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Abstract
This research evaluated the Collaborative Problem Solving (CPS) model, an established evidence-based practice from the United States (Greene, 1998), within a Waikato context in New Zealand. Special education practitioners employed at the Ministry of Education (MOE) drew from MOE principles and practices, as well as their professional expertise as they reviewed and critiqued the CPS model. The study identified aspects of the CPS programme that could contribute to current Ministry practices and aspects which are incongruent with New Zealand MOE practice guidelines and/or the cultural context of Waikato. Outcomes of the project included a summary of existing evidence supporting the CPS model, a critique of its cultural relevance to the New Zealand context as well as, recommendations for how CPS practices might inform or strengthen the Ministry of Education’s (MOE) current model of collaborative problem solving practice.
Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge and thank the many people who made this thesis possible.

My first acknowledgment of thanks goes to my partner Jason Lucke as he has been my companion and continual source of strength and support on this journey. His ability to be there for me in the moment when words were of little comfort was the reassurance and encouragement I needed to keep moving forward. I want to thank him for his commitment, and patience and for putting his life on hold so that I could achieve my goals. My journey was a shared journey and one in which I was grateful to be sharing with him.

I want to thank my work colleagues who participated in this study. They were devoted to supporting me and generous with their time and contributions. I was appreciative of their openness to share their views and their commitment to their work with children and families in need. I feel blessed to be working with such deeply committed, intelligent, experienced and supportive team of people.

I especially want to thank my supervisors, Dr Hal Jackson and Jayne Jackson for their continued warm and generous support, and for guiding me through this journey with their in-depth knowledge and experience in this field of research. I am profoundly appreciative of their contributions and privileged to have worked with them both.

I would also like to thank Dr Jean Annan who was my supervisor at the start of my journey. She has continued to support me from afar and has been my role model and a huge source of inspiration. I have valued her intelligible input into this research and for her friendship.

I am grateful for the support of the New Zealand Ministry of Education and the Ethics Advisory Board in undertaking this thesis and in particular my District Manager Bruce McIntosh and Service Manager Vicky Sayer. Their support in enabling me to conduct my research at the Ministry has been gratefully appreciated.
I would like to acknowledge the love and support of my friends and family, and especially my grandsons Jai, Van and Dylan whom have given me a purpose for embarking on this journey. Thanks for your gift of time, your encouraging words and your confidence in my ability to accomplish my dreams.
## Contents

**Abstract** ........................................................................................................... i  
**Acknowledgements** ............................................................................................. ii  
**Table of contents** ................................................................................................ iv  

**Chapter One: Introduction to the Study** .............................................................. 1  
  Background ............................................................................................................. 1  
  Rationale for Evaluating CPS within a Waikato Context ........................................ 3  
  Thesis Overview ................................................................................................... 4  

**Chapter Two: Literature Review** ............................................................................. 5  
  Collaborative Problem Solving Model ................................................................... 5  
    Greene’s espoused theory ...................................................................................... 5  
    CPS intervention approach .................................................................................. 6  
    Home school partnership ..................................................................................... 9  
  Evidence-Based Practice: Overview and Definitions ............................................. 10  
  EBP in the New Zealand Context – Three Dimensions ......................................... 12  
    Practitioner knowledge ....................................................................................... 13  
    Child / young person / family / whanau ............................................................. 14  
    Research .......................................................................................................... 15  
  International Perspectives on High Quality Research: Quality and Quantity...... 16  
    Criteria for measuring quality of research ....................................................... 18  
      *Limitations of the hierarchy* ............................................................................. 19  
      *Limitations of RCTs in the field of educational psychology* ......................... 21  
      *Criteria for determining EBP in other professions* .................................... 22  
      *Critiques of criteria processes* ..................................................................... 23  
  Educational Research ............................................................................................. 24  
    Descriptive and experimental research .............................................................. 26  
    Quasi-experimental research .............................................................................. 27  
    Evidence-based practice summary ...................................................................... 27  
  New Zealand Ministry of Education Principles and Practices ............................. 28  

iv
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congruent Practices</th>
<th>Theory of behaviour</th>
<th>Collaborative and coordinated</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>MOE principles and service pathway</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Relationships</th>
<th>Treatment integrity</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>Incongruent with MOE Practices</th>
<th>Use of the term collaborative</th>
<th>Criteria for MOE Behaviour Service</th>
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<th>Theory of behaviour</th>
<th>Referral and informed consent</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Cultural relevance</th>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tbody>
</table>
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</tbody>
</table>
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<thead>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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