

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The Role of Experience in the Susceptibility to  
Confirmation Bias in Pilots**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Master of Arts**

**in**

**Psychology**

**at Massey University, Albany,  
New Zealand.**

**Jaime Rowntree**

**2012**

## **Abstract**

Confirmation bias refers to the tendency of an individual to prioritise and seek out evidence that confirms their theory or hypothesis and avoid or place little importance on disconfirming information. In the field of aviation, confirmation bias can have disastrous consequences and has been implicated in several aviation disasters. Despite the potentially fatal consequences, little research has systematically explored the underlying causes of confirmation bias in pilots. The following research examined the role of experience in the susceptibility to confirmation bias in pilots utilising an aviation themed location discovery task. To assess the relationship between flying experience and susceptibility to confirmation bias, 53 participants (23 non-pilots with no prior flying experience, 13 novice pilots with between 0-200 hours of logged flight time, and 17 experienced pilots with between 220-15000 hours of logged flight time) were asked to complete an online map-based location discovery task, which required participants to imagine that they were unsure of their location in four aviation themed scenarios. They then had to select, out of three features given, which feature would be the most useful for helping them to decide on their current location. Two out of the three features provided incorrect confirming (positive) tests of the pilot's hypothesised location and one feature provided the correct disconfirming (negative) test of their hypothesised location. Results indicated that overall, participants primarily utilised a hypothesis-confirming strategy on the task. No relationship between experience and a participant's susceptibility to confirmation bias was identified. A thematic analysis of the comments provided by participants was completed, which illustrated that participants were fairly consistent in the decision-making strategy that they used when reasoning about their location across each of the four scenarios. Interestingly, non-pilots and novice pilots primarily utilised a hypothesis-confirming approach most regularly in their feature selection. By contrast, it appears that the experienced pilot group primarily utilised a strategy that favoured the selection of manufactured objects and large objects. Future research should focus on discovering the mechanisms underlying confirmation bias and the identification of groups of people who are less susceptible to it. This information can then be used to create a model of confirmation bias outlining interventions that can be used to reduce or eliminate its effects.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my deepest thanks to my husband Daniel. Your support, encouragement, and patience have been incredible.

Thank you very much to my supervisors Dr. Stephen Hill and Dr. Andrew Gilbey. Your wise words, sage advice, patience, and support have been vital to the completion of my research.

A very big thank you to all of my family, friends, and work colleagues. Thank you for your support, inspiration, kind words, and laughter, which have kept me going.

# Table of Contents

Chapter	Page Number
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Appendices</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>Chapter One - Confirmation Bias in Hypothesis Testing</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Chapter Introduction .....	1
1.2 Schemas and Knowledge Patterns .....	1
1.3 Confirmation Bias in the Hypothesis Testing Tradition .....	3
1.4 Limitations of the Rule Discovery Task .....	7
1.5 Further Research Exploring Confirmation Bias in the Hypothesis Testing Tradition .....	9
1.6 The Positive Testing Strategy .....	13
1.7 The Counterfactual Strategy .....	16
<b>Chapter Two - Realistic Applications of Hypothesis Testing and the Incidence of Confirmation Bias</b> .....	<b>19</b>
2.1 Realistic Applications of Hypothesis Testing in the RDT .....	19
2.2 Susceptibility to Confirmation Bias .....	23
2.3 The Effects of Experience on Confirmation Bias .....	30
2.4 Expertise in Aviation .....	34
2.5 Confirmation Bias in Human Error-Related Incidents .....	36
<b>Chapter Three - Human Factors and Confirmation Bias in the Field of Aviation</b> .....	<b>39</b>
3.1 Aviation Human Factors .....	39
3.2 Confirmation Bias in Aviation .....	40
3.3 Air New Zealand Flight TE901 – The Erebus Disaster .....	42
3.4 Comair Flight 5191 .....	47
3.5 Current Research Aims and Hypotheses .....	48

<b>Chapter Four - Method</b> .....	<b>50</b>
4.1 Participants .....	50
4.2 Materials and Stimuli .....	51
4.3 Procedure.....	53
<b>Chapter Five - Results</b> .....	<b>55</b>
5.1 Data Analysis Procedure and Preliminary Analyses.....	55
5.2 Performance on the Location Discovery Task.....	56
5.3 Effects of Experience on the Susceptibility to Confirmation Bias.....	58
5.4 Feature Selections.....	59
5.5 Thematic Analysis of Participants’ Reasons Behind Their Feature Selections.....	61
<b>Chapter Six - Discussion</b> .....	<b>66</b>
6.1 The Occurrence of Confirmation Bias .....	66
6.2 The Role of Experience in the Susceptibility to Confirmation Bias in Pilots .....	68
6.3 Practical Implications .....	71
6.4 Limitations.....	73
6.5 Future Research .....	74
6.6 Conclusion.....	75
<b>References</b> .....	<b>76</b>
<b>Appendix A</b> .....	<b>88</b>
<b>Appendix B</b> .....	<b>90</b>
<b>Appendix C</b> .....	<b>91</b>
<b>Appendix D</b> .....	<b>95</b>

## List of Tables

<i>Table 1</i>	Summary of Participants' Total Scores Out of Four on the Location Discovery Task.	57
<i>Table 2</i>	Summary of the Most Common Feature Selected in Comparison with the Correct Choice.	60

## List of Figures

<i>Figure 1</i>	Assumed orbiting sequence of Flight TE901.	45
<i>Figure 2</i>	Actual orbiting sequence of flight TE901.	46
<i>Figure 3</i>	Summary of participants' total scores out of four factored by group.	59



## List of Appendices

<i>Appendix A</i>	Information Sheet.	88
<i>Appendix B</i>	Email Invitation to Participants.	90
<i>Appendix C</i>	Scenarios Used in the Location Discovery Task.	91
<i>Appendix D</i>	Aviation Visual Navigation Charts.	95