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Abstract

This grounded theory study explains how health professionals work in interdisciplinary teams in health services where the call for new collaborations is intensifying. Forty-four participants from four teams in two major acute-care hospitals participated in the study. In total there were eighty hours of interviewing and eighty hours of participant observation. All data were constantly compared and analysed using Glaser’s emergent approach to grounded theory. Underpinning the study are the premises of symbolic interactionism that are assumed to shape the focus of this study, team interactions, and collective action within an acute care setting.

It is argued that interdisciplinary team members express a concern for meeting service needs, and continually resolve that concern through the process of pluralistic dialogue. This is a means for discussing differences, that supports team members who are thinking through and constructing new ways of working together. It emerges as various health professionals integrate multiple perspectives, which contribute to the clinical and organisational management of the client service. Pluralistic dialogue has two complementary phases. These are rethinking professional responsibilities and reframing team responsibilities. Rethinking and reframing are theoretical processes that are underpinned by team learning, and, by new ways of managing changing service structures. Therefore, it is suggested that, in an interdisciplinary team, health professionals must break stereotypical images in order to meet service needs in a context where teams are constantly grappling with different mind-sets. Team members continually resolve their concern for meeting service needs by negotiating service provision. As a result, the health professionals are free to engage in the dialogic culture.

The process of pluralistic dialogue has the potential to challenge, to empower, to transform; or it can perpetuate mediocrity. The decision to dialogue mindfully with others is essentially individual. Any variation in an individual’s commitment is covered by disciplinary associates but seldom challenged by colleagues from a different professional group. A person may
choose a non-involved response at any time, although someone must fulfil functional responsibilities in the team. Any variation in an individual’s commitment is covered by disciplinary associates but seldom challenged by colleagues from a different professional group.

This study also highlighted several significant categories impacting on effective interdisciplinary practice. Competency, alternative world views, information exchange, accountability, personality differences, and leadership, all affected team processes and pluralistic dialogue. But, it was quite clear from the data that, interdisciplinary team members can, and do form synergistic relationships that benefit both clients and colleagues. Team success is dependent on the individual’s courage to challenge the self and the humility to cooperate in collective learning experiences.

This substantive theory presents just a glimpse of the practical life of interprofessional people working in two busy city hospitals. The teams studied were unusual in that they each offered specialist care to a select group of clients. Perhaps they were unique and are non-representative of the average person who is a health professional today. So many of the health professionals were highly educated, well-respected specialist practitioners who stand out for their individual investment and dedication to improving the client’s pathway through acute care. The study participants’ patterns of behaviour would suggest that, when interdisciplinary practice is well established, an attitude of cooperative inquiry pervades joint actions and interactions that focus on meeting service needs.
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CHAPTER ONE
Introduction

This research began in 1995 with a general interest in examining nursing practice within a changing health care context. Informal discussions with registered nurses had revealed much reservation about service provision in acute care organisations that were being restructured. Nursing practice was strongly influenced by organisational change that, in turn, was shaped by health reform on a scale that was perhaps unprecedented in the history of health service delivery in New Zealand.

In order to understand better some of the contextual issues the researcher perused the national and international literature about recent health reforms. Some clarification of the common trends was sought. It quickly became obvious that the magnitude of health policy changes has been such that any structural reorganisation was by no means confined to nurses. Changing roles have influenced everyone working in the health sector. Therefore, it seemed unreasonable to isolate nursing practice from professional practice in general in such a volatile environment. The researcher became distinctly uneasy about scrutinising just one professional group. So, how could the research topic be refined to permit an exploration of professional practice in the changing health sector?

Bishop and Scudder's (1985) suggestion that "only minimal consideration has been given to the moral issues involved in the day-to-day health care and to the ongoing relationships of physicians, nurses, and patients" (p. 2) struck a chord with the researcher and helped her to clarify thinking. Their views were consistent with the public debate on health reform in which consumers, and health professionals, questioned current health restructuring. Englehardt's (1985) ideas were useful: