

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A COMPARISON OF HAEMATOLOGY ANALYSERS.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment (30%) of the requirements for
the degree of
Master of Veterinary Science
at Massey University

Fiona Joy Sharp
1996

ABSTRACT

There has been a rapid development in haematology analysers over the last decade. As a result, veterinarians in clinical practice are faced with a number of options when it comes to laboratory services. Choices include using an in-clinic analyser, making use of government and private veterinary diagnostic laboratories, or private medical and hospital laboratories.

Fundamental problems exist with using animal blood on analysers designed for human blood. Erythrocytes from some animals are much smaller than those of humans and may be confused with platelets. Furthermore there are species differences with regards to both total white cell count and the proportions of the different leucocytes.

In this study a widely used veterinary haematology analyser (ABX Minos Vet) was compared with two medical analysers (Technicon H1 and the Coulter VCS) using blood from cats and dogs with normal and abnormal haemograms. Also included in the comparison were the Automated and Manual QBC-V analysers which are being marketed to Veterinarians for in-clinic use.

The values obtained by all analysers were in close agreement when estimating the packed cell volume of both cats and dogs. Total white cell counts in dogs were also relatively consistent across all analysers, but in cats there was considerable variation in estimates of total white cell count between analysers and when compared with manual estimation using a haemocytometer. This variation highlights the difficulty in obtaining accurate total white cell counts in cats, probably due to interference by clumping of platelets.

Platelet counts obtained by the ABX Minos Vet in dogs correlated well with those counts obtained by both medical analysers but not with the QBC-V analysers. In cats, there was poor correlation of platelet counts between all analysers thus emphasising the problems caused by platelet clumping in this species. The total platelet counts in cats, and to a lesser extent in dogs,

should not therefore be interpreted rigidly and should be checked by visual appraisal of blood smears.

Measurements obtained by the Automated QBC-V most closely correlated with those of the ABX Minos Vet rather than with the Manual QBC-V, suggesting that it is capable of providing more accurate results.

A further study was carried out to determine the effects of time on blood parameters as, in a normal clinical setting there can be considerable variation in the time elapsing between collection of the blood sample and its analysis.

Blood from five cats and five dogs was tested on the three specially adapted veterinary haematology analysers (both QBC-V models and the ABX Minos Vet), over a 24 hour period.

The packed cell volumes in both dogs and cats remained consistent over this time period.

The platelet counts in four of the five cats dropped into the thrombocytopenic range at either two or four hours post collection, on all of the analysers. This coincided with a peak in the white cell count observed on the ABX Minos Vet. It is likely that aggregated platelets were being recognised as white cells by the ABX Minos Vet. These results suggest that measurement of the total platelets and white cell counts in cats at two and four hours after blood collection may be less reliable than measurements made either immediately after collection or later than four hours.

In dogs, the total platelet counts and white cell counts were relatively consistent over the 24 hour period and any variation encountered would not have altered the interpretation of the results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

Many thanks are due to Dr Keith Thompson who encouraged me to persevere with this project, showed amazing patience and was a great support throughout it.

Thanks also to Professor Colin Wilks for allowing me to undertake this study in the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health, and to Associate Professor Boyd Jones and Dr Grant Guilford for allowing me access to their clinical cases.

Gratitude is due to the staff of the Clinical Pathology Lab, at Massey University. In particular to Roz Power who helped me in the tedious task of entering data and encouraged me throughout the numerous manual differentials and white cell counts, Sheryl Bayliss for rescuing me from numerous computer glitches and Jenni Donald who helped with the finer points of thesis writing. Thanks to fellow post-graduate John Mundy for his warped sense of humour and generosity in sharing the limited computer facilities. Thanks also to Peter Wildbore for liaising with the haematology companies for me.

Recognition needs to go to Frazer Allan who helped greatly with my statistics and is responsible for the production of the graphs in chapter three.

Special thanks to Eric Kells and Steve Johnson for allowing me to use their haematology analysers at the Palmerston North Hospital and the private medical laboratory in Palmerston North respectively.

Thanks to Associate Professor Michael Tartellin for allowing me to include some of the cats from the feline nutrition unit in my study.

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the support of my family who assisted me financially and in so many other ways over the last two years.

Finally to Vincent, for always being there.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	viii
I. General Introduction	1
II. Review of Haematology Analysers	4
2.0 Introduction	4
2.1 QBC-V Analyser	4
2.2 Coulter VCS Analyser	9
2.3 Technicon H1 Analyser	12
2.4 ABX Minos Vet Analyser	17
III. Comparative Study of Haematology Analysers	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Materials and Methods	19
3.2.1 Animals Used	19
3.2.2 Specimen Collection	19
3.2.3 Haematology Analysers	20
3.2.4 Materials and Methods	20
3.2.5 Statistical Analysis	21
3.3 Results	22
3.3.1 PCV's in dogs and cats	22
3.3.2 WCC's in dogs and cats	27

3.3.3 Platelet counts in dogs and cats	31
3.3.4 Comparison of Differential WCC's	34
3.3.5 Comparison of QBC-V Analysers	34
3.4 Discussion	36
3.5 Conclusions	40
IV Time Course Study	42
4.1 Introduction	42
4.2 Materials and Methods	42
4.3 Results	43
4.3.1 Cats-PCV's	43
Platelet counts	45
WCC's	48
4.3.2 Dogs-PCV's	51
Platelet counts	53
WCC's	55
4.4 Discussion	57
4.5 Conclusions	59
V. Summary and Conclusions	60
VI. References	63

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1
Page 6 Quantitative buffy coat analysis tube of canine blood, copied from Levine et al (1986): Quantative buffy coat analysis of blood collected from dogs, cats and horses. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* **189**: 670-673.
- Figure 2
Page 8 Automated QBC-V analyser readout, copied from Knoll et al (1996): In-clinic analysis, quality control, reference values, and system selection. *Veterinary Clinics of North America* **26/5**: 981-1003.
- Figure 3
Page 11 Electrical impedance cell counting, copied from Corash and Handin et al (1995): Blood; Principles and Practice of Hematology pp 23-59.
- Figure 4
Page 11 Hydrodynamic focusing, copied from Corash and Handin et al (1995): Blood; Principles and Practice of Hematology pp 23-59.
- Figure 5
Page 13 Coulter VCS display, copied from Corash and Handin et al (1995): Blood; Principles and Practice of Hematology pp 23-59.
- Figure 6
Page 16 Platelet Histogram, copied from Simson et al (1995): Atlas of automated cytochemical hematology, Bayer Office Copy.
- Figure 7
Page 16 Results and histogram display results from the Technicon H1, copied from Corash and Handin et al (1995): Blood; Principles and Practice of Hematology pp 23-59.
- Figure 8
Page 22 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Coulter VCS for packed cell volumes in dogs.
- Figure 9
Page 23 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Automated QBC-V for packed cell volumes in dogs.
- Figure 10
Page 24 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Technicon H1 for packed cell volume in cats.
- Figure 11
Page 25 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Manual QBC-V for packed cell volumes in cats.
- Figure 12
Page 26 Scattergram and line of best fit for the Automated QBC-V versus the Manual QBC-V for packed cell volumes in cats.
- Figure 13
Page 27 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Coulter VCS for white cell counts in dogs.

- Figure 14 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Manual
Page 28 QBC-V for white cell counts in cats.
- Figure 15 Scattergram and line of best fit for the Manual QBC-V versus the Automated
Page 28 QBC-V for white cell counts in dogs.
- Figure 16 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Manual
Page 29 QBC-V for white cell counts in cats.
- Figure 17 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the manual
Page 30 white cell count in cats.
- Figure 18 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Technicon
Page 31 H1 for platelet counts in dogs.
- Figure 19 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Automated
Page 31 QBC-V for platelet counts in dogs.
- Figure 20 Scattergram and line of best fit for the ABX Minos Vet versus the Coulter
Page 32 VCS for platelet counts in cats.
- Figure 21 Scattergram and line of best fit for the Manual versus the Automated QBC-V
Page 33 for platelet counts in cats.
- Figure 22 Line graph for the Manual QBC-V versus time for packed cell volumes in cats.
Page 44
- Figure 23 Line graph for the Automated QBC-V versus time for packed cell volumes
Page 44 in cats.
- Figure 24 Line graph for the ABX Minos vet versus time for packed cell volumes in cats.
Page 45
- Figure 25 Line graph for the Manual QBC-V versus time for platelet counts in cats.
Page 46
- Figure 26 Line graph for the Automated QBC-V versus time for platelet counts in cats.
Page 47
- Figure 27 Line graph for the ABX Minos Vet versus time for platelet counts in cats.
Page 47
- Figure 28 Line graph for the Manual QBC-V versus time for white cell counts in cats.
Page 48
- Figure 29 Line graph for the Automated QBC-V versus time for white cell counts in
Page 49 cats.

- Figure 30 Line graph for the ABX Minos Vet versus time for white cell counts in cats.
Page 50
- Figure 31 Line graph for the Manual QBC-V versus time for packed cell volumes in dogs.
Page 51
- Figure 32 Line graph for the Automated QBC-V versus time for packed cell volumes in dogs.
Page 52
- Figure 33 Line graph for the ABX minus Vet versus time for packed cell volumes in dogs.
Page 52
- Figure 34 Line graph for the Manual QBC-V versus time for platelet counts in dogs.
Page 53
- Figure 35 Line graph for the Automated QBC-V versus time for platelet counts in dogs.
Page 54
- Figure 36 Line graph for the ABX Minos Vet versus time for platelet counts in dogs.
Page 55
- Figure 37 Line graph for the Manual QBC-V versus time for white cell counts in dogs.
Page 56
- Figure 38 Line graph for the Automated QBC-V versus time for white cell counts in dogs.
Page 56
- Figure 39 Line graph for the ABX Minos Vet versus time for white cell counts in dogs.
Page 57