

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**PARKINSON'S DISEASE:
MEMORY DEFICITS**

A dissertation presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in Psychology
at
Massey University,
New Zealand

Craig J. Whittington

1999

Abstract

Parkinson's disease (PD) has long been thought of as a debilitating motor disease: only relatively recently has research focused on cognitive functioning. It is now widely accepted that memory processes are among the primary cognitive functions to deteriorate in PD. However, less is known about the role that task variables (e.g., difficulty) and participant characteristics (e.g., gender, disease progression) play in this relationship. In addition, few studies in the PD literature have looked at the important issues of statistical power and the magnitude of memory deficits. The present investigation addressed some of these concerns. The first stage involved conducting a power analysis, based on 48 studies, followed by a meta-analysis. The meta-analysis included 32 effect sizes from studies assessing recognition memory in PD. This analysis paved the way for a large-scale study examining recognition memory in 41 nondemented PD participants compared to 41 age- and education-matched healthy controls. Both verbal and nonverbal recognition tasks were specifically designed for this purpose, the latter employing two levels of difficulty. In addition, prospective memory (remembering to remember) was examined with two event-based tasks because no study to date has looked at this issue in PD. The results of the power analysis indicated that past research has typically had insufficient statistical power to detect all but the largest memory deficits. Integrating the data from many studies, the meta-analysis suggested that nondemented, medicated PD participants may suffer from small recognition deficits. Support was provided by the subsequent primary study. In addition, it was found that the progression of memory impairment operates in parallel with the progression of motor symptoms. Moreover, task demands interacted with disease stage, such that nonverbal recognition deficits were only seen in early-stage PD participants when the task was made more difficult. Conversely, advanced-stage participants produced deficits irrespective of the level of difficulty. With respect to prospective memory, only the advanced-stage participants showed clear evidence of memory impairment. The main outcome of this research is that recognition memory impairment does occur in PD. However, low levels of statistical power in previous

research and moderating factors such as symptom severity and task difficulty have likely obscured true deficits.

Table Of Contents

Abstract.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	v
List of Tables.....	x
List of Figures.....	xiii
Preface.....	xiv
<i>Organisation of this Dissertation</i>	xvi
Acknowledgements.....	xvii
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION.....	1
Overview of Parkinson's Disease.....	1
<i>Parkinsonism</i>	3
<i>Symptoms</i>	3
<i>Epidemiology</i>	4
<i>Pathophysiology</i>	5
<i>Aetiology</i>	7
<i>Diagnosis</i>	7
<i>Treatment</i>	9
<i>Dementia</i>	11
Cognitive Deficits.....	11
<i>Theoretical Models</i>	12
<i>Executive Functioning</i>	14
<i>Bradyphrenia</i>	15
<i>Visuospatial Functioning</i>	15
<i>Attention</i>	16
<i>Memory Functioning</i>	17
Recognition Memory Deficits.....	21
<i>Task Difficulty</i>	25
<i>Gender</i>	29
<i>Disease Stage</i>	31
<i>Age at Onset</i>	33
<i>Depression</i>	35
<i>Medication Effects</i>	36
Prospective Memory.....	36
Memory Systems: An Overview.....	41
<i>Models of Recognition Memory</i>	46

<i>Measures of Recognition Memory</i>	47
General Summary.....	49
CHAPTER 2	
POWER AND META-ANALYSES.....	51
Introduction.....	51
<i>Purpose of the Power and Meta-Analyses</i>	54
Method.....	55
<i>Literature Search</i>	55
<i>General Inclusion Criteria</i>	56
<i>Power Analysis</i>	56
<i>Exclusion Criteria</i>	56
<i>Determining Effect Sizes</i>	57
<i>Procedure</i>	58
<i>Meta-Analysis</i>	58
<i>Exclusion Criteria</i>	58
<i>Variables coded from each study</i>	59
<i>Procedure</i>	60
Results.....	64
<i>Power Analysis</i>	64
<i>Meta-analysis</i>	65
Discussion.....	71
<i>Power Analysis</i>	71
<i>Meta-analysis</i>	72
<i>Conclusion</i>	75
CHAPTER 3	
RECOGNITION, RECALL, AND PROSPECTIVE MEMORY DEFICITS	77
Introduction.....	77
Method.....	79
<i>Materials and Procedures</i>	79
<i>General Procedure</i>	80
<i>Neurological Assessment</i>	82
Structured Interview.....	82
Categories of Diagnosis.....	82
Hoehn and Yahr Disability Rating Scale.....	83
Activities of Daily Living Scale.....	84
<i>Neuropsychological Tasks</i>	85
Geriatric Depression Scale.....	85

National Adult Reading Test - Premorbid IQ	86
National Adult Reading Test - Premorbid Verbal Fluency.....	87
Orientation-Memory-Concentration Test	87
Verbal Fluency Tasks	88
Visual Analogue Scale	89
Mackworth Clock Test.....	90
<i>Experimental Tasks</i>	92
Verbal Recognition Memory Task.....	92
Nonverbal Recognition Memory Task	95
Kendrick Object Learning Task.....	97
Prospective Memory Tasks.....	98
<i>Statistical Analyses Procedure</i>	99
<i>Participants</i>	105
Results.....	119
<i>Nonverbal Recognition Memory as a Function of Gender, Task Difficulty, and Time</i>	119
<i>Verbal Recognition Memory as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	120
<i>Recognition Memory Confidence Ratings</i>	121
<i>Recall as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	123
<i>Prospective Memory as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	124
<i>Remembering a Question</i>	124
<i>Remembering an Object</i>	124
<i>Summary: Group Differences as a Function of Gender</i>	125
<i>Effects of Disease Stage</i>	126
<i>Stage Effects at Time 1</i>	126
<i>Stage Effects at Time 2</i>	129
<i>Effects of Depression</i>	132
<i>Mood Effects at Time 1</i>	132
<i>Mood Effects at Time 2</i>	135
<i>Effects of Age at Onset</i>	139
<i>Correlation Analysis</i>	144
<i>Principal Components Analysis</i>	146
Discussion.....	149
<i>Participant Description as a Function of Gender</i>	150
<i>Nonverbal Recognition Memory as a Function of Task Difficulty and Gender</i>	152
<i>Verbal Recognition Memory as a Function of Gender</i>	156
<i>Recall as a Function of Gender</i>	159
<i>Prospective Memory as a Function of Gender</i>	160
<i>Memory as a Function of Disease Stage</i>	161
<i>Memory as a Function of Depression</i>	163

<i>Memory as a Function of Age at Onset</i>	164
<i>Correlation Analysis</i>	166
<i>Principal Component Analysis</i>	167
CHAPTER 4	
GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	169
Limitations and Future Directions	173
<i>Statistical Significance Testing</i>	178
Thesis Conclusion	180
References	183
Appendix A Journal Titles	215
Appendix B List of References Used in the Power and Meta-Analyses	217
Appendix C Description of Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis	223
Appendix D Effect Size Formulae	233
Appendix E Participant Information Sheet	237
Appendix F Description of Measures	241
Appendix G Participant Consent Form	243
Appendix H Video Assessment Consent Form	245
Appendix I Hoehn and Yahr Disability Rating Scale	247
Appendix J Video Assessment	249
Appendix K Modified Activities of Daily Living Scale	251
Appendix L Geriatric Depression Scale	255
Appendix M Words Used in the National Adult Reading Test	259
Appendix N Orientation-Memory-Concentration Test	261
Appendix O Visual Analogue Scale	263
Appendix P Words Used in the Verbal Recognition Memory Task	265

Appendix Q	Abstract Drawings Used in the Nonverbal	
	Recognition Memory Task	267
Appendix R	Screening Questionnaire.....	269
Appendix S	List of Antiparkinsonian Medication.....	271
Appendix T	Confidence Ratings Data.....	273
Appendix U	Power and Meta-Analyses Publication (in Press).....	275

List of Tables

Table 1 <i>Summary Statistics for the Statistical Power Analysis</i>	65
Table 2 <i>Cumulated Effect Size (ES) Estimates and Residual Variation as a Function of Cognitive Status After Accounting for Sampling Error</i>	67
Table 3 <i>Cumulated Effect Size (ES) Estimates and Residual Variation as a Function of Medication Status After Accounting for Sampling Error</i>	70
Table 4 <i>Order of Experimental Tasks and Associated Functions</i>	81
Table 5 <i>Means and SDs for Percentage Correct on the Nonverbal Recognition Memory Task for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Participants who Participated in the Pilot Study</i>	96
Table 6 <i>Means and SDs for the Demographic and Neuropsychological Characteristics of the Parkinson's Disease (PD) Group and the Healthy Control (HC) Group as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	107
Table 7 <i>Means and SDs for the Mackworth Clock for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) Group and Healthy Control (HC) Group as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	113
Table 8 <i>Means and SDs for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) Group Characteristics as a Function of Gender</i>	116
Table 9 <i>Medication Profile of Parkinson's Disease (PD) Participants as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	117
Table 10 <i>Mean, SD, and Range of the Daily Levodopa Dose for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) Participants as a Function of Gender and Time</i>	118
Table 11 <i>Summary of Results From the Nonverbal Recognition Memory Task as a Function of Gender, Difficulty Level, and Time for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	120
Table 12 <i>Summary of Results From the Verbal Recognition Memory Task as a Function of Gender and Time for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	121
Table 13 <i>Summary of Results From the Kendrick Object Learning Task as a Function of Gender and Time for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	123
Table 14 <i>Summary of Results From the Prospective Memory Tasks as a Function of Gender and Time for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	124
Table 15 <i>Summary of Effect Sizes From the Memory Tasks as a Function of Time for the Comparisons Involving the Male and Female Parkinson's Disease (PD) and their Respective Healthy Control Groups</i>	125
Table 16 <i>Characteristics of the Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Early PD) Group, the Advanced-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Advanced PD) Group, and the Healthy Control (HC) Group at Time 1</i>	127

Table 17 <i>Mean PC and SD for the Verbal and Nonverbal Recognition Memory Tasks for the Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Early PD) Group, the Advanced-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Advanced PD) Group, and the Healthy Control (HC) Group at Time 1</i>	128
Table 18 <i>Characteristics of the Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Early PD) Group, the Advanced-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Advanced PD) Group, and the Healthy Control Group (HC) at Time 2</i>	129
Table 19 <i>Mean PC and SD for the Verbal and Nonverbal Recognition Memory Tasks for the Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Early PD) Group, the Advanced-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Advanced PD) Group, and the Healthy Control (HC) Group at Time 2</i>	130
Table 20 <i>Summary of Effect Sizes From the Memory Tasks as a Function of Time for the Comparisons Involving the Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Early PD) Group, the Advanced-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Advanced PD) Group, and the Healthy Control Group</i>	132
Table 21 <i>Characteristics of the Depressed Parkinson's Disease (Depressed PD) Group, the Nondepressed Parkinson's Disease (Nondepressed PD) Group, and the Nondepressed Healthy Controls (Nondepressed HC) at Time 1</i>	133
Table 22 <i>Mean PC and SD for the Verbal and Nonverbal Recognition Memory Tasks for the Depressed Parkinson's Disease (Depressed PD) Group, the Nondepressed Parkinson's Disease (Nondepressed PD) Group, and the Nondepressed Healthy Controls (Nondepressed HC) at Time 1</i>	134
Table 23 <i>Characteristics of the Depressed Parkinson's Disease (Depressed PD) Group, the Nondepressed Parkinson's Disease (Nondepressed PD) Group, and the Nondepressed Healthy Controls (Nondepressed HC) at Time 2</i>	136
Table 24 <i>Mean PC and SD for the Verbal and Nonverbal Recognition Memory Tasks for the Depressed Parkinson's Disease (Depressed PD) Group, the Nondepressed Parkinson's Disease (Nondepressed PD) Group, and the Nondepressed Healthy Controls (Nondepressed HC) at Time 2</i>	137
Table 25 <i>Summary of Effect Sizes From the Memory Tasks as a Function of Time for the Comparisons Involving the Depressed and Nondepressed Parkinson's Disease (PD) Groups and the Nondepressed Healthy Controls</i>	138
Table 26 <i>Summary of Demographic and Neuropsychological Characteristics as a Function of Time and Age at Onset for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	139
Table 27 <i>Summary of Disease Characteristics for the Early- and Late-Onset Parkinson's Disease Groups</i>	140
Table 28 <i>Summary of Results From the Nonverbal Recognition Memory Task as a Function of Difficulty Level, Time, and Age at Onset for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	141

Table 29 <i>Summary of Results From the Verbal Recognition Memory Task as a Function of Age at Onset and Time for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	142
Table 30 <i>Summary of Results From the Prospective Memory and Kendrick Object Learning Tasks as a Function of Age at Onset and Time for the Parkinson's Disease (PD) and Healthy Control (HC) Groups</i>	143
Table 31 <i>Summary of Effect Sizes From the Memory Tasks as a Function of Time for the Comparisons Involving the Early- and Late-Onset Parkinson's Disease (PD) Groups and Their Respective Healthy Control Groups</i>	144
Table 32 <i>Zero-Order Correlations Between Memory Scores and Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y) Stage, Age at Onset, and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) Score (Averaged Across Time)</i>	145
Table 33 <i>Test-Retest Reliability Coefficients for Each Group as a Function of Gender</i>	146
Table 34 <i>Component Loadings^a, Communalities (h²), and Percent of Variance Derived From Principal Components Analysis with Varimax Rotation for all Participants on the Memory Tasks</i>	147
Table 35 <i>Significance Levels Versus Effect Sizes for the Recognition Memory Tasks as a Function of Time for the Comparisons Involving the Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Early PD), the Advanced-Stage Parkinson's Disease (Advanced PD), and the Healthy Control Groups</i>	179

List of Figures

<i>Figure 1.</i> Location of the major brain structures involved in Parkinson’s disease.	2
<i>Figure 2.</i> Meta-analysis plot of the effect sizes for each study entered into the demented subgroup analysis. The mean <i>d</i> for each study is shown by the points, and the horizontal lines show the 95% confidence interval.	68
<i>Figure 3.</i> Meta-analysis plot of the effect sizes for each study entered into the nondemented subgroup analysis. The mean <i>d</i> for each study is shown by the points, and the horizontal lines show the 95% confidence interval. Four studies have multiple entries because they used independent groups of PD participants.	69
<i>Figure 4.</i> Flow chart of the Verbal Recognition Memory Task (VRMT) procedure.	94
<i>Figure 5.</i> Temporal sequence of events for one trial in the test phase of the Verbal Recognition Memory Task.	95
<i>Figure 6.</i> Orientation-Memory-Concentration Test (OMCT) scores for the 25 male PD participants and the 23 male HC participants. Higher scores indicate poorer cognitive status.	108
<i>Figure 7.</i> Orientation-Memory-Concentration Test (OMCT) scores for the 16 female PD participants and the 18 female HC participants. Higher scores indicate poorer cognitive status.	109
<i>Figure 8.</i> Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) scores for the 25 male PD participants and the 23 male HC participants at Time 1. Higher scores indicate greater depression.	109
<i>Figure 9.</i> Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) scores for the 25 male PD participants and the 23 male HC participants at Time 2. Higher scores indicate greater depression.	110
<i>Figure 10.</i> Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) scores for the 16 female PD participants and the 18 female HC participants at Time 1. Higher scores indicate greater depression.	111
<i>Figure 11.</i> Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) scores for the 16 female PD participants and the 18 female HC participants at Time 2. Higher scores indicate greater depression.	111
<i>Figure 12.</i> Number of participants at each stage of the Hoehn and Yahr Disease Rating Scale as a function of gender at Time 1. The higher the rating, the more severe the symptoms.	115
<i>Figure 13.</i> Number of participants at each stage of the Hoehn and Yahr Disease Rating Scale as a function of gender at Time 2. The higher the rating, the more severe the symptoms.	115
<i>Figure 14.</i> Mean component scores and <i>SE</i> (vertical bars) for the recall, initial prospective memory (PM), and retest PM components as a function of the Parkinson’s disease (PD) and healthy control (HC) gender subgroups.	148

Preface

The work presented here evolved from a review of the literature on cognitive deficits in Parkinson's disease (PD) focusing on memory deficits. The review indicated that it is widely recognised that people with PD often suffer from cognitive dysfunction including memory deficits. However, the exact nature of these deficits is still under debate. It also became clear that there are considerable inconsistencies in the research findings coupled with little consideration for issues of statistical power, effect size (ES), and cumulative research synthesis. In the PD literature, it is often reported that recall memory is impaired, whereas recognition memory is relatively intact. However, these reports are often based on studies with inadequate statistical power.

Statistical power is important because studies with low statistical power will have little chance of detecting true deficits (effects) when using conventional statistical significance testing (Cohen, 1988). Moreover, statistical significance provides little information about the magnitude of any deficit. To interpret an individual study's results, an alternative to statistical significance testing is the use of point estimates of ES and confidence intervals (Schmidt, 1992, 1996), the approach adopted in this thesis.

To interpret the results of multiple studies, an alternative to the traditional narrative review is the meta-analysis. Meta-analysis is a perspective that uses objective techniques for research synthesis. It is generally used to produce a quantitative summary of a set of studies based on ES rather than significance tests (Bangert-Drowns, 1986; Cooper & Hedges, 1994). In situations where a field of research contains many inconsistent results, as judged by significance tests, meta-analysis is potentially most effective. In these circumstances, the statistical techniques developed by Hunter and Schmidt (1990) allow a meta-analyst to decide whether the variance in the results is due to sampling error or possible moderating factors. Without quantification of the results, it is difficult, if not

impossible in most situations, for a narrative review to achieve this. Above all, meta-analysis maintains all of the qualities of the traditional literary format, but also provides a process for judging whether a set of studies produced consistent results.

The main purpose of the present research, then, was to examine memory deficits, more specifically recognition memory deficits, in PD. A meta-analytical review and a power analysis paved the way for a large-scale experimental investigation of recognition memory impairment in PD. In addition, the study was designed to look at prospective memory, a topic that has not been examined in people with PD. This investigation also assessed a range of possible moderating factors that might interact with the disease process itself to bring about any observed memory deficits.

It should be noted that the meta-analytical data reported here are only a subset of what were originally collected. The complete data set includes ESs calculated from over 100 studies that used recall and/or recognition memory tasks. However, to limit the focus of the present investigation, only the recognition memory data were analysed and reported here.

This dissertation is unconventional in the sense that statistical power and ES are used extensively in the interpretation of both past and present research findings, rather than statistical significance. Further justification for this approach is given both in chapter 2 and in chapter 4. In my opinion, because the present work (like most current psychological research) is exploratory, nothing is lost by taking this approach. In fact, as demonstrated in chapter 4, interesting effects would have been discarded had this approach not been taken.

Finally, a planned comparison approach with simple effects tests was used to examine the influence of potential moderating variables at two different experimental sessions. In retrospect, a multivariate approach, such as multiple regression, could have been taken instead. However, as discussed in chapter 4,

the composition of the sample varied as a function of time. Therefore, a multivariate analysis would have been complicated by differences between sessions.

Organisation of this Dissertation

This dissertation consists of four chapters. Chapter 1 includes six major sections that provide a general overview of PD and the known cognitive deficits, recognition memory, prospective memory, memory systems, and concludes with a summary and rationale for conducting a power analysis and a meta-analysis on the PD literature.

Chapter 2 contains the statistical power analysis and the meta-analysis. It has four major sections detailing the issues and rationale, the methods used, the results, and a discussion. (Note that substantive parts of the method, results, and discussion have been accepted for publication. A copy of the article (in press) is provided in Appendix U.)

Chapter 3 has four major sections detailing the experimental rationale, the methods used, the results, and a discussion of the results.

Finally, chapter 4 provides a general discussion of the present research, including its limitations and future directions, and a general conclusion.

Acknowledgements

I have been most fortunate to work with John Podd, an amazing supervisor and mentor.

My appreciation goes out to all those people who helped me recruit participants, and most of all, to those who gave their time freely to take part in the study.

Thanks to O'Reilly Tucker for his help as an independent judge of coding reliability, and Harvey Jones for his help with the computer programming.

A special thanks to Hayley, for her unwavering support and encouragement. In addition, I am grateful to all the members of my family for always being there when I needed them.

Financial support for this research came from the Massey University Research Fund (1-0575-67302A), the Massey University Research Equipment Fund (1-0575-98025), and from the School of Psychology, Massey University.

All procedures involving human participants were approved by the Massey University Human Ethics Committee, and depending on region, the Manawatu-Whanganui Ethics Committee, the Hawkes Bay Ethics Committee, or the Wellington Ethics Committee.