

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ASPERGILLUS FLAVUS AND THE DETERIORATION
OF FARM-STORED BARLEY GRAIN

A thesis presented in partial (30%) fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Philosophy
in Veterinary Pathology & Public Health
at Massey University

HASSAN M. HUSSEIN

1983

SUMMARY

Inadequate farm storage of barley can result in moulding of the grain mass with a corresponding danger of mycotoxin production in that grain and subsequent risks to animal health. Dilution plating techniques utilising progressive washing and surface sterilization have been used in this study to investigate the mycoflora of the husks of 12 samples of farm-stored barley grains, with particular reference to the presence of *Aspergillus flavus*, the producer of the potent mycotoxin, aflatoxin. These techniques allowed differentiation of fungi and fungal numbers on the inner and outer surfaces of the husks to be made and related to the extent of deterioration of the grain.

The dilution plating method used for examining the husks revealed that total viable counts of the outer surface were not a reliable index of the condition of the samples, whereas inner surface counts were consistently related to the degree of mouldiness. A "condition line" could be established at 2.0×10^2 CFU/g grain for such inner surface counts.

The most common *Aspergillus* species isolated by dilution plating were *A. flavus*, *A. glaucus* and *A. fumigatus*. The most common of the other genera were *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium* and *Aureobasidium*. *A. flavus* was the most widely distributed species in both clean and mouldy samples, but was present mainly on the outer surface. The distribution of the various genera on the outer and inner surfaces of the husks was also found to be related to the degree of mouldiness of the sample. In clean samples the field fungi (*Alternaria*, *Cladosporium* and *Aureobasidium*) were dominant, but they were replaced by storage fungi (*Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*) in mouldy samples.

A further technique allowing direct examination of the fungal mycelium within husk tissue using a vital stain was developed. This allowed an assessment to be made, by means of three comparative scales (relative mycelial score, comparative mycelial score and relative viability score), not only of the abundance of such mycelium but also of its viability. Most samples of husk tissue showed abundant mycelium

but estimation of viability obtained by this direct plating technique showed that whilst hyphae in husks from mouldy samples were active, much of the mycelium in clean samples was dead. The most common species of *Aspergillus* in the husk tissue of mouldy samples were the spoilage fungi *A. glaucus*, *A. restrictus* and *A. fumigatus*. Only 2 samples yielded *A. flavus*. Fungal genera isolated mainly from clean samples were *Alternaria*, *Monilia* and *Papulospora*. This technique thus reinforces the findings obtained by dilution plating and emphasises the location of spoilage fungi within the husk tissue of mouldy samples.

Barley isolates of *A. flavus* have been compared to soil isolates for their ability to produce aflatoxin on different media. *A. flavus* isolates from barley were first screened for aflatoxin production on coconut agar. All were negative. Several isolates from soil, however, were found to be toxigenic. Selected barley and soil isolates were examined for their ability to form aflatoxin on various media (semi-synthetic, Weet-bix, pearled barley and barley husk), culture filtrates being analysed by the minicolumn technique and by TLC. Aflatoxin B₁ and traces of B₂ were detected by the TLC method in culture extracts from 7 out of 9 soil isolates of *A. flavus*. No aflatoxin was detected in cultures of barley isolates.

The studies reported suggest that although *A. flavus* is common in stored barley, it is mainly a surface contaminant and present largely as spores. It seemed to play little part in the actual spoilage of the grain, as indicated by its infrequent occurrence as mycelium within the husk tissue. Furthermore, elaboration of aflatoxin does not appear to be a problem in the barley samples examined, as judged by the absence of toxigenic *A. flavus* strains in those samples. However, soil isolates were toxigenic, and it is possible that other samples of stored grain may on occasions become contaminated with these strains, with the concomitant danger of aflatoxin production if the grain is not adequately stored.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Professor B.W. Manktelow and the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health, for providing the opportunity and facilities for these studies.

I gratefully acknowledge the Iraqi Government for financial support for this work as well as support for myself.

I particularly acknowledge with grateful thanks my supervisor, Dr. M. Baxter, for his advice, assistance and helpful criticism throughout the study and particularly in the preparation of this manuscript.

I would also like to acknowledge and thank the following people who have been of particular assistance to me:

Mrs. E.J. Baxter for the excellent typing of this manuscript;

Miss S. Lee, and the staff of Hodder and Tolley for assistance with the collection of samples;

Mr. Tom Law, Photographer of the Veterinary Pathology and Public Health Department, for his photographic work.

I also wish to express my appreciation to my wife Azhar and my sons Hutheifa and Kuteiba for their patience and help during the course of this study.

CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	iii
Acknowledgements	v
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiv
List of Plates	xv
INTRODUCTION	1
1. Barley Production and Uses	2
2. Grain Storage and Spoilage Problems	4
3. Structure of Barley Grain	5
3.1 The caryopsis	6
3.2 The husk	6
3.3 Barley grain as a substrate for fungal growth	9
4. Mycoflora of Barley Grains	12
4.1 Early investigations	12
4.2 The changing fungal flora during storage	14
4.3 The topographic distribution of fungi within grains	16
4.3.1 General distribution	16
4.3.2 Hyphal growth within the husk tissue	17
5. Aflatoxin Contamination of Barley	20
5.1 Factors affecting <i>A. flavus</i> growth and aflatoxin production	21
5.2 Determination of aflatoxins	23
5.2.1 Screening procedures for fungal isolates	24
5.2.2 General analytical procedures	25

	Page
6. Aims of Project	27
MATERIALS AND METHODS	28
1. Samples Examined	28
1.1 Source of samples	28
1.2 Sample collection	28
1.3 Moisture content	28
1.3.1 At time of introduction to silo	28
1.3.2 Saboratory determination after sampling	32
1.4 Sub-sampling	32
2. Media, Reagents and Apparatus	32
2.1 Media	32
2.2 Reagents	36
2.3 Apparatus	37
2.3.1 Minicolumns	37
2.3.2 Thin-layer chromatography	40
3. Mycoflora of Barley Grain Husk	40
3.1 Fungi on outer surface (O.S.)	40
3.1.1 Sample processing	40
3.1.2 Dilution plating and counting procedures	40
3.2 Fungi on inner surface (I.S.)	41
3.2.1 Sample processing and dehusking	41
3.2.2 Dilution plating and counting procedures	41
3.3 Fungi within husk tissue	41
3.3.1 Microscopic examination of stained husk tissue	42
3.3.2 Cultural examination	42
4. Identification and Maintenance of Isolates	42

	Page
4.1 Identification	42
4.2 Selection of <i>A. flavus</i> strains and source of cultures for aflatoxin assays	43
4.2.1 Barley isolates	43
4.2.2 Soil isolates	43
4.2.3 Reference strain	43
4.3 Maintenance of isolates	43
5. Cultural Methods for Aflatoxin Production	43
5.1 Coconut agar	43
5.2 Semisynthetic liquid medium	44
5.3 Weet-bix medium	44
5.4 Pearled barley and barley husks media	44
6. Extraction of Aflatoxin from Cultures	45
6.1 Extraction from SMKY cultures	45
6.2 Extraction from Weet-bix, pearled barley and barley husks media	45
6.2.1 Sample extraction	45
6.2.2 Lead acetate treatment	46
6.2.3 Chloroform partition	46
7. Detection of Aflatoxin	47
7.1 Minicolumn method	47
7.1.1 Technique	47
7.1.2 Preparation of reference minicolumn	47
7.2 Thin-layer Chromatography (TLC)	47
7.2.1 Spotting technique	48
7.2.2 TLC development	48

	Page
RESULTS	49
1. Moisture Content (M.C.)	49
2. Total Fungal Load of Inner and Outer Surfaces of Barley Husks	50
2.1 Outer surface (O.S.)	50
2.2 Inner surface (I.S.)	50
3. Fungi Isolated from Inner and Outer Surfaces of Barley Husks	50
4. Presence, Viability and Identity of Hyphae within Husk Tissue	55
4.1 Assessment of fungal mycelium observed within husk tissue	55
4.1.1 Relative mycelial score	55
4.1.2 Comparative mycelial score	55
4.2 Hyphal viability	58
4.2.1 Relative viability score	58
4.2.2 Species isolated	58
5. Overall Presence of Fungal Isolates in the Barley Samples	62
6. Relationship of Sample Condition to the Mycoflora	65
6.1 Sample condition, viable counts and the most frequent fungal genera isolated by dilution plating	65
6.1.1 Viable counts	65
6.1.2 Individual genera	65
6.2 Sample condition and genera isolated from husk tissues	69
6.3 Sample condition and comparative mycelial score (C.M.S.), relative viability score (R.V.S.) and relative mycelial score (R.M.S.)	69

	Page
7. Aflatoxin Production by <i>A. flavus</i> Isolates	72
7.1 Screening on coconut agar	72
7.1.1 Husk isolates	72
7.1.2 Soil isolates	73
7.2 Aflatoxin production on semi- synthetic liquid medium (SMKY) - stationary and shaken culture	75
7.2.1 Aflatoxin detection by locally-prepared mini- columns from SMKY stationary culture extracts	75
7.2.2 Aflatoxin detection by commercially-prepared mini- columns from SMKY stationary and shaken culture extracts	76
7.2.3 TLC screening method	79
7.3 Aflatoxin production on Weet-bix medium	79
7.4 Aflatoxin production on pearled barley and husks	82
DISCUSSION	89
REFERENCES	104

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Comparative area and yield of barley and wheat grown in New Zealand, 1978-1982.	3
Table 2. General composition of whole barley and barley husk.	10
Table 3. Details of the silos from which grain was collected, and the condition of the grain within each.	31
Table 4. Moisture contents of barley grain samples.	49
Table 5. Viable counts of inner and outer surfaces of barley husks.	51
Table 6. Presence of various <i>Aspergilli</i> on outer and inner surfaces of husks as determined by dilution plating.	52
Table 7. Presence of genera other than <i>Aspergillus</i> on outer and inner surfaces of husks as determined by dilution plating.	53
Table 8. Genera isolated from 12 barley samples by the dilution plating method on PDA at 25°C.	54
Table 9. Assessment of fungal hyphae observed within ten husk strips/sample and their viability.	57

	Page
Table 10. Species isolated from husk strips after examination in vital stain for the presence of mycelium (MSA and PDA at 25°C).	59
Table 11. Isolations of <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. from 10 husk strips/sample at 25°C (5 on MSA, 5 on PDA).	61
Table 12. Isolations of genera other than <i>Aspergillus</i> from 10 husk strips/sample at 25°C (5 on MSA, 5 on PDA).	63
Table 13. Fungi isolated from barley samples either by the dilution plating method or by direct plating of husks.	64
Table 14. Condition of barley samples and the most frequent isolates from O.S. and I.S. (dilution plating method).	68
Table 15. Sample condition in relation to the total isolations of <i>Aspergilli</i> and other genera from 10 husk strips/sample.	70
Table 16. Relationship between comparative mycelial score (C.M.S.), relative mycelial score (R.M.S.) and relative viability score (R.M.S.) from 10 husk strips/sample.	72
Table 17. Screening for aflatoxin production on coconut agar medium by isolates of <i>A. flavus</i> from I.S. of barley husks and from soil.	73

	Page
Table 18. Aflatoxin production by <i>A. flavus</i> isolates grown on semi-synthetic liquid medium (SMKY) in stationary culture at 25°C for 7 days. (Detection by locally-prepared minicolumns.)	75
Table 19. Aflatoxin production by <i>A. flavus</i> isolates on semisynthetic liquid medium (SMKY) in stationary culture at 25°C for 7 days. (Detection by commercial minicolumn.)	76
Table 20. Aflatoxin production by <i>A. flavus</i> isolates on semisynthetic liquid medium (SMKY) in a shaking incubator at 28°C for 5 days. (Detection by commercial minicolumns.)	78
Table 21. Detection of aflatoxin by TLC method in extracts of semisynthetic liquid culture medium (SMKY) in stationary and shaken culture.	80
Table 22. Aflatoxin production on Weet-bix medium by 7 <i>A. flavus</i> strains.	82
Table 23. Aflatoxin production on pearled barley and barley husks media by 3 <i>A. flavus</i> AT-positive strains and one AT-negative strain.	86

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. Schematic longitudinal and transverse sections through a barley grain showing the disposition of the parts.	8
Figure 2. Sources of farm-stored barley samples collected in the Manawatu District.	30
Figure 3. Components of the minicolumns used for screening culture filtrate extracts for aflatoxin.	39
Figure 4. Viable counts of outer and inner surfaces of husks in relation to gross visual appearance of sample.	67
Figure 5. Relation between the comparative mycelial score and the relative viability score.	71

LIST OF PLATES

	Following Page
Plate 1. Fungal hyphae in husk tissue, stained blue by trypan blue.	56
Plate 2. Fungal hyphae in heavily-invaded husk tissue.	56
Plate 3. Gross visual condition of two samples.	66
Plate 4. Grossly-spoiled grain (sample PL).	66
Plate 5. Coconut agar plates, two isolates.	74
Plate 6. Coconut agar plates, four isolates.	74
Plate 7. Minicolumns (Holaday-type).	77
Plate 8.1. Use of minicolumns for detection of aflatoxin from SMKY (shaken culture).	81
Plate 8.2. TLC analysis of aflatoxin from SMKY (shaken culture).	81
Plate 9.1. Minicolumn detection of aflatoxin from Weet-bix medium (barley isolate and soil isolate).	83
Plate 9.2. TLC analysis of aflatoxins from Weet-bix medium (barley isolate and soil isolate).	83
Plate 10.1 Minicolumn detection of aflatoxin from Weet-bix medium (barley isolate and strain NRRL 2999).	84

	Following Page
Plate 10.2. TLC analysis of aflatoxin from Weet-bix medium (barley isolate and strain NRRL 2999).	84
Plate 11.1. Minicolumn detection of aflatoxin from soil isolate 8 cultured on pearled barley and barley husks media.	87
Plate 11.2. TLC analysis of aflatoxin produced by soil isolate 8 on pearled barley and barley husks media.	87
Plate 12.1. Minicolumn detection of aflatoxin produced by NRRL 2999 cultured on pearled barley and barley husks media.	88
Plate 12.2. TLC analysis of aflatoxin produced by NRRL 2999 on pearled barley and barley husks media.	88