

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**CHARACTERISATION OF NUTRITION
RESPONSES IN THREE GENOTYPES OF
WHITE CLOVER (*Trifolium repens* L.) SELECTED
FOR TOLERANCE TO LOW PHOSPHORUS**

A thesis presentation in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Plant Molecular Biology
At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

DIANTHA SMITH
2013

Copyright ©
Diantha Smith, 2013.
All rights reserved.

ABSTRACT

This study focused on the differences in root system architecture (RSA) of three white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) genotypes, designated 43-7, 45-14 and 47-9 that were isolated from breeding lines selected to tolerate low phosphorus (P). In this study, genetically identical white clover stolons were cut from stock plants at the fourth node, and primary roots emerged (post excision) from either of the two primordia at nodes three or four. The main objective was to establish if differences in RSA were observed in response to a limited P-supply (10 μ M KH_2PO_4) compared with a sufficient P-supply (1 mM KH_2PO_4). Each of the cut stolons had a dominant primary root that was nominated to be used for the analysis of root growth in terms of elongation and lateral root emergence. The analysis was standardised by designating the branching zone as the region of the primary root that contained visible lateral roots. The remaining region was designated the elongation zone, and did not contain visible lateral roots. In P-sufficient media, the branching zone was approximately 50% of the primary root length by 15 days post excision and approximately 80% by 25 days in the three genotypes. After 30 days post excision, the branching zone was maintained between 85% and 90% of the primary root length. The response to low P was measured after the emergence of visible lateral roots in experiment I and prior to the emergence of visible lateral roots in experiment II. A third treatment group with a reduced sulfur supply (in experiment II) tested the specificity of the P-stress response. In summary, the morphological responses to P-stress were characteristic for each genotype; the changes to the primary and/or lateral roots occurred within seven days from the reduction in P-supply; and the timing of the reduction in P-supply influenced the degree of the response that was observed with respect to the branching zone. The responses to P-stress included a stimulation of the primary and lateral roots in 47-9 with a reduced root biomass under S-stress; a decrease in the number of visible lateral roots in 45-14; and the decreased elongation of lateral roots in 43-7, which reduced the initiation of tertiary roots. The branching zone decreased in 45-14 only when the P-supply was changed after lateral root emergence. In contrast, the branching zone increased in 47-9 only when the P-supply was changed before lateral root emergence. The results suggest that the P-stress response in the roots is specific and utilisation of P and S may differ in the three genotypes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly I would like to say a big thank you to my supervisor Michael McManus for providing me with the opportunity to investigate such an interesting project, and for the great support and patience during the course of the study. Thank you to Susanna Leung for the help with watering the stock plants and the qPCR analysis. Also, thanks to Paul Dijkwel, Warren Williams, Xiao Song, Jay Jayaraman and Nick Albert for being a part of the staff support network in our lab. Thanks to the present and past members of our lab for being excellent colleagues Caleb, Sam, Afsana, Srishti, Jennifer, Alvina, Matt, Jibran, Rubina, Muhammad, Jun, Daniel, Ihsan, Sashini and Lydia. I would like to thank Jollanda Effendy (2007) for her work in the breeding line and genotype screens and selection of the three genotypes used in this study. Other background research that contributed to this study were the effects of auxin and ethylene treatments and changes in the root system architecture in response to low P by Phong Ding (2009) and Marissa Roldan (2008), and the acid phosphatase studies carried out by Celia Zhang (1999) and Don Hunter (1998). Secondly, I would like to thank Barry Scott, Pat Munro, Kathryn Stowell, Ann Truter, Cynthia Cresswell in the Institute of Fundamental Sciences (formally the Institute of Molecular Biosciences) for the opportunity to study in the department. Also to Kathy Hamilton and Agnes Dzang for assistance with administration and Rosie Bradshaw, Paul Stock, Rose Motion, and Katrina Ross for the opportunity to be a demonstrator for first year Biology of Cells and Plant Biology labs. Thirdly, thank you to Ipelx pipelines New Zealand for kindly donating the pipes and caps used in this study. I am very grateful for the financial support of the L.A Alexander Trust and the New Zealand Plant Biology Society (NZSPB) for the contribution to attend the conference 'Ecology in the Heartland' conference in Rotorua in 2011. Thanks to Steven Ray and the staff of the Massey University Plant Growth Unit, Lesley Taylor, Lindsay Sylva, Scott Avery for the help with the growth cabinet during the course of the experiments. Also, thanks to Jianyu Chen at the Manawatu Microscopic Imaging Center (MMIC) for the help with the microscopic images and the staff of the Engineering workshop for cutting the PVC pipes and foam lids. Finally, thanks so much to my wonderful family, friends and the good folk in the Manawatu Camera Club for keeping my work/life balance in check during this project.

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
°C	Degrees Celsius
3PGA	3' phosphoglycerate
Al	Aluminium
APase	Acid phosphatase
At	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>
ADP	Adenosine diphosphate
ATP	Adenosine-5'-triphosphate
BCIP	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate
BL	Breeding line
BZ	Branching zone
Ca	Calcium
Col-1, Col-4	<i>Arabidopsis</i> ecotype Columbia
cv	Cultivar
CW	Cell wall fraction of the roots, tested for acid phosphatase activity
DPR	Dominant primary root
DTT	Dithiothreitol
DW	Dry weight
Fe	Iron
FFEL	First fully expanded leaf (also referred to as L1)
FW	Fresh weight
GFP	Green fluorescent protein
GUS	β-glucuronidase
K	Potassium
kDa	Kilodaltons
L1, L2, L3	Leaf 1, leaf 2, leaf 3 (up to leaf 7) numbered from the apex
Low P, -P or P-stress(ed)	Low phosphate media. Hoagland's media with half strength macronutrients and full strength micronutrients with 10 μM potassium phosphate (K ₂ PO ₄) (Appendix I)
Low S, -S, or S-stress(ed)	Hoagland's media with half strength macronutrients and full strength micronutrients with 28 μM magnesium sulphate (MgSO ₄) (Appendix I)
<i>lpi</i>	Low phosphate insensitive mutant
LPR1 and LPR2	Low Phosphate Root 1 and 2
LRD3	Lateral Root Development 3
Mg	Magnesium

Abbreviation	Description
Mo	Molybdenum
MYB	A transcription factor belonging to the myeloblastosis family
N	Nitrogen
NZ	New Zealand
P	Phosphorus, Inorganic P (P _i), Organic P (P _o)
<i>p</i> -NPP	<i>p</i> -nitrophenol-1-phosphate
P-sufficient, +P or Complete	Hoagland's media with half strength macronutrients and full strength micronutrients (Appendix I)
PAE	Phosphorus Acquisition Efficiency
PAP	Purple Acid Phosphatase
PDR2	Phosphate Deficiency Response 2
PEP	Phosphoenol pyruvate
PHL-1	PHR1-like
PHR1	Phosphate Starvation Response 1
Pht	Phosphate transporter
PNP	<i>p</i> -nitrophenol
PUE	Phosphate Use Efficiency
Pv	<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>
QTL	Quantitative Trait Loci
RGR	Relative Growth Rate
RSA	Root System Architecture
S	Sulfur
SF	Soluble fraction of the representing the cytosol and vacuoles of the roots, tested for acid phosphatase activity
SQD1	UDP-sulfquinovose synthase
SRL	Specific Root Length (cm/mg ⁻¹)
SUMO	Small ubiquitin-like modifier (E3 ligase siz1)
TPR(s)	Total primary roots representing the dominant primary root and the supporting primary roots

SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description
*	Significant at <0.05 confidence level between P-sufficient and low phosphorus and complete and low sulfur media.
**	Significant at <0.01 confidence level between P-sufficient and low phosphorus (red) and complete media and low sulfur media (olive).
★	Significant at <0.05 confidence level between total relative growth of total primary root length, with significant differences in the dominant primary root indicated by an asterisks (*).
★★	Significant at <0.01 confidence level between total relative growth of primary roots, with significant differences in the dominant primary root indicated by an asterisks (**).
†	Significant at <0.05 confidence level between low phosphorus and low sulfur media.
††	Significant at <0.01 confidence level between low phosphorus and low sulfur media.
3°	Emergence of tertiary roots on the longest lateral root.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
SYMBOLS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 The importance of phosphorus in plant nutrition	5
1.2.1. Phosphate Use Efficiency (PUE) in plants	5
1.2.2. Induction of P-stress response pathways	6
1.3 Root system architecture (RSA)	8
1.3.1. Relationships between root system architecture and P-efficiency	9
1.3.2. The role of the primary root tip in sensing a limited P-supply	11
1.3.3. Natural variation in root responses to P-stress in <i>Arabidopsis</i>	12
1.3.4. Changes to lateral root formation in response to P-supply	16
1.4 P-stress response pathways as biological targets for improving	
P efficiency	17
1.4.1. The role of the roots in P acquisition	17
1.4.2. Systemic regulation of P homeostasis	19
1.4.3. Relationship between P and S through sulfolipid biosynthesis	21
1.4.4. Induction of root acid phosphatases (APase).....	22
1.5 White clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.)	26
1.5.1. White clover in New Zealand agricultural pastures	26
1.5.2. Growth, morphology and perception of P-supply	28
1.5.3. The white clover P-efficiency breeding programme	28
1.6 Thesis objectives	33

CHAPTER 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
2.1 Plant material	36
2.2 Experimental design and schedule	37
2.2.1. Pre-treatment phase of experiments.....	37
2.2.2. The hydroponic growing system.....	40
2.2.3. Experimental schedule for P-stress response experiments	41
2.2.4. Criteria for selection of final data sets and statistical analysis	43
2.3 Analysis of root system architecture and leaf growth	44
2.4 Biochemical analysis	47
2.4.1. Quantification of leaf phosphate.....	47
2.4.2. Acid phosphatase activity of root soluble and cell wall fractions	49
2.4.3. Quantification of soluble protein	51
2.5 Expression of <i>PAP26</i> by quantitative PCR.....	52
2.5.1. Preparation of chemicals and materials for RNA extraction	52
2.5.2. RNA Extraction and DNase I treatment	52
CHAPTER 3. RESULTS	54
3.1 Preliminary experiments to optimise root analysis.....	54
3.1.1. Primary root initiation and timing of lateral root development	54
3.2 Experiment I – Reduced P-supply after lateral root emergence	57
3.2.1. Final effects of P-stress	57
3.2.2. Leaf development	62
3.2.3. Root system architecture.....	63
3.3 Experiment II – Reduction of P-supply prior to lateral root emergence....	71
3.3.1. Decreased primary and lateral root elongation in 43-7.....	81
3.3.2. Decreased lateral root emergence in 45-14.....	84
3.3.3. Increased lateral root density in 47-9.....	87

3.4	Comparisons between experiments I and II.....	88
3.4.1.	Comparison of signature responses to P-stress.....	89
3.4.2.	Establishment of the branching zone on the primary root.....	94
3.4.3.	Experiment III – Seven day time course with 45-14 and 47-9.....	97
CHAPTER 4.	DISCUSSION.....	105
4.1	Analysis of the roots in this study.....	108
4.1.1.	The branching zone as a method for root analysis in this study.....	109
4.1.2.	Developing the branching zone for optimum P acquisition.....	110
4.1.3.	Characteristic responses to P-supply for each genotype.....	112
4.2	Lessons from the treatment regimes.....	114
4.2.1.	Acquisition and utilisation of phosphorus.....	114
4.2.2.	Acquisition and utilisation of sulfur.....	120
CHAPTER 5.	SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK.....	124
5.1	Summary.....	124
5.2	Future work.....	126
REFERENCES	129
APPENDICIES	144

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Primary root initiation and growth in liquid media	38
Figure 2.2. Petiole length and root system architecture measurements	45
Figure 2.3. Standard curve for 200 mM KH_2PO_4	48
Figure 2.4. Standard curve for p-nitrophenol	50
Figure 2.5. Standard curve for 1 mg/mL to 5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin	51
Figure 3.1. Growth in P-sufficient after 28 and 42 days	55
Figure 3.2. Growth of 43-7, 45-14 and 47-9 in limited P-supply	58
Figure 3.3. Root acid phosphatase activity and leaf phosphate content.....	60
Figure 3.4. Final petiole lengths and difference between treatments	61
Figure 3.5. Primary root length and relative growth rate	65
Figure 3.6. Dominant primary root length and branching zone	66
Figure 3.7. Number of lateral roots less and greater than 10 mm	67
Figure 3.8. Fresh weight after growth period in limited P or S-supply.....	72
Figure 3.9. Acid phosphatase activity and leaf necrosis in 45-14.....	74
Figure 3.10. Weight and age profile of apex and four leaves	76
Figure 3.11. Leaf phosphate content in P or S-stressed plants.....	77
Figure 3.12. Root system architecture in 43-7, 45-14 and 47-9.....	79
Figure 3.13. Total primary root length	80
Figure 3.14. Dominant primary root length and relative growth rate	82
Figure 3.15. Branching zone on the dominant primary root	83
Figure 3.16. Emergence and elongation of visible lateral roots.....	85
Figure 3.17. Initiation of tertiary roots on the longest lateral root	86
Figure 3.18. Colour of P-stressed roots and specific root length	90
Figure 3.19. Changes in leaf fresh weight and phosphate content.....	100
Figure 3.20. Acid phosphatase activity and relative expression of <i>TrPAP26</i>	103

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Selection of stolons for final data set	39
Table 2.	Experimental schedule summary	42
Table 3.	Measurements and calculations for root system architecture	47
Table 4.	Summary of development in P-sufficient hydroponic media	56
Table 5.	Differences in long-term signature responses to P-stress	91
Table 6.	Summary of dominant primary root development.....	95
Table 7.	Analysis of harvested plants from seven day time course	98

LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix I	Modified Hoagland's media.....	144
Appendix II	RNA extraction buffer for hot borate method.....	145
Appendix III	Root biomass as a percentage of total plant fresh weight.....	146
Appendix IV	Increasing fresh weight in 47-9 between 23 and 30 days post excision.....	147
Appendix V	Preliminary results of the relative expression of root phosphate transporters <i>PHT1</i> and <i>PHT5</i> from experiment III.....	148
Appendix VI	Camera settings for photographs.....	149