

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Occupational Exposure to Pathogenic
Leptospira from Sheep Carcasses in a New
Zealand Abattoir**

**A dissertation presented
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Masters in Veterinary Studies at
Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand**

Sithar Dorjee

2007

Abstract

This research was undertaken in response to a rising trend in the incidence of notified human leptospirosis cases, particularly in meat workers, between 2000 and 2005 in New Zealand. Meat workers constituted the highest proportion (65% during 2004) of notified leptospirosis cases. Sheep either alone or in combination with other animals constituted the second most important animal contact source of human infection (after cattle). Further justification of this research was due to the fact that a number of cases were reported from meat workers employed in sheep-only abattoirs and concerns were raised that sheep may be a significant source of human infection.

A longitudinal study was undertaken to determine the serological and cultural prevalence of two of the most commonly diagnosed serovars, *Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar Hardjobovis and *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Pomona. Lines of sheep and individual sheep were systematically randomly sampled at a sheep-only abattoir in Feilding from May 2004 to June 2005. In addition, an assessment of the risk of occupational exposure of meat workers to carcasses shedding live leptospire was carried out using a stochastic model. The association between white-spotted kidney lesions and the serological and cultural prevalence of leptospirosis in sheep was determined along with the diagnostic value of these lesions to predict serological and culture status at the line and individual carcass levels.

The study showed that the prevalence of lines with sheep seropositive to one or both serovars was 44% (95% CI 35–54), corresponding to 45% (95% CI 35–55) of farms. This indicates that nearly half the sampled farms had been exposed to infection previously. The overall individual serological prevalence in the sample of 15,855 sheep processed was 6% (95% CI 5–7). Lambs born in the 2003–2004 season had a significantly higher serological prevalence to one or both serovars at the line and individual animal levels compared with lambs born in the 2004–2005 season, suggesting a strong seasonal effect. The serological prevalence of Hardjobovis was significantly higher than Pomona at the line and individual animal levels. The overall isolation rates of live leptospire from seropositive kidneys of Hardjobovis and Pomona were 22% and 17% respectively, and 1% from seronegative carcasses. From a purposively selected line (suspected of being from a farm with active leptospirosis) all

13 kidneys of seropositive carcasses were culture positive indicating a high risk of exposure of meat workers to leptospires in such a situation. Kidneys from seropositive carcasses were significantly more likely to return culture positive compared with kidneys from seronegative carcasses. The assessment of daily risk of exposure of meat workers indicated moderate risk for eviscerators and meat inspectors ranging from 3–11 (95% CI 0–22) and 6–18 (95% CI 1–34) carcasses potentially shedding live leptospires respectively, and a high risk for offal-handlers that ranged from 18–54 (95% CI 7–91) shedding carcasses.

The results from the third study showed that the prevalence of white spotted kidneys was 16% and 91% at the individual sheep and line levels, respectively. Carcasses with white spotted kidneys were 5.2 times (95% CI 3.9–7.1) more likely to test seropositive to one or both serovars, but lesions were poor predictors of serological status as judged by test sensitivity and positive predictive values. Furthermore, a positive linear association between white-spot kidney lesion scores and seropositivity to either or both serovars was evident. Consideration of lesion status of lines rather than for individual animals resulted in higher test sensitivity but still suffered from a low positive predictive value. Leptospire were isolated from 5% (95% CI 4–8) kidneys that were cultured. There was no statistically significant association between white spotted kidney lesion scores and culture test results in the survey data; however, a significant linear positive association was evident when culture data from a purposively sampled farm was merged with the survey data.

We conclude that the processing of sheep in sheep-only abattoirs constitutes a definite exposure risk of meat workers to leptospirosis and that exposure risks ranged from moderate to high degrees depending on type of duties performed on the slaughter room floor. Furthermore, since grossly visible white-spotted kidney lesions were positively associated with serological and cultural prevalence of disease it would be advisable for meat workers to take extra care when processing lines with a high prevalence of carcasses with these lesions to reduce the risk of infection. This recommendation is made despite the poor predictability of serological and cultural status of these lesions.

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to the New Zealand Agency for International Development (NZAID) for the scholarship that enabled me to pursue my studies at EpiCentre, Massey University and making my dream of becoming epidemiologist come true. I am also equally indebted to the management of BAFRA and the Royal Government of Bhutan for allowing me to undertake this study.

I am very honoured to be associated with EpiCentre, one of the premier institutes of Veterinary Epidemiology in the world and interaction and learning from this group of people in EpiCentre, who are truly professional with diverse skills have not only broadened my scope of understanding of epidemiology, various statistical skills and tools but my whole approach towards different facet of every day issues and problems. I would like to extend my profound gratitude to my supervisors, Cord Heuer, Ron Jackson and Mark Stevenson for their excellent supervision, advice, guidance and for offering numerous valuable ideas and suggestions for this research works as well as during my entire study period in New Zealand. My appreciation and thanks are also due to all staff and friends in EpiCentre, especially Julie Dunlop, Colleen Blair, Dianne Richardson, Helen Bernard, Simon Verschaffelt who have offered their whole-hearted assistance and friendship to me and my family, and for making our stay in New Zealand a wonderful experience.

Special thanks to Mr. Solis Norton for proof reading my thesis and assisting me number of times in collecting samples amongst others. I would also like to thank all my batch mates, especially Kevin Lawrence, Jackie Benschop, Simmone Titus, Thibaud Porphyre, Caryl Lockhart, Kamaliah and friends from MAF for making MVS classes more interactive and interesting through which I have gained much exposure and understanding of epidemiology and for helping me in number of ways. I must also acknowledge Anne Ridler for her effort and works put into this research before I took up this project. J.M Collins, Anne Midwinter and other staff working in Leptospirosis Research Laboratory have been very helpful and I thank you all.

I owe a debt of gratitude to June Jackson and Ron, Godwin Balasingham and his family for taking care and treating us akin to your children right from settling-in period until the time of our departure from New Zealand. We would remain ever grateful to you all.

I would also like to thank my friend Karma Rinzin and his family for wonderful times we shared together and making us feel home, away from home. Sue Flynn and Sylvia Hooker from the Internal Student's Office have been very helpful and supportive to us and we remain grateful to two of you.

I would also like to gratefully acknowledge MIRINZ Inc., the Sheep and Beef Society of the New Zealand Veterinary Association (NZVA), Schering-Plough Animal Health, and Virbac for funding this research, and the management and staff of Lamb Packers Ltd., Feilding for their support and cooperation during sampling.

The support and encouragement received from all our relatives are also gratefully acknowledged. I would like to thank my dearest wife Kinzang, daughter Jambay Lhamo and son Sonam Jurmin for accompanying me to New Zealand and for your encouragement and love, and bearing with me during this whole process. Finally, I dedicate this small piece of work to my dearest mother and sister for all the sacrifices you made in supporting my education and for all your love and care!

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF TABLES.....	XI
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	13
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 INTRODUCTION	19
2.2 TAXONOMY	19
2.3 MORPHOLOGY AND PROPERTIES	21
2.4 SOURCES AND TRANSMISSION ROUTES OF INFECTION	22
2.5 PATHOGENESIS.....	24
2.6 CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS	26
2.6.1 Clinical signs in sheep and goats	27
2.6.2 Clinical signs in cattle.....	28
2.6.3 Clinical signs in pigs.....	29
2.6.4 Clinical signs in horses, companion, and wild animals	29
2.6.5 Clinical signs in humans	30
2.7 PATHOLOGY	31
2.8 DIAGNOSIS	33
2.8.1 Direct examination of clinical specimens.....	34
2.8.2 Culture	34
2.8.3 Serology	35
2.8.4 Molecular tests.....	36
2.9 TREATMENT, CONTROL AND PREVENTION	36
2.10 LEPTOSPIROSIS IN NEW ZEALAND.....	38
2.11 CONCLUSION.....	44
CHAPTER 3 PREVALENCE OF PATHOGENIC <i>LEPTOSPIRA</i> IN SHEEP IN A SHEEP-ONLY ABATTOIR IN NEW ZEALAND.....	57
3.1 INTRODUCTION	61
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	63
3.3 RESULTS	66
3.4 DISCUSSION	74
CHAPTER 4 ASSESSMENT OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE RISK TO LEPTOSPIROSIS IN A SHEEP-ONLY ABATTOIR IN NEW ZEALAND	83
4.1 INTRODUCTION	87

4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	88
4.3	RESULTS	91
4.4	DISCUSSION	97
4.5	CONCLUSION.....	99
CHAPTER 5 ARE WHITE-SPOT KIDNEY LESIONS IN SHEEP ASSOCIATED WITH LEPTOSPIROSIS?.....		101
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	105
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	106
5.3	RESULTS	107
5.4	DISCUSSION	111

List of Figures

Figure 3.1: Map of the districts of New Zealand showing the location of nearest towns or localities of farms of origin (triangular point) of slaughtered sheep sampled in a sheep-only abattoir (Abattoir in Feilding) during the period 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005 in New Zealand.....	67
Figure 3.2: Frequency histogram showing lines sizes of slaughtered sheep sampled in a sheep only abattoir during the period 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005.....	68
Figure 3.3: Frequency histogram showing the percentages of within-line serological prevalence to either Hardjobovis or Pomona or both in slaughtered sheep sampled in a sheep-only abattoir during the period 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005.	68
Figure 3.4: Time series plots showing monthly moving averages of seroprevalences of serovar Hardjobovis in Cohort 1 lambs († and dashed line) and Cohort 2 lambs (◆ and solid line) in a New Zealand abattoir. Error bars (—) show the standard errors of the point estimates at calendar week. No sampling was carried out between July and October 2004.....	72
Figure 3.5: Time series plots showing the monthly moving averages of seroprevalences of serovar Pomona in sheep of Cohort 1 lambs († and dashed line) and Cohort 2 lambs (◆ and solid line) slaughtered in a New Zealand abattoir. Error bars (—) show the standard errors of the point estimates at calendar week. No sampling was carried out between July and October 2004.	72
Figure 4.1: A scenario tree outlining the pathways leading to infected kidneys potentially shedding live leptospire and subsequent exposure of meat workers to leptospirosis.....	90
Figure 4.2: Histogram of numbers of sheep slaughtered over different calendar months of the study period from 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005 at a sheep-only abattoir in New Zealand.....	92
Figure 4.3: Means and 95% confidence intervals (error bars) of the monthly seroprevalence of leptospiral antibody positive slaughter lines (lines were considered positive if one or more carcasses were seropositive to either one or both Hardjobovis or Pomona serovars) in sheep at a sheep-only abattoir in New Zealand during the study period from 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005. No samples were collected between July and October 2004.....	92
Figure 4.4: Means and 95% confidence intervals (error bars) of the monthly seroprevalence of leptospiral antibody positive carcasses at a sheep-only abattoir in New Zealand during 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005. No samples were collected between July and October 2004.	94
Figure 4.5: Frequency distribution of the daily risk of exposure to live leptospiral organisms for an eviscerator from carcasses of Cohort 1 (empty bar ☺) and Cohort 2 (filled bar †) at a sheep-only abattoir in New	

Zealand from 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005 (5000 model runs per cohort).....	94
Figure 4.6: Frequency distribution of the daily risk of exposure to live leptospiral organisms for a meat inspector from carcasses of Cohort 1 (empty bar ☺) and Cohort 2 (filled bar !) at a sheep-only abattoir in New Zealand from 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005 (5000 model runs per cohort).....	96
Figure 4.7: Frequency distribution of the daily risk of exposure to live leptospiral organisms for an offal-handler from carcasses of Cohort 1 (empty bar ☺) and Cohort 2 (filled bar !) at a sheep-only abattoir in New Zealand from 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005 (5000 model runs per cohort).....	96
Figure 5.1: Frequency histogram of within-line prevalences of carcasses with one or more WSK lesions on either one or both kidneys in sheep sampled at a New Zealand abattoir during 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005.	108
Figure 5.2: Random-effect model-adjusted relative risks (◆) and 95% CI (—) for seropositivity in animals with zero, 1 to 5, and >5 white spot lesions on one or both kidneys in randomly sampled slaughtered sheep during the period 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005.....	112
Figure 5.3: Crude relative risks (◆) and 95% CI (—) for culture positivity in animals with zero, 1 to 5, and >5 white spot lesions on one or both kidneys in sheep during the period 18 May 2004 to 14 June 2005.	112

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Leptospiral serovars isolated in New Zealand and their taxonomy.....	21
Table 3.1: Seroprevalences, shown as percentages with Fleiss adjusted 95% confidence intervals in brackets, of lines and sheep with positive titres of 1:48 or greater to serovars Hardjobovis and Pomona in two cohorts of slaughtered sheep sampled in a sheep-only abattoir during 18 May 2004 to June 2005 in New Zealand.	70
Table 3.2: Seroprevalences, shown as percentages with Fleiss adjusted 95% confidence intervals in brackets, of lines and sheep with positive titres of 1:48 or greater to serovars Hardjobovis and Pomona in two regions in slaughtered sheep sampled in a sheep-only abattoir during 18 May 2004 to June 2005 in New Zealand.	70
Table 3.3: Results of a logistic regression model with line included as a random effect showing model-adjusted predicted mean seroprevalences and 95% confidence intervals (expressed as percentages) of either Hardjobovis or Pomona or both for the explanatory variables tested in the model.	73
Table 4.1: Results of sensitivity analyses showing degrees of correlation (Spearman rank correlation coefficient) between each variable and output (daily exposure risk of a meat worker to carcasses potentially shedding live leptospores) during processing periods of Cohort 1 and Cohort 2 sheep at sheep-only abattoir in New Zealand.....	95
Table 5.1: Test operating characteristics (chi-square test <i>P</i> -values, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values with 95% confidence intervals) for occurrence of white spotted kidneys in individual lambs and lines of lambs at slaughter evaluated for gold standard serology and culture status with prevalence ratio estimates (PR), test (TPr) and lesion (DPr) prevalences.	110