

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF SOME ASPECTS OF THE  
QUALITY AND YIELD OF CHEDDAR CHEESE MADE  
FROM MILK CONCENTRATED BY ULTRAFILTRATION

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in the Department  
of Food Technology at Massey University

MANI IYER

1986

## ABSTRACT

Ultrafiltration (UF) is a concentration and separation process which operates at the molecular level. It has been successfully applied to certain soft cheese varieties with the primary advantage of increased yields. When applied to Cheddar, which is a hard variety, problems are encountered. These are lack of flavour and texture development, lack of economically viable yield increase and practical problems in handling of UF curd.

An investigation was undertaken to study the application of UF technology to the manufacture of Cheddar cheese. The emphasis was on the biochemical and biophysical problems in UF Cheddar and the possible yield advantages in making the product.

Results suggest that UF per se does not contribute to problems in the quality of UF Cheddar. No major problems were encountered in the cheesemaking process or in final cheese quality when cheese was made from 2:1 UF retentate using conventional method and equipment. There were, however, no yield advantages. When 3:1 and 5:1 retentates were used, some modification in the method of manufacture, particularly in the cutting time and cutting device, was necessary. The quality of cheese obtained from 3:1 retentate was found to be inferior while that from 5:1 retentate was comparable with respect to the control cheeses.

The biochemical and biophysical problems associated with the quality of UF Cheddar could be overcome to a large extent by adjusting the amount of starter and rennet added on the basis of quantity of milk prior to UF. This yields Cheddar of normal one-day pH but with residual rennet concentration much higher than that in the conventional product. The higher level is probably required to overcome the 'dilution' effect of the extra whey proteins present in the UF product. This 'dilution' effect may be partly due to

(ii)

the difficulty of rennet diffusion in UF Cheddar and partly a result of a decrease in concentration of flavour compounds due to the presence of extra whey proteins. The results show that substantial savings in rennet are not possible in cheesemaking from 5:1 UF retentate. The results also suggest that it is possible to make UF Cheddar with a required residual rennet concentration by regulating the amount of rennet added to the retentate and draining the whey at a predetermined pH.

The yield advantage in cheesemaking from 5:1 retentate (if UF Cheddar is made to normal MNFS of 53.5%) was limited to 4% largely because only one third of the whey proteins of UF milk was retained in the cheese. Theoretical analysis of mass balance data indicated that this yield advantage could be improved to about 6% by reducing 'fines' losses and to about 8% by decreasing fat losses as compared with the conventional process.

Given the current state of UF cheesemaking technology, it is possible that reductions in losses in conventional cheese-making plants may prove to be a more profitable method of increasing yields of Cheddar cheese than the use of UF cheesemaking methods.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to express my appreciation and deep sense of gratitude to my chief supervisor, Dr. John L. Lelievre for his guidance in all aspects of this work, his never ending patience in helpful and often long discussions and his generous help in the preparation of this manuscript.

I am thankful to Dr. R.C. Lawrence of the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute, for helpful discussions, for providing important guidance during the course of this project and for help in the preparation of the thesis.

I am grateful to Mr. R.D. Bennett for providing important expertise during cheesemaking, for helpful discussions, for serving on the grading panel for cheese, for help in the preparation of the thesis and for quietly providing encouragement during the course of this project.

I gratefully acknowledge the guidance of Dr. W.J. Harper of the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute in the planning and discussion on the progress of the project.

Several members of the staff in the Food Technology Department, Massey University, provided valuable assistance during the course of this project. In particular I would like to thank Mrs. Margaret Bewley, Mrs. Judith Cleland, (late) Mr. Terry Gracie, and Mr. Hank van Til. I wish to express my deep sense of gratitude to Dr. K.R. Aiyar and his wife Ganga for providing moral support especially at times when I was confronted with difficult situations.

A number of staff members at the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute provided invaluable help and guidance during the entire course of this project. I gratefully acknowledge the help of the following:

- Dr. L.K. Creamer for helpful discussions and assistance in the analysis of data on proteolysis.
- Miss Sandy Davis for help in gel electrophoresis and

measurement of acid-soluble peptides.

-- Mr. John Gilles, Mr. O.J. Freese and Mr. Frank Dunlop for serving on the panel for cheese grading and for helpful discussions.

-- Mr. Keith Montgomerie, Mr. Pat Hogan and Mr. Steve Boleyn for help during cheesemaking.

-- Mr. Mike O'Connell, Mr. John Bligh, Mr. R.A. Robinson and Mr. Bruce Duker for assisting in the ultrafiltration of milk.

-- Dr. Hester Cooper and her efficient team in the sensory evaluation laboratory for sensory evaluation of cheese samples and for statistical analysis of the data.

-- Dr. Audrey Jarvis for helping with the method for estimating residual rennet in the cheese.

-- Dr. Lee Huffman for helpful discussions and for assistance during slurymaking trials.

-- Mrs. Julie Anderson and Miss Dianne Shute for helping in the statistical analysis of all the data.

-- Mr. Keith Turner for helpful discussions.

-- Mr. Errol Conaghan for assistance in the chemical analysis of some of the samples.

-- Mr. Paul Le Ceve for kindly providing expertise in taking photographs and preparing slides.

I am thankful to the Director, New Zealand Dairy Research Institute for kindly providing facilities for research and giving me a unique opportunity to work with distinguished scientists.

For financial assistance, I wish to express my gratitude to the New Zealand Government for awarding me a Commonwealth Scholarship; to the Dean, Faculty of Technology, for providing a research grant, to the Globus Group (NZ) Ltd. for the Globus award. I am also thankful to Prof. D. Batt, Chemistry/Biochemistry Department for offering a job to my wife, Lakshmi; and to Dr. D.R.K. Harding for assisting in getting the job extended. The salary from her job provided financial support in the last six months when I had run out of funds from the Commonwealth

Scholarship.

I am grateful to my wife, Lakshmi, who helped with the proof reading, bibliography and plotting of the graphs. Without her moral support, the task of completing the project would have been exceedingly difficult. I am also thankful to all our family members in India for the encouragement and moral support.

Thanks are also due to my friends and fellow post-graduates for help and encouragement.

Finally, thanks to Mrs. Griselda Blazey for her patience and excellence in the typing of this thesis.

Mani Iyer  
August 1986.

## CONTENTS

	Page No.
Abstract	(i)
Acknowledgements	(iii)
List of Tables	(x)
List of Figures	(xii)
List of Abbreviations used	(xvii)
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: A review of aspects of cheesemaking using milk concentrated by ultrafiltration	3
2.1 Scope of the review	3
2.2 Principles of UF	3
2.3 Effects of UF on the components of milk	5
2.4 Advantages of using UF milk in cheesemaking	6
2.5 Manufacture of different cheese varieties from UF concentrated milk	8
2.6 Problems in the application of UF to Cheddar cheesemaking	9
Chapter 3: Scope and objectives of the present investigation	18
Chapter 4: Effect of ultrafiltration <u>per se</u> on the quality and yield of Cheddar cheese	19
4.1 Introduction	19
4.2 Experimental plan	19
4.3 Experimental	19
4.4 Analytical methods	23
4.5 Results and discussion	29
4.6 Conclusion	39



<b>Chapter 5: Effect of use of 2:1 ultrafiltered milk on the quality and yield of Cheddar cheese</b>	<b>41</b>
5.1 Introduction	41
5.2 Experimental	41
5.3 Analytical methods	43
5.4 Results and discussion	43
5.5 Implications	51
<b>Chapter 6: Effect of whey proteins on the quality of Cheddar cheese</b>	<b>52</b>
6.1 Introduction	52
6.2 <u>Section 1</u> : Addition of whey protein powder to cheesemilk	53
6.2.1 Introduction	53
6.3 <u>Section 2</u> : Addition of whey protein powder to partially ultrafiltered milk	55
6.3.1 Introduction	55
6.3.2 Experimental plan	55
6.3.3 Experimental	56
6.3.4 Analytical methods	56
6.3.5 Results and discussion	57
6.3.6 Conclusion	64
6.4 <u>Section 3</u> : Addition of whey protein concentrate to cheese slurries	64
6.4.1 Introduction	64
6.4.2 Experimental plan	65
6.4.3 Experimental	65
6.4.4 Analysis of slurries	68
6.4.5 Results and discussion	69
6.4.6 Conclusion	73
6.5 Overall conclusion to Chapter 6	74
<b>Chapter 7: Effect of degree of ultrafiltration on the quality of Cheddar cheese</b>	<b>75</b>
7.1 Introduction	75

	(viii)
7.2 Experimental plan	76
7.3 Experimental	76
7.4 Analytical methods	81
7.5 Results and discussion	88
7.6 Conclusion	109
<b>Chapter 8: Effect of variation in rennet addition to 5:1 retentate on the characteristics of UF Cheddar cheese</b>	<b>110</b>
8.1 Introduction	110
8.2 Experimental plan	110
8.3 Experimental	111
8.4 Analytical methods	112
8.5 Results and discussion	112
8.6 Conclusion	125
<b>Chapter 9: Yield of UF Cheddar cheese</b>	<b>126</b>
9.1 Introduction	126
9.2 Methods by which UF can increase cheese yields	127
9.3 Experimental approach	130
9.4 Experimental	131
9.5 Analyses	132
9.6 Results	132
9.7 Discussion	138
9.8 Conclusion	145
<b>Chapter 10: Miscellaneous experiments</b>	<b>146</b>
10.1 Introduction	146
10.2 Effect of UF cheesemaking from fresh milk (without overnight storage) on the quality and yield of Cheddar cheese	146
10.3 Effect of addition of permeate to cheese curd from 5:1 UF retentate on the quality and yield of Cheddar cheese	150

	(ix)
10.4 Effect of addition of whey protein supplemented permeate to the cheese curd from 5:1 UF retentate on the quality and yield of Cheddar cheese	155
Chapter 11: Overall Discussion	162
Appendices (see LIST OF APPENDICES on page (xv))	163
Bibliography	188 - 200

## LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Legend	Page
4.1 A	Chemical methods for analysis of milk and whey	24
4.1 B	Chemical methods for analysis of cheese	26
4.2	Milk composition	29
4.3	Cheese composition	31
4.4	Whey composition	31
4.5	Sample mass balance calculation for total solids	32
4.6	Sample mass balance calculation for casein nitrogen	33
4.7	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	34
4.8	Yield of cheese	34
4.9	Grading of cheese	35
4.10	Statistical analysis of grade scores	36
4.11	Cheese sensory panel	37
5.1	Milk and retentate composition	45
5.2	Cheese composition	46
5.3	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	47
5.4	Yield of cheese	47
5.5	Grading of cheese	48 - 49
5.6	Cheese sensory panel	50 - 51
6.1	Effect of whey protein powder on the strength (by visual examination) of the gel obtained by the addition of rennet to milk	54
6.2	Milk and whey protein supplemented retentate composition	59
6.3	Cheese composition	59
6.4	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	60

		(xi)
6.5	Whey composition	60
6.6	Yield of cheese	63
6.7	Grading of cheese	61 - 62
6.8	Ingredients for making slurries	67
6.9	Chemical composition of slurries	69
6.10	Soluble nitrogen as a percentage of total nitrogen in slurries during ripening	71
6.11	Average sensory panel scores of slurries	73
7.1	Summary of cheesemaking conditions	77
7.2	Milk and retentate composition	89
7.3	Cheese compositions	94
7.4	Residual rennet concentration in cheese	95
7.5	Percentage rennet retention in cheese	96
7.6	Grading of cheese	103 - 105
7.7	Sensory panel scores	106 - 107
8.1	Cheese composition	114
8.2	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	115
8.3	Residual rennet in cheese	117
8.4 A	Grading of cheese (series 1)	121
8.4 B	Grading of cheese (series 2)	122
8.5	Sensory panel scores	123 - 124
9.1	Mass balance	133
9.2	Composition of cheese	134
9.3	Weight of SNF in cheese from 50 kg milk + 1 kg starter	135
9.4	Weight of fat in the cheese from 50 kg milk + 1 kg starter	136
9.5	Fat recovery in cheese from retentate concentrated with UF unit operating in batch and continuous mode	137
9.6	Effect of curd handling on fat recovery in 5:1 UF Cheddar	137
9.7	Cheese quality and grades	139

9.8	Whey protein : water ratio in retentates and UF cheeses	142
10.1	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	147
10.2	Yield of cheese	148
10.3	Grading of cheese	149
10.4	Composition of permeate	151
10.5	Cheese composition	152
10.6	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	153
10.7	Yield of cheese	153
10.8	Grading of cheese	154
10.9	Composition of permeate and whey protein supplemented permeate	157
10.10	Cheese composition	157
10.11	Mass balance (percentage recovery in cheese)	158
10.12	Yield of cheese	159
10.13	Grading of cheese	160

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. no.	Legend	Page
4.1	Ultrafiltration unit used for UF of milk in all experiments	21
4.2	Comparison of pH changes during cheese-making from control milk and milk equivalent	30
4.3	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of cheese made from milk and milk equivalent	38
5.1	Comparison of pH changes during cheese-making from control milk and 2:1 UF retentate	44
6.1	Comparison of pH changes during cheese-making from control milk and whey protein supplemented 2:1 retentate	58
7.1	Device for cutting UF curd	80
7.2	Diffusion tubes (for standard curve) filled with k-casein/agarose gel showing the diffusion of rennet	83
7.3	Standard curve for estimating residual rennet concentration in cheese samples	84
7.4	Diffusion tubes filled with k-casein/agarose gel showing the diffusion of rennet from the control, 3:1 UF and 5:1 UF cheese extracts	85

7.5 A and B	Control, 3:1 and 5:1 curds (A) soon after cutting and (B) one hour after cutting	90
7.5 B and D	Control, 3:1 and 5:1 curds (C) just prior to whey drainage and (D) 1 hour after cheddaring	91
7.5 E and F	Control, 3:1 and 5:1 (E) curds after milling and (F) 1-day old cheeses	92
7.6	Comparison of pH changes during cheese- making from control, 3:1 and 5:1 retentate	93
7.7	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of control, 3:1 and 5:1 Cheddar	97
7.8	Comparison of pH changes during cheese maturation in control, 3:1 and 5:1 Cheddar	98
7.9	Polyacrylamide thick slab gel electro- phoresis of control, 3:1 and 5:1 Cheddar showing the proteolysis of whey proteins	100
7.10	Comparison of acid soluble peptides in cheese made from control milk, 3:1 and 5:1 UF retentate	102
8.1 A and B	Residual rennet concentration in cheese made from 5:1 retentate with various levels of rennet addition	116
8.2	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of cheese made from 5:1 retentate with various levels of rennet addition	119



## LIST OF APPENDICES

App.no.	Title	Page
I A	Guidelines for grading of Cheddar cheese	163
I B	Selection and training of panelists	167
I C	Questionnaire used to evaluate Cheddar cheese samples	168
I D	Definition of terms used by the sensory panel to evaluate the experimental cheeses	170
I E	Summary of statistical analysis of sensory panel results (Chapter 4)	172
II A	Slurry calculations	173
II B	Score card for sensory panel of slurries	175
II C	Average sensory panel scores for slurries	176
II D	Chemical composition of whey protein powder (Alacen 343) supplied by New Zealand Dairy Board	177
IIE	Calculations for whey protein addition to milk	178
III A	Questionnaire used to evaluate UF Cheddar cheese samples	179
III B	Definition of additional terms used by the sensory panel to evaluate UF Cheddar cheeses	181
III C	Summary of statistical analysis of sensory panel results (Chapter 7)	182
III D	Preparation of gel solution and reagents for polyacrylamide thick slab electrophoresis	183
IV A	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of cheese from control, 5:1 UF (1.0 R) and 5:1 UF (0.2 R) retentates at various stages of maturation	184

IV B	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of cheese from the control, 5:1 UF (0.4 R) and 5:1 UF (0.6 R) retentates at various stages of maturation	185
IV C	Summary of statistical analysis of sensory panel results (Chapter 8)	186
V A	Calculations for whey protein supplementation of UF permeate	187

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED  
(in alphabetical order)

Abbreviation	Full form
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
Ca/P	Calcium/phosphorus
Ca/SNFNS	Calcium in solids-not-fat-not-salt
CF	Concentration factor
CFU	Colony forming unit
CN	Casein nitrogen
DF	Diafiltration
NCN	Non-casein nitrogen
NPN	Non-protein nitrogen
NSLAB	Non-starter lactic acid bacteria
RCT	Rennet clotting time
SD	Standard deviation
S1	Slightly
SNF	Solids-not-fat
SNFNS	Solids-not-fat-not-salt
TN	Total nitrogen
UF	Ultrafiltration
WP	Whey protein
WPN	Whey protein nitrogen
WPSM	Whey protein supplemented milk
WPSR	Whey protein supplemented 2:1 retentate
$\alpha$ -La	Alpha lactalbumin
$\beta$ -Lg	Beta lactoglobulin

FDM	Fat in dry matter
MNFS	Moisture in non-fat solids
RU	Rennet Units
S/M	Salt in moisture
WPC	Whey protein concentrate