

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Risk factor epidemiological studies of ivermectin resistant  
*Ostertagia circumcincta* on Western Australian sheep farms**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Master of Veterinary Studies  
in  
Epidemiology**

at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.

Robert John Suter

2004



## Abstract

This study was designed to investigate the farm level epidemiology of ivermectin resistant *Ostertagia circumcincta* on Western Australian sheep farms. The study involved a postal survey and the results of that survey were used to develop statistical models to identify risk factors associated with ivermectin resistance.

The survey was mailed to farmers in July 2001 who had conducted faecal egg count reduction tests on their properties in 1999 and 2000. The questionnaire contained questions about farm management practices, particularly those pertaining to worm control. Some mail and telephone follow-up was conducted.

The response rate to the survey was 54%. The period prevalence for ivermectin resistance in Western Australia 1999 – 2000 as defined in this study was 38% (95% CI 29%, 46%) and for the period 1999 – 2001 was 44% (95% CI 39%, 58%) as some farms were diagnosed with ivermectin resistance in 2001.

Two main effects models of anthelmintic resistance at the farm level were developed: a logistic regression model for risk factors for a farm having been diagnosed with ivermectin resistant *Ostertagia circumcincta* by 2000, and a Weibull parametric survival model studying the effective life defined as time to onset of resistance, for those farms using ivermectin.

The logistic regression model contained three main effects variables: selling 10% more sheep in 2000 than is the usual policy (OR = 4.00), farm purchased since 1975 (OR = 2.34), and number of winter flock anthelmintic treatments in the previous 5 years (OR = 1.04). A secondary logistic-regression model assessed risk factors for farms selling 10% more sheep than usual in 2000; these farmers appeared less committed to their sheep enterprises than other farmers.

The survival analysis model contained four main effects variables: winter drenching frequency, 0-2 vs. 3+ flock treatments in 5 years (RH 0.52); availability of alternative effective anthelmintic classes on the farm (RH 0.30); always using safe pastures (RH 0.23); and veterinarians as the primary source of worm control advice (RH 0.58).

A major outcome of the study has been to identify that the farmer's management of worm control in the sheep flock has an important influence on whether or not the farm develops anthelmintic (ivermectin) resistance.

## Acknowledgements

This thesis has seen the coalescence of two interests of mine: my long-standing, active veterinary interest in matters ovine and of anthelmintic resistance, and the other, latent interest in matters statistical and epidemiological. To bring these interests together was the result of the desire of my wife, Elizabeth, to return to Western Australia to raise our family, from Victoria where I had been pursuing the former interest for many years. To have enabled the coalescence of these two interests of mine I am indebted to her drive. To my seniors at Murdoch University in Perth I also owe a great deal, for participation in a program of part time post-graduate study at a foreign university whilst contracted to work solely as a veterinarian in their institution shows patience, tolerance, and understanding. In particular I must mention the (former) Dean of Veterinary Science, Professor John Yovich, the Head of the School of Veterinary Clinical Studies, Dr. John Bolton, the leader of the Production Animal group at Murdoch University, Associate Professor Helen Chapman, and the Chairman of the Murdoch University Veterinary Clinic and Hospital Committee and my direct 'boss', Dr. David Fraser, who acquiesced to my embarkation upon this course of study. I trust that their faith in me is repaid into the future.

I have been fortunate to have two enthusiastic and understanding veterinarians as co-supervisors who have provided their expertise and leadership from their respective disciplines to bring this study to completion. Dr. Nigel Perkins of Massey University's EpiCentre has provided the epidemiological *nous* and tempered my excesses and enthusiasm into something that I hope approaches epidemiological rigour and caution. Dr. Brown Besier of the Department of Agriculture in Western Australia is world-renowned in his field of ovine nematodiasis and anthelmintic resistance. Brown saw the opportunity this study provided to examine the rapid escalation of ivermectin resistance

on WA sheep farms and to, perhaps (as he himself would say), extract some information that would further our understanding of this emerging disease. I only spent one week in Palmerston North in Nigel's company and briefly met the crew at the EpiCentre during the conduct of this study, but through the wonders of the internet have kept in sufficient contact to see this thesis to completion and for the three of us to collaborate on the two conference presentations and (hopefully) two refereed papers that have resulted.

I have received generous background support from other people at the three institutions mentioned. Here at Murdoch University my colleagues Drs. Mandy Montini, Ross Buddle and Eric Taylor, and Professor Ralph Swan and the lay staff in the Production Animal group, Mrs. John Abbott and David Brockway, Mrs. Margaret Setter, and Ms. Carla Thomas and Stacey MacFarlane have all aided and abetted the completion of this work in one way or another. So, too, have the friends, colleagues, and students throughout the School of Veterinary Studies who have been aware of this work, who are too numerous to mention individually; although special mention must be made of the support and assistance of Associate Professor Ian Robertson, and the support of Dr. Sue Foster and fellow thesis writers and veterinarians Drs. Carolyn Bath and David Beatty. There are also the staff members of Massey University's EpiCentre who have assisted me, particularly during my brief visitation, that deserve mention and thanks including Solis Norton, Daniel Russell, Dr. Mark Stevenson, and Ms. Julie Dunlop.

The members of Brown's WormWise group within the Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, also deserve thanks for their good humour and understanding of matters pertaining to this area of study, in particular Jill Lyon and Drs. Dieter Palmer, Chris Mayberry and Danny Roberts.

Through this work Brown has introduced me to such eminent researchers in the field of anthelmintic resistance as Dr. Jan Van Wyk of the Onderdespoort Research facility in

South Africa and computer simulation modellers Dr. David Leathwick of AgResearch, N.Z., and Dr. Rob Dobson of C.S.I.R.O., Australia and I am indebted to them for their interest in this work and their guidance and critique.

I am also thankful to the sheep veterinarians within WA who allowed me access to their clients for the purposes of the survey, and to the farmers who participated and freely gave positive feedback and sought summaries of the findings.

And last, but by no means least, I should acknowledge the inspiration and, ultimately, assistance provided by Dr. Bethy McKinnon of Murdoch University's School of Mathematics. It was our chance re-acquaintance (after 25 years or so) that developed the nascent idea of mine of studying 'time to resistance' which germinated into the second analysis, a survival analysis – something which would appear to be unique and useful that this thesis has achieved.

This study was granted Human Ethics Approval by the Ethics Committee of Murdoch University, Permit Number 2001/190.





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | <i>Page</i> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Abstract</b>   | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>   | <b>v</b>    |
| <b>Table of Contents</b>  | <b>ix</b>   |
| <b>List of Tables</b>   | <b>xiii</b> |
| <b>List of Figures</b>  | <b>xv</b>   |
| <b>List of Appendices</b>   | <b>xvii</b> |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>   | <b>1</b>    |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 2 Anthelmintic (ivermectin) resistance in sheep gastrointestinal nematodes</b> | <b>3</b>    |
| <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>3</b>    |
| Overview of the disease   | 3           |
| <b>The anthelmintics</b>  | <b>6</b>    |
| <b>History of anthelmintic resistance</b>   | <b>9</b>    |
| Phenothiazine   | 10          |
| Benzimidazoles  | 10          |
| Levamisole  | 12          |
| Ivermectin  | 13          |
| Multiple-drug resistant parasites   | 15          |
| Summary of the history  | 16          |
| <b>Biocide resistance in other pests of human endeavour</b>                               | <b>17</b>   |
| Cattle gastrointestinal parasites   | 18          |
| Human parasites   | 18          |
| Sheep ectoparasites   | 18          |
| Herbicide resistant annual ryegrass   | 19          |
| <b>Hypotheses of anthelmintic resistance</b>  | <b>23</b>   |
| Drenching frequency   | 23          |
| Under dosing  | 24          |
| Goats   | 24          |
| Rotation of anthelmintic classes  | 25          |
| The use of anthelmintic combinations  | 25          |
| ‘Head’ vs. ‘Tail’ selection   | 25          |
| Quarantine drenching  | 27          |
| ‘Refugia’ hypothesis  | 28          |
| Summary of hypotheses   | 30          |
| <b>Testing for anthelmintic resistance</b>  | <b>30</b>   |
| Defining anthelmintic resistance  | <b>30</b>   |
| Reviews summarising testing protocols   | 32          |
| Faecal egg count reduction test   | 32          |
| Controlled slaughter trial  | 33          |
| <i>In vitro</i> tests   | 34          |
| Larval development assay (‘Drenchrite’ ®)   | 35          |
| <b>Strategies to control anthelmintic resistance</b>                                      | <b>36</b>   |
| Reducing treatment frequency  | 37          |
| Rotation between anthelmintics  | 38          |
| State Agriculture Department extension programs   | 39          |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Maximising refugia  | 40        |
| Using Integrated Pest Management strategies   | 41        |
| ‘Smart Grazing’   | 43        |
| <b>The genetics of anthelmintic resistance</b>  | <b>44</b> |
| <b>Epidemiological studies</b>  | <b>47</b> |
| Prevalence of anthelmintic resistance   | 47        |
| Computer simulation modelling   | 49        |
| Studies of associated causes  | 50        |
| <b>Conclusions</b>  | <b>52</b> |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>Chapter 3 Materials and Methods</b>  | <b>55</b> |
| <b>Thesis structure</b>   | <b>55</b> |
| <b>Hypothesis tested</b>  | <b>55</b> |
| <b>Study sample</b>   | <b>55</b> |
| <b>Data</b>   | <b>56</b> |
| <b>Questionnaire</b>  | <b>56</b> |
| Design  | 56        |
| Human Ethics Approval   | 58        |
| Distribution  | 59        |
| Follow-up   | 60        |
| Data entry  | 60        |
| <b>Other data sources</b>   | <b>61</b> |
| Department of Agriculture data  | 61        |
| Private consultant data   | 62        |
| DOLA space file   | 62        |
| <b>Assigning status to respondent farms</b>   | <b>62</b> |
| Determination of farm ivermectin resistance status  | 62        |
| The effective life of ivermectin  | 63        |
| Geographical location of respondent’s farms (Worm Control Zone and Shire)   | 64        |
| <b>Data quality assessment</b>  | <b>64</b> |
| Data entry error  | 64        |
| Implausible data  | 65        |
| Outliers  | 65        |
| Missing data  | 66        |
| Duplicate responses   | 67        |
| <b>Statistical methods</b>  | <b>68</b> |
| <b>Feedback to participants</b>   | <b>68</b> |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>Chapter 4 Sheep-farm risk factors for ivermectin resistance in <i>Ostertagia circumcincta</i> in Western Australia</b> | <b>69</b> |
| Abstract  | 71        |
| Introduction  | 71        |
| Method  | 73        |
| Results   | 75        |
| Discussion  | 83        |
| Acknowledgements  | 87        |
| References  | 87        |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>Chapter 5 The effective life of ivermectin on Western Australian sheep farms – a survival analysis</b>                 | <b>91</b> |
| Abstract  | 93        |
| Introduction  | 93        |
| Method  | 95        |
| Results   | 97        |
| Discussion  | 102       |

|  | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| Conclusion   | 108         |
| Acknowledgements   | 109         |
| References   | 109         |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>Chapter 6 Questionnaire</b>                                 | <b>113</b>  |
| Questionnaire  | 113         |
| Problems with the questionnaire                                | 114         |
| Missing data   | 115         |
| Data handling errors   | 117         |
| Design   | 117         |
| Conclusion   | 123         |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>Chapter 7 Discussion, Conclusions and Future Directions</b> | <b>125</b>  |
| Further Discussion   | 125         |
| Conclusion   | 126         |
| Future Directions  | 128         |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>References</b>  | <b>133</b>  |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>Appendices</b>  | <b>159</b>  |



## LIST of TABLES

| Number    | Table  | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| Table 2.1 | Effectiveness of non-chemical herbicide control measures (IPM) for annual ryegrass ( <i>Lolium rigidum</i> ) in the Western Australian wheatbelt   | 22   |
| Table 3.1 | Summary of questions in the questionnaire mailed to WA sheep farmers in 2001.  | 58   |
| Table 3.2 | Year of first use of Ivomec® of respondents for which telephone follow-up was attempted (n=35)   | 60   |
| Table 3.3 | Determination of farm ivermectin resistance status   | 63   |
| Table 3.4 | Summary statistics of enterprise descriptors of respondent farmers and those of the 3 outlier farm enterprise responses to the same questions  | 66   |
| Table 3.5 | Number of missing responses considered likely to be equivalent to '0'  | 66   |
| Table 3.6 | Number of missing responses considered to be true missing values   | 67   |
| Table 4.1 | Responses of 128 WA sheep farmers by farm ivermectin resistance status to categorical questions in a questionnaire (2000)  | 76   |
| Table 4.2 | Responses of 128 WA sheep farmers by farm ivermectin resistance status to questions with continuous answers in a questionnaire (2000)  | 78   |
| Table 4.3 | Multivariable logistic-regression model of ivermectin resistance on 128 Western Australian sheep farms (2000)  | 81   |
| Table 4.4 | Multiple collinearities between variables in the final model of sheep farm ivermectin resistance and those variables omitted but significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) in univariable testing (Western Australia, 2000) | 82   |
| Table 4.5 | Multivariable logistic-regression model of farmers selling more sheep in 2000 than is their usual policy on 128 Western Australian sheep farms (2000)  | 82   |
| Table 5.1 | Cumulative survival times for the effective life of ivermectin from a survey of Western Australian sheep farmers (data to 2001)  | 98   |
| Table 5.2 | Multivariable parametric (Weibull) survival model for the effective life of ivermectin on 108 Western Australian sheep farms (data to 2001)  | 100  |
| Table 5.3 | Associations between use of safe pastures by respondent farmers and other worm control practices in WA (data from survey, 2001)  | 102  |



## LIST of FIGURES

| <b>Figure</b> | <b>Figure</b>   | <b>Page</b> |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| Figure 4.1    | Number of winter flock anthelmintic treatments used on 128 sheep farms in Western Australia between 1996 and 2000.      | 81          |
| Figure 5.1    | Cumulative survival plots for the effective life of ivermectin on Western Australian sheep farms (data to 2001)         | 101         |
| Figure 5.2    | Kaplan-Meier survival plots for ivermectin resistance management score on Western Australian sheep farms (data to 2001) | 103         |





## APPENDICES

| <b>Number</b> | <b>Appendix</b>   | <b>Page</b> |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 1             | Questionnaire. Worm control practices on WA sheep farms | 159         |
| 2             | Shire prevalence of ivermectin resistance               | 169         |
| 3             | Worm control zones (Wroth, 1996)                        | 171         |