

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE CHARACTERISATION OF STRAINS OF  
MYCOPLASMA OVIPNEUMONIAE BY  
RESTRICTION ENDONUCLEASE ANALYSIS

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of Master of  
Science in Microbiology at Massey University,  
New Zealand.

Alison Jane Mew

1982

ABSTRACT

Studies of the pathogenicity of Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae would be facilitated by an in vitro method of identifying and classifying isolates of this organism, and it is with the development of such a method, and its possible applications, that this thesis is mainly concerned.

The method chosen was Restriction Endonuclease Analysis (REA) which has already been successfully applied to the identification of some viruses and bacteria.

Initially the REA patterns of 2 strains of M. ovipneumoniae were observed. They differed markedly in restriction pattern, but the pattern exhibited by any one of them was recognisable and reproducible. Furthermore, patterns did not change significantly after limited passage in vitro. An extension of this study to 8 isolates from widely differing sources, showed that all gave markedly different patterns. It was concluded that, unlike the findings for Leptospira and Rhizobium, REA could not be used for the identification of species, but is an extremely powerful method for identifying strains of M. ovipneumoniae.

Despite the marked heterogeneity of isolates from different sources, the relative stability of pattern of an individual isolate, suggested that REA could be used to examine the epidemiology of individual strains of M. ovipneumoniae within a flock of sheep. Hence, we undertook a study of M. ovipneumoniae isolates obtained by serial swabbing of the nasal cavities of a flock of lambs over a 6-month period, and from the lungs of the same lambs at slaughter. It was shown that 54 isolates from the nasal cavities fall into 7 major groups with respect to restriction pattern (although minor differences were detected within a group). There was a tendency for these groups to occur sequentially. None of the isolates were shown to persist for long periods, but a later strain could replace an earlier one. The isolates from the lungs were more homogeneous and the predominant strain fell within one of the 7 "nasal" groups. This suggests that nasal isolates

may vary in their pathogenicity for the lungs, although other explanations are possible (see General Discussion).

Notwithstanding the apparent stability of mass cultures of M. ovipneumoniae following limited passage in vitro, the unexpectedly large number of restriction patterns found with field strains, led us to re-examine, in more detail, the stability of cloned isolates. A multiply-cloned isolate was propagated in vitro and 8 sub-clones selected before and, a further 8 sub-clones after 20 passages. Some limited heterogeneity was detected among the 8 sub-clones selected before passage, and a somewhat greater degree of heterogeneity was detected among sub-clones selected after passage. It should, however, be emphasised that these differences were small compared to the total lack of similarities seen when isolates from different sources were examined. Limited passage in the presence of sub-lethal concentrations of antibody did not increase the heterogeneity of patterns - if anything, the reverse is true.

Explanations for these findings and future experiments to confirm or deny these possibilities are discussed.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to the Department of Microbiology and Genetics, and to the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health, for providing the opportunity and facilities for these studies.

### Department of Microbiology and Genetics

In particular, I would like to thank:

My supervisor, Dr J.K. Clarke, for his excellent guidance and advice throughout the course of this study.

Mrs Alison Lee, Mr George Ionas, and Mr Andrew Fraser for their friendship and help when things were hectic.

Professor D.F. Bacon for useful discussion, Mr R. Tucker, and all other members of the academic and technical staff.

### Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health

I would like to thank Dr A.J. Robinson for the supply of EcoRI, and Lambda DNA, and who, together with Dr R.B. Marshall, provided invaluable advice, discussion and constant encouragement.

Special thanks must also go to Dr M.R. Alley for the collection of specimens, Ms Gill Ellis for the preparation of lambda DNA and EcoRI, and Dr K. Moriarty for use of laboratory space.

I would also like to thank:

Mrs Penny van Doorn for the excellent typing of this thesis. The staff of the Central Photographic Unit.

And last, but definitely not least, warmest thanks go to my husband Peter, for the construction and illustration of the gel electrophoresis equipment and for his unfailing support and forbearance throughout the preparation of this thesis.

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page.</u>
TITLE PAGE .. .. .	i
ABSTRACT .. .. .	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .. .. .	iv
LIST OF CONTENTS .. .. .	v
LIST OF FIGURES .. .. .	x
LIST OF TABLES .. .. .	xii
INTRODUCTION.. .. .	1
CHAPTER 1 <u>Historical Review</u> .. .. .	6
1.1    Discovery and characterisation of restriction endonucleases .. .. .	6
1.2    Applications of restriction endonucleases ..	9
1.2.1    Mapping of DNA .. .. .	9
1.2.2    Cloning of genes .. .. .	11
1.2.3    Classification and identification of microorganisms .. .. .	14
a) Genetic relatedness between species	
b) Species identification of isolates	
c) Identification of strains	
1.2.4    Epidemiological studies.. .. .	18
1.3    Effect of genome size on Restriction Endonuclease Analysis .. .. .	21
CHAPTER 2 <u>Development of Restriction Endonuclease                 Analysis for <u>Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae</u></u> ..	24
2.1    Growth studies of two strains of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> <u>in vitro</u>	
2.1.1    Introduction .. .. .	24
2.1.2    Materials and Methods .. .. .	25
2.1.3    Results .. .. .	25
2.1.4    Conclusion .. .. .	28

							<u>Page</u>
2.2	Effect on restriction pattern of cultures harvested in death phase	..	..	..	..	..	29
2.2.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	29
2.2.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	29
2.2.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	30
2.2.4	Conclusion	..	..	..	..	..	32
2.3	Effect on restriction pattern of freezing cell suspensions prior to DNA extraction	..	..				33
2.3.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	33
2.3.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	33
2.3.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	34
2.3.4	Conclusion	..	..	..	..	..	37
2.4	Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> : Materials and Methods						38
2.4.1	Propagation of mycoplasmas for DNA extraction	..	..	..	..	..	38
2.4.2	Extraction of DNA from mycoplasmas	..					39
2.4.3	Analysis of Nucleic Acid Extract	..	..				41
2.4.4	Digestion of DNA with EcoRI	..					43
2.4.5	Gel electrophoresis and photography	..					46
2.5	Reproducibility of Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u>	..	..	..	..	..	49
2.5.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	49
2.5.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	49
2.5.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	50
2.5.4	Conclusion	..	..	..	..	..	52
2.6	Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of 8 strains of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u>	..	..	..	..	..	54
2.6.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	54
2.6.2	Materials and Methods			..	..	..	54
2.6.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	55
2.6.4	Conclusion	..	..	..	..	..	57

CHAPTER 3	<u>Isolation and Identification of</u> <u>Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae from a flock</u> <u>of lambs</u>	..	..	..	..	..	..	58
3.1	Isolation of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u>	..	..	..	..	..	..	58
3.1.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	..	58
3.1.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	..	59
	a) Isolation of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> from nasal cavity	..	..	..	..	..	..	59
	b) Isolation of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> from lung tissue	..	..	..	..	..	..	60
	c) Cloning of isolates	..	..	..	..	..	..	61
3.1.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	..	61
3.1.4	Discussion	..	..	..	..	..	..	64
3.2	Identification of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> by gel precipitin tests	..	..	..	..	..	..	65
3.2.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	..	65
3.2.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	..	66
3.2.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	..	67
3.2.4	Conclusion	..	..	..	..	..	..	69
CHAPTER 4	<u>Examination of Isolates from a Flock of</u> <u>Lambs by Restriction Endonuclease Analysis</u>							70
4.1	Comparison of REA patterns of four isolates following single-cloning and multiple-cloning							70
4.1.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	..	70
4.1.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	..	71
4.1.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	..	72
4.1.4	Conclusion	..	..	..	..	..	..	74
4.2	REA of nasal isolates obtained at one time	..						75
4.2.1	Introduction	..	..	..	..	..	..	75
4.2.2	Materials and Methods	..	..	..	..	..	..	75
4.2.3	Results	..	..	..	..	..	..	76
4.2.4	Discussion	..	..	..	..	..	..	78



	<u>Page</u>
4.3 REA of serial nasal isolates .. .. .	79
4.3.1 Introduction .. .. .	79
4.3.2 Materials and Methods .. .. .	79
4.3.3 Results .. .. .	80
4.3.4 Discussion .. .. .	82
4.4 REA of lung isolates .. .. .	83
4.4.1 Introduction .. .. .	83
4.4.2 Materials and Methods .. .. .	83
4.4.3 Results .. .. .	84
4.4.4 Discussion .. .. .	86
4.5 Comparison of nasal and lung isolates by REA ..	87
4.5.1 Introduction .. .. .	87
4.5.2 Materials and Methods .. .. .	87
4.5.3 Results .. .. .	88
4.5.4 Discussion .. .. .	88
4.6 REA of isolates of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> obtained from a flock of lambs: <u>consolidated results</u> ..	92
4.6.1 Introduction .. .. .	92
4.6.2 Materials and Methods .. .. .	92
4.6.3 Results .. .. .	93
4.6.4 Discussion .. .. .	95
4.7 REA of multiple isolates of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> obtained from one pneumonic lung .. .. .	96
4.7.1 Introduction .. .. .	96
4.7.2 Materials and Methods .. .. .	96
4.7.3 Results .. .. .	96
4.7.4 Conclusion .. .. .	98
CHAPTER 5 <u>An Investigation into the Source of</u> <u>Heterogeneity of Strains of</u> <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> .. .. .	99
5.1 Effect on restriction patterns of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> of passage <u>in vitro</u> .. .. .	99



## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Monitoring pH of shaking and stationary cultures of two strains of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> (strains 2 and 10) .. .. .	27
2	Comparison of REA patterns of DNA from 2 cultures of a strain of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> (M14-7), harvested in the log phase and the death phase	31
3	Comparison of REA patterns of DNA from 2 cultures of a strain of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> (strain 10): the DNA immediately extracted from the cell suspension, and following thawing of the cell suspension that had been stored at -70°C .. .. .	36
4	The Gel Electrophoresis Equipment .. .. .	47
5	REA of DNA from 2 strains of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> (strains 1 and 10). Each strain was propagated in duplicate and the DNA extracted from each of the 4 cell suspensions. Each extract was digested in duplicate .. .. .	51
6	REA of 8 strains of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> from widely differing sources .. .. .	56
7	a) The cumulative proportion of lambs of one flock from which <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> was recovered from the nasal cavity by 3-weekly swabbings b) The proportion of these lambs from which <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> was recovered from the lungs at slaughter .. .. .	63
8	The Gel Precipitin Test for the identification of isolates .. .. .	68
9	REA of 4 isolates, singly- and triply-cloned ..	73
10	REA of 8 nasal isolates from a flock of lambs at the 7th swabbing (i.e. age of lambs = 207 days)	77
11	REA of 8 serial nasal isolates obtained from 3 lambs of one flock .. .. .	81
12	REA of 8 lung isolates from a flock of lambs at slaughter .. .. .	85
13	REA of 8 nasal and lung isolates obtained from 3 lambs of one flock .. .. .	89

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
14 REA of 10 isolates of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> obtained from one pneumonic lung .. .. .	97
15 REA of 8 sub-clones of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> strain 5 prior to the <u>in vitro</u> passage experiment ..	103
16 REA of 8 sub-clones of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> strain 5 taken following 20 passages <u>in vitro</u> .. ..	104
17 REA of 8 sub-clones of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> strain 5 taken following 3 passages <u>in vitro</u> in the presence of sub-inhibitory concentrations of antiserum .. .. .	105

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
I      Final turbidity readings of 4 cultures of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	26
II     Spectrophotometry profiles of Nucleic Acid Extracts from exponential and death phase cultures            ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	30
III    Protocol for preparation of standard fluorometry curve            ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	43
IV     Origin of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> strains as shown in Figure 6            ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	54
V      Isolation of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> from a) the nasal cavities of a flock of lambs swabbed at approx- imately 3-week intervals, and b) from the lungs at slaughter    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	62
VI     Source of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> isolates as shown in Figure 9            ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	71
VII    Eight nasal isolates from the 7th swabbing as shown in Figure 10    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	76
VIII   Eight serial nasal isolates from 3 lambs as shown in Figure 11    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..    ..	79
IX     Eight lung isolates as shown in Figure 12    ..	83
X      Eight nasal and lung isolates as shown in Figure 13	87
XI     Classification of isolates of <u>M. ovipneumoniae</u> from a flock of lambs a) from the <u>nasal cavities</u> swabbed at approximately 3-week intervals, and b) from the lungs at slaughter    ..    ..    ..	94