic writing ............................................... 401
21.5 Politicians as news sources and the problematic definition of news ............................................... 403
21.6 Similarities and differences between newspapers in the study ............................................... 405
21.7 Strengths, limitations and future research directions ............ 408
21.7.1 Strengths ........................................................... 408
21.7.2 Limitations .......................................................... 409
21.7.3 Future research directions ......................................... 410
21.8 Conclusion ............................................................. 411

Chapter Twenty-Two: Election coverage and democratic journalism ...... 413
22.1 Introduction .......................................................... 413
22.2 Principal findings ..................................................... 413
22.2.1 Action research findings ............................................ 413
22.2.2 Participant observation results .................................... 414
22.2.3 Content analysis findings ........................................... 416
22.3 Overall limitations .................................................... 416
22.4 Overall implications .................................................. 418
22.5 Reform of political journalism? ....................................... 420
22.6 Conclusion ............................................................. 423

References ..................................................................... 424

Introduction to Appendices .................................................. 444

Appendices .................................................................... 445
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 1:</td>
<td>Total number of available publishing days and sample selected</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 2:</td>
<td>Total of stories per newspaper by selected days</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3:</td>
<td>Comparison of campaign issues in studies utilising problematic situations in press coverage</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4:</td>
<td>Problematic situation categories utilised in the content analysis</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 5:</td>
<td>Three stage coding process for the content category of election issue</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 6:</td>
<td>Number and proportion of issue references in New Zealand metropolitan press coverage of the 1993 general election campaign</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 7:</td>
<td>Number of campaign issues referenced, by percentage</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8:</td>
<td>Number and proportion of problematic codes for campaign issues in coverage of the 1993 New Zealand general election</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 9:</td>
<td>Rating of problematic situations by number and percentage</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 10:</td>
<td>Proportion of issue references overall, inclusive of no problem defined and of problematic situations</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 11:</td>
<td>Proportion of problematic definitions by story type</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 12:</td>
<td>Proportion of campaign issues defined by reference to a problematic situation by individual newspaper</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 13:</td>
<td>Proportion of one or two problematic situations for each campaign issue defined problematically by individual newspaper</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 14: Proportion of problematic situation categories by individual newspaper ........................................... 371

Table 15: Number, proportion and ranking of the problematic definitions for the top ten campaign issues mentioned in election news stories ........................................... 373

Table 16: Proportion of problematic situations for the top three campaign issues ........................................... 375

Table 17: Proportion of problematic situations for the other major campaign issues ........................................... 376

Table 18: Proportion of stories by hard news, soft news ................................................................................. 379

Table 19: Proportion of hard news and soft news by individual newspaper ........................................... 379

Table 20: Proportion of campaign issues in hard or soft news defined problematically ........................................... 380

Table 21: Proportion of byline attribution by individual newspaper ........................................... 381

Table 22: The definition of campaign issues in bylined stories ........................................... 382

Table 23: Percentage of stories whose primary emphasis was good news, bad news or balanced news ........................................... 384

Table 24: Percentage of good news and bad news stories by individual newspaper ........................................... 385

Table 25: Percentage of good, bad and balanced news by story type ........................................... 385

Table 26: The definition of campaign issues in good news/bad news stories ........................................... 386

Table 27: Does the story focus on election candidates by individual newspaper? ........................................... 387

Table 28: Proportion of stories by individual newspaper focusing on the "horse race" in the 1993 New Zealand General Election ........................................... 388
## LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1</td>
<td>Research project plan</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 2</td>
<td>Model of action research used in the Miramar research project (Adapted from Bennett and Oliver, 1988)</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3</td>
<td>Hierarchy of the news media to be targeted during the Miramar campaign</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4</td>
<td>The news media communications process using Sigal’s (1973) typology</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5</td>
<td>The concept of “fit” using Altheide and Snow’s (1991) typology</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6</td>
<td>Model of the news process cycle</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LIST OF APPENDICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix A</td>
<td>Self perception questionnaire used in the action research project in the Miramar electorate</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix B</td>
<td>Reference group questionnaire used in the action research project in the Miramar electorate</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix C</td>
<td>Results of the self perception questionnaire administered to Annette King, March 1993 and November 1993</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix D</td>
<td>Reference Group's Perception of Candidate's (Mrs King) competence, understanding and confidence</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix E</td>
<td>Front page of <em>Eastern News</em> which marginalises Mrs King as a source</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix F</td>
<td>Front page of <em>Eastern News</em> - the fire service story</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix G</td>
<td>News story in the <em>Eastern News</em> on the Hodgson visit</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix H</td>
<td>Published interview with Arthur Brady illustrating human interest in the news</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix I</td>
<td>&quot;This is her life&quot; photographic feature indicating personalisation as a factor in the news</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix J</td>
<td>Bumper stickers developed for the Mrs King in the Miramar electorate</td>
<td>460-461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix K</td>
<td>News story on unemployment demonstrating the A versus B nature of reportage</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix L</td>
<td>Content analysis coding sheet used in the Miramar electorate</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix M</td>
<td>Coding questionnaire for content analysis utilising the problematic situation</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>