

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis, or part of it, may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the
Author

Enzymes produced by bacteria within biofilms of dairy origin and their effect on dairy products

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

Koon Hoong Teh

2013

ABSTRACT

Prior to the current study, there was no scientific evidence that enzymes produced by bacteria within biofilms in milk transport tanker could have a detrimental effect on the quality of dairy products.

Bacteria attached to the internal surfaces of milk tankers were isolated, identified, and characterized in terms of their ability to produce heat-stable enzymes (protease and lipase) and to form biofilms. Twelve of the bacterial isolates were identified by 16s DNA sequencing as belonging to the genera *Bacillus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Serratia*.

Six of the dairy bacterial isolates were evaluated for their ability to produce proteolysis in milk when growing within either single culture or co-culture biofilms in an *in vitro* model system that simulated the upper part of a milk tanker during a typical summer's day of milk collection in New Zealand. Proteolysis per cfu decreased as the temperature of incubation increased (20–37 °C), and proteolysis per cfu was generally higher within biofilms compared with the corresponding planktonic cultures.

Lipolysis by bacteria within biofilms in the *in vitro* model was investigated using single or co-culture biofilms or planktonic cultures of four dairy bacteria and a known lipase-producing bacterium. The hydrolysis of *p*-nitrophenol palmitate was at least 10 times higher by bacteria within biofilms (0.01 to 8.35 nU/CFU) than in planktonic cultures (0.01 to 0.07 nU/CFU).

The effect of proteases on UHT skim milk was determined by exposing sterile skim milk to a multispecies biofilm formed on an *in vitro* model of a milk tanker. The amount of free peptides which indicated proteolysis in the UHT milk was monitored over five months of storage. Free peptides were higher in UHT milk that had been made from milk exposed to the multispecies biofilm, than in UHT milk that had been made from milk that had not been exposed to the biofilm. Enzymes that are secreted from biofilms into raw milk during transportation can potentially reduce the quality of dairy products. Improvements at this early stage of dairy manufacture may reduce economic loss in the dairy industry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people who have been part of this interesting story from the beginning to the end. Without them, this story would not be possible, and here I would like to sincerely thank them for their part in this interesting story.

First of all I would like to thank my supervisors, Associate Professor Steve Flint, Dr Denise Lindsay, Dr Paul Andrewes, Dr Jon Palmer, and Professor Phil Bremer for their continuous support, encouragement, guidance, patience, constructive criticism, and professional advice on my project and their contributions in paper publishing.

I am indebted to the Ministry of Science and Innovation and Fonterra Co-operative group for providing the research and supplemental funds, without which, this work will not have succeeded.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank two teams of colleagues; the Fonterra Research and Development Centre, Analytical Development Team (Microbiology), Kylie Walker, Sara Burgess, Jacinda Aplin, and Ben Somerton, and the Food Microbiology laboratory (Massey University), Anne-Marie Jackson, Judy Farrand-Collins, Julia Stevenson, John Sykes, and John Edwards, for their help discussion, and enthusiasm for this project.

A special thanks to the Transport Technical team, especially Bob Robinson and Brian Parker at Fonterra, Longburn, New Zealand, for logistical and technical support for the milk tanker studies. In addition, I would like to thank the staff at the Fonterra Research and Development Centre, Palmerston North, New Zealand for their help, especially Barbara Kuhn-Sherlock for her assistance with the statistical analysis, and Colin Caddick for sourcing the gamma irradiated skim milk powder.

Thanks to the staff at the workshop of the School of Engineering and Advance Technology and pilot plant of the Institute of Food Nutrition and Human Health for their technical help, especially Bruce Collins for the construction of the biofilm reactor, and Warwick Johnson for help with the pasteurizer in the pilot plant. I would like to thank my lab mates, and office mates for keeping my PhD life interesting. I would also like to take the opportunity to thank four of my undergraduate students from Singapore, Qili Zheng, Jasmine Kang, Poh Li Khoo, and Hui Fen Tay for their help with my project.

My last and special thanks to my families, especially my wife, Pei Xian Yeong, and our two sons, Jin-Zhao Teh and Yao-Zhao Teh for their continuous support, belief and for their understanding and patience during my years of slavery in the laboratory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	ix
Published Manuscripts	ix
Submitted Manuscripts.....	ix
LIST OF CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS	x
Oral Presentations	x
Poster Presentations	xi
ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
1.a Background.....	2
1.b Motivation	4
1.c Hypothesis and objectives	5
Chapter 2. Literature Review.....	6
2.a Biofilms: an unrecognised source of dairy spoilage enzymes?.....	7
2.a.1 ABSTRACT.....	8
2.a.2 INTRODUCTION.....	9
2.a.3 BACTERIAL BIOFILM.....	9
2.a.4 ENZYMES WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF A BIOFILM	14
2.a.5 THE IMPORTANCE OF ENZYMES IN DAIRY MANUFACTURE.....	17
2.a.6 IMPORTANCE OF BIOFILMS IN THE DAIRY INDUSTRY	22
2.a.7 EVIDENCE FOR ENZYMES WITHIN BIOFILM ASSOCIATED WITH DAIRY MANUFACTURE OR PRODUCTS	24
2.a.8 CONCLUSION	25
Chapter 3. Preliminary Studies On Milk Tankers.....	26
3.a Internal surface temperature of milk tankers.....	27
3.a.1 ABSTRACT.....	28
3.a.2 INTRODUCTION.....	29

3.a.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
3.a.4	RESULTS	33
3.a.5	DISCUSSION	40
3.a.6	CONCLUSION	41
3.b	Thermo-resistant enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from the internal surfaces of raw milk tankers.....	42
3.b.1	ABSTRACT	44
3.b.2	INTRODUCTION.....	45
3.b.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	46
3.b.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	51
3.b.5	CONCLUSSION	58
Chapter 4.	<i>In Vitro</i> Studies On Enzyme Production Within Biofilm	59
4.a	Proteolysis produced within biofilms of bacterial isolates from raw milk tankers	60
4.a.1	ABSTRACT	62
4.a.2	INTRODUCTION.....	63
4.a.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	64
4.a.4	RESULTS	68
4.a.5	DISCUSSION	77
4.a.6	CONCLUSION	80
4.a.7	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	80
4.b	Lipolysis within single culture and co-culture biofilms of dairy origin.....	81
4.b.1	ABSTRACT	83
4.b.2	INTRODUCTION.....	84
4.b.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	85
4.b.4	RESULTS	89
4.b.5	DISCUSSION	99
Chapter 5.	The Spoilage Of Dairy Products Due To Enzymes Produced By Bacteria Growing Within Biofilm.....	102
5.a	Proteolysis in ultra-heat-treated skim milk after exposure to multispecies biofilms under conditions modelling a milk tanker	103
5.a.1	ABSTRACT	105
5.a.2	INTRODUCTION.....	106
5.a.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	107

5.a.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	109
5.a.5	CONCLUSION	118
5.a.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	118
Chapter 6.	Summarising discussion and conclusion.....	119
6.a	Highlights	120
6.b	Summarising discussion	120
6.b.1	Preliminary investigation on milk tankers	121
6.b.2	<i>In vitro</i> studies of enzymes produced by bacteria within biofilms	123
6.b.3	Practical study on the effects of biofilm enzymes produced during raw milk transportation on dairy products	125
6.c	Conclusion.....	126
6.d	Recommendations and future work.....	127
6.d.1	RECOMMENDATIONS	128
6.d.2	FUTURE WORK	128
REFERENCES:	130

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Published Manuscripts

1. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P., 2011. Thermo-resistant enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from the internal surfaces of raw milk tankers. *International Dairy Journal* 21, 742–747.
2. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P., Lindsay, D., 2012. Proteolysis produced within biofilms of bacterial isolates from raw milk tankers. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 157, 28-34.
3. Teh, K. H., Lindsay, D., Palmer, J., Andrewes, P., Bremer., P., Flint, S., 2013. Lipolysis within single culture and co-culture biofilms of dairy origin. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.02.009

Submitted Manuscripts

1. Teh, K. H., Lindsay, D., Palmer, J., Andrewes, P., Bremer., P., Flint, S., 2012. Proteolysis in ultra-heat-treated skim milk after exposure to multispecies biofilms under conditions modeling a milk tanker. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*.

LIST OF CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

Oral Presentations

1. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2011). The occurrence of thermo-resistant enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from raw milk tanker surfaces. 57th Annual Scientific Meeting of the New Zealand Microbiological Society Conference 2011 (Palmerston North, New Zealand).
2. Teh, K. H. (2012). Biofilms – Bacteria on the internal surface of milk tankers. New Zealand Large Herd Association Inc (NZLHA) conference 2012 (Palmerston North, New Zealand)
3. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2012). Increased lipolysis within dairy biofilms cells. The New Zealand Institute for Food Science and Technology Inc (NZIFST) Conference 2012 (Hamilton, New Zealand).
4. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2012). The effects of biofilm proteases on UHT milk. Free Food for Thought, Food Division Symposium, Massey University 2012 (Palmerston North, New Zealand).
5. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2012). The effects of biofilm proteases on UHT milk. 58th Annual Scientific Meeting of the New Zealand Microbiological Society Conference 2012 (Dunedin, New Zealand).

Poster Presentations

1. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2010). The occurrence of thermo-resistant enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from raw milk tanker surfaces. 56th Annual Scientific Meeting of the New Zealand Microbiological Society (Auckland, New Zealand).
2. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2011). Thermo-resistant enzyme-producing bacteria form biofilms on raw milk tanker surface. The New Zealand Institute for Food Science and Technology Inc (NZIFST) Conference 2011 (Rotorua, New Zealand).
3. Teh, K. H., Zheng, Q., Flint, S. (2011). Comparison between stainless steel grade 304 and 316 with 2B finish using the attachment of bacterial isolates found in the dairy industry. 57th Annual Scientific Meeting of the New Zealand Microbiological Society Conference 2011 (Palmerston North, New Zealand).
4. Zheng, Q, Teh, K. H., Flint, S. (2011). Comparison between stainless steel grade 304 and 316 with 2B finish using the attachment of bacterial isolates found in the dairy industry. (Massey University, Singapore)
5. Teh, K. H. (2012). Biofilms – What’s happening in milk tankers? New Zealand Large Herd Association Inc (NZLHA) conference 2012 (Palmerston North, New Zealand)
6. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2012). Increased lipolysis within cells originating from dairy biofilms. The New Zealand Institute for Food Science and Technology Inc (NZIFST) Conference 2012 (Hamilton, New Zealand).
7. Kan, J., Teh, K. H., Flint, S. (2012). Comparison between stainless steel grade 304 and 316 with 2B finish in supporting biofilm growth and cleaning efficiency in the dairy industry. (Massey University, Singapore)
8. Khoo, P.L., Teh, K. H., Flint, S. (2012). A comparison of the molecular weights of the enzymes produced by bacteria present in planktonic cultures and in biofilm. (Massey University, Singapore)

9. Tay, H. F., Teh, K. H., Flint, S., (2012). The effect of enzymes from biofilm on the quality of butter. (Massey University, Singapore)
10. Teh, K. H., Flint, S., Palmer, J., Lindsay, D., Andrewes, P., Bremer, P. (2012). Increased lipolysis within cells originating from dairy biofilms. The 6th ASM Conference on Biofilms 2012 (Miami, Florida, United State of America).
11. Teh, K. H., Kan, J., Flint, S. (2012). The cleaning efficiency of biofilms from stainless steel 304 and 316. 58th Annual Scientific Meeting of the New Zealand Microbiological Society Conference 2012 (Dunedin, New Zealand).

ABBREVIATIONS

4-MU	4-methylumbelliferone
AHL	<i>N</i> -acylhomoserine lactone
BNA	Biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface under nutrient abundance
BNL	Biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface under nutrient limitation
CIP	Clean in place
cfu	Colony forming units
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
eDNA	extracellular DNA
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immune-sorbent assay
EON	Biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface of an inadequately cleaned tanker that was left to stand empty overnight
EPS	Exopolysaccharides
GC	Gas chromatography
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
MPCA	Milk plate count agar
MSI	Ministry of Science and Innovation
NB	Nutrient broth
NSLAB	Non-starter lactic acid bacteria
PAS	Planktonic growth in the absence of stainless steel surfaces
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
pnpp	<i>p</i> -nitrophenol palmitate
PPS	Planktonic growth in the presence of stainless steel surfaces
PQQ-ADH	Pyroquinoline quinone-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase
rDNA	Ribosomal DNA
RI	Refractive Index
RPM	Revolutions per minute
RSM	Reconstituted skim milk
SEB	Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SMC	Biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface of an inadequately cleaned tanker with subsequent milk collection

SS	Stainless steel
TMC	Biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface after a typical 9.25 h of milk collection
Tris-HCl	Tris-hydrochloride
UV	Ultraviolet

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.a-1 The schematic diagram of the <i>in vitro</i> model of milk tanker while stationary (A), the schematic diagram of the <i>in vitro</i> model of milk tanker during its milk run (B), and the affixation of the data loggers on the <i>in vitro</i> model of a milk tanker while stationary (C).....	31
Figure 3.a-2 The schematic diagram of data loggers' placed on a milk tanker.	32
Figure 3.a-3 The affixation of the data loggers on the outer surface of a milk tanker.....	33
Figure 3.a-4 In total, five different milk tankers were monitored during summer of 2010. Each graph represents the surface temperature of a milk tanker over a period of 23 h.	35
Figure 3.a-5 In total, five different milk tankers were monitored during winter of 2009. Each graph represents the surface temperature of a milk tanker over a period of 23 h.	36
Figure 3.a-6 The average surface temperatures of different parts of milk tankers when they were full, empty on a milk run or while stationary during the summer and winter of 2009-2010. Trials were performed with five milk tankers from two seasons, and error bars represent standard deviations from the means.....	38
Figure 3.a-7 The average surface temperatures of milk tankers when they were full, empty on a milk run or while stationary during the summer and winter of 2009-2010. Trials were performed with five milk tankers from two seasons, and error bars represent standard deviations from the means.	39
Figure 3.b-1 Phylogenetic tree showing clustering of bacterial strains isolated from the raw milk tanker. Bootstrapping values of 90 and above are indicated for the bacteria of interest.	55
Figure 4.a-1 The number of cells of <i>B. licheniformis</i> R4, <i>P. fluorescens</i> C224, <i>P. fragi</i> BC5, <i>S. liquefaciens</i> DC1, <i>S. aureus</i> SF01 and <i>S. uberis</i> C05 recovered under three different scenarios: dotted bar, scenario (i), Bacterial attachment on the stainless steel surface after milk collection (TMC); white bar, scenario ii (SMC), biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface of an inadequately cleaned tanker with subsequent milk collection; black bar, scenario iii (EON), biofilm formation on the stainless steel surface of an inadequately cleaned tanker left to stand empty overnight. Experiments were performed with three replicates on two separate occasions, and error bars represent standard deviations from the mean. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences for each particular microorganisms, $P \leq 0.05$ (Tukey's test).....	69

Figure 4.a-2 The number of cells recovered from single culture and co-culture biofilms (A, C, E) and their corresponding planktonic cultures (B, D, F) of *S. aureus* SF01 and *P. fluorescens* C224 (A, B), *S. uberis* C05 and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 (C, D), and *B. licheniformis* R4 and *P. fragi* BC5 (E, F) grown in the *in vitro* model that simulated the stainless steel surface of an inadequately cleaned tanker left overnight, after a typical 10 h milk collection, at three different temperatures, 20, 30 and 37 °C. The white bars represent the single cultures and the black bars represent co-cultures. Experiments were performed with three replicates on two separate occasions, and error bars represent standard deviations from the mean. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences, $P \leq 0.05$ (Tukey's test). 71

Figure 4.a-3 Scanning electron micrographs of attached population of *S. aureus* SF01 (A) and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 (B) and of co-culture biofilms of *S. aureus* SF01 (i) and *P. fluorescens* C224 (ii) (C) and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 and *S. uberis* C05 (D). 76

Figure 4.b-1 The number of planktonic cells recovered from single cultures and co-cultures of *S. aureus* SF01 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (A), *S. aureus* SF01 and *P. fluorescens* C224 (B), *S. aureus* SF01 and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 (C), *S. uberis* C05 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (D), *S. uberis* C05 and *P. fluorescens* C224 (E) and *S. uberis* C05 and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 (F) grown in the presence or absence of stainless steel (SS) surfaces. The white bars represent the single cultures and the black bars represent co-cultures. Experiments were performed with three replicates on two separate occasions, and error bars represent standard deviations from the mean. Different letters within each condition indicate statistically significant differences, $P \leq 0.05$ (Tukey's test). 91

Figure 4.b-2 The number of cells recovered from single culture and co-culture biofilms of *S. aureus* SF01 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (A), *S. aureus* SF01 and *P. fluorescens* C224 (B), *S. aureus* SF01 and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 (C), *S. uberis* C05 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (D), *S. uberis* C05 and *P. fluorescens* C224 (E) and *S. uberis* C05 and *S. liquefaciens* DC1 (F) grown on a stainless steel (SS) surfaces in the presence or absence of medium. The white bars represent the single cultures and the black bars represent co-cultures. Experiments were performed with three replicates on two separate occasions, and error bars represent standard deviations from the mean. Different letters within each condition indicate statistically significant differences, $P \leq 0.05$ (Tukey's test)..... 94

Figure 5.a-1 Average concentration of free peptides in control (◆) and treated (■) UHT skim milks processed from slightly contaminated stainless steel vessels (A, B, C, D) or moderately contaminated stainless steel vessels (E, F, G, H) over a period of 5 months. The UHT skim milk samples were stored at 10 °C (A, E), 20 °C (B, F), 30 °C (C, G) and 40 °C (D, H). Trials were performed with two replicates on three separate occasions, and error bars represent standard deviations from the means..... 113

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.a-1 Comparison of internal and external surface temperatures of the <i>in vitro</i> model of a milk tanker.	34
Table 3.b-1 Media used for the isolation of bacteria from the internal stainless steel surfaces of raw milk tankers.....	47
Table 3.b-2 Identities of the thermo-resistant enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from the internal surfaces of raw milk tankers during the winter and summer months of 2009–2010, and their colonisation of stainless steel chips <i>in vitro</i>	52
Table 3.b-3 Growth temperature profiles of selected bacterial isolates.....	57
Table 4.a-1 The average temperatures of the upper internal surface of a raw milk tanker during milk collection on a typical warm summer’s day.....	65
Table 4.a-2 The estimated amount of proteolysis produced per colony forming units (pU /cfu) under the assay conditions within single culture and co-culture biofilms, and their corresponding planktonic cultures, of <i>S. aureus</i> SF01 and <i>P. fluorescens</i> C224, <i>S. uberis</i> C05 and <i>S. liquefaciens</i> DC1, and <i>B. licheniformis</i> R4 and <i>P. fragi</i> BC5, grown in the <i>in vitro</i> model that simulated the stainless steel surfaces of an inadequately cleaned tanker left overnight, after a typical 9.25 h milk collection, at three different temperatures, 20, 30 and 37 °C.....	74
Table 4.b-1 The amount of hydrolysis of the substrate pnpP per cfu within biofilms and planktonic cultures in two different growth modes.....	96
Table 4.b-2 The amount of butyric acid released from hydrolysis of tributyrin per cfu within biofilms and planktonic cultures in two different growth modes.	98
Table 5.a-1 Bacterial CFU analysis for the surfaces of and the milk from the treated and control stainless steel vessels after the second incubation.....	111
Table 5.a-2 Average pH of the control and treated UHT skim milks processed from contaminated stainless steel vessels at different storage temperatures for a period of 12 weeks.....	117