

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The effect of estrogen and progesterone on sex  
differences in susceptibility to noise induced hearing  
loss.**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Occupational Health and Safety

at Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand.

Renee Adele Hislop  
2013

## **Abstract**

There is some evidence suggesting that males and females differ in susceptibility to noise induced hearing loss (NIHL): that is, they differ in NIHL magnitude even when exposed to the exact same noise exposure (1, 2), and that this may be related to the effects of circulating levels of the female sex hormones estrogen and progesterone on the cochlear response to noise (3-8). The main objective of this research was to determine what effect estrogen and progesterone levels had on sex differences in susceptibility to human temporary threshold shift (TTS) and otoacoustic emission (OAE) shift. A secondary objective was to determine whether estrogen and progesterone levels impacted on the prediction of susceptibility to NIHL using measures of auditory physiology: OAE amplitude, efferent suppression magnitude and 4 kHz pure tone audiometry thresholds. Additionally, it was determined whether the female sex hormones acted to influence susceptibility to NIHL via their effect on these measures of auditory physiology or whether hormones acted independently of these effects to influence susceptibility to NIHL.

25 female and 21 male participants aged 18-35 were exposed to a 3 kHz, continuous, pure-tone noise exposure at 100 dB  $L_{Aeq}$  for 15 minutes in their right ear. This exposure provided the equivalent energy to an eight-hour continuous A-weighted sound pressure level,  $L_{Aeq,8h}$  of 85 dB. To address the main objective TTS, OAE shift and recovery from TTS and OAE shift were compared in males and females. Serum levels of estrogen and progesterone were measured in female participants and correlations were made between these levels and TTS and OAE shift data. To address the second objective correlations were calculated between auditory physiology measures, TTS and OAE shift for males and females as well as between the sex hormones and auditory physiology measures. Additionally, linear regression models were produced to assess the mediating role of the auditory physiology measures on the relationship between hormones TTS and OAE shift.

This research found no difference between males and the entire group of females in susceptibility to TTS, OAE shift or recovery from OAE shift, although females had a slower recovery from TTS. However, when circulating levels of estrogen and progesterone levels were accounted for a sex difference in TTS was apparent. This

difference was driven by a large, significant, negative correlation between progesterone levels and TTS, whereas estrogen had no significant correlation with TTS or OAE shift. However, estrogen mediated different aspects of auditory physiology, whereas progesterone did not. There was no interaction between the effects of estrogen and progesterone on TTS or OAE shift. Additionally, there was a mediating role of some aspects of auditory function on the effects of estrogen on TTS and to a greater degree on OAE shift. However, estrogen itself only had a small non-significant impact on TTS and OAE shift so this suggests that the impact of auditory function and hormones on TTS and OAE shift are independent.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to firstly thank all of my participants. Without all their time and effort there would be no data.

Thank you to my supervisor Ian Laird for all your advice and support over the years.

Thank you to David Welch for your statistical advice as well as your on-going support of many kinds.

Thank you to my other friends and family for listening and supporting me throughout the process.

Thank you to my audiology department and management at GLCC: Andrea Kelly and Sarah Daye, for allowing me to use your facilities and equipment to perform my research as well as providing me with support and advice.

This research was supported by funding through the grant HRC 08-606 Prevention of NIHL, a joint initiative by the ACC, Department of Labour and the Health Research Council of NZ.

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Literature Review .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Background .....</b>	<b>6</b>
The basis of sex differences.....	6
Estrogen and progesterone .....	7
The menstrual cycle.....	9
Structure and function of the peripheral auditory system.....	10
Otoacoustic Emissions .....	13
Cochlear noise injury and hearing loss.....	16
Permanent threshold shift .....	17
Effects of noise on cochlear morphology.....	17
Mechanisms of damage.....	18
Temporary threshold shift.....	20
<b>Sex differences in susceptibility to NIHL .....</b>	<b>24</b>
Summary and conclusion .....	27
<b>The role of activational effects of estrogen and progesterone on susceptibility to NIHL.....</b>	<b>30</b>
The mechanisms by which estrogen and progesterone affect susceptibility to NIHL.....	34
Summary and conclusion .....	38
<b>Alternative explanations for sex differences in susceptibility to NIHL.....</b>	<b>39</b>
Organisational effects on auditory structures.....	39
The effect on susceptibility to NIHL.....	41
<b>The impact of activational effects of sex hormones on the prediction of susceptibility to NIHL.....</b>	<b>44</b>
Background .....	44
Auditory predictors of NIHL.....	45

Sex differences in the measures of auditory physiology that predict NIHL .....	48
The role of activational hormonal effects on sex differences in the measures of auditory physiology that predict NIHL .....	49
Do sex hormones act to effect susceptibility to NIHL via their effect on measures of auditory physiology or do they or act independently of these effects to effect susceptibility to NIHL? .....	52
Summary and conclusion .....	53
<b>Summary and conclusion of literature review .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Aims .....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Methods .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Overview .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Facilities .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Participant recruitment .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Participants .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Part 1 .....</b>	<b>61</b>
Noise Exposure .....	61
The transformation of dBHL values to reSPL values using the CDD+RECD transform .....	62
Coupler to dial difference .....	62
Real ear to coupler difference .....	63
TTS and TEOAE shift protocol .....	64
PTA measurement .....	67
TEOAEs .....	67
Calculating TTS and TEOAE shift .....	68
Blood test procedures .....	69
<b>Part 2 .....</b>	<b>71</b>
Efferent suppression .....	71
Session three .....	73
<b>Data analysis .....</b>	<b>74</b>
General auditory function .....	74
Differences between males and females in TTS, OAE shift and recovery .....	74
Association between estrogen, progesterone and TTS, OAE shift and recovery .....	75
The association of auditory physiology measures with TTS and OAE shift in males and females .....	76
The association of auditory physiology measures with estrogen and progesterone ..	76
Linear regression models .....	77

<b>Ethical approval</b> .....	<b>78</b>
<b>Results</b> .....	<b>79</b>
<b>Baseline auditory function</b> .....	<b>79</b>
<b>Aim 1: To determine whether males and females differed in TTS and TEOAE shift and recovery from TTS and TEOAE shift after exposure to a 3kHz pure-tone, 100 dB LAeq, 15 minute, noise exposure</b> .....	<b>80</b>
Pre-exposure 4 kHz thresholds.....	80
TTS 1 .....	82
TTS recovery.....	82
Pre-exposure 4 kHz TEOAE amplitude .....	84
OAE shift 1 .....	86
OAE shift recovery.....	87
<b>Aim 2: To determine whether there was an association between estrogen and progesterone levels and TTS and TEOAE shift in female participants and whether males, OC females and females in the early-follicular, late-follicular and luteal phases differed in TTS and TEOAE shift</b> .....	<b>88</b>
Hormone group differences in TTS 1 and OAE shift 1.....	88
TTS 1 .....	88
OAE shift 1 .....	89
Bivariate analyses of the relationship between estrogen, progesterone, TTS and OAE shift.....	90
Estrogen.....	90
Progesterone .....	90
Hormone group differences in recovery from TTS and OAE shift.....	91
TTS recovery .....	91
OAE shift recovery.....	92
<b>Aim 3: To determine whether the associations between auditory function measures (TEOAE amplitude, efferent suppression and 4 kHz threshold) and TTS and TEOAE shift differed in males and females</b> .....	<b>93</b>
Does efferent suppression predict TTS 1 and OAE shift 1?.....	93
TTS 1 .....	93
Females .....	93
Males .....	94
OAE shift 1 .....	94
Females .....	94
Males .....	95
Does 4 kHz OAE amplitude predict TTS 1 and OAE shift 1?.....	96



TTS 1 .....	96
Female .....	96
Male .....	96
OAE shift 1 .....	97
Female .....	97
Male .....	99
Does 4 kHz PTA threshold predict TTS 1 and OAE shift 1? .....	99
TTS 1 .....	99
Female .....	99
Male .....	99
OAE shift 1 .....	100
Female .....	100
Male .....	100
<b>Aim 4: To determine whether there was an association between estrogen and progesterone levels and auditory function measures in female participants .....</b>	<b>102</b>
Efferent suppression .....	102
Estrogen.....	102
Progesterone .....	102
Hormone group differences in efferent suppression magnitude.....	103
4 kHz TEOAE amplitude.....	104
Estrogen.....	104
Progesterone .....	106
Hormone group differences in TEOAE amplitude .....	107
4 kHz threshold.....	107
Estrogen.....	107
Progesterone .....	108
Hormone group differences in 4 kHz threshold .....	108
<b>Aim 5: To determine whether estrogen and progesterone effected susceptibility to TTS and TEOAE shift through their effects on the auditory function measures or whether they acted independently to effect TTS and TEOAE shift.....</b>	<b>109</b>
TTS.....	110
OAE shift.....	111
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Summary of results.....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Aim 1: To determine whether males and females differed in TTS and TEOAE shift and recovery from TTS and TEOAE shift after exposure to a 3kHz pure-tone, 100 dB LAeq, 15 minute noise exposure. ....</b>	<b>116</b>

<b>Aim 2: To determine whether there was an association between estrogen and progesterone levels and TTS and TEOAE shift in female participants and whether males, OC females and females in the early-follicular, late-follicular and luteal phases differed in TTS and TEOAE shift.....</b>	<b>118</b>
Progesterone effects.....	121
Oral contraception and TTS.....	122
Differences in the effect of hormones on OAE shift and TTS.....	123
<b>Aim 3: To determine whether the associations between auditory function measures (TEOAE amplitude, efferent suppression and 4 kHz threshold) and TTS and TEOAE shift differed in males and females.....</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Aim 4: To determine whether there was an association between estrogen and progesterone levels and auditory function measures in female participants.....</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>Aim 5: To determine whether estrogen and progesterone effected susceptibility to TTS and TEOAE shift through their effects on the auditory function measures or whether they acted independently to effect TTS and TEOAE shift.....</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Potential limitations .....</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>The use of a TTS model in humans.....</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Conclusions and implications .....</b>	<b>132</b>
NIHL mechanisms.....	133
Measurement of susceptibility to NIHL in the workplace. ....	135
Suggestions for future research.....	136
Conclusions .....	138
<b>Glossary of terms.....</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Pre-selection questions.....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Coupler to dial difference.....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Estrogen and progesterone levels and hormone group classification .....</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>Appendix 4: Participant’s contraception types .....</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>150</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Guidelines for the investigation of the origin of sex differences in NIHL. Modified from (24), pg.1652. ....	3
Figure 2: The three known causes of sex differences. From (54).....	7
Figure 3: Illustration of the genomic and non-genomic pathways of estrogen and progesterone action. From (56). ....	8
Figure 4: Illustration of the pattern of hormone changes during the female menstrual cycle. From (24).....	9
Figure 5: Illustration of the general organisation and components of the human peripheral auditory system. From (61). The outer ear consists of the pinna, external auditory meatus (ear canal) and tympanic membrane (ear drum). The middle ear contains the ossicles: the malleus, incus and stapes. The inner ear contains the vestibular apparatus and the cochlea. The auditory nerve inserts into the cochlea and transmits information to the central auditory system.....	10
Figure 6A: Illustration of the major structures and organisation of the cochlea. From (61). The scala media contains the organ of Corti which is illustrated in more detail in Figure 6B as well as the stria vascularis and spiral ligament of the lateral wall.....	11
Figure 7: Illustration of the blood vessels supplying the cochlea. From (72).....	14
Figure 8: Potential pathways of NIHL. Adapted from (97). ....	19
Figure 9: A – Control image from the CBA/Cal mouse showing the outer space of Nuel (illustrated by asterisks). B – reversible collapse of the outer space of Nuel 24 hours after a large TTS near the threshold of reversibility (~ 50 dB). From (10).....	22
Figure 10: Representation of the contraction of the organ of Corti in response to noise exposure. The circles represent the Hensen’s cells lipid droplets. The green circles represent the position of the Hensen’s cells before noise exposure. The red circles represent their position after noise exposure. HeC, Hensen’s cells. OHC1-OHC3, D1-D3, Deiter’s cells rows 1-3 (109).....	22
Figure 11: Example of a hearing loss risk matrix. From (51).....	56
Figure 12: Illustration of the dB HL to reSPL transform showing the RECD and CDD acoustic transforms. The values are illustrative only. Adapted from (198). ....	62

Figure 13: OAE and PTA schedule for session 1 (baseline session) and session 2 (noise exposure session).....	65
Figure 14: Initial OAE and PTA test schedule (noise exposure session).....	66
Figure 15: Session two efferent suppression protocol. TEOAEs are recorded in the right ear and the efferent stimulus is presented to the left ear. ....	71
Figure 16: Schematic showing the pathway of suppression of right-sided OAEs by the suppressor noise presented to the left ear. AN = auditory nerve, CN = cochlear nucleus, of the stages involved in the contralateral efferent pathway as measured in the right ear. Adapted from (74). ....	72
Figure 17: Male and female PTA thresholds (5 dB step-size). ....	79
Figure 18: Individual 4 kHz pre-exposure thresholds at the 32 baseline measurement times (measurements 30, 31 and 32 occurred at the beginning of session 2) for males (bottom) and females (top). ....	81
Figure 19: Male and female 4 kHz pre-exposure thresholds at the 32 baseline measurement times (measurements 30, 31 and 32 occurred at the beginning of session 2).....	81
Figure 20: TTS 1 in males and females.....	82
Figure 21: Individual TTS recovery curves between 1 minute and 46 minutes post- exposure for males and (bottom) and females (top). Scale is in seconds.....	83
Figure 22: TTS recovery between 1 minute and 46 minutes post-exposure for males and females.....	84
Figure 23: Individual 4 kHz pre-exposure TEOAE amplitudes for males and females. ....	85
Figure 24: Pre-exposure 4 kHz OAE amplitude for the 12 pre-exposure measurements. Measurements 10, 11 and 12 are from session two.....	86
Figure 25: OAE shift 1 in males and females.....	86
Figure 26: Individual OAE shift recovery curves for males (bottom) and females (top).....	87
Figure 27: 4 kHz OAE shift from 5 minutes post-exposure to 34 minutes 20 seconds post exposure.....	88
Figure 28: TTS 1 in the late follicular, early-follicular, luteal, OC and male groups. There were significant differences between the luteal group and the late- follicular and male groups.....	89

Figure 29: OAE Shift 1 in the late follicular, early-follicular, luteal, OC and male groups.....	89
Figure 30: Scatterplot of progesterone level (nmol/l) and TTS 1. Progesterone data has been logged.....	90
Figure 31: Scatterplot of progesterone level (nmol/l) and OAE shift 1. Progesterone data has been logged. ....	90
Figure 32: TTS recovery from 1 minute post exposure to 30 minutes 20 seconds post exposure. ....	91
Figure 33: OAE shift collapsed across the six post-exposure measurements in the late follicular, early-follicular, luteal, OC and male groups.....	92
Figure 34: Scatterplot of the relationship between 65 dB efferent suppression magnitude and TTS. ....	94
Figure 35: Scatterplots of A) 60 dB efferent suppression amplitude and OAE shift 1 and B) 65 dB efferent suppression amplitude.....	95
Figure 36: Scatterplot of the relationship between 4 kHz 70 dB amplitude and TTS 1. ....	96
Figure 37: Scatterplot of the relationship between A) 4 kHz 75 dB and B) 4 kHz 80 dB amplitude and TTS 1. ....	97
Figure 38: Scatterplot showing the relationship between A) 4 kHz 60 dB and B) 65 dB 4 kHz OAE amplitude and OAE shift 1.....	98
Figure 39: Scatterplot showing the relationship between 70 dB 4 kHz OAE amplitude and OAE shift 1.....	99
Figure 40: Scatterplot of the relationship between 4 kHz threshold and TTS 1....	100
Figure 41: Scatterplot of the relationship between estrogen and 75 dB efferent suppression magnitude. ....	102
Figure 42: Efferent suppression magnitude for the different hormone groups for TEOAE stimulus intensities from 60-80 dB.....	103
Figure 43: Efferent suppression magnitude for males and females for TEOAE stimulus intensities from 60-80 dB. ....	104
Figure 44: Scatterplot of the relationship between estrogen and A) 65 dB and B) 75 dB TEOAE amplitude. ....	105
Figure 45: Scatterplot of the relationship between estrogen levels and A) 60 dB B) 70 dB and C) 80 dB 4 kHz OAE amplitude.....	106

Figure 46: 4 kHz TEOAE amplitudes (70 and 80 dB) for the hormone groups.....	107
Figure 47: Scatterplot of the relationship between estrogen levels and 4 kHz threshold. ....	108

## List of Tables

Table 1: Range of estrogen levels during the different phases of the menstrual cycle (pmol/l). F = follicular and L = luteal. From (124).....	70
Table 2: Range of progesterone levels during the different phases of the menstrual cycle (nmol/l). F = follicular and L = luteal. From (124).....	70
Table 3: Participant numbers for the linear regression models.....	77
Table 4: Summary of the r, p and N values for the bivariate analyses between efferent suppression magnitude, TEOAE amplitude and 4 kHz threshold with TTS and OAE shift in males and females. Significant associations are highlighted in pink, marginally significant associations (< 0.150) are highlighted in blue..	101
Table 5: Summary of the r, p and N values for the bivariate analyses between estrogen, progesterone and efferent suppression magnitude, TEOAE amplitude and 4 kHz threshold. Significant associations are highlighted in pink, marginally significant associations (< 0.150) are highlighted in blue.....	109
Table 6: Summary of data from the linear regression models to assess the role of auditory measures on mediating the relationship between hormones on TTS and OAE shift. Each model had three levels: the first was the effect of estrogen alone on TTS and OAE shift. The second looked at the effect of estrogen and progesterone together on TTS and OAE shift and the third looked at the effect of estrogen and progesterone as well as the auditory measure on TTS and OAE shift .....	113