

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE  
FEASIBILITY OF THE  
INTRAPERITONEAL ROUTE FOR  
PROVISION OF NUTRITIONAL  
SUPPORT TO COMPANION ANIMALS**

A thesis prepared in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Veterinary Science

Massey University 2000

Linda Barter BVSc BSc(vet) MACVSc

---

## ABSTRACT

---

Poor nutrition is associated with alterations in metabolism and intracellular enzyme activities, defects in the function of multiple body systems and impaired wound healing. Enteral nutrition is preferred over parenteral nutrition in most circumstances. A proportion of patients, however, are not suitable to receive nutrition via the enteral route and require parenteral nutritional support. Unfortunately, the use of intravenous parenteral nutrition is largely confined to referral institutions because of expense, potential complications and lack of 24-hour care facilities. A number of reports in the scientific literature suggest conventional parenteral nutrition solutions are well absorbed after delivery into the peritoneal cavity. This route of nutritional support may provide an alternative to currently available intravenous parenteral nutrition.

The peritoneum is composed of a single layer of mesothelial cells built upon a basal lamina and underlying connective tissue. Within the connective tissue layer is a complex network of lymphatics and blood vessels. Mesothelial cells are biologically active, playing roles in local immune response, host defence and locally regulating inflammation and vascular tone. The large surface area of the peritoneum has huge absorptive potential. Water and solutes are able to traverse the mesothelial cell layer, travel through the interstitium and into the semi-permeable capillary network or lymphatics. Macromolecules are absorbed through dilated mesothelial intercellular spaces or 'stomata' and enter underlying lymphatic channels. These transport properties of the peritoneum have been exploited for many years in the technique of peritoneal dialysis and are the basis of intraperitoneal nutrition.

Amino acids, glucose and lipid solutions have been demonstrated to be absorbed after intraperitoneal administration in rats, rabbits, dogs and people. Experimentally, intraperitoneally delivered nutrient solutions have been used to provide nutritional support for dogs and rabbits for periods up to 30 days. Side effects of intraperitoneal nutrition include fluid shifts into the peritoneal cavity and septic peritonitis. Careful control of

volume and osmolality of the fluid infused and implementation of sterile technique should limit the occurrence of these problems. Current literature would suggest intraperitoneal nutrition is a practical and effective means by which to provide nutritional support.

Originally used as a tool to detect intra-abdominal haemorrhage, diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL) is now used as an aid in the diagnosis of a wide variety of medical and surgical conditions of the abdomen. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage is reported to be highly sensitive in the detection of septic peritonitis. The technique of DPL would be easily combined with intraperitoneal nutrient instillation and may provide a useful means of monitoring the peritoneal cavity for potential sepsis during intraperitoneal nutrition.

Two original studies are reported in this work. The first describes the suitability of glucose, amino acid and lipid solutions for instillation into the peritoneal cavity of the cat. Two cats, one who received intraperitoneal amino acids and the other intraperitoneal glucose, developed clinical signs attributable to hypovolaemic shock. Another two cats received two doses of intraperitoneal lipids 24 hours apart and developed clinical signs consistent with peritonitis: abdominal pain, pyrexia and vomiting. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage performed two days after the second intraperitoneal lipid infusion in these cats returned fluid with elevated total nucleated cells counts, an increased proportion of neutrophils and no evidence of infectious organisms. Aerobic and anaerobic culture of DPL fluid grew no bacteria. These findings suggest that the lipid solution used in this study incited a clinically apparent, sterile peritonitis in these two cats.

The second study was undertaken to investigate the effects, in a different species, of intraperitoneal administration of the same lipid solution used in the feline pilot study. Five rats received three doses of intraperitoneal lipid 24 hours apart and another five rats intraperitoneal saline infusions. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage, post mortem and histological examination of peritoneum and selected intra-abdominal organs was performed. No clinical signs of peritonitis were detected in any rat. Lipid treated rats, however, displayed cytological and histological evidence of peritoneal inflammation.

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

Thanks to McGaw Biomed for their assistance in formulating and packaging the intraperitoneal nutrient solutions. I'd also like to thank the Animal Health Services Centre of Massey University and staff from the Rat Research Facility of the Crop and Food Division of AgResearch for their co-operation.

I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Grant Guilford, Frazer Allan and Roz Machon for their advice and guidance. Particular thanks to Frazer for his support, assistance in preparation of this thesis and much appreciated enthusiasm.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to Phillip Clarke, Rebecca Allan, Roz Power and Sheryl Bayliss for their patience and assistance as I learnt to process clinical pathology samples. Wendi Roe provided me with a pathologists view-point of rat peritoneal histology for which I am also very appreciative. Additional thanks to Rebecca and Wendi for their photographic skills.

---

---

## CONTENTS

---

---

|  | <b>Page No.</b>  |
|--|------------------|
| <b>Abstract</b>  | ..... <i>i</i>   |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>  | ..... <i>iii</i> |
| <b>Contents</b>  | ..... <i>iv</i>  |
| <b>List of Figures</b>   | ..... <i>vi</i>  |
| <b>List of Tables</b>  | ..... <i>vii</i> |
| <br>   |                  |
| <b>Chapter 1: General Introduction</b>   | ..... 1          |
| <br>   |                  |
| <b>Chapter 2: Anatomy and Physiology of the Peritoneal Cavity</b>                        |                  |
| 2.1 Anatomy of the peritoneal cavity   | ..... 10         |
| 2.2 Peritoneal physiology  | ..... 14         |
| 2.3 Peritoneal transport   | ..... 15         |
| <br>   |                  |
| <b>Chapter 3: Intraperitoneal Nutrition: A review of the Literature</b>                  |                  |
| 3.1 Introduction   | ..... 23         |
| 3.2 Absorption of intraperitoneally administered glucose                                 | ..... 23         |
| 3.3 Absorption of intraperitoneally administered amino acids                             | ..... 25         |
| 3.4 Absorption of intraperitoneally administered lipids                                  | ..... 26         |
| 3.5 Experimental attempts at nutritional support via the peritoneal<br>cavity in animals | ..... 30         |
| 3.6 Measurable effects of intraperitoneal nutrition on patients                          | ..... 34         |
| 3.7 Safety and possible complications of intraperitoneal nutrition                       | ..... 38         |
| 3.8 Clinical use of intraperitoneal nutrition  | ..... 41         |

|  | <b>Page No.</b> |
|--|-----------------|
| <b>Chapter 4: Diagnostic Peritoneal Lavage: A Review</b>   |                 |
| 4.1 Introduction   | ..... 46        |
| 4.2 History of development and techniques  | ..... 46        |
| 4.3 Indications and limitations of diagnostic peritoneal lavage  | ..... 48        |
| 4.4 Interpretation of results  | ..... 50        |
| 4.5 Complications of diagnostic peritoneal lavage  | ..... 57        |
| <b>Chapter 5: Intraperitoneal Nutrient Delivery in the Cat: A Pilot Study</b>  |                 |
| 5.1 Introduction   | ..... 62        |
| 5.2 Materials and methods  | ..... 63        |
| 5.3 Results  | ..... 69        |
| 5.4 Discussion   | ..... 75        |
| <b>Chapter 6: Cytological and Histological Evaluation of the Rat<br/>Peritoneal Cavity after three days of Intraperitoneal Lipid Infusions</b> |                 |
| 6.1 Introduction   | ..... 86        |
| 6.2 Materials and methods  | ..... 87        |
| 6.3 Results  | ..... 93        |
| 6.4 Discussion   | ..... 103       |
| <b>Chapter 7: General Conclusion</b>   | ..... 111       |
| <b>Appendix I</b>  | ..... 117       |

| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>  | <b>Page No.</b> |
|---|-----------------|
| <b>Figure 2.1:</b> Major elements in the peritoneal transport pathway   | ..... 16        |
| <b>Figure 2.2:</b> The three pore theory for capillary membrane transport   | ..... 20        |
| <b>Figure 5.1:</b> Schematic representation of planned experimental protocol  | ..... 64        |
| <b>Figure 5.2:</b> Schematic representation of actual experimental protocol   | ..... 69        |
| <b>Figure 6.1:</b> Planned experimental protocol  | ..... 89        |
| <b>Figure 6.2:</b> Interpretation of pain assessment scores   | ..... 91        |
| <b>Figure 6.3:</b> Daily food intake, mean and standard error, for<br>the five rats in each treatment group   | ..... 93        |
| <b>Figure 6.4:</b> Body weight, mean and standard error, for the<br>five rats in each treatment group   | ..... 94        |
| <b>Figure 6.5:</b> Photomicrograph Diff-Quik® stained cytospin preparation<br>Day 9 DPL demonstrating typical murine eosinophils and<br>mononuclear cells | ..... 96        |
| <b>Figure 6.6:</b> Photomicrograph Diff-Quik® stained cytospin preparation<br>Day 9 DPL demonstrating typical cytology, saline treated rat                | ..... 97        |
| <b>Figure 6.7:</b> Photomicrograph Diff-Quik® stained cytospin preparation<br>Day 9 DPL demonstrating typical cytology, lipid treated rat                 | ..... 97        |
| <b>Figure 6.8:</b> Photomicrograph Diff-Quik® stained cytospin preparation<br>Day 9 DPL lipid engorged mononuclear cell                                   | ..... 98        |
| <b>Figure 6.9:</b> Photomicrograph Sudan-III stained cytospin preparation<br>Day 9 DPL demonstrating lipid phagocytosis                                   | ..... 98        |
| <b>Figure 6.10:</b> Photomicrograph rat diaphragm H & E stained,<br>saline treated rat  | ..... 101       |
| <b>Figure 6.11:</b> Photomicrograph rat diaphragm H & E stained,<br>lipid treated rat   | ..... 102       |

**LIST OF TABLES****Page No.**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Table 3.1:</b> Intraperitoneal lipid absorption studies in animals: A summary .....                              | 27  |
| <b>Table 4.1:</b> Assessment of fluid obtained from diagnostic peritoneal lavage: A summary of the literature ..... | 56  |
| <b>Table 5.1:</b> Nutrient solution specifications .....  | 65  |
| <b>Table 5.2:</b> Diagnostic peritoneal lavage results from Cats 3 and 4, Day 4 .....                               | 74  |
| <b>Table 6.1:</b> Diagnostic peritoneal lavage results Day 5 .....  | 95  |
| <b>Table 6.2:</b> Diagnostic peritoneal lavage results Day 9 .....  | 96  |
| <b>Table 6.3:</b> Bacterial culture results from Day 9 DPL fluid .....  | 99  |
| <b>Table 6.4:</b> Pain assessment scores for each treatment group throughout the experimental period .....          | 100 |