

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**SPECIFICITY OF A HAND-HELD  
IMMUNOCHROMATOGRAPHIC ASSAY  
FOR ANTHRAX IN CATTLE**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment (50%) of the  
requirements for the degree of**

**MASTER OF VETERINARY STUDIES**

**IN**

**INFECTIOUS DISEASES**

**At Massey University, Turitea campus,  
Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.**

**JANINE MULLER  
FEBRUARY 2002**

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine whether the hand-held immunochromatographic assay was a reliable method for field based diagnosis of anthrax. This study was designed and conducted with an emphasis on obtaining an estimate of performance accuracy, in terms of specificity for the protective antigen (PA) component of the anthrax toxin. To examine specificity the hand-held assay had to be assessed under similar circumstances to that experienced in the field from typical animals in which anthrax may be suspected.

To achieve this, blood samples were collected post-mortem from 240 cattle at the Stanhope and Camperdown knackeries in Victoria. Blood smears were prepared, hand-held assays were performed on-site and a sample of blood transported back to the laboratory for bacterial culture. All 240 samples gave negative results in the hand-held assay and *B. anthracis* was not detected in any sample by culture or blood smear, which were considered the definitive diagnostic tests. Thus the hand-held assay was regarded as 100% specific (98.5-100%; 95% CI) for these cattle examined in Victoria.

The purpose of the second study was to determine whether the live Sterne strain 34F<sub>2</sub> vaccine for anthrax would result in false positives arising in the hand-held assay in cattle recently vaccinated. Ten cattle were vaccinated with the 34F<sub>2</sub> vaccine and monitored for 15 days. No PA was detected in the blood of vaccinated cattle in the hand-held assay or on culture within this time. These results show that the hand-held assay does not give false positive test

results in cattle post-vaccination with live 34F<sub>2</sub> *B. anthracis* vaccine. The hand-held assay can be used with confidence on samples from recently vaccinated cattle that have died when it is necessary to know whether they had succumbed to anthrax or not.

The hand-held assay has the potential to be adopted as a routine test for the preliminary assessment of sudden death in cattle. The simplicity of the assay enables it to be used by unskilled lay people, which means that it could be used by knackery workers for surveillance in areas with a previous history of disease or by veterinarians as a preliminary routine tool in investigating sudden death in cattle. However the study described in this thesis only assesses the specificity of the assay and a further study, involving a similar number of cattle affected with anthrax, needs to be conducted to assess the sensitivity of the assay.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to extend sincere gratitude to all who have assisted and supported me throughout my Masters.

Professor Colin Wilks my supervisor, for his unfailing support, friendship, encouragement, guidance and excellent counsel over the years.

Massey University and Dr John Lumsden for his consultancy throughout the course of my studies.

The United States Naval Medical Research Center; Captain Mateczun, Dr Robert Bull and Dr Anne Peruski for the supply of the hand-held immunochromatographic assays under investigation.

The Victorian Institute of Animal Science, my colleagues and in particular Dr Catherine Ainsworth for allowing me the opportunity to complete my Masters and her support throughout this study.

Dr Robin Condron for his scientific advice and assistance.

Kim O'Riley for her technical assistance, friendship and support through all of the fragrant, aesthetically challenging jobs that we had to perform! A true champion.

Peter Langdon for supplying us with cattle to undertake the vaccination trial and for his calm manner in stressful situations that was always well appreciated. Dr Noel Campbell for his veterinary assistance with the vaccination program.

Brian and Tom from Aulds Knackery at Stanhope and Rowley and Steve from "Jacka the Knacker" at Camperdown, for assisting with the collection of blood samples for the specificity trials.

Dr Tracey Bradley for her knowledge in anthrax, literary skills, excellent advice and friendship.

Dr Irene Peroulis for her scientific advice, friendship, encouragement and support throughout my study.

My beloved Dr Kluver! for his patience, love, support, belief in my abilities and encouragement to do great things. Not to mention his epidemiological input and advice.

My family for having faith in me.

I wish to dedicate this thesis to my parents Gordon and Jeanie, my sister Barbie and Patrick Kluver for their unfailing love, support and encouragement.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	iv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	viii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	ix
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	x
 <b>CHAPTER ONE:</b>	
<b>REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE</b> .....	1
<b>1.1</b> Anthrax general.....	2
<b>1.2</b> Anthrax in Australia.....	6
<b>1.3</b> Epidemiology.....	9
<b>1.4</b> Pathogenesis.....	14
<b>1.5</b> Diagnostic tests.....	20
1.5.1 <i>Culture</i> .....	20
1.5.2 <i>Blood smear</i> .....	21
1.5.3 <i>Antigen detection tests</i> .....	22
1.5.4 <i>Serology</i> .....	24
1.5.5 <i>Immunofluorescence</i> .....	25
1.5.6 <i>Polymerase chain reaction</i> .....	25
1.5.7 <i>MIDI automated bacterial identification system</i> .....	26
1.5.8 <i>Differentiation using Lectins</i> .....	26

1.6	Evaluation of Diagnostic Tests.....	27
1.7	Thesis Outline.....	32

**CHAPTER TWO:**

**SPECIFICITY OF THE IMMUNOCHROMATOGRAPHIC ASSAY.....33**

**2.1 INTRODUCTION.....34**

**2.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS.....36**

2.2.1 *Collection of blood samples.....36*

2.2.2 *Blood smear.....37*

2.2.3 *Hand-held immunochromatographic assay.....37*

2.2.4 *Culture.....38*

2.2.5 *Preparation of protective antigen.....40*

**2.3 RESULTS.....40**

**2.4 DISCUSSION.....43**

**CHAPTER THREE:**

**EVALUATION OF THE HAND-HELD IMMUNOCHROMATOGRAPHIC ASSAY IN CATTLE IMMEDIATELY AFTER VACCINATION FOR ANTHRAX.....50**

**3.1 INTRODUCTION.....51**

**3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....55**

3.2.1 *Calculation of concentration of spores in vaccine.....55*

3.2.2 *Cattle vaccination.....56*

3.2.3	<i>Post-vaccination sampling and testing</i> .....	56
<b>3.3</b>	<b>RESULTS</b> .....	57
<b>3.4</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	59
<b>CHAPTER FOUR</b>		
	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b> .....	63
	<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	71
Appendix 1.1	<i>Aged polychrome methylene blue stain</i> .....	71
Appendix 1.2	<i>Preparation of sample dilution buffer</i> .....	71
Appendix 1.3	<i>Sheep blood agar</i> .....	72
Appendix 1.4	<i>PLET</i> .....	72
Appendix 1.5	<i>MacConkey agar</i> .....	72
Appendix 1.6	<i>Gram stain</i> .....	73
Appendix 1.7	<i>Catalase test</i> .....	74
Appendix 1.8	<i>Motility test</i> .....	74
Appendix 1.9	<i>Germination medium</i> .....	74
Appendix 2.1	<i>Glycerol diluent</i> .....	76
Appendix 2.2	<i>Tryptose agar deeps</i> .....	76
Appendix 3.1	<i>Memo</i> .....	77
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	78

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.1:</b> The stocking rates for both beef and dairy farms in the Goulburn Valley.....	8
<b>Table 1.2:</b> Two-way contingency table demonstrating the possible diagnostic test outcomes and definitions.....	30
<b>Table 2.1:</b> Results of examination of blood from cattle at two knackeries for <i>B. anthracis</i> by hand-held assay, stained blood smear and culture.....	41
<b>Table 2.2:</b> Results of isolation from the blood of 82 cattle at Stanhope knackery.....	42
<b>Table 2.3:</b> Results of isolation from the blood of 158 cattle at Camperdown knackery.....	43
<b>Table 3.1:</b> The calculation of viable spore numbers in one mL of 34F <sub>2</sub> anthrax vaccine.....	58
<b>Table 4.1:</b> Hand-held assay results to all positive anthrax cases identified in either blood smear or culture.....	65

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1.1:</b> Location of the anthrax belt in Australia.....	10
<b>Figure 1.2:</b> Schematic diagram of the intricate details of the hand-held assay.....	23
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> Schematic diagram of the interpretation of results in the hand-held assay.....	38
<b>Figure 3.1:</b> Illustrates the mean daily rectal temperatures for the ten cattle vaccinated in this study.....	59
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> The predictive values of a diagnostic test with a specificity of 100% and sensitivity of 63%.....	68

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b><i>B. anthracis</i></b>	<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>
<b><i>B. mycooides</i></b>	<i>Bacillus mycooides</i>
<b>cfu</b>	colony forming units
<b>CI</b>	confidence interval
<b>cm</b>	centimetre
<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	carbon dioxide
<b>°C</b>	degree celcius
<b>D+</b>	disease present
<b>D-</b>	disease absent
<b>EDTA</b>	ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
<b>EF</b>	oedema factor
<b>ELISA</b>	Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay
<b>ELLA</b>	Enzyme-Linked Lectinosorbent Assay
<b>ET</b>	oedema toxin
<b>FP</b>	false positive
<b>g</b>	gram
<b>h</b>	hour
<b>IgG</b>	Immunoglobulin G
<b>IHA</b>	indirect haemagglutination assay
<b>kDa</b>	kilodalton
<b>km</b>	kilometre
<b>LF</b>	lethal factor
<b>LT</b>	lethal toxin

<b>mm</b>	millimetre
<b>mL</b>	millilitre
<b>ng</b>	nanogram
<b>NPV</b>	Negative predictive value
<b>P</b>	pretest probability
<b>PA</b>	Protective antigen
<b>PCR</b>	Polymerase chain reaction
<b>PLET</b>	polymyxin-lysozyme-EDTA-thallos acetate
<b>PPV</b>	Positive predictive value
<b>rpm</b>	revolutions per minute
<b>s</b>	seconds
<b>SBA</b>	sheep blood agar
<b><i>Se</i></b>	sensitivity
<b><i>Sp</i></b>	specificity
<b>T+</b>	test positive
<b>T-</b>	test negative
<b>TN</b>	true negative
<b>TNF</b>	tumour necrosis factor
<b>TP</b>	true positive
<b>%</b>	percent
<b>µg</b>	microgram
<b>µm</b>	micrometre