

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

***In the name of GOD the most beneficent the most merciful***

633 327  
mir

0620

**QUANTITATIVE GENETICS OF PROSTRATENESS  
AND OTHER RELATED ATTRIBUTES IN  
RED CLOVER (*Trifolium pratense* L.)**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in Plant Breeding and Genetics  
Department of Plant Science  
Massey University  
New Zealand**

***HOSSEIN MIRZAIE-NODOUSHAN***

**1993**

## ABSTRACT

Three major experiments were conducted to investigate quantitative genetic aspects of prostrateness and related attributes in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) during the years 1991-1993. These were done on several red clover genotypes with prostrate growth habit, nodal rooting ability, and early flowering characteristics, together with several other genotypes from semierect and erect types.

Three types of experiments were carried out:

1) Since genotype environment interaction is believed to be ubiquitous in affecting the performance of plants, a series of experiments were carried out in order to get general information on a range of red clover germplasm representative of the three distinct types of red clover. Twelve genotypes (four per type) were studied in a randomized complete block design with three replications at two sites for two successive years. Several techniques of univariate and multivariate analysis were applied in order to quantify and qualify the magnitude and pattern of the possible genotype-environment interaction effects. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation values were estimated for each year and type separately as well as for the whole data set in genotype-environment interaction experiment.

As a result of GE interaction analysis, a large amount of genetic variation was found in the genotypes examined. Several attributes presented significant first and second order interaction effects. Multivariate discriminant analysis based on these effects revealed discriminant scores by which the contribution and importance of each attribute in the response of genotypes examined in the environments was studied. Cluster analysis revealed that each of the three red clover types have their own particular responses to the environment effect. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation patterns were different from year to year and type to type. Prostrate growth habit reduced dry matter yield through significant negative correlation with yield components.

2) One accession from each of the two extreme types, erect and prostrate, were examined using a hierarchical mating design to investigate their genetic structure and to obtain more detailed genetic information on a narrower germplasm. Nine random plants from each type were cloned and used as male parent. Each male parent was crossed to six different random plants as female parents, three from the same population and three from opposite population. In other words four sets of crosses, two intra- and two inter-population sets, were made. To evaluate the 108 progeny families produced, male groups were divided into six sets, each containing three male groups from the same type. Each set was examined in a randomized

complete block design with three replications. Various genetic parameters including genetic variance components and heritability of several morphological attributes were estimated.

The two plant populations examined by the mating design, presented different patterns of genetic variation. Although the prostrate population did not have much genetic variation, its additive genetic variance components were of more importance than dominant components. However, in the erect population, dominance components of variance were more important than additive. In inter-population crosses, additive components were more important than dominance components. Stem length, number of internodes, number of branches, and plant diameter presented high level of heterosis. Number of stems, plant height, and stem thickness presented fairly high hybrid depression (negative heterosis).

Heritability broad sense and narrow sense were estimated in genotype-environment interaction experiment and hierarchical mating design. Heritability values in GE interaction experiment were different from the heritability broad sense values in hierarchical mating design for most of the attributes, indicating the influence of GE interaction effect. This difference was not noticeable in prostrateness. Heritability narrow sense estimated in hierarchical mating design varied from intra- to inter-population crosses.

3) Three sets of generation mean analysis were carried out to obtain the most detailed genetic information including function of genes, and number of genes controlling the attributes. To achieve these, three pairs of parent plants were used (one erect and one prostrate in each pair) to produce  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $Bc_1$ , and  $Bc_2$ . Several attributes which were distinct enough in the two types so that it could be assumed that parent populations were nearly homozygous in opposite directions, were studied in these crosses. Three, six, and the best parsimonious models were presented for the studied attributes.

Prostrateness and stem thickness were partially to completely dominant over erectness and stem thinness. Small leaf size was over-dominant over large leaf size. There were strong evidences for additive x additive non-allelic interaction for stem thickness, additive x dominance interaction for leaf size, and dominance x dominance interaction for prostrateness and leaf size. Nodal rooting ability, prostrateness, and stem thickness seemed to be controlled by a low number of genes, whereas leaf size seemed to be controlled by several genes.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all thanks be to Almighty God the Lord of the Universe Who guides us to the right path.

Then I would like to express my particular thanks and appreciation to my sponsor, Ministry of Jihad Sazandegi of Islamic Republic of Iran for enabling me to extend my studies towards PhD by providing financial support.

I would like to express my special thanks to my chief supervisor, Dr. I.L. Gordon. I can perhaps never thank him enough for his guidance, close assistance and very useful suggestions throughout this study.

My thanks are also extended to my co-supervisor Dr. W. Rumball at Grassland, Agresearch, Palmerston North, for his useful and friendly suggestions and also for providing required seed.

It was a very delightful occasion working with such a wise and excellent staff of Plant Science Department. In particular, my especial thanks are extended to Dr. K. Harrington for his guidance on weed controlling and Mrs. Karen Hill for her valuable helps in seed germinating tests.

I am very grateful and indebted to professor J. Hodgson and Professor M. Hill for their guidance, warm encouragement, and pleasant interactions.

Thanks to Professor P.G. Fenemore for pest identification and Dr. P. Long for disease verification.

I am deeply grateful to Mr. Ray Johnstone and his excellent colleagues in Plant Growth Unit (PGU). The way they handle problems is far beyond their duty.

Many thanks to field staff Mr. Terry Lynch and his colleagues for field technical assistance, F. Brown, C. McKenzie and D. Sollit for technical assistance, J. Cave and C. Gwynne for providing assistance at various ways.

Finally I would like to thank my wife, and children for their moral support, encouragement and tolerance.

## Table of contents

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	<b>III</b>
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	<b>IV</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>List of Plates</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>List of Appendices</b> .....	<b>XIV</b>

### CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Overview of experimental programme .....	4

### CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction .....	6
2.2 Genotype-environment interactions .....	7
2.2.1 Analysis of variance .....	10
2.2.2 Partitioning of GE interaction variance component .....	13
2.2.3 Linear regression .....	14
2.2.4 Other methods of investigating GE interaction .....	16
2.2.5 Multivariate methods .....	17
2.2.5.1 Classification methods .....	18
2.2.5.2 Principal component analysis .....	19
2.2.5.3 Multiple discriminant analysis .....	20
2.2.6 Genotype-environment interaction in red clover .....	22
2.2.7 Heritability .....	23
2.2.8 Phenotypic and genotypic correlation .....	26
2.3 Partitioning genetic variance .....	27
2.3.1 Covariance of relatives .....	28
2.3.2 Diallel .....	29



2.3.3 Factorial mating design (North Carolina model II) . . . . .	33
2.3.4 Hierarchical mating design (North Carolina model I) . . . . .	35
2.3.5 Genetic variance components in red clover . . . . .	42
2.4 Generation mean and variance analyses . . . . .	43
2.5 Estimating the number of genes . . . . .	49

### **CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS**

3.1 Genotypes . . . . .	52
3.2 Objectives . . . . .	53
3.3 Genotype-environment interactions . . . . .	53
3.3.1 Test locations and land preparation . . . . .	53
3.3.2 Evaluation . . . . .	54
3.3.2.1 Seedling raising and Experimental design . . . . .	54
3.3.2.2 Studied attributes . . . . .	55
3.3.2.3 Statistical analysis . . . . .	57
3.3.2.3.1 Univariate analysis . . . . .	57
3.3.2.3.2 Genetical analysis . . . . .	61
3.3.2.3.3 Phenotypic and genotypic correlation . . . . .	61
3.3.2.3.4 Heritability and genetic advance . . . . .	62
3.3.2.4 Multivariate analysis . . . . .	63
3.3.2.4.1 Multivariate discriminant analysis . . . . .	63
3.3.2.4.2 Cluster analysis . . . . .	65
3.4 Hierarchical mating design . . . . .	67
3.4.1 Parent plants . . . . .	67
3.4.2 Cloning . . . . .	67
3.4.3 Crossing . . . . .	69
3.4.3.1 Field crossing nursery . . . . .	69
3.4.3.2 Hand crossing . . . . .	69
3.4.4 Evaluation . . . . .	70
3.4.4.1 Field design, and experimental establishment . . . . .	70
3.4.4.2 Studied attributes . . . . .	71
3.4.4.3 Data analysis . . . . .	73
3.4.4.3.1 Statistical model . . . . .	73

3.4.4.3.2 Estimating standard errors . . . . .	76
3.4.4.3.3 Heritability and genetic advance . . . . .	77
3.4.4.3.4 Reciprocal crossing effects . . . . .	79
3.4.4.3.5 Heterosis and hybrid depression . . . . .	79
3.5 Generation mean analysis . . . . .	79
3.5.1 Crossing nursery . . . . .	79
3.5.2 Progeny tests . . . . .	80
3.5.3 Statistical analysis . . . . .	81
3.5.4 Genetical analysis . . . . .	81
3.5.4.1 Function of gene . . . . .	81
3.5.4.2 Testing the model . . . . .	83
3.6 Estimating minimum number of genes . . . . .	84
3.7 Estimating heterosis . . . . .	85

## CHAPTER FOUR: GENOTYPE-ENVIRONMENT INTERACTIONS

### RESULTS AND ASSOCIATED DISCUSSION

4.1 Univariate analysis . . . . .	86
4.1.1 Analysis of variance . . . . .	86
4.1.1.1 Environmental variances . . . . .	87
4.1.1.2 Genotypic variances . . . . .	88
4.1.2 Genotypic performance . . . . .	92
4.1.2.1 Grand mean values . . . . .	92
4.1.2.2 Environments and their interaction means . . . . .	92
4.1.3 Heritability and genetic advance . . . . .	95
4.1.4 Phenotypic and genotypic correlation . . . . .	96
4.2 Multivariate analysis . . . . .	102
4.2.1 Multivariate discriminant analysis . . . . .	102
4.2.1.1 Based on GE interaction effect (all traits) . . . . .	102
4.2.1.2 Based on GE interaction effect (traits with significant GxSxY effect) . . . . .	105
4.2.1.3 Based on first order interaction (Traits with significant GxY effect) . . . . .	107

4.2.2 Cluster analysis . . . . .	108
4.2.3 Type discrimination . . . . .	116

## **CHAPTER FIVE : HIERARCHICAL MATING DESIGN**

### **RESULTS AND ASSOCIATED DISCUSSION**

5.1 Intra- and inter-crosses mean values . . . . .	118
5.2 Heterosis and hybrid depression . . . . .	118
5.3 Biometrical components of variance . . . . .	119
5.4 Genetic components of variance . . . . .	125
5.5 Dominance ratio . . . . .	125
5.6 Heritability and genetic advance . . . . .	129
5.7 The ratio of intra- to inter-population male and female components of variance . . . . .	129

## **CHAPTER SIX : GENERATION MEAN ANALYSIS**

### **RESULTS AND ASSOCIATED DISCUSSION**

6.1 Gene function . . . . .	136
6.1.1 Prostrateness . . . . .	136
6.1.2 Nodal rooting ability . . . . .	139
6.1.3 Leaf size . . . . .	141
6.1.4 Stem thickness . . . . .	142
6.2 Minimum number of genes . . . . .	146

## **CHAPTER SEVEN: GENERAL DISCUSSION**

7.1 Introduction . . . . .	147
7.2 Genotype-environment interaction . . . . .	147
7.2.1 Genetic variation . . . . .	149
7.2.2 Environments and GE interaction . . . . .	149
7.2.3 Phenotypic and genotypic correlation . . . . .	151
7.2.4 Multivariate analysis . . . . .	154
7.2.4.1 Multiple discriminant analysis . . . . .	155

7.2.4.2 Cluster analysis . . . . .	156
7.3 Hierarchical mating design . . . . .	158
7.3.1 Why hierarchical mating design? . . . . .	158
7.3.2 Additive component of genetic variance . . . . .	159
7.3.3 Non-additive component of genetic variance . . . . .	161
7.3.4 Degree of dominance . . . . .	163
7.3.5 Frequency of favourable alleles . . . . .	165
7.3.6 Heritability . . . . .	166
7.3.7 Predicted genetic advance . . . . .	168
7.3.8 Heterosis and hybrid depression . . . . .	170
7.4 Generation mean analysis . . . . .	171
7.4.1 Introduction . . . . .	171
7.4.2 Gene effects . . . . .	173
7.5 Number of genes . . . . .	175
7.6 Conclusions . . . . .	177
7.7 Suggested further studies . . . . .	180
<b>REFERENCES . . . . .</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>APPENDICES . . . . .</b>	<b>198</b>

### List of Tables

Table 2.1: analysis of variance for a series of experiments pooled over several sites and years. . . . .	12
Table 2.2 : Analysis of variance appropriate for design II. . . . .	34
Table 2.3 : Coefficients of genetic components of variance . . . . .	35
Table 2.4: Analysis of variance of hierarchical mating design . . . . .	37
Table 2.5 : Genetic expectations of various components of variance. . .	39
Table 2.6. Analysis of variance appropriate with design 1 when the male groups are divided to s sets. . . . .	39
Table 3.1: The expectations of mean squares and the degree of freedom for the analysis of variance of a series of experiments pooled over sites nested within year given all the effects are random. . . . .	59
Table 3.2: Measured attributes, their abbreviations and unit of measurement. . . . .	73
Table 3.3 : Expectations of mean square for a random effect, balance, hierarchical mating design. . . . .	74
Table 4.1 : The abbreviations used to refer to the attributes, the units of measurement, the grand mean, their coefficient of variance, and the values for correlation between time ( $r_t$ ) on which GE interaction experiments were conducted. . . . .	87
Table 4.2: Variance components (and their standard errors) for pooled analysis of variance of twelve genotypes at two sites over two years. . . . .	90
Table 4.2, continued : Variance components (and their standard errors) for pooled analysis of variance of twelve genotypes at two sites over two years. . . . .	91
Table 4.3 : Site by year overall means (environment effect) for the measured characters. . . . .	93
Table 4.4 : Genotypic means across all environments. . . . .	94
Table 4.5 : Site and year overall means and their F values for measured characters. . . . .	95

Table 4.6 : Phenotypic correlation between traits for twelve genotypes at two sites over two years. . . . .	99
Table 4.7 : Phenotypic correlations between traits for twelve genotypes at two sites for first and second year. The correlations for first year are above the diagonal and those for second year are below the diagonal. . . . .	100
Table 4.8 : Genotypic correlations between traits for 12 genotypes at two sites over two years. . . . .	101
Table 4.9 : Full and restricted heritability and their standard error. . . . .	102
Table 4.10 : Multiple discriminant of GxSxY patterns (discrimination based on all attributes) . . . . .	103
Table 4.11 : First and second canonical structure values describing the most part of variation exist in data set (discrimination based on all attributes). . . . .	104
Table 4.12 : Multiple discriminant of GxSxY patterns in attributes with significant GxSxY effect in pooled analysis. . . . .	105
Table 4.13: First and second canonical structure values describing the most part of variation exist in data set (discrimination based on attributes with significant GxSxY effect in ANOVA). . . . .	106
Table 4.14 : Multiple discriminant of GxY patterns in attributes with significant GxY effect in pooled analysis. . . . .	107
Table 4.15: The structures of discriminant functions and standardized coefficients of the analysis based on the attributes with significant GxY effect. . . . .	108
Table 4.16 : The probability of F test for different clustering stages (clustering based on GxSxY effect and all attributes). . . . .	109
Table 4.17 : The probability of F test for different clustering stages (clustering based on attributes with significant GxSxY effect). . . . .	110
Table 4.18 : The probability of F test for different clustering stages (clustering based on attributes with significant GxY effect). . . . .	110
Table 4.19 : Cluster mean values for all environments (clustering based on GxSxY effect) . . . . .	111
Table 4.20: Cluster mean values for both years (clustering based on	

attributes with significant GxY effect). . . . . 112

Table 4.21 : Multiple discriminant analysis results based on the growth habit. . . . . 116

Table 4.22 : First and second canonical structure values and standardized canonical structures. . . . . 117

Table 5.1: Mean values, their percentage of coefficient of variation (in brackets), heterosis, hybrid depression for inter and intra-population sets of crosses ( $F_1$ ). . . . . 120

Table 5.2 : Biometrical components of variance and their standard errors in erect intra-population crosses. . . . . 121

Table 5.3 : Biometrical components of variance and their standard errors in prostrate intra-population crosses. . . . . 122

Table 5.4 : Biometrical components of variance and their standard errors in first inter-population crosses where erect population was as male parent population. . . . . 123

Table 5.5 : Biometrical components of variance and their standard errors in second inter-population crosses where prostrate population was as male parent population. . . . . 124

Table 5.6 : Estimates of genetic variance components and their standard errors in erect population. . . . . 126

Table 5.7 : Estimates of genetic variance components and their standard errors in prostrate population. . . . . 127

Table 5.8 : Estimates of genetic variance components and their standard errors in inter-population crosses. . . . . 128

Table 5.9 : Full heritability narrow sense and broad sense estimates and expected genetic advance per cycle of selection in intra and inter-population crosses. . . . . 130

Table 5.10 : Restricted heritability narrow sense and broad sense estimates and expected genetic advance per cycle of selection in intra and inter-population crosses. . . . . 131

Table 5.11 : Ratios of intra to inter-population male and female components of variances. . . . . 132

Table 6.1 : Degrees of freedom and mean squares from the weighted

analyses of variance of parental, $F_1$ , $F_2$ , $B_1$ , and $B_2$ for 4 characters in three sets of crosses (erect x prostrate). . . . .	134
Table 6.2 : Observed generation means, their within plot variances and $F_1$ mid-parent deviations ( $F_1$ -MP) for four attributes in cross one. . . . .	134
Table 6.3 : Observed generation means, their within plot variances and $F_1$ mid-parent deviations ( $F_1$ -MP) for four attributes in cross two. . . . .	135
Table 6.4 : Observed generation means, their within plot variances and $F_1$ mid-parent deviations ( $F_1$ -MP) for four attributes in cross three. . . . .	135
Table 6.5: Gene effects estimated for <b>prostrateness</b> using three and six parameter models on means and their variances of parents, $F_1$ , $F_2$ , $B_1$ and $B_2$ in a cross between erect and prostrate plants. . . . .	138
Table 6.6 : Gene effects estimated for <b>nodal rooting ability</b> using three and six parameter models on means and their variances of parents, $F_1$ , $F_2$ , $Bc_1$ and $Bc_2$ in a cross between erect and prostrate plants. . . . .	140
Table 6.7 : Gene effects estimated for <b>leaf size</b> using three and six parameter models on means and their variances of parents, $F_1$ , $F_2$ , $Bc_1$ and $Bc_2$ in a cross between erect and prostrate plants. . .	144
Table 6.8 : Gene effects estimated for <b>stem thickness</b> using three and six parameter models on means and their variances of parents, $F_1$ , $F_2$ , $Bc_1$ and $Bc_2$ in a cross between erect and prostrate plants. . . . .	145
Table 6.9 : The estimated number of genes control the attributes (and their standard errors). . . . .	146



### List of Plates

Plate 1: General performance of the two types of red clover, prostrate and erect . . . . .	66
Plate 2: General view of plots after transplanting the jiffy pots in the field. . . . .	70
Plate 3: Variation in leafiness in inter-population crosses. . . . .	72

### List of Figures

Figure 4.1: Dendrogram for cluster analysis based on the first and second discriminant scores for the GxSxY partition (all attributes). . . . .	113
Figure 4.2 : Dendrogram for cluster analysis based on the first and second discriminant scores for the GxSxY partitioning (attributes with significant GxSxY effect in ANOVA). . . . .	114
Figure 4.3 : Dendrogram for cluster analysis based on the first and second discriminant scores for the GxY partitioning (attributes with significant GxY effect in ANOVA). . . . .	115

## List of Appendices

Appendix 1, Table 1: accession number and their structure used in experiments. . . . .	198
Appendix 1, Table 2: The results of the test of homogeneity of variance in the genotype-environment interaction experiments. . .	199
Appendix 2, Table 1 : Genotype X site interaction mean values. . . . .	200
Appendix 2, Table 1, continued : Genotype X site interaction mean values. . . . .	201
Appendix 2, Table 2 : Genotype X year interaction mean values. . . . .	202
Appendix 2, Table 2, continued : Genotype X year interaction mean values. . . . .	203
Appendix 2, Table 3 : Genotype X environment interaction mean values. . . . .	204
Appendix 2, Table 3, continued: Genotype-environment interaction mean values. . . . .	205
Appendix 3 : Experimental mean squares, (their corresponding F values) from pooled analysis of variance of twelve genotypes at two sites over two years. . . . .	206
Appendix 3; continued : Experimental mean squares, (their corresponding F values) from pooled analysis of variance of twelve genotypes at two sites over two years. . . . .	207
Appendix 4, Table 1: Phenotypic and genotypic correlation between	

traits for three genotypes in erect type. The phenotypic correlations are above the diagonal and genotypic correlations are below the diagonal. . . . .	208
Appendix 4, Table 2 : Phenotypic and genotypic correlation between traits for three genotypes in semi-erect type. The phenotypic correlations are above the diagonal and genotypic correlations are below the diagonal. . . . .	209
Appendix 4, Table 3 : Phenotypic and genotypic correlation between traits for three genotypes in prostrate type. The phenotypic correlations are above the diagonal and genotypic correlations are below the diagonal. . . . .	210
Appendix 5: HOMINO programme for analysing generation means, estimating number of genes and heritability. . . . .	211