

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE EFFECTS OF LEAF SHEAR BREAKING LOAD ON
THE FEEDING VALUE OF
PERENNIAL RYEGRASS FOR
SHEEP**

*A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in Animal Science
at Massey University,
Palmerston North,
New Zealand*

TATSUSHI INOUÉ

1992

Preface

The contents of this thesis represent original work conducted by the author under the supervision of Dr Ian Brookes and Professor Tom Barry of Department of Animal Science, Massey University and Dr Andrew John of Applied Biotechnology Division, DSIR and Dr Warren Hunt of Grasslands Division, DSIR.

Abstract

Inoué, T., 1992. *The Effects of Leaf Shear Breaking Load on the Feeding Value of Perennial Ryegrass for Sheep*. 194pp.

Reducing physical resistance has been thought to be a key factor to increase efficiency of masticatory breakdown of forage, which may lead to faster rumen fractional outflow rates (FOR) and consequently to increased voluntary feed intake and hence improved feeding value (FV). Two selections of perennial ryegrass (PRG) were selected for low (LS) and high leaf shear breaking load (HS) based on the maximum load required to shear across the leaf, i.e. leaf shear breaking load (LSBL). The series of experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of LSBL on the FV of PRG for sheep.

LSBL, morphological and anatomical parameters were measured on the LS and HS PRG selections grown under the optimum climatic conditions. LSBL for the LS PRG selection was approximately 41 % lower than the HS PRG selection. However, the LS selection had shorter leaf lengths, narrower leaf widths and narrower leaf cross-sectional area (CSA) than the HS selection. Therefore, in leaf shear strength (LSS), the LS selection was estimated to be approximately 27 % less resistant to shear than the HS selection per unit of CSA. The lower LSS in the LS selection is due to the lower concentration of sclerenchyma tissues in leaf CSA compared with the HS selection. However, the differences in the total shear load required to breakdown a unit dry weight of leaves to 1 x 1 mm particle size, namely, leaf index of masticatory load (IML) between the selections were influenced by the differences in morphological characteristics of leaves between the two PRG selections.

Comparisons were made between the LS and HS PRG selections in the efficiency of mastication by sheep on particle breakdown. There were no major effects of reduced LSBL in PRG on the efficiency of mastication during eating and during

rumination. Although the LS PRG selection was approximately 29 % lower in LSBL than the HS PRG selection, the difference for the two PRG selections in IML was almost nil.

Effects of LSBL in PRG on rumen fractional outflow rate (FOR) and apparent digestibilities were investigated in sheep fed at restricted feed intake levels. There were no effects of reduced LSBL on FOR, although the LS PRG selection was approximately 39 and 12 % lower than the HS PRG selection in LSBL and IML, respectively. The digestibility of the cellulose fractions was approximately 16 % lower in the LS PRG selection than the HS PRG selection. The leaf morphology in PRG may affect the efficiency of fibre digestibility.

Two field trials were conducted to test the hypothesis that LSBL in PRG improves FOR and leads to higher voluntary feed intake, and hence achieves improved live weight gain and wool production, namely FV. Although the LS PRG selection had 25-30 % lower LSBL than the HS PRG selection, live weight gain and wool production of sheep were not improved by reduced LSBL. FOR in sheep showed no indications of difference and voluntary feed intake was similar between the animals grazing the LS and HS PRG selections. The lack of difference in IML between the LS and HS PRG selection can be considered as a main reason for this. The hypothesis, that reduced LSBL in PRG would improve its FV, was therefore rejected.

In conclusion, there were no major effects of reduced LSBL in PRG on efficiency of masticatory particle breakdown, and consequently, FOR, feed intake and hence FV in sheep. This is due to the lack of selection effect of PRG in IML. IML is a determining factor for the efficiency of mastication both during eating and rumination. The selection of PRG for a lower IML will, therefore, be necessary in order to increase efficiency of masticatory particle breakdown, FOR and hence FV of PRG.

Acknowledgements

I want to express my sincere appreciation and gratefulness to my supervisors, Dr Ian Brookes, Professor Tom Barry of Department of Animal Science and Dr Andrew John and Dr Warren Hunt of DSIR for their enthusiastic guidance, preparation of this manuscript and assistance with field trials (even at two o'clock in the morning).

I want to thank Professor John Hodgson of Department of Agronomy, Dr Cam Reid of Department of Physiology and Anatomy, Veterinary Sciences and Dr Sydney Easton of DSIR for their valuable advice.

I also like to thank Dr Ian Gordon of Department of Agronomy, Dr Dorian Garrick and Mr Carlos Sosa-Ferreya of Department of Animal Science for their excellent statistical guidance.

My special thanks are due to Professor Koji Toyokawa a visiting professor from, my home country, Japan for his help during his stay at Massey University.

My special thanks are extended to DSIR, Mr Barry Parlane of Animal Physiology Unit, Mr Tim Harvey, Mr Phil Whitehead and Mr Mike Hogan of Sheep and Beef Cattle Research Unit for providing the facilities, experimental animals and help with the experiments.

I was fortunate to have excellent technical support in the field trials from Ms Jenney Wickham, Ms Catriona Jenkinson, Mr Dean Burnham and the late Mr Merv Wycherley of Department of Animal Science and Mr John Kook, Mr Peter Millner and Mr Norman Dench of DSIR.

I want to gratefully thank to laboratory staff, Ms Rosemary Watson, Mrs Barbara Purchas, Mrs Kathy Morton, Mr Dave Hamilton of Department of Animal Science

and Mr David Shelton of DSIR for their excellent service and technical assistance, Mr A. Craig and Mr D. Hopcroft of DSIR for providing excellent technical assistance with microscopy, Mr Leo Bolter of Department of Engineering and Mr K. Palmer of Victoria University for the marker analysis.

My special thanks are also extended to Mr Mike Conlon of Department of Food Technology and Mrs Elizabeth Halligan and Technical Services Group of Plant Physiology Division, DSIR for providing the research facilities and technical assistance.

Thanks are also due to Mrs Barbara Purchas and Ms Margaret Scott for proof-reading the manuscript.

I want to thank all the staff and postgraduate student in the Department of Animal Science for friendship, support and understanding which made my stay in New Zealand an enjoyable and memorable one.

I want to express my appreciation to my student colleagues, Françoise, Raymundo, Christine (MacArthur), Sunan, Warren, Min and, especially, Zheng Zhong for their help.

I acknowledge the personal financial support received from the New Zealand University Grants Committee Scholarship. This project was financially supported by the C. Alma Baker Trust, the Grant-in-aid from DSIR and the Massey University Research Fund.

I am particularly grateful to my friend, Sabine, for her support and encouragement.

Finally, I also want to specially thank my parents for their continued encouragement and support throughout my life.

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i>	i
<i>Abstract</i>	ii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	iv
<i>List of tables</i>	xvi
<i>List of figures</i>	xxiv
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxviii
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>Chapter One</i> A Review of Factors Limiting the Feeding Value of Perennial Ryegrass	
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 The role of perennial ryegrass in the New Zealand pasture	3
1.2.1 Agronomic characteristics of perennial ryegrass	3
1.2.2 Animal production response to perennial ryegrass	4
1.2.2.1 Definition of feeding value	4
1.2.2.2 Feeding value of perennial ryegrass	5
1.2.3 Conclusion	7
1.3 Factors limiting the feeding value of perennial ryegrass	7
1.3.1 Factors limiting nutritive value of perennial ryegrass	7
1.3.1.1 Apparent digestibility of perennial ryegrass	7
1.3.1.2 Efficiency of utilisation of perennial ryegrass	9
1.3.1.3 Conclusion	11
1.3.2 Factors limiting intake of perennial ryegrass	11
1.3.2.1 Non-nutritional factors	13
1.3.2.1.1 Sward condition and prehending behaviour	13

1.3.2.2	Nutritional factors	16
1.3.2.2.1	Metabolic factors	16
1.3.2.2.2	Physical factors	17
1.3.2.3	Conclusion	17
1.3.3	Digesta passage from the rumen	19
1.3.3.1	Physical reduction in particle size and microbial digestion ...	19
1.3.3.1.1	Chewing during eating	19
1.3.3.1.2	Chewing during rumination	20
1.3.3.1.3	Role of microbial attack in the rumen	23
1.3.3.2	Passage through the reticulo-omasal orifice	23
1.3.3.3	Conclusion	24
1.4	Attempts to improve feeding value of perennial ryegrass by reducing physical resistance to breakdown	24
1.4.1	Physical resistance of perennial ryegrass to fracture	24
1.4.1.1	Anatomy of perennial ryegrass	24
1.4.1.2	Forces applied at fracture	28
1.4.1.2.1	Perennial ryegrass in tensile stress	31
1.4.1.2.2	Forces applied to the feed material during mastication by ruminants	35
1.4.1.2.2.1	Masticatory movements of ruminants	35
1.4.1.2.2.2	Forces applying to the feed material by teeth	37
1.4.1.2.3	Conclusion	37
1.4.2	Contribution of reduced leaf shear breaking load in perennial ryegrass towards improved intake and feeding value - Background studies	40
1.4.2.1	Selection for low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass	40
1.4.2.2	Rates of dry matter consumption, digestibilities and liveweight gains of sheep grazing two perennial ryegrass selections selected for low and high leaf shear breaking load	43
1.4.2.3	Conclusion	43

1.4.3 Objectives of investigations in this thesis	46
---	----

Chapter Two Comparisons of Leaf Anatomy, Leaf Morphology and Leaf Shear Strength between Two Perennial Ryegrass Selections Selected for Low and High Leaf Shear Breaking Load

2.1 Introduction	48
2.2 Materials and methods	48
2.2.1 Low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	49
2.2.2 Experimental design	49
2.2.2.1 Plant allocation	51
2.2.2.2 Identification and allocation of leaves	51
2.2.3 Collection of leaves	51
2.2.4 Measurements of leaf morphology	52
2.2.5 Determination of leaf shear breaking load	52
2.2.5.1 The position of shear on the leaf	52
2.2.5.2 Shear apparatus	52
2.2.5.3 Determination of leaf shear breaking load	53
2.2.6 Estimation of leaf cross-sectional area and anatomical examination of leaf cross-section	53
2.2.6.1 Collection of leaf cross-sections	54
2.2.6.2 Preparation of specimens for microscopy	54
2.2.6.3 Microscope examination	54
2.2.6.4 Determination of leaf cross-sectional area	55
2.2.6.5 Mathematical estimation of leaf cross-sectional area from width	55
2.2.7 Determination of leaf shear strength	55
2.2.8 Chemical analyses	55
2.2.9 Statistical analyses	56
2.3 Results	56
2.3.1 Cross-sections of the leaves of the low and high leaf shear	

breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	56
2.3.2 Morphology of the leaves of the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	58
2.3.3 Shear breaking loads and shear strengths of the leaves of the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	63
2.3.4 Concentrations of dry matter, organic matter, lignin and cell- wall constituents in the leaves of the low and high shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	66
2.4 Discussion	70
2.4.1 Effects of selection	70
2.4.2 Leaf anatomy	70
2.4.3 Effects of leaf emergence	71
2.4.4 Effects of aging	73
2.4.5 Interaction between leaf shear breaking load and leaf morphology ...	74
2.5 Conclusion	77

***Chapter Three* The Effects of Reduced Leaf Shear Breaking Load in
Perennial Ryegrass upon Particle Breakdown during
Mastication in Sheep**

3.1 Introduction	78
3.2 Materials and methods	78
3.2.1 Low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	79
3.2.2 Experimental animals	79
3.2.3 Experimental design	79
3.2.3.1 Adjustment period	79
3.2.3.2 Voluntary feeding period	79
3.2.3.3 Trial periods	80
3.2.3.3.1 Trial 1: Efficiency of chewing during eating upon particle breakdown	80

3.2.3.3.2	Trial 2: Efficiency of chewing during ruminating upon particle breakdown	81
3.2.4	Recording of jaw movements	83
3.2.5	Particle size analyses of rumen contents	83
3.2.6	Determination of the index of masticatory load of leaves	84
3.2.6.1	Measurements of leaf morphology	84
3.2.6.2	Measurement of leaf shear breaking load and determination of index of masticatory load	84
3.2.7	Chemical analyses	85
3.2.8	Statistical analysis	85
3.3	Results	85
3.3.1	Leaf morphology, leaf shear breaking loads and indices of masticatory load of the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	85
3.3.2	Trial 1	87
3.3.2.1	Masticatory behaviour and efficiency of chewing in wethers during eating the low and high breaking load	87
3.3.2.2	Particle size distributions in the rumen contents recovered from the wethers immediately after ingesting the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	90
3.3.3	Trial 2	90
3.3.3.1	Rumen pool sizes of the wethers ruminating the low and high breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	90
3.3.3.2	Masticatory behaviour and efficiency of chewing in wethers during ruminating the low and high breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	93
3.3.3.3	Particle size distributions in the rumen contents recovered at 10:30 and 17:30 hours from the wethers ruminating the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	93
3.4	Discussion	96
3.4.1	Effect of reduced leaf shear breaking load on the index of	

masticatory load of leaves	96
3.4.2 Effects of reduced leaf shear breaking load on particle size distribution in the rumen contents	96
3.4.3 Influence of index of masticatory load on masticatory efficiency	97
3.4.4 Particle breakdown during rumination	98
3.5 Conclusion	100

***Chapter Four* The Effects of Reduced Leaf Shear Breaking Load in
Perennial Ryegrass upon Rumen Digesta Outflow Rate and
Apparent Digestibility in Sheep**

4.1 Introduction	101
4.2 Materials and Methods	101
4.2.1 Low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	102
4.2.2 Experimental animals	102
4.2.3 Experimental design	102
4.2.3.1 Adjustment period	102
4.2.3.2 Voluntary feeding period	102
4.2.3.3 Trial period	103
4.2.3.3.1 Determination of rumen digesta outflow rate	103
4.2.3.3.2 Determination of apparent digestibilities	104
4.2.4 Determination of the index of masticatory load of leaves	105
4.2.4.1 Measurements of leaf morphology	105
4.2.4.2 Measurement of leaf shear breaking load of leaf	105
4.2.5 Chemical analyses	106
4.2.6 Statistical analyses	107
4.3 Results	107
4.3.1 Leaf morphology, leaf shear breaking load, index of masticatory load and chemical composition of the low and high shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	107
4.3.2 Rumen pool size, rumen digesta fractional outflow rates,	

rumen digesta retention times and particle size distribution in rumen contents in wethers fed on the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	110
4.3.3 Apparent digestibilities, concentrations of VFA and NH ₃ -N in rumen contents in wethers fed on the low and high shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	110
4.4 Discussion	117
4.5 Conclusion	118

Chapter Five The Effects of Reduced Leaf Breaking Load in Perennial Ryegrass upon Rumen Digesta Outflow Rates, Voluntary Feed Intake, Liveweight Gain and Wool Production in Grazing Sheep

5.1 Introduction	119
5.2 Materials and Methods	119
5.2.1 Pasture	120
5.2.2 Trial 1	120
5.2.2.1 Determination of rumen digesta fractional outflow rates	120
5.2.2.2 Measurements of live weight gain and wool production in autumn-born lambs	121
5.2.2.2.1 Live weight gain	121
5.2.2.2.2 Wool production	122
5.2.3 Trial 2	121
5.2.3.1 Determination of rumen digesta fractional outflow rates	122
5.2.3.2 Measurements of live weight gain in ewe-hoggets	123
5.2.3.3 Measurement of voluntary organic matter intake in ewe-hoggets and rumen-fistulated wethers	123
5.2.4 Herbage analysis	127
5.2.4.1 Pasture mass	127
5.2.4.2 In vitro digestibility of herbage	127
5.2.4.3 Composition and in vitro digestibility of material	

ingested by the sheep	128
5.2.4.4 Determination of leaf shear breaking load and index of masticatory load	128
5.2.5 Chemical analyses	129
5.2.5.1 Rumen contents	129
5.2.5.2 Faeces	129
5.2.5.3 Herbage and oesophageal extrusa	130
5.2.6 Statistical analyses	130
5.3 Results	130
5.3.1 Trial 1	130
5.3.1.1 Pasture	130
5.3.1.2 Live weight gain and wool production in autumn- born lambs grazing the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	135
5.3.1.3 Rumen pool size, digesta fractional outflow rates, digesta mean retention times and particle size distributions in rumen contents in wethers grazing the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	135
5.3.2 Trial 2	143
5.3.2.1 Pasture	143
5.3.2.2 Live weight gain and voluntary organic matter intake in ewe-hoggets grazing the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	143
5.3.2.3 Rumen pool size, digesta fractional outflow rates, digesta mean retention times and particle size distributions in rumen contents in wethers grazing the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	152
5.4 Discussion	156
5.5 Conclusion	158

Chapter Six General Discussion and Conclusion

6.1	Introduction	160
6.2	Relationships between leaf shear breaking load and index of masticatory load of leaf in perennial ryegrass	160
6.3	Limitation of reducing leaf shear breaking load in perennial ryegrass on improving feeding value to sheep	162
6.4	Influence of index of masticatory load on particle breakdown and masticatory behaviour in sheep	166
6.5	Direction of further studies	167
6.6	Conclusion	168
<i>Appendix 2.1</i>	Newcastle State University Phytotron Nutrient Solution	169
<i>Appendix 2.2</i>	Movements of the blade and position of the slot of a Warner-Bratzler meat shear apparatus in shearing action	170
<i>Appendix 2.3</i>	An example of table of analysis of variance for fresh weight of the leaves of the low and high shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	171
<i>Appendix 2.4</i>	Concentrations of organic matter, lignin and cell-wall constituents of the second-emerged, fourth-emerged and sixth-emerged leaves of the low and high leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections at maturation, 10 days and 20 days after the maturation	172
<i>Appendix 3.1</i>	An example of a table of analysis of variance for the proportion of particles larger than 1 mm in rumen contents recovered from wethers immediately after ingesting the low and high shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	173
<i>Appendix 5.1</i>	Portable marker infusion backpack set	174
	Portable marker infusion set in operation	175
<i>Appendix 5.2</i>	Diurnal pattern of total rumen pool and dry matter rumen pool of rumen-fistulated wethers grazing	

	perennial ryegrass	176
<i>Appendix 5.3</i>	Preparation of Co(III)-EDTA	177
<i>Appendix 5.4</i>	Estimation of Cr release rate	178
<i>References</i>		179

List of Tables

	<i>Page</i>
Table 1.1 The relative feeding value of New Zealand pasture species under grazing conditions for young sheep (Ulyatt, 1970)	6
Table 1.2 Composition, decline in apparent <i>in vivo</i> digestibility and utilisation of metabolisable energy (ME) of dried S23 perennial ryegrass harvested at 4 stages of maturity and fed to sheep at maintenance level of intake (adapted from Waghorn <i>et al.</i> , 1987)	8
Table 1.3 Data on the digestion of Ruanui perennial ryegrass and Huia white clover by sheep (Ulyatt, 1981)	10
Table 1.4 The effect of chewing during eating (C.EAT) on feeding behaviour and particle size reduction in sheep (n=6) fed three fresh herbage and two chaffed hays (adapted from Ulyatt <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	21
Table 1.5 Effect of chewing during rumination (C.RUM) on particle size distributions in sheep (n=6) fed three fresh herbage and two chaffed hays (adapted from Ulyatt <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	22
Table 1.6 Mean leaf strengths, sclerenchyma wall percentages and cellulose percentages of perennial, Ariki, Italian and Short-rotation ryegrass and mean liveweight gain of sheep (adapted from Evans, 1964a, and Rae <i>et al.</i> , 1964)	32
Table 1.7 Young's modulus of tensile strength and volume-fraction of leaf of perennial ryegrass (adapted from Vincent 1982 and Betteridge <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	34

Table 1.8	Mean leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) of parent plants (n=6) selected for low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load at the time of selection (1984) and in January 1986, and of the original population (adapted from MacKinnon <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	41
Table 1.9	Mean leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) of the progeny population for low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections in December 1985 (n=120) and March 1986 (n=240) (adapted from Mackinnon <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	42
Table 1.10	Means of rate of dry matter (DM) eaten and disappearance in the rumen of sheep (n=6), of vegetative perennial ryegrass (PRG) selected for low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load, and of white clover (adapted from MacKinnon <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	44
Table 1.11	Live weight gains of 8-month-old lambs grazing low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections and means of leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) of the two selections during the trial (adapted from Kolver, 1989)	45
Table 2.1	Means of anatomical measurements and proportions of sclerenchyma and vascular tissue in the cross-sectional area at the midway point along the leaves (n=11) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	59
Table 2.2	Relationships between width and cross-sectional area at the midway point along the leaves (n=11) of low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	60

Table 2.3	Least square means of length, widths at 1/4 (0.25L), 1/2 (0.50L) and 3/4 (0.75L) of the leaf length from the position of the ligule to the apex of the leaf, and fresh weight of the leaves of the low (LS) (n=991) and high (HS) (n=998) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	61
Table 2.4	Least square means of length, widths at 1/4 (0.25L), 1/2 (0.50L) and 3/4 (0.75L) of the leaf length from the fresh weight (mg) position of the ligule to the apex of the leaf, and fresh weight of the second-emerged (2nd), fourth-emerged (4th) and sixth emerged (6th) leaves of the low (LS) (n=991) and high (HS) (n=998) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	62
Table 2.5	Least square means of estimated cross-sectional area, shear breaking load and shear strength at the midway along the leaves of the low (LS) (n=117) and high (HS) (n=120) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	64
Table 2.6	Least square means of estimated cross-sectional area, shear breaking load and shear strength at midway along the second emerged (2nd), fourth-emerged (4th) and sixth-emerged (6th) leaves of the low (LS) (n=117) and high (HS) (n=120) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	65
Table 2.7	Concentrations (fresh %) of dry matter, organic matter, lignin, cellulose and hemi-cellulose in the leaves (n=12) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	67
Table 2.8	Concentrations (fresh %) of dry matter, organic matter, lignin, cellulose and hemi-cellulose in the second-emerged (2nd), fourth- emerged (4th) and sixth-emerged (6th) leaves	

	(n=12) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	68
Table 2.9	Concentrations (fresh %) of dry matter, organic matter, lignin, cellulose and hemi-cellulose in the second-emerged (2nd), fourth- emerged (4th) and sixth-emerged (6th) leaves (n=12) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections at maturation (M00) and at 10 days after the maturation	69
Table 2.10	Length:dry weight ratio, and index of masticatory load (IML) of the leaves of the low (LS) (n=117) and high (n=120) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	76
Table 3.1	Means of morphology, shear breaking load, index of masticatory load (IML), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and concentration of total nitrogen (N) of the leaves of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	86
Table 3.2	Masticatory behaviour and index of efficiency of chewing (<C.EAT>) in wethers (n=8) during eating the low (LS) and high (HS) shear breaking load perennial ryegrass for a period of 30 minutes	88
Table 3.3	Rumen pool sizes at 10:30 and 17:30 hours and rate of dry matter disappearance in the wethers (n=8) ruminating low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass and their <i>in vitro</i> dry matter digestibilities (IVDMD) and concentrations of total nitrogen (N)	92

Table 3.4	Masticatory behaviour and index of efficiency of chewing (<C.RUM>) in wethers (n=8) during ruminating the low (LS) and high (HS) shear breaking load perennial ryegrass for a period of 7 hours	94
Table 4.1	Means of morphology, shear breaking load and index of masticatory load (IML) of the leaves of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	108
Table 4.2	Concentrations of dry matter, organic matter, total nitrogen and cell-wall constituents of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass offered to the animals	109
Table 4.3	Total and dry matter rumen pool sizes in wethers (n=8) fed on the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	112
Table 4.4	Rumen digesta fractional outflow rates (FOR) and rumen digesta mean retention times (MRT) for liquid-phase and particulate-phase in wethers (n=8) fed on the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	113
Table 4.5	Apparent digestibilities (%) of dry matter, organic matter, total nitrogen and cell-wall constituents in wethers (n=8) fed on the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	115
Table 4.6	Concentrations and proportions of volatile fatty acids (VFA) and concentration of NH ₃ -N in the rumen fluid in wethers (n=8) fed on the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear	

	breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	116
Table 5.1	The schematic presentation of the experimental design of Trial 1	125
Table 5.2	The schematic presentation of the experimental design of Trial 2	126
Table 5.3	Mean values of pasture mass (n=6), in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) (n=4), leaf shear breaking load (n=3), leaf length:dry weight ratio (n=3) and index of masticatory load of leaf (n=3) of low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections on offer during Trial 1	134
Table 5.4	Mean values of composition and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the oesophageal extrusa (n=7) of animals grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	138
Table 5.5	Mean values of live weight gain and total fleece wool growth of lambs (n=23) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	140
Table 5.6	Rumen pool size, rumen digesta fractional outflow rate (FOR) and rumen digesta mean retention time (MRT) for liquid-phase and particulate-phase in wethers (n=11) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	141
Table 5.7	Mean values of pasture mass (n=8), in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) (n=3), leaf shear breaking load	

	(n=3), leaf length:dry weight ratio (n=3) and index of masticatory load of leaf (n=3) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections on offer during Trial 2	147
Table 5.8	Mean values of composition and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the oesophageal extrusa (n=4) of the animals grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 2	149
Table 5.9	Mean values of live weight gain and voluntary organic matter intake (VOMI) of ewe-hoggets grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 2	151
Table 5.10	Rumen pool size, rumen digesta fractional outflow rate (FOR) and rumen digesta mean retention time (MRT) for liquid-phase and particulate-phase in wethers (n=8) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	153
Table 5.11	Voluntary organic matter intake (VOMI) estimated based the Cr faecal marker and Ru-Co dual-phase ruminal marker of wethers (n=8) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	154
Table 5.12	Feeding value in live weight gain (LWG) and leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) for low (LS) and high (HS) perennial ryegrass selections in previous studies and in the present study	157
Table 6.1	Leaf shear breaking load (LSBL), index of masticatory load (IML) of leaf and leaf length-width ratio (LWR) of the	

low leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selection
expressed relative to the high leaf shear breaking load
perennial ryegrass selection (as 100) in the present
investigation163

Table 6.2 Summary of effect of the reduced leaf shear breaking load
(LSBL) in perennial ryegrass on masticatory behaviour
during eating in sheep164

Table 6.3 Summary of effect of reduced leaf shear breaking load
(LSBL) on rumen pool sizes, fractional outflow rates (FOR),
voluntary organic matter intake (VOMI) and feeding values
in sheep165

List of Figures

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 1.1 The relationship of pasture intake to various pasture characteristics and methods of pasture allocation (Poppi <i>et al.</i> , 1987)	12
Figure 1.2 The influence of sward height on the components of ingestive behaviour (Penning, 1985)	14
Figure 1.3 The influence of pasture mass on the liveweight gain of ewes offered pasture at various levels of pasture allowance (Rattray <i>et al.</i> , 1984)	15
Figure 1.4 Relationship between the voluntary intake of digestible organic matter (DOMI) and the apparent retention time of organic matter in the rumen (RTOM) for temperate (from Ulyatt, 1971) and tropical pasture species (Thornton <i>et al.</i> , 1973)	18
Figure 1.5 Young plant of perennial ryegrass (x 1.75 Soper <i>et al.</i> , 1956)	25
Figure 1.6 Transections of the blade of the mature leaf of perennial ryegrass (after Soper <i>et al.</i> , 1956)	27
Figure 1.7 Types of force to apply to the material	29
Figure 1.8 Hooke's elasticity in (a) tension, (b) shear and (c) compression	30
Figure 1.9 Masticatory musculature of sheep (Turnbull, 1970)	36

Figure 1.10	The movements of the lower jaw in a "typical" chewing cycle in goat, in opossum and in cat (adapted from Hiimae, 1978)	38
Figure 1.11	Relationship of feed material in lateral masticatory movements of opposing occlusal surfaces	39
Figure 2.1	Schematic representation of the plant and leaf allocation for the controlled atmosphere trial	50
Figure 3.1	Decline of mean chewing rate of the wethers (n=8) during eating the low (LS) and high (HS) shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections over a period of 30 minutes	89
Figure 3.2	Particle size distributions in the rumen contents recovered from the wethers (n=8) immediately after ingesting the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	91
Figure 3.3	Particle size distributions in the rumen contents recovered at 10:30 and 17:30 hours from the wethers (n=8) ruminating the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	95
Figure 3.4	A model of a relationship between efficiency of particle breakdown and index of masticatory load (IML) of perennial ryegrass	99
Figure 4.1	Particle size distributions in rumen contents in wethers (n=8) fed on the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	114
Figure 5.1	Changes in pasture mass and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf	

shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	131
Figure 5.2 Changes in leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) and leaf length:dry weight ratio (LWR) of low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	132
Figure 5.3 Changes in index of masticatory load (IML) of low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	133
Figure 5.4 Changes in composition and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the oesophageal extrusa of the animals grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	137
Figure 5.5 Changes in live weight of the lambs (n=23) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 1	139
Figure 5.6 Particle size distribution of rumen contents of wethers (n=11) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	142
Figure 5.7 Changes in pasture mass and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 2	144
Figure 5.8 Changes in leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) and leaf length:dry weight ratio (LWR) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	

during Trial 2	145
Figure 5.9 Changes in index of masticatory load (IML) of the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 2	146
Figure 5.10 Changes in composition and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the oesophageal extrusa of the animals grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 2	148
Figure 5.11 Changes in live weight, 24-hour fasted weight and voluntary organic matter intake (VOMI) of the ewe-hoggets grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections during Trial 2	150
Figure 5.12 Particle size distribution of rumen contents of wethers (n=8) grazing the low (LS) and high (HS) leaf shear breaking load perennial ryegrass selections	155
Figure 6.1 Changes in leaf shear breaking load (LSBL) of low leaf shear breaking load (LS) and high leaf shear breaking load (HS) perennial ryegrass selections in the field during a year (April 1989 - March 1990)	161

List of Abbreviations

General

ADF	acid detergent fibre
CAC	controlled atmosphere cabinet
<C.EAT>	efficiency of chewing during eating in reducing particles to < 1.0 mm
Co	cobalt
Co(III)-EDTA	cobalt ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
Cr	chromium
<C.RUM>	efficiency of chewing during rumination in reducing particles to < 1.0 mm
Cr₂O₃	chromium sesquioxide
Cr-EDTA	chromium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
CSA	cross-sectional area
d	day
DM	dry matter
DOMI	voluntary intake of digestible organic matter
DSIR	Department of Scientific and Industrial Research
ep	epidermis
EPM	Ellinbank pasture meter
Fig.	figure
FOR	fractional outflow rate
FV	feeding value
GLM	general linear model
HS	high leaf shear breaking load
IML	index of masticatory load
IVDMD	in vitro dry matter digestibility
IVOMD	<i>in vitro</i> organic matter digestibility
L.	<i>Linnaeus</i>

LS	low leaf shear breaking load
LSBL	leaf shear breaking load
LSS	leaf shear strength
LWG	live weight gain
LWR	leaf length:dry weight ratio
M.	<i>Musculi</i>
M00	maturation
M10	10 days after full maturation
M20	20 days after full maturation
ms	mesophyll
N	nitrogen
na	not available
NDF	neutral detergent fibre
NH₃-N	ammonia
NV	nutritive value
OM	organic matter
OE	oesophageal extrusa
PRG	perennial ryegrass
RTOM	apparent retention time of organic matter in the rumen
Ru	ruthenium
Ru-Phen	ruthenium tris (1,10-phenanthroline) ruthenium (II) chloride
sc	sclerenchyma
<TEC>	theoretical efficiency of chewing
TSL	total shear load
VFA	volatile fatty acid
VOMI	voluntary organic matter intake
vs	vascular bundle
v/v	volume by volume
WB	Warner-Bratzler
WC	white clover

Units

a	are
c	centi-
C°	degree centigrade
g	gram
G	Newtonian constant of gravitation
h	hecto-
hr	hour
in	inch
J	joule
k	kilo-
l	litre
m	milli-
m	metre
M	mega-
M	mole
μ	micro-
min.	minute
%	per cent
W	watt

Statistical

df	degrees of freedom
n	number of observations
r	correlation
RSE	root square of error
s.e.	standard error
S.E.D.	standard error of difference
ns	not significant
*	significant at $p < 0.05$

** significant at $p < 0.01$
*** significant at $p < 0.001$
I indicating ranges of standard error in figures