

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Captivating Child Sponsors:  
Does Engagement Improve Retention?**

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Master of Management  
in  
Communication Management

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

**Rachel Down**

**2012**

## **Abstract**

In the past, regular giving schemes, such as child sponsorship, experienced a high degree of donor loyalty. However, in recent years the attrition rate of these 'regular' givers has risen to 30% per annum and increases to 50 - 60% when donors were solicited through face-to-face recruiters. This concerning trend, combined with economic instability and increasing competition for donor dollars, means child sponsorship programmes must find more effective ways of retaining current donors if they are to remain viable.

This research investigated 'donor engagement', a relatively new donor retention strategy, which seeks to involve donors in activities beyond purely financial giving. Previous literature and research has indicated that donor engagement can increase the loyalty and longevity of donors. However, such research remains fairly thin and does not deal specifically with child sponsors. Therefore, this research set out to examine child sponsors' attitudes to engagement initiatives as well as their effectiveness as a donor retention tool. The research was carried out in cooperation with a prominent New Zealand based development charity, surveying 547 of their child sponsors as well as conducting a number of follow-up interviews.

The research revealed that engaging child sponsors can produce a wide range of positive outcomes, including increasing sponsors' loyalty and longevity. However, the research also revealed a large proportion of more 'passive' donors who had no desire to be engaged by the organisation. Interestingly, these passive donors reported being equally satisfied with their sponsorship experience and remained very committed to their chosen organisation.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Marianne Tremaine, for sharing your valuable knowledge and experience and being a calming and cheery voice at the end of the phone. Also, the Massey University library and IT staff who helped me to make sense of the right research tools and make this process easier.

Approval for this research has been obtained from the Massey University Human Ethics Committee: Southern B, Application 11/57. Approval was received on 19<sup>th</sup> of September, 2011.

I would like to acknowledge the co-operation of the charitable organisation who participated in this research, particularly those staff who I worked most closely with. It has been a real pleasure working with you and it is my hope that this research will prove valuable to you.

I would also like to thank my friends and family who have supported and encouraged me throughout this research process. The meals, laughs, listening ears and proof-reading assistance were all appreciated.

Finally, my husband Michael, I cannot thank you enough for your support, patience and belief in me. I could not have completed this thesis without you. I love you. Now on to our next adventure!

## Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xii
<b>Chapter One - Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter Two - Literature Review</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Definitions	
2.2.1 Child Sponsorship	6
2.2.2 Donor Motivation	11
2.2.3 Donor Commitment	12
2.2.4 Donor Retention	14
2.3 Charitable Challenges	
2.3.1 Economic Instability	16
2.3.2 Competition for Funds	17
2.4 The New Zealand Context	18
2.5 Review and Critique of Existing Donor Retention Strategies	
2.5.1 Overview of Main Donor Retention Strategies	20
2.5.2 Relationship Fundraising	21
2.5.3 Stewardship	26
2.5.4 Engagement	28

2.6	Conclusions	33
<b>Chapter Three - Methodology</b>		<b>34</b>
3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Formulating the Research Question	34
3.3	Methods of Data Collection	36
3.3.1	The Online Survey	36
3.3.2	Online Issues	38
3.3.3	Post-survey Phone Interviews	41
3.4	Approaching the Participating Organisation	42
3.5	Limitations and Constatints	43
3.6	Production of Research Instruments	46
3.6.1	Production of the Online Survey Tool	46
3.6.2	Production of the Post-survey Phone Interview Schedule	49
3.6.3	Piloting of the Research Instruments	49
3.7	Selection of Participants	51
3.7.1	Online Survey Selection	52
3.7.2	Post-survey Interview Selection	53
3.8	Distribution of the Survey	54
3.9	Ethical Considerations	54
3.9.1	Use of Databases	55
3.9.2	Informed Consent	55
3.9.3	Confidentiality and Anonymity	56
3.9.4	Treatment, Use and Protection of Data	56
3.10	Analysis of Data	57

3.11	Conclusion	59
<b>Chapter Four - Results</b>		<b>60</b>
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Respondents	60
4.3	How Participants Sponsor	63
4.4	Why Participants Sponsor	64
4.5	Participants' Experiences and Preferences as Child Sponsors	70
4.6	Post-survey Phone Interview Results	94
4.6.1	Initial Participant Information	95
4.6.2	How Participants came to Sponsor a Child	96
4.6.3	Motivating Factors	99
4.6.4	The Value or Meaningfulness of Child Sponsorship in Participant's Lives	103
4.6.5	Ways in which Child Sponsorship could be made more Meaningful or Valuable	104
4.6.6	Experiences and Attitudes to Donor Engagement	106
4.6.7	Participant's Commitment to Child Sponsorship	109
4.6.8	Participant's Information Preferences	112
4.6.9	Positive Feedback and Areas for Improvement	116
4.6.10	Participant's Overall Level of Satisfaction with their Child Sponsorship Experience	120
4.7	Conclusion	120

<b>Chapter Five - Discussion</b>	<b>121</b>
5.1 Introduction	121
5.2 Respondents	121
5.3 Why Participants Sponsor	123
5.3.1 Donor Motivation	124
5.3.2 Donor Prompts	126
5.4 Experiences and Preferences of Child Sponsors	127
5.4.1 How Meaningful or Valuable Child Sponsorship is	128
5.4.2 Information Provision and Preferences	130
5.4.3 Engagement Preferences	135
5.4.4 Previous Engagement and its effects on the Child Sponsorship Experience	138
5.4.5 Commitment to Child Sponsorship	140
5.4.6 Satisfaction with Child Sponsorship	142
5.5 Conclusions	143
<b>Chapter Six – Conclusion</b>	<b>144</b>
6.1 Introduction	144
6.2 Answering the Research Questions	144
6.3 Limitations of the Research	148
6.4 Recommendations for Future Research	149
6.5 Conclusion	151
<b>Chapter Seven – Recommendations</b>	<b>153</b>
7.1 Introduction	153

7.2	Providing Opportunities for Donor Engagement	153
7.3	Personalising the Child Sponsorship Experience	155
7.4	Online Engagement and Web-Accessible Information	157
7.5	Conclusion	158
<b>References</b>		<b>159</b>
<b>Appendices</b>		<b>166</b>
	Appendix A: Online Survey Questionnaire	166
	Appendix B: Interview Schedule	173
	Appendix C: Introductory email to potential participants	176
	Appendix D: Participant Information Sheet (Online Survey)	178
	Appendix E: Participant Information Sheet (Phone interview)	182
	Appendix F: Statement of consent for online survey	186
	Appendix G: Consent agreement for potential phone interview participants	186
	Appendix H: Consent form for release of final phone interview notes	187
	Appendix I: Length of sponsorship and email eligibility information from the participating organisation	188

Appendix J: Demographic information of the participating organisation	188
Appendix K: Division of age groups (Working)	189

## List of Tables

Table 1:	Length of time participants had been child sponsors	61
Table 2:	Current age of participants	62
Table 3:	How many children participants sponsor	63
Table 4:	Further explanation of what initially prompted participants to sponsor a child	66
Table 5:	Further explanation of what initially motivated participants to sponsor a child	70
Table 6:	Meaning or value added to participants' lives through child sponsorship (Likert scale results)	71
Table 7:	Meaning or value added to participants' lives through child sponsorship; comparing 'engaged' and 'non-engaged' participants	72
Table 8:	Meaning or value added to participants' lives through child sponsorship; comparing participants who write to their sponsored children with those participants who do not write	73
Table 9:	Participants' understanding of how their sponsorship funds are used (Likert scale results)	73
Table 10:	How participants' feel about the amount of information they receive about their specific sponsored child	74
Table 11:	Whether participants feel that writing to their sponsored children affected their donating experience positively	75

Table 12: How those participants who answered they would like opportunities to be more actively involved would like to be involved	80
Table 13: The number of participants that have been 'engaged' with the charity ie. Had involvement that extends beyond purely financial giving?	80
Table 14: How participants felt their previous engagement affected their sponsorship experience	83
Table 15: Participants' discuss whether there is anything else they feel could make their sponsorship experience more positive or meaningful	88
Table 16: Participants' discuss whether there is anything else they feel could increase their commitment to the charity	89
Table 17: Participants discuss whether there is anything they feel may jeopardise their continued support of the charity	91
Table 18: Participants discuss whether there is anything in particular that has ensured their continued support of the charity	92
Table 19: Participants' level of satisfaction with their child sponsorship experience (Likert scale results)	93
Table 20: Participants' level of satisfaction with their child sponsorship experience; comparing 'engaged' and 'non-engaged' participants	93

## List of Figures

Figure 1: How participants sponsor children; on their own or with others	64
Figure 2: What initially prompted participants to sponsor a child	64
Figure 3: What initially prompted participants to sponsor a child; comparing participants who have sponsored for differing lengths of time	65
Figure 4: The primary initial motivation that caused participants to sponsor a child	67
Figure 5: The primary initial motivation that caused participants to sponsor a child; comparing participants of different ages	68
Figure 6: The primary initial motivation that caused participants to sponsor a child; comparing differing lengths of sponsorship	69
Figure 7: Example of Likert scale to determine how meaningful or valuable participants find their child sponsorship experience	71
Figure 8: Example of Likert scale to determine participants' understanding of how their sponsorship funds are used	73
Figure 9: The frequency with which participants write to their sponsored children	75
Figure 10: Participants' attitudes to correspondence from the charity	76
Figure 11: Participants' attitudes to correspondence from the charity, comparing participants who have sponsored for differing lengths of time	77

Figure 12: Participants' attitudes to correspondence from the charity; comparing participants of different ages	77
Figure 13: Initiatives that participants feel would make their sponsorship experience more positive or meaningful	78
Figure 14: Initiatives that participants feel would make their sponsorship experience more positive or meaningful; comparing participants of different ages	79
Figure 15: The ages of those participants who have been 'engaged' with the charity	81
Figure 16: The kinds of engagement activities participants have previously been involved in	82
Figure 17: Participant's current level of commitment to the charity	84
Figure 18: Participant's current level of commitment to the charity; comparing 'engaged' and 'non-engaged' participants	84
Figure 19: Initiatives that participants feel would increase their loyalty towards the charity	85
Figure 20: Participants who answered they would like access to further information, state how they would like to receive such information	86
Figure 21: Participants who answered they would like access to further information, state how they would like to receive such information; comparing participants of different ages	87
Figure 22: Example of Likert scale to determine participants' level of satisfaction with their child sponsorship experience	93