

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**OPTIMISATION STUDIES OF AN ATOXIGENIC STRAIN OF  
*PITHOMYCES CHARTARUM* IN SUBMERGED FERMENTATION**

**GEOFFREY D. LUDEMANN**

**1999**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the  
degree of Master of Technology in Biotechnology**

**Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand**

## ABSTRACT

The conditions for maximum biomass concentration and propagule production by an atoxigenic strain of *Pithomyces chartarum* were investigated, in shake-flask and submerged fermenter. On the shake-flask scale, a variety of carbon sources, nitrogen sources, growth additives and pH values were studied as medium components and conditions. The dry weight biomass and the rate of substrate utilisation were used to assess the growth of the organism, while the number of colony-forming-units per unit volume was also determined following a standard homogenisation procedure, to monitor organism viability. The organism was shown to be capable of utilising a wide range of nutrient sources. Glucose and casamino acids were the best carbon and nitrogen sources, respectively, while the optimum culture pH value was in the broad range pH 4 to 7. The effect of growth additives was negligible.

The performances of different strains of the organism on the semi-defined medium were compared and two of the three strains tested grew to desirable parameters. The third strain, however, was reluctant to sporulate despite repeated efforts. This non-sporulating strain did produce the greatest biomass concentration however. Following the establishment of a semi-defined medium, a possible production medium was formulated by replacing the nutritional components of the defined medium with low-cost complex substrates. The use of whey permeate was investigated for this role. The results showed that whey permeate alone was a poor medium; however when supplemental carbon sources, such as starch or sucrose, were added, the viability counts and biomass produced were the greatest yet achieved.

Studies of the effect of agitation, aeration and inoculum size were conducted in small-scale submerged fermentation. This technology was demonstrated to be satisfactory for production of *P. chartarum* biomass. In the range of these variables studied, however, there was little effect noted on the viability or biomass concentration produced. Finally, the effect of storage of the harvested biomass on its viability was studied. It was shown that the viability of the organism decreased markedly with storage over a 3-month period, and that the preserving techniques tested had little effect in reversing this trend.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Ian Maddox for giving the opportunity to work with him in this study. His constant guidance, supervision and encouragement were greatly appreciated.

I am also grateful to Roger Collin and Agresearch, Ruakura, for their funding for this project and I wish them success in their work with *Pithomyces chartarum*.

I would also like to extend my appreciation and gratitude to all the people who provided the technical and laboratory assistance and answers to my endless barrage of who, what, where, why and how's, namely. Anne-Marie Jackson, Judy Collins, Jon Palmer, Steven Arnold, Mike Sahayam, John Sykes, John Alger, Bruce Collins, Don Maclean and Mike Stevens.

Thanks go also to Dom, Fiona and the numerous other flatmates and friends for their queries, discussions and help during the course of this research.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents, whose love, encouragement and early morning phone calls to ensure I was up, were greatly appreciated, without which, this would not have been possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	II
AKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	3
2.1 FACIAL ECZEMA.....	3
2.1.1 HISTORY .....	3
2.1.2 PATHOGENECITY .....	4
2.2 <i>PITHOMYCES CHARTARUM</i> .....	7
2.2.1 OCCURRENCE OF <i>PITHOMYCES CHARTARUM</i> .....	7
2.2.2 MORPHOLOGY .....	7
2.2.3 PHYSIOLOGY.....	9
2.3 BIOCONTROL FUNGL.....	11
2.3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	11
2.3.2 BIOCONTROL PRACTICES.....	12
2.3.3 BIOCOMPETITIVE FUNGI .....	13
2.4 SUBMERGED FERMENTATION OF FUNGI.....	15
2.4.1 PRINCIPLES.....	15
2.4.2 OPTIMISATION STRATEGIES .....	19
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	22

<b>3.1</b>	<b>MATERIALS.....</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1.1	ORGANISM.....	22
3.1.2	MEDIA .....	22
3.1.3	CHEMICALS.....	22
3.1.4	ENZYMES.....	23
3.1.5	EQUIPMENT.....	23
<b>3.2</b>	<b>METHODS .....</b>	<b>24</b>
3.2.1	STOCK CULTURES.....	24
3.2.2	GROWTH OF ORGANISMS .....	24
3.2.2.1	Shake Flask.....	24
3.2.2.2	Fermenter.....	25
3.2.3	ANALYSIS.....	26
3.2.3.1	Biomass determination .....	26
3.2.3.2	Determination of Colony-Forming-Units .....	27
3.2.3.3	Carbon sources.....	27
3.2.3.4	Nitrogen sources .....	28
3.2.3.5	Starch hydrolysis.....	28
<b>CHAPTER 4 OPTIMISATION OF CARBON SOURCE, NITROGEN SOURCE, GROWTH ADDITIVES AND INITIAL CULTURE PH VALUE.....</b>		<b>29</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>HOMOGENISATION STANDARDISATION .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>EFFECT OF CARBON SOURCE .....</b>	<b>30</b>
4.2.1	INTRODUCTION .....	30
4.2.2	INITIAL SCREENING EXPERIMENTS.....	31
4.2.3	TIME COURSE STUDIES.....	31
4.2.3.1	Specific rate of growth .....	33
4.2.3.2	Substrate utilisation .....	35
4.2.3.3	Specific rate of substrate utilisation .....	37
4.2.3.4	Biomass growth yield .....	37
4.2.3.5	Productivity.....	40
4.2.4	DISCUSSION.....	40
<b>4.3</b>	<b>EFFECT OF NITROGEN SOURCE .....</b>	<b>41</b>
4.3.1	INTRODUCTION .....	41
4.3.2	SCREENING EXPERIMENTS .....	41
4.3.3	DISCUSSION.....	42

<b>4.4</b>	<b>EFFECT OF PH .....</b>	<b>43</b>
4.4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	43
4.4.2	OPTIMAL pH .....	43
4.4.3	DISCUSSION.....	45
<b>4.5</b>	<b>GROWTH FACTORS AND TRACE ELEMENTS .....</b>	<b>45</b>
4.5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	45
4.5.2	RESULTS .....	46
4.5.3	DISCUSSION.....	47
<b>4.6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>48</b>
 <b>CHAPTER 5 STRAIN CHARACTERISTICS .....</b>		<b>49</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>RESULTS.....</b>	<b>49</b>
5.2.1	QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS .....	49
5.2.2	QUALITATIVE OBSERVATIONS.....	51
<b>5.3</b>	<b>DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>53</b>
 <b>CHAPTER 6 WHEY PERMEATE TRIALS .....</b>		<b>54</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>RESULTS.....</b>	<b>55</b>
6.2.1	WHEY+GLUCOSE/CASAMINO ACIDS .....	55
6.2.2	WHEY+STARCH/SUCROSE .....	57
<b>6.3</b>	<b>DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>6.4</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>62</b>
 <b>CHAPTER 7 FERMENTER TRIALS.....</b>		<b>63</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>RESULTS.....</b>	<b>65</b>

7.3	DISCUSSION.....	67
7.4	CONCLUSION.....	69
<b>CHAPTER 8 STORAGE TRIALS .....</b>		<b>70</b>
8.1	INTRODUCTION .....	70
8.2	RESULTS.....	71
8.3	DISCUSSION.....	73
8.4	CONCLUSION .....	74
<b>CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>		<b>75</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>		<b>78</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 BASE STRUCTURE OF SPORIDESMIN. ....	3
FIGURE 2 PATHOGENESIS OF FACIAL ECZEMA. SOURCE FACIAL ECZEMA INFORMATION UPDATE, FACIAL ECZEMA RESEARCH GROUP. RUAKURA AGRICULTURAL CENTRE, HAMILTON, NEW ZEALAND. ....	6
FIGURE 3 PHOTOMICROGRAPH OF SPORES OF <i>P. CHARTARUM</i> (X2400). SOURCE ATHERTON <i>ET AL</i> (1974). ....	9
FIGURE 4 FORMS OF FUNGAL GROWTH, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, SINGLE CELL GROWTH, PELLETED GROWTH, AND FILAMENTOUS GROWTH (SOLOMONS, 1975). ....	16
FIGURE 5 OPTIMISATION STRATEGY FOR PRODUCING MICROBIAL BIOCONTROL AGENTS IN LIQUID CULTURE. SOURCE, JACKSON <i>ET AL</i> (1996). ....	20
FIGURE 6 A PICTURE OF THE FERMENTATION APPARATUS USED IN THE 1.5-L SCALE. ....	26
FIGURE 7 EFFECT OF CULTURE HOMOGENISATION ON CFU'S/ML IN SHAKE FLASK CULTURES OF <i>P. CHARTARUM</i> INCUBATED AT 25°C FOR 4 DAYS IN GLUCOSE/YEAST EXTRACT MEDIUM. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED. ....	30
FIGURE 8 DEVELOPMENT OF CFU'S VERSUS TIME WITH GLUCOSE MEDIA. ....	32
FIGURE 9 DEVELOPMENT OF CFU'S VERSUS TIME WITH LACTOSE MEDIA. ....	32
FIGURE 10 BIOMASS VERSUS TIME, GLUCOSE MEDIUM. ....	33
FIGURE 11 BIOMASS VERSUS TIME, LACTOSE MEDIUM. ....	33
FIGURE 12 LOG DRY WT BIOMASS VERSUS TIME, GLUCOSE. ....	34
FIGURE 13 LOG DRY WT BIOMASS VERSUS TIME, LACTOSE. ....	34
FIGURE 14 GLUCOSE CONCENTRATION VERSUS TIME. ....	36
FIGURE 15 LACTOSE CONCENTRATION VERSUS TIME. ....	36
FIGURE 16 BIOMASS CONCENTRATION VERSUS GLUCOSE USED. ....	38
FIGURE 17 BIOMASS CONCENTRATION VERSUS LACTOSE USED. ....	38
FIGURE 18 pH VERSUS DRY WEIGHT BIOMASS. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED. ....	44
FIGURE 19 pH VERSUS CFU'S/ML. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED. ....	44

FIGURE 20 EFFECT OF GROWTH FACTORS (GF) AND TRACE ELEMENTS (T) ON BIOMASS. ....	47
FIGURE 21 EFFECT OF GROWTH FACTORS (GF) AND TRACE ELEMENTS (T) ON VIABILITY COUNT .....	47
FIGURE 22 EFFECT OF STRAIN TYPE ON BIOMASS CONCENTRATION. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED..	50
FIGURE 23 EFFECT OF STRAIN TYPE ON VIABILITY COUNT. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED .....	50
FIGURE 24 EFFECT OF pH AND CONCENTRATIONS OF GLUCOSE AND CASAMINO ACIDS IN WHEY PERMEATE ON BIOMASS CONCENTRATION. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED.....	56
FIGURE 25 EFFECT OF pH AND CONCENTRATIONS OF GLUCOSE AND CASAMINO ACIDS IN WHEY PERMEATE ON VIABILITY COUNTS. THE POINTS SHOWN ARE FROM SINGLE CFU DETERMINATION ONLY.....	57
FIGURE 26 EFFECT OF WHEY+STARCH OR SUCROSE ON BIOMASS CONCENTRATION. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED .....	58
FIGURE 27 EFFECT OF WHEY + STARCH OR SUCROSE ON VIABILITY. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED.	58
FIGURE 28 STARCH USED VERSUS BIOMASS PRODUCED AFTER 5 DAYS INCUBATION. ....	59
FIGURE 29 BIOMASS CONCENTRATION PRODUCED DURING FERMENTER EXPERIMENTS. DATA SHOWN AFTER 5 DAYS INCUBATION. THE RANGE OF READINGS IS INDICATED .....	65
FIGURE 30 VIABILITY COUNTS FROM FERMENTER EXPERIMENTS. DATA SHOWN AFTER 5 DAYS INCUBATION.	66
FIGURE 31 AVERAGE GLUCOSE AND NITROGEN CONCENTRATION OVER TIME IN FOUR DIFFERENT FERMENTATION PROTOCOLS. ....	66

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1 EFFECT OF CARBON SOURCE ON GROWTH AND COLONY FORMING ABILITY OF <i>P. CHARTARUM</i> .....	31
TABLE 2 GROWTH RATES OF <i>P.CHARTARUM</i> ON GLUCOSE AND LACTOSE. ....	35
TABLE 3 RATES OF SUBSTRATE UTILISATION DURING GROWTH ON GLUCOSE.....	39
TABLE 4 RATES OF SUBSTRATE UTILISATION DURING GROWTH ON LACTOSE.....	39
TABLE 5 GLUCOSE AND LACTOSE PRODUCTIVITY .....	40
TABLE 6 EFFECT OF NITROGEN SOURCE ON GROWTH AND COLONY-FORMING-ABILITY OF <i>P. CHARTARUM</i> AFTER 6 DAYS INCUBATION.....	42
TABLE 7 CHANGE OF pH WITH TIME.....	45
TABLE 8 STRAIN CHARACTERISTICS NOTED DURING GROWTH ON GLUCOSE/CASAMINO ACIDS MEDIA. ....	51
TABLE 9 CONCENTRATION OF LACTOSE UTILISED (G/L) BY <i>P.CHARTARUM</i> /.....	56
TABLE 10 CONCENTRATIONS OF GLUCOSE AND LACTOSE UTILISED (G/L) BY <i>P.CHARTARUM</i> .....	56
TABLE 11 STARCH AND LACTOSE CONCENTRATIONS (G/L) UTILISED BY <i>P.CHARTARUM</i> .....	59
TABLE 12 SUCROSE AND LACTOSE CONCENTRATIONS (G/L) UTILISED BY <i>P.CHARTARUM</i> .....	60
TABLE 13 CONDITIONS USED IN FERMENTER STUDIES .....	64
TABLE 14 COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE OF <i>P.CHARTARUM</i> IN SHAKE-FLASK AND FERMENTER INCUBATION. FERMENTER DATA SHOWN ARE AVERAGE OF ALL PROTOCOLS. ....	68
TABLE 15 CONTROL (WATER TREATED) VIABLE UNIT COUNTS.....	71
TABLE 16 LACTOSE TREATMENT VIABLE UNIT COUNTS.....	72
TABLE 17 TREHALOSE TREATMENT VIABLE UNIT COUNTS.....	72