

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Canine tail injuries in New Zealand: Causes, treatments and risk factors and the prophylactic justification for canine tail docking.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Veterinary Studies

Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences

Massey University

Palmerston North, New Zealand

Amber Wells

2013

Acknowledgements

Thanks to supervisors Kate Hill, Daan Vink and Kevin Stafford.

I would like to thank Vivienne Lintott and Iain Gordon of Provet for writing the SQL script that made data collection on this scale possible, for providing detailed instructions for clinic staff, and for your always cheerful and swift responses to my various queries. A big thanks to the veterinary clinics that allowed me access to the information I needed to complete this thesis, particularly the VisionVPM pros more often than not found at reception.

Thank you to the New Zealand Veterinary Association's Companion Animal Society for their support and input into the original idea for this research thesis.

Thanks also to Mrs Berys Clark for the financial assistance provided to me through the Lovell and Berys Clark scholarships I received in 2011 and 2012.

To my partner Oskar, who I somehow found the time to "civilly unite with" during this year, thank you for your support over the past two years and of course, every year before that, and for the many to come.

To my own dogs, Bowser and Nikki - who probably take their own tails for granted - I have appreciated your wagging tails whenever I have needed cheering up and am glad nobody saw fit to remove them before I adopted you.

Abstract

Tail docking of dogs is often justified on the basis that it prevents tail injury, particularly in working and hunting dogs. However, little data exists on canine tail injuries in New Zealand.

Retrospective data from eight years' worth of records was collected from the clinical databases of 16 veterinary practices. A keyword search "tail" was run, and the results filtered manually to find all canine cases of tail injuries. 0.9% (n=619) of all dogs seen by the vet clinics over the study period suffered a tail injury. The most common given causes were accidents (13.3%, n=83), half of these (6.7% n=41) from a door shutting on the tail. The second most common causes were vehicle related, for example being hit by or falling out of a car or utility vehicle (10.0%, n=62).

Over half (51%, n=318) of the injuries were resolved by treatment with medication and over three quarters (77.7%, n=484) required a single vet visit. Only 13.8% (n=86) of tail injuries led to amputation.

Non-docked breeds are assumed to have intact tails. There was a significant association ($P=0.003$, Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Chi-squared test) between tail injury and not belonging to a docked breed. The odds ratio for belonging to non-docked breed was 6.15 (95% CI 5.83, 6.50) meaning a non-docked dog was at least six times more likely to injure their tail as a docked breed dog.

Only 15.4% (n=96) of tail injuries occurred in traditionally docked breeds, but, the most common cause of injury within that group was docking itself (21.9%, n=21).

Labradors, the most popular pedigree pet breed, injured themselves more often (1.4%, n=100) than the most popular hunting (pig dogs, 1.3%, n=23) and farming (Huntaway, 1.0%, n=60) breeds.

It appears that tail injury is rarely observed usually easily treated. Tail docking puppies seems a severe method of preventing such injuries when only 13.8% had injuries or 0.12% of dogs visiting vet clinics require tail amputation.

This study used a novel data mining technique with a specially written SQL script to search the clinical databases of the clinics. This made the process more efficient, and data was reasonably complete. The clinic software is used widely in vet clinics in New Zealand, so this technique could be used for similar epidemiological research in future.

Contents

Canine tail injuries in New Zealand: Causes, treatments and risk factors and the prophylactic justification for canine tail docking.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	ii
Abstract	iii
List of Tables and Figures.....	4
Tables	4
Figures.....	5
Chapter 1: Introduction – The relationship between tail docking and tail injury.....	6
Chapter 2: Literature review -Tail injury and the justification for docking in dogs and other species	8
Tail injuries in canines	8
Conditions that could be mistaken for tail injury.....	9
Cauda equine syndrome	10
Limber tail	10
Anal problems	10
Tail docking in dogs and other species.....	11
Procedure.....	12
Pain in young animals	14
Costs to the animal	17
Risks of tail docking surgery.....	18
Justifications for tail docking.....	19
Therapeutic docking (amputation) in dogs.....	21
Non-therapeutic Prophylactic tail docking	23
Confusion of non-therapeutic and prophylactic arguments	25
Prophylactic Justification of Tail Docking.....	26
Other cosmetic procedures in dogs	31
Conclusion	33
Chapter 3: Data mining and veterinary medicine: a review of the literature	36
Introduction	36
Use of data mining software	37
Information Overload.....	38
Privacy and ethics in data-mining.....	38
Conclusion	40
Chapter 4: Pilot Study.....	42

Introduction.....	42
Method	42
Results	46
Discussion	47
Chapter 5: Improved Methodology	50
SQL script.....	50
Recruitment	52
Manual filtering	53
Case definition.....	53
Categorisation of causes	55
Severity.....	55
Statistical Methods.....	56
Chapter 6: Results.....	58
Response rate	58
Data mining	59
Data analysis	59
Age.....	59
Seasonal Trends.....	59
Demographics.....	61
Breed as risk of injury	62
Tail-docking status as risk of injury	62
Causes of injury	63
Hunting injuries by breed.....	65
Severity.....	66
Case control study.....	69
Urban versus Rural clinics	70
Chapter 7: Discussion	72
Findings	72
Causes of injury in traditionally docked dogs.....	72
Severity.....	73
Tail docking Status.....	75
Hunting and farming as causes of injury	76
Risk of injury by breed	77
Cause of injury by presumed use	78
Case control study.....	79

Rural versus Urban clinic findings	80
Seasonal trends.....	81
Demographics	81
The usefulness of data mining and the SQL script	82
Response rate.....	83
False positives	83
Lack of individual docking status	84
Conclusion.....	85
References	87
Appendix 1. Permission letter for Vet Clinics (including return slip).....	93
Appendix 2: Tutotrial for use of VisionVPM provided to Clinics	96
Appendix 3: List of Traditionally Docked Breeds	97
(From the Council of Docked Breeds: http://www.cdb.org/list.htm).....	97

List of Tables and Figures

Tables

Table 1: Categorisation of Tail Injury Causes In Dogs Visiting 16 New Zealand Veterinary Clinics Between 2004 And 2011 55

Table 2: Ultimate/Final Treatments of Canine Tail Injury Cases from 16 New Zealand Vet Clinics 2004-2011, Ranked According To Their Assumed Severity 56

Table 3: Ranking of proportion of tail injuries in the 20 most popular breeds seen by 16 New Zealand veterinary clinics from 2004-2011. Breeds in bold are traditionally tail docked. Breeds are ranked for their popularity according to the total number of individuals of that breed seen by a vet for any reason 62

Table 4: Known causes of 289 canine tail injuries seen by 16 New Zealand vet clinics from 2004 to 2011. An additional 334 injuries (53.6% of total) were of an unknown cause. 63

Table 5: Known causes of tail injuries in 50 dogs belonging to traditionally tail docked breeds, as seen by 16 New Zealand vet clinics 2004-2011. 46 injuries (47.9% of total) were of an unknown cause. 64

Table 6: 13 farm work-related canine tail injuries as seen by 16 New Zealand vet clinics (2004-2011), categorised by breed. None of the above breeds are traditionally tail docked. 64

Table 7: 26 hunting-related canine tail injuries as seen by 16 New Zealand veterinary clinics (2004-2001) categorised by breed. 65

Table 8: Causes of canine tail injuries in pet (labradors), hunting (pig dogs) and farm (huntaways) dogs as seen by 16 New Zealand vet clinics. 66

Table 9: Cause of tail injury in 72 dogs requiring revisits as seen by 16 New Zealand vet clinics 2004-2011. Cause of injury was unknown for an additional 67(48%) injuries which required revisits..... 67

Table 10: Final/most severe interventions used to resolve 623 canine tail injuries seen by 16 New Zealand vet clinics from 2004 to 2011. Cases were considered resolved when revisits to the study clinic ceased. 67

Table 11: Causes of 86 cases of canine tail injury which resulted in partial or total amputation of the tail, as seen by 16 vet clinics in New Zealand from 2004 to 2011. 69

Table 12: Tail injury cases and controls presented to eight urban clinics in New Zealand between 2004 and 2011. 69

Table 13: Tail injury cases and controls presented to eight rural clinics in New Zealand between 2004 and 2011..... 69

Table 14: Causes, treatments and rates of tail injury compared between eight rural and eight urban clinics in New Zealand between 2004 and 2011 70

Figures

Figure 1: In the pilot study of two Wellington vet clinics, date range and keyword “tail” were specified on the “Clinical” tab in VisionVPM’s Marketing Search to search for dogs fitting these criteria (Screenshot courtesy of V.Lintott, Provet)	43
Figure 2: In a pilot study of two Wellington vet clinics, the “Animal” tab in VisionVPM’s Marketing Search enabled researchers to select species and current status (Screenshot courtesy of V.Lintott, Provet).....	44
Figure 3: Example results page of VisionVPM’s Market Search, showing history options tab which enables all clinical notes for an individual to be read. (Screenshot courtesy of V. Lintott, Provet)	45
Figure 4: The SQL results window of VisionVPM (example data only) prior to search results data being exported to Excel (screenshot courtesy of V. Lintott, Provet).....	51
Figure 5: A map of the geographical spread of 16 participating vet clinics in a study of canine tail injuries in New Zealand 2004-2011(image courtesy of Google Maps)	58
Figure 6: Canine tail injuries by year (n=623) dogs presented to 16 vet clinics in New Zealand between 2004 and 2012.	60
Figure 7: Graph for canine tail injuries by month (n=623) presented to 16 New Zealand vet clinics 2004-2012.	60
Figure 8: Seasonal spread of 623 canine tail injuries seen by 16 vet clinics in New Zealand 2004-2011.....	61
Figure 9: Histogram showing age distribution of 608 tail injuries seen in dogs by 16 vet clinics in New Zealand between 2004 and 2011 (15 dogs had no age specified).	61