

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Temporal evolution of the termini and subaqueous
morphologies of lake-calving glaciers in
Aoraki/Mount Cook National Park, New Zealand**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Geography
at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Clare Margaret Robertson

2012

This is for you Dad. I wish you were here to see it.

Abstract

The potential impact that subaqueous mass loss may have on glacier mass balance and volume reduction is unclear, primarily due to a lack of quantitative data. Therefore, in order to fully understand the potential contribution subaqueous calving and melting may make to glacier mass loss, it is important to understand how submerged extensions of glacier fronts (“ice ramps”) are created and maintained. This study improves the understanding of the controls on subaqueous ice ramp development and evolution at debris-covered, lake-calving margins by investigating the temporal evolution and subaqueous morphology of the termini of lake-calving glaciers in *Aoraki/Mount Cook* National Park, New Zealand. These glaciers are in close proximity to each other, yet each represents different stages on the glacier retreat-proglacial lake development continuum. Through a combination of field-based data collection and remote sensing, the calving margins of Mueller, Hooker, Tasman, Murchison, Classen, Grey, Maud and Godley glaciers were examined over a variety of time scales (days to years). This research indicates that the evolution of subaqueous ice ramps is intrinsically linked to subaerial retreat and that temporal changes in subaqueous morphologies are driven by subaerial calving, subsequent subaqueous calving, and sedimentation. The study highlighted that it is vital to understand the subaqueous morphologies of glaciers, how these evolve over time and what controls this evolution. In addition, when predicting glacier retreat it is important to consider subaqueous morphologies, through the incorporation of quantitative data and waterline melt rates, in order to more accurately predict retreat and hence mass loss from a glacier. Glacier retreat and concurrent proglacial lake expansion were also found to vary significantly within a single mountain belt. The identification of subaqueous ice ramps extending from lake-calving debris-covered glaciers, along with the examination of controls on ice ramp development and evolution, contributes significantly to the understanding of subaqueous morphologies and potential mass loss from these sections of the glacier. These results also lead to a better understanding of how subaqueous sections influence overall glacier retreat.

Thesis structure and note on authorship

This thesis consists of traditional thesis-style chapters (chapters 1, 2 and 7) and manuscripts written for publication in scientific journals (chapters 3-6). As a result, there is some repetition in chapters 1 to 6, particularly in the introduction, methods and study area sections. Some journal specific restrictions, such as abstract length and key words, are retained in the thesis.

I completed all the field work at *Aoraki*/Mount Cook National Park, analysed data and wrote all the text in this thesis. Manuscripts are however co-authored to acknowledge the input of others into the PhD, as appropriate. My supervisors, Martin Brook, Ian Fuller, Douglas Benn, and Kat Holt, contributed to developing the project concept, editing manuscripts and providing general advice. Statements (Doctoral Research Committee form 16) regarding the contribution made by myself to chapters 3-6 and consent of the co-authors to include their work in the thesis are contained in the Appendices.

Clare Robertson

Acknowledgements

My PhD research would not have been possible without the support, guidance and advice of a number of people. Acknowledgements relating to individual chapters are included at the end of those chapters.

Firstly, I wish to thank my family. You lot have been my rock for the past 3 years. You may not have completely understood my 'nerd-talk' but you still listened, appeared interested, and encouraged me. Dad, I wish you were here to see the final product. You always knew I could do it and that helped me push through the obstacles. I finished this for you. John, you have listened to me complain for the past 3 years! I couldn't have kept going if it wasn't for your encouragement and support.

I would like to thank the Ryoichi Sasakawa Young Leaders Fellowship Fund for awarding me a fellowship. I could not have started or finished this work without this funding. I am also grateful for receiving the Harrette Jenkins Award from the Manawatu Branch of the New Zealand Federation of Graduate Women.

I could not have completed my fieldwork without the enthusiastic bunch of people that helped me. David Feek's expertise and help were often the difference between success and failure in the field, while Rob Dykes often acted as a sounding board for field frustrations. John Appleby, Jess Costal, Jingjing Wang, Alastair Clement, Sam Benny and Rob Eastham all provide invaluable help on the lakes and shared in the suffering of carrying equipment to and from Mueller lake. I would like to thank Charlie Hobbs for being so enthusiastic about Mueller lake and this research. I knew I always had eyes on the lake when I wasn't there. Thanks for all those hot chocolates too! Thanks to The Helicopter Line Mount Cook and Tekapo Helicopters who flew on the boundaries of

weather and weight limits to get my equipment where I needed it. Field accommodation help from The New Zealand Alpine Club was also greatly appreciated.

I wish to thank my supervisory team whose encouragement, guidance and patience through difficult times pushed me through to the end. In particular, I would like to thank Dr. Ian Fuller and Dr. Kat Holt who agreed to be a part of the team even though they were from different research areas, and Prof. Doug Benn, who provided invaluable advice and critical insight from the other side of the world and boosted my confidence in the process.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Thesis structure and note on authorship.....	v
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables.....	xxiii
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Aim, scope and objectives.....	3
1.3 Thesis structure.....	5
Chapter 2: Lake-calving glaciers	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 The significance of calving glaciers	7
2.3 Influence of the proglacial water body.....	11
2.3.1 Development of proglacial lakes.....	12
2.3.2 Waterline melt.....	14
2.3.3 Subaqueous melt	15
2.3.4 Thermal regime	18
2.3.5 Circulation and convection	20
2.3.6 Lake level.....	22
2.4 Calving processes	23
2.4.1 The role of crevasses	23
2.4.2 Hierarchy of mechanisms that trigger calving	28

2.4.2.1	Stretching in response to large-scale velocity gradients.....	28
2.4.2.2	Force imbalances at unsupported ice cliffs.....	29
2.4.2.3	Undercutting by subaqueous melting	30
2.4.2.4	Torque arising from buoyant forces	30
2.4.2.5	Coseismic triggers	31
2.4.3	Calving types.....	31
2.4.3.1	Buoyant calving	32
2.4.3.2	Waterline melt-driven calving	32
2.4.3.3	Exploitation of crevasses created as a result of stretching of the front.....	33
2.4.4	Seismicity of calving.....	33
2.5	Glacier dynamics and calving	34
2.5.1	Calving as the ‘master’	35
2.5.2	Calving as the ‘slave’	35
2.6	Velocity	36
2.7	Calving laws and glacier models.....	38
2.7.1	Evolution of calving laws	38
2.7.2	The crevasse-depth calving criterion	42
2.7.3	Calving law derived from fracture-scale physics.....	43
2.7.4	Representation of calving in glacier models	44
2.8	Conclusions	44

Chapter 3: Glacier retreat and proglacial lake expansion in *Aoraki/Mt*

Cook National Park, New Zealand.....	47	
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Abstract	48
3.3	Introduction	49
3.4	Study area	51

3.4.1	Physical setting	51
3.4.2	Existing data on historical glacier fluctuations	56
3.5	Methods	58
3.6	Results: Glacier retreat and proglacial lake development	59
3.6.1	Mueller Glacier	64
3.6.2	Hooker Glacier	64
3.6.3	Murchison Glacier.....	66
3.6.4	Classen Glacier.....	66
3.6.5	Grey and Maud glaciers	66
3.6.6	Godley Glacier	67
3.7	Discussion	67
3.7.1	Retreat rates.....	67
3.7.2	Trends in lake expansion.....	71
3.7.3	Spatial and temporal evolution model.....	72
3.8	Conclusions	74
3.9	Acknowledgements	75

Chapter 4: Subaqueous calving margin morphology at Mueller, Hooker and Tasman glaciers in *Aoraki*/Mount Cook National Park, New Zealand 77

4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Abstract	78
4.3	Introduction	78
4.4	Study area	81
4.4.1	Mueller Glacier	81
4.4.2	Hooker Glacier	83
4.4.3	Tasman Glacier	84
4.5	Methods	85

4.6	Results	86
4.6.1	Mueller Glacier and lake	86
4.6.2	Hooker Glacier and Lake	89
4.6.3	Tasman Glacier and Lake	91
4.7	Discussion	92
4.7.1	Calving and retreat rate	94
4.7.2	Debris on the ice ramps.....	95
4.8	Conclusion.....	97
4.9	Acknowledgements	98

Chapter 5: Temporal evolution of the calving terminus at Mueller Glacier, Aoraki/Mount Cook National Park, New Zealand 99

5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	Abstract	100
5.3	Introduction	101
5.4	Study area	102
5.5	Methods	104
5.6	Results	107
5.6.1	Terminus subaerial morphology	107
5.6.2	Subaqueous morphology and lake size	109
5.6.3	Vertical and horizontal terminus displacements	114
5.6.4	Water temperature.....	117
5.7	Discussion	118
5.7.1	Subaqueous morphology.....	118
5.7.2	Horizontal and vertical displacements on the terminus	120
5.8	Conclusion.....	122
5.9	Acknowledgements	123

Chapter 6: Calving retreat and proglacial lake growth at Hooker Glacier, Southern Alps, New Zealand.....	125
6.1 Introduction	125
6.2 Abstract	126
6.3 Introduction	126
6.4 Study area	128
6.5 Methods	130
6.6 Results	131
6.7 Discussion	139
6.7.1 Retreat rates.....	139
6.7.2 Retreat scenarios	140
6.8 Conclusions	143
6.9 Acknowledgements	144
 Chapter 7: Synthesis and Conclusions.....	145
7.1 Introduction	145
7.2 Avenues for future research.....	149
7.3 Concluding remarks	153
 Chapter 8: References	155
 Chapter 9: Appendices.....	175

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1: Icebergs produced from multiple calving events, grounded near the outlet of Tasman Lake, the proglacial lake adjacent to Tasman Glacier (source: C. Robertson, 2 April 2008). Englacial and some supraglacial debris has remained on the icebergs during calving and been transported down the lake. Calving permits much larger volumes of ice to be lost from the glacier than melting alone. 8
- Figure 2.2: The rock avalanche from *Aoraki*/Mount Cook on 14 December 1991 (Photo: CN21503/11: D. L. Homer in Cox & Barrell, 2007). The avalanche descended 2720 m down the eastern side of *Aoraki*/Mount Cook and was deposited onto Tasman Glacier. The deposit formed closely spaced arcuate debris ridges on the glacier at the confluence of Tasman Glacier and the Hochstetter icefall (seen in the centre of the photo) which have elongated since deposition (Quincey & Glasser, 2009). The deposit caused the development of a 25 m high, debris-covered, ice ridge on the up-glacial edge of the deposit (Reznichenko *et al.*, 2011)..... 11
- Figure 2.3: Sequence of retreat and subsequent proglacial lake development on a low-gradient glacier bound by the reverse slope of an outwash head (Figure 9 Kirkbride, 1993): 1) slow melting under a mantle of supraglacial debris; 2) collapse of conduits perforates the debris layer which allows rapid melting of exposed ice walls; 3) growth and coalescence of supraglacial ponds into a lake which may have an ice-cored floor. Subaqueous icebergs may calve from this floor; 4) disintegration of the ice-cored floor increases water depth and initiates rapid calving retreat. 13
- Figure 2.4: A thermo-erosional notch (shown by the black arrow), formed at the waterline, at the terminal ice cliff of Mueller Glacier, New Zealand (source: C. Robertson, 20 November 2009). The rate of thermo-erosional notch development may directly control subaerial calving at some freshwater terminating glaciers, such as Tasman Glacier (Röhl, 2006). 15
- Figure 2.5: Convection cell circulation patterns from laboratory experiments of ice melt in freshwater (after Figure 3 Eijpen *et al.*, 2003). Different subaqueous melt rates and hence geometries are created in different

freshwater temperatures. Circulation within the experimental tanks was minimised, however, in reality, circulation and convection in a proglacial lake is driven by a combination of glacial and non-glacial inflows and climatic parameters. Thus, circulation and convection patterns observed in this study may be markedly different from what occurs in a proglacial lake.	18
Figure 2.6: Factors that influence circulation and convection within a proglacial lake; water inflows, suspended sediment concentration, thermal regime, wind and solar radiation. The upper layers of a water body are mixed predominantly by waves and surface currents generated by wind. Mixing within the lake is influenced by the density of water entering the lake from precipitation, streams, meltwater streams from the glacier and meltwater delivered via englacial and subglacial conduits. Icebergs calving into the lake and melting will cool surrounding water.	21
Figure 2.7: Crevasses in the terminal ice cliff at Mueller Glacier (source: C. Robertson, 17 November 2009. The location, timing and magnitude of calving is determined by the location of crevasses which open in response to stresses and propagate to isolate blocks of ice from the glacier.	24
Figure 2.8: Three basic modes of ice fracture (Figure 3 Benn <i>et al.</i> , 2007b). Mode I describes tensile cracking where the walls of a fracture separate. Mode II occurs when fracture walls remain in contact but slide past each other. Mode III occurs when the fracture propagates at right angles to the direction of shear. If more than one mode of fracturing occurs it is termed mixed mode fracture.	26
Figure 2.9: Debris bands in the terminal ice cliff of Hooker Glacier, New Zealand (source: C. Robertson, 23 November 2009). Along with crevasses, the location, orientation and spacing of such weaknesses can control calving rates.	33
Figure 2.10: The relationship between calving rate and water depth for glaciers in different regions around the world (after Haresign, 2004). Calving rates for tidewater glaciers are larger than those at lake-calving termini. Data sources: New Zealand lakes: Warren & Kirkbride (2003), Tasman Glacier: Dykes & Brook (2010), Patagonian lakes: Rivera <i>et al.</i> (1997), Rott <i>et al.</i> (1998), Skvarca <i>et al.</i> (1995), Warren (1999), Warren <i>et al.</i> (1995b),	

Warren <i>et al.</i> (2001), Breiðamerkurjökull: Björnsson <i>et al.</i> (2001), Mendenhall: Motyka <i>et al.</i> (2003c), European Alps (lakes): Funk & Röthlisberger (1989), Alaska (tidewater): Brown <i>et al.</i> (1982), Meier <i>et al.</i> (1985), Pelto & Warren (1991), West Greenland (tidewater): Carbonell & Bauer (1968), Svalbard (tidewater): Jania (1986), Pillewizer (1965), Wilhelm (1963).....	41
Figure 3.1: Map showing the location of Mueller, Hooker, Murchison, Classen, Grey, Maud and Godley glaciers and their proglacial lakes.	52
Figure 3.2: Lower terminus and proglacial lakes in <i>Aoraki</i> /Mount Cook National Park: A) Mueller Glacier (source: C. Robertson, 28 January 2011). Mueller Glacier is on the left of the photo and Hooker River enters the lake in the top right-hand corner; B) Murchison Glacier (source: T. Chinn, 2011); C) Hooker Glacier (source: C. Robertson, 26 January 2011).....	53
Figure 3.3: Lower terminus and proglacial lakes in <i>Aoraki</i> /Mount Cook National Park: A) Classen Glacier (source: T Chinn, 2011); B) Godley Glacier (far left of the photo) (source: S. Winkler, 2008). An enlarged image of the area in the red box is shown in C. C) Godley Lake is on the left with Godley Glacier retreating towards the right of the photo (source: T. Chinn, 2011); D) Grey (centre top of the photo) and Maud Glacier (on the right of the photo) entering Grey/Maud lake (source: T. Chinn, 2011).....	54
Figure 3.4: Terminus positions of Mueller Glacier, 1986-2011 and Hooker Glacier, 1965-2011.	60
Figure 3.5: Terminus positions of Murchison Glacier, 1965-2010 and Classen Glacier, 1965-2008.....	61
Figure 3.6: Terminus positions of Grey and Maud glaciers, 1965-2008 and Godley Glacier, 1965-2008.....	62
Figure 3.7 (previous page): Retreat rate (u_r , $m a^{-1}$) and proglacial lake surface area (km^2) for A) Mueller Glacier, 1986-2011, B) Hooker Glacier, 1979-2011, C) Murchison Glacier, 1965-2010, D) Classen Glacier, 1965-2008, E) Grey and Maud glaciers, 1965-2008, and F) Godley Glacier, 1965-2008. Black diamonds on the lake surface area graphs represent values calculated in this study. Grey diamonds represent data from other studies: Mueller lake (Watson, 1995; Röhl, 2005), Hooker Lake (Warren &	

Kirkbride, 1998), Grey/Maud lake (Warren & Kirkbride, 1998) and Godley lake (Warren & Kirkbride, 1998).....	64
Figure 3.8: A four-stage model of spatial and temporal evolution of <i>Aoraki</i> /Mount Cook proglacial lakes. Stage 1: the appearance and growth of supraglacial lakes and/or meltwater channels on the lower ablation areas of glaciers. Stage 2: transition between supraglacial lakes and meltwater channels and a coalesced single lake. Stage 3: stable expansion of a single coalesced lake. Stage 4: slowing of retreat caused by shoaling as the valley's bedrock profile comes closer to lake level as retreat proceeds. Classen, Grey and Maud glaciers transited through stages 1 and 2 prior to 1965, although the transition between stage 1 and 2 is not constrained. Two distinct retreat phases between 1986 and the late 1990s, and 2006 and 2008, are superimposed on the four-staged model. All six glaciers are currently in stage 3, although the Godley Valley glaciers may be approaching stage 4.	73
Figure 4.1: Location of Mueller, Hooker and Tasman glaciers and their proglacial lakes. The Main Divide of the Southern Alps is highlighted by black dots.....	80
Figure 4.2: Mueller, Hooker and Tasman glaciers and their proglacial lakes: (A) the terminus of Mueller Glacier (on the left of the photo) and proglacial lake (source: C. Robertson, 28 January 2011). Hooker River can be seen entering the lake in the upper right-hand corner. The photo is taken from the south-western side of Mueller lake looking north-east. Hooker Glacier and Lake are behind the lateral moraine in the centre of the photo; (B) Hooker Glacier and Lake looking north-east towards Mount Cook (source: C. Robertson, 23 November 2009). Hooker Glacier can be seen flowing down valley, terminating in Hooker Lake. Eugenie Stream crosses an alluvial fan and enters the lake in the bottom left of the photo; (C) looking north-east up Tasman Lake to Tasman Glacier (source: C. Robertson, 25 November 2009).....	82
Figure 4.3 (next page): Subaqueous terminus morphology and bathymetry of Mueller lake: A) November 2009. Lines A-A' and B-B' show the location of sub-bottom profile images in Figure 4.4; B) April 2010; C) the relative change in lake depth between November 2009 (A) and April 2010 (B). Negative values (red) show a decrease in depth and positive values (blue) show an increase in depth.	87

Figure 4.4: Sub-bottom profile images and interpretation from Mueller lake April 2010. Profile locations are shown in Figure 4.3. The box shows the approximate distal end of the ice ramp.	89
Figure 4.5: Subaqueous terminus morphology and bathymetry of Hooker Lake in November 2009. Line A-A' shows the location of the sub-bottom profile image.	90
Figure 4.6: Subaqueous terminus morphology of Tasman Lake in 2008. Line A-A' shows the location of the sub-bottom profile image.	92
Figure 5.1: A) Location of Mueller Glacier and the surrounding area. The black box shows the location of Figures 5.2, 5.3 & 5.4. The inset image shows the location of the lake mooring which collected lake temperature data, the weather station, and the depth data logger B) Mueller lake, with ice debris from a large collapse of subaerial ice cliffs ~100 m up-glacier of the terminus, (source: C. Robertson, 7 February 2011). Mueller Glacier is on the left of the photo. A swollen Hooker River can be seen entering the lake in the top right-hand corner. The photo is taken from Kea Point on the south-western side of Mueller lake looking north-east.	103
Figure 5.2: Subaerial morphology of the terminus of Mueller Glacier, February 2011. Fine-grained, glacio-fluvial sediment and coarse-grained, avalanche-derived sediment can be seen on the terminus. Exposed ice cliffs can be seen adjacent to Mueller lake, along the meltwater river and near the grey pond. 'Blue water' ponds can be seen on the SW of the terminus, which is lower in elevation than the rest of the terminus.	108
Figure 5.3: Variation in the terminus position of Mueller Glacier between November 2009 and February 2011, and the horizontal displacement of five prisms (numbered 1-5) on the terminus. The horizontal displacement (m) of each prism between the first and last survey, between 23 January and 18 February 2011, are shown by the black arrows (a 1 m scale arrow is shown in the legend). The location of the Trimble S6 automatic total station, used to survey the prisms, is also shown. Lines A-A' and B-B' show the location of sub-bottom sonar profiles shown in Figures 5.6 and 5.7.	109
Figure 5.4: The bathymetry of Mueller lake between November 2009 and February 2011. The approximate outline of the subaqueous ice ramp in	

November 2009 and April 2010 is shown in (A) and (B). A DEM of difference between November 2009 and February 2011 is shown in Figure 5.5. Ice ramp data are not available for January and February 2011.	111
Figure 5.5: DEM of difference for Mueller lake between November 2009 and February 2011. Negative values (red) show a decrease in depth and positive values (blue) show an increase in depth.	112
Figure 5.6: Sub-bottom profile image A-A' and interpretation from Mueller lake, April 2010. The location of the image is shown in Figure 5.3. The images show ice at the bottom of the lake, which is overlain with fine-grained sediment (as identified by the thin laminations). Debris bands can be seen in the ice. Sediment on the lake side's slopes down and overlaps the ice.	113
Figure 5.7: Sub-bottom profile image B-B' and interpretation from Mueller lake April 2010. The location of the image is shown in Figure 5.3. The images show the lake floor near the terminus, which is underlain with ice. A thick layer of sediment (~5-7 m) covers the ice and debris bands can be seen within the ice.	114
Figure 5.8: Relative lake level, precipitation (from the climate station on the south-western side of the lake, shown in Figure 5.1), water temperature (at depth data logger, shown in Figure 5.1) and the vertical displacement of the five prisms on the lower terminus of Mueller Glacier, between 23 January to 18 February 2011. The symbols for each prism represent survey dates.....	116
Figure 5.9 (previous page): Lake temperature and precipitation in Mueller lake from 22 November 2009 to 30 April 2010. The first five graphs show water temperature at different depths approximately 100 m in front of the terminus and the bottom graph shows precipitation (mm d ⁻¹) recorded at <i>Aoraki</i> /Mount Cook Village. Strong cooling typically occurred following a large precipitation event. The two grey lines show periods where a 1°C drop in temperature was not associated with a large precipitation event.....	117
Figure 6.1: Map showing the location of Hooker Glacier and Lake in the central Southern Alps, New Zealand. Black dots denote the Main Divide of the Southern Alps. Sheila, Empress and Noeline glaciers, tributaries of Hooker Glacier, are also shown.	129

Figure 6.2: Hooker Glacier terminating into Hooker Lake (view to the northeast). Hooker River exits the lake near the bottom of the photo (source: C. Robertson, 26 January 2011).	130
Figure 6.3: Terminus positions of Hooker Glacier, 1965 to 2011. Supraglacial and ice-marginal ponds, which developed between 1965 and the early 1980s, coalesced in 1982. Since 1965 the terminus of Hooker Glacier has retreated 2.14 km up-valley from its LIA terminal moraine. The 1996 terminus position is from Hochstein <i>et al.</i> (1998).	132
Figure 6.4: Surface area of Hooker Lake between 1965 and 2011. Growth in lake surface area has followed a steadily increasing trend after the coalescence of supraglacial and ice-marginal ponds in 1982 and subsequent high retreat rates.	133
Figure 6.5: Ice cliff at the terminus of Hooker Glacier (source: C. Robertson, 23 November 2009). Note the layers of debris in the subaerial ice cliff which provide pre-existing weaknesses in the glacier which can be exploited to result in calving. The calving terminus is comprised of a vertical subaerial ice cliff (approximately 30 m high), which then forms a low gradient subaqueous ice ramp sloping away from the terminus into the lake.	133
Figure 6.6: Hooker Lake bathymetry and the location of an ice ramp which was identified in 2009 (Chapter 4).	134
Figure 6.7: Retreat rates and calving rates of Hooker Glacier between 1986 and 2011. U_r is retreat rate (mean retreat along the terminus multiplied by time), U_c is calving rate, $U_c + U_m$ is calving rate + melt at the calving cliff. Values are reported in Table 6.1.	137
Figure 6.8: Predicted retreat scenarios for Hooker Glacier. The profiles have a vertical exaggeration of approximately 12. The subglacial bedrock profile (dashed line) is inferred from Hochstein <i>et al.</i> 's (1998) ice thickness data, and is measured at 3 km and 4.8 km up-valley of the Hooker Lake outlet. The fast retreat scenario is based on waterline melt from Röhl (2005) and predicts the glacier terminus will stabilise > 3 km up-valley of the current lake outlet after 2028, when ice velocity equals calving rate. The slow retreat scenario, based on the calving rate-water depth relationship (established by Funk & Röthlisberger, 1989; Warren <i>et al.</i> , 1995b),	

predicts the glacier will retreat ~4.8 km up-valley where it will stabilise as bedrock outcrops at lake level by 2096.....	138
Figure 9.1: The EdgeTech 216S sub-bottom profiler being towed approximately 20 m behind the boat in Tasman Lake (source: C. Robertson, 2 April 2008). A RTK-dGPS rover attached to the top of the profiler to locate the survey.....	181
Figure 9.2: The EdgeTech 216S sub-bottom profiler being towed approximately 10 m behind the boat in front of the terminus ice cliff of Hooker Glacier (source: R. Dykes, 29 November 2009).....	182
Figure 9.3: EdgeTech 216S sub-bottom profiler towed beside the boat in Mueller lake (source: C. Robertson, 15 April 2010). The ballast (green tubes) which was attached to the profiler can be seen sitting above the water. The minicomputer and Toughbook used in conjunction with the profile can also be seen. Figure 9.4 shows a more detailed view of these components.	182
Figure 9.4: Minicomputer and Toughbook laptop used with the EdgeTech 216S sub-bottom profiler (source: C. Robertson, 15 April 2010). The profiler is attached to the yellow box (minicomputer) by a cable, with the minicopter then being connected to the Toughbook laptop. A profiler image can be seen on the Toughbook screen. The minicomputer is powered by batteries contained in the green plastic box.....	183
Figure 9.5: An example of a sub-bottom profiler image from Hooker Lake which shows a considerable amount of acoustic blanking (shown by the arrows), the result of the signal being blocked by gas in the water column or lake bed.....	184
Figure 9.6: An example of a sub-bottom profiler image from Mueller lake which shows acoustic scattering (the heavily lined part of the image) caused by gas in the water column or water inhomogeneities. Acoustic scattering prevents the image being analysed and interpreted due to the quality of the image.....	185
Figure 9.7: The two buoys of the stationary lake mooring which 5 Onset Tidbit temperature loggers were attached to in Hooker Lake (source: C. Robertson, 28 November 2009).....	188

Figure 9.8: The plastic basket the Onset U20-001-01 HOBO Depth Data Logger was deployed in to protect it from impacts (source: C. Robertson, 18 February 2011). This photograph was taken after the logger had been recovered in February 2011. Fine sediment can be seen on the basket from where it sunk into the sediment on the lake floor. 190

Figure 9.9: The Trimble S6 automatic total station (black box) established on Kea Point, ~150 m above Mueller Glacier, from where the photograph is taken (source: C. Robertson, 5 January 2011). 191

Figure 9.10: The Trimble S6 automatic total station established on Kea Point, ~150 m above Mueller Glacier (source: A. Clement, 21 January 2011). 192

Figure 9.11: The author beside a reflective prism that has just been attached to a large, stable, flat boulder on the surface of Mueller Glacier (source: A. Clement, 20 January 2011). The reflective face of the prism is directed towards Kea Point. The photograph is taken looking up-glacier. 193

Figure 9.12: An example of a photograph taken from a camera attached to the Lighter-than-air-Helikite (source: C. Robertson, 22 November 2009). The image contained a GCP (orange cross in the lower right hand corner) and could also be located (due to the inclusion of the boat and pond). The orange boat is approximately 3 m long. 195

Figure 9.13: An example of a photograph taken from the Lighter-than-air-Helikite that did not contained a GCP and therefore could not be located (source: C. Robertson, 22 November 2009). The large boulders in the upper and lower centre of the photograph are approximately 5 m in diameter. 196

Figure 9.14: An example of a photograph taken from the Lighter-than-air-Helikite that contained two GCPs (orange cross in the upper right corner and blue tarpaulin in the lower left corner) (source: C. Robertson, 22 November 2009). The photo could not be located as it was unclear what GCP the two are in the photo. The large boulder in the upper right of the photograph is approximately 5 m in diameter. 196

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Characteristics of glaciers and their proglacial lakes in <i>Aoraki</i> /Mount Cook National Park. Debris cover (%) gives the percentage of the glacier subaerial surface covered with debris.	55
Table 3.2: Retreat rates calculated in other studies for Mueller, Hooker, Classen, Grey, Maud, Godley and Tasman Glaciers. No additional retreat rate data for Murchison Glaciers have been published. Data for Grey Glacier are separated into Grey east and Grey west following Kirkbride (1993). While it is unclear in Kirkbrides (1993) study exactly what part of the glacier Grey east and Grey west refer to, it is assumed that rates for Grey east refer to the eastern boundary of Grey/Maud Glacier (when they were confluent) and Grey west rates refer to the western boundary of Grey/Maud Glacier (when they were confluent). Retreat rates for Tasman Glacier are incorporated for comparison purposes.	57
Table 3.3: Surface areas (km ²) of Mueller, Hooker, Murchison, Classen, Grey/Maud and Godley lakes calculated from aerial photographs, satellite images and field-based surveys.	66
Table 1.1: Physical characteristics of Mueller, Hooker and Tasman glaciers and their proglacial lakes. Supraglacial debris cover (%) refers to the percentage of the glacier surface area that is covered by debris.	83.
Table 1.2: Horizontal (H) and vertical (V) displacement (m) of prisms on the terminus of Mueller Glacier between 23 January and 18 February 2011. Data is non-cumulative and displacement is relative to the previous location. * is the first survey.	115
Table 6.1: Hooker Glacier full width retreat data between 1979 and 2011. ∂L_{max} is maximum retreat, ∂L_{mean} is mean retreat, U_r is retreat rate (∂L_{mean} multiplied by t to determine the annual rate), U_c is calving rate, $U_c + U_m$ is calving rate + melt at the calving cliff, D_w is water depth. Negative values indicate a width-averaged advance of the glacier.	135

