Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.
An Ontology-based
Knowledge Support System
for Requirements Analysis

A thesis presented
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in Computer Science
at Massey University, Manawatū,
New Zealand

Gang (Jason) Liu

2013
Abstract

An Ontology-based Knowledge Support System for Requirements Analysis (OKSSRA) is proposed and developed, in order to help requirements analysts obtain the preliminary business knowledge.

Requirements Engineering (RE) is a sub-discipline of Software Engineering (SE). It is involved in the whole software life cycle from the very first step throughout the process of software development. Thus, the performances of requirements analysts are crucial to RE outcomes since requirements analysts bridge the communication gap between business stakeholders and development teams.

However, normally, there is a knowledge gap between requirements analysts and business stakeholders, especially when analysts work for out-sourcing contractors. The existence of this knowledge gap may seriously lower analysts’ efficiency of communicating with business stakeholders and hinder their performances on preparing requirements documentation. Obviously, preliminary business knowledge related to the project will help analysts to narrow down the knowledge gap and improve their performances. However, based on our survey, there is no existing RE tools providing such knowledge support to analysts. Therefore, we proposed and developed OKSSRA to help analysts obtain the preliminary business knowledge for narrowing down the knowledge gap.

There are three key modules in OKSSRA: (i) a semantic similarity measure module, (ii) an ontology mapping module, and (iii) an automatic use case generating module.

In the semantic similarity measure module of OKSSRA, we proposed and developed a new semantic similarity measure utilising WordNet and
Normalised Google Distance (NGD). In the new measure, NGD will be used to calculate a unique length for each edge in the shortest path between two candidate concepts in the WordNet graph. The semantic similarity measure enables our system (i) to assign related concepts for a user’s queries to extend the queries; and (ii) to identify the related business processes from the business knowledge repository.

The ontology mapping module of OKSSRA employs a newly developed ontology mapping method based on MIMapper (Kaza and Chen 2008). In this new ontology mapping method, our newly proposed semantic similarity measure will be used to matching class names and to locate the most informative instances of their class. The ontology mapping module enables our system (i) to update the ontology-based repositories in the system, and (ii) to integrate the ontology-based repositories with other repositories.

In the OKSSRA module for automatically generating Use Cases, we propose a set of mapping rules for the system to automatically generating Use Cases based on the information retrieved from business processes. The set of mapping rules specified how the components of a business process are transformed into use case elements, e.g., actors, goals, and steps of scenarios. With this module, our system is able to generate essential Use Cases automatically using business processes retrieved from MIT Process Handbook.

A set of three test use scenarios and a questionnaire has been carefully designed to evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of OKSSRA. The experimental results show that (i) our system is useful for obtaining business knowledge, (ii) our system is more effective than existing system developed for similar purpose, and (iii) our system is able to provide a pleasant user experiences.
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