

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A STUDY OF THE RESULTS OF AN EMBRYO TRANSFER PROGRAMME**

**CONDUCTED DURING TWO SEASONAL PERIODS**

**USING FIVE IMPORTED BREEDS OF SHEEP**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Agriculture Science  
in Animal Science  
at Massey University

**KENNETH BARRY GREANEY**

1991

## ABSTRACT

The overall objective of this trial was to compare embryo transfer results from two seasonal periods (out-of-season vs in-season) in order to determine the effect of the season on the different parameters of reproductive performance such as; the incidence of oestrus, ovarian response to exogenous gonadotrophins, fertilisation rates and the number of lambs born per donor ewe programmed and flushed. Five breeds of imported sheep (Danish Texel {DT}, Finnish Texel {FT}, Gotland Pelt {GOT}, Oxford Down {OXD}, and the White Headed Marsh {WHM}) consisting of two age groups (14-16 month-old and 26-28 month-old) in a commercial embryo transfer programme (LambXL, Manawatu) were used.

A total of 553 ewes out-of-season and 234 ewes in-season were studied. Two data sets were selected from these seasonal groups;

- (1) The random data set.
- (2) The repeat data set, which consisted of the same donor ewes in each seasonal group.

Oestrous synchronisation was attempted with a double CIDR-G regime and a super-ovulatory treatment consisting of an initial PMSG injection (200-300IU) and a series of six descending doses of FSH-P (total dose 24-36mg). The ewes were inseminated *intra-uterine* with fresh diluted semen from a ram of the same breed on the basis of oestrous detection. Embryo recovery was attempted on day 6.5-7 after oestrous detection using a laparoscope-aided uterine flush technique. Two embryos were transferred to each synchronised recipient ewe within two hours of recovery.

The incidence of oestrus for the out-of-season and in-season groups was 93.3% and 100%, for the random data set compared to 93.9% and 100% for the repeat data set, respectively. The ovulatory response to the super-ovulatory treatments

was significantly affected by the interaction of the breed and age of the donor in the random data set, but the repeat data set ovulation rate was not significantly affected by any of the variables recorded in this study. This interaction was attributable to the GOT breed having a higher ovulation rate in the older age group relative to the younger age group which was the reverse trend exhibited by the remaining breeds. However, there was an overall tendency for the out-of-season ovulation rate to be higher than that in-season, 7.64CL vs 6.60CL for the random data set and a difference (out-of-season - in-season) of +2.86CL was recorded for the repeat data set. The embryo recovery rates were 53.4% out-of-season and 53.5% in-season for the random data set and a difference of -0.7 percentage points was recorded for the repeat data set. The fertilisation rate was not significantly affected by the season with 75.5% out-of-season and 65.7% in-season from the random data set and a difference of -4.5 percentage points was recorded for the repeat data set. The yield of good quality transferable embryos was significantly affected by the season with 78.2% out-of-season and 83.7% in-season from the random data set but the repeat data set was not significantly affected by the season with a difference of -11.0 percentage points. The embryo survival rate to birth was not significantly different for the two seasonal periods with 66.3% out-of-season and 52.4% in-season of the embryos surviving to birth for the random data set and a difference of +9.7 percentage points was recorded for the repeat data set. This resulted in an average of 1.66 lambs born per donor ewe programmed out-of-season, which was not significantly different from 1.00 lambs born in-season for the random data set compared to a difference of +0.11 lambs born per donor ewe programmed in the repeat data set.

This work clearly demonstrates the inter-dependence of several factors affecting the number of lambs born per donor ewe in an embryo transfer programme. However it is concluded that out-of-season embryo transfer is as effective as that conducted in-season, under these embryo transfer conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my supervisor Dr M F McDonald.

My sincere gratitude goes to Dr H William Vivanco for his patience and efforts throughout the entire duration of this study. He taught me most of the technical skills required in embryo transfer procedures as well as the theoretical knowledge which enabled me to conduct the appropriate analysis and compile this thesis.

Thanks also must be given to the technical staff at LambXL for their direct assistance with the experimental work. The financial support given to me by LambXL is also gratefully acknowledged.

The assistance and helpful suggestions of Raymondo Rangel-Santos are acknowledged.

I am indebted to Dr Hugo Varela and Dr D J Garrick for statistical advice and extreme patience, especially in the latter case.

The helpful suggestions and support of the Animal Science Department are gratefully acknowledged.

Finally very special thanks to my family, in particular my fiance, Catherine, without whose support and encouragement this thesis would not have been possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
<b>CHAPTER ONE</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<u>INTRODUCTION</u> .....	2
<b>CHAPTER TWO</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<u>LITERATURE REVIEW</u> .....	5
2.1 Oestrous synchronisation .....	6
2.2 Number of ovulations .....	9
2.2.1 Physiology of the oestrous cycle and ovulation .....	9
2.2.2 The seasonality of reproduction .....	13
2.2.3 Manipulation of the number of ovulations using exogenous gonadotrophins .....	18
2.2.4 Out-of-season hormonal regimes .....	21
2.3 Recovery rate .....	23
2.3.1 Embryo development rate .....	24
Table 2.1 Embryo development rate .....	25
2.3.2 Day of flush .....	25
2.3.3 Number of ovulations .....	26
2.3.4 Embryo recovery technique .....	26
2.3.5 Number of previous flushes .....	27

- 2.3.6 Out-of-season embryo recovery . . . . . 27
- 2.4. Fertilisation rate . . . . . 29
  - 2.4.1 Artificial insemination technique . . . . . 29
  - 2.4.2 AI time relative to oestrus and progesterone removal . . . . . 30
  - 2.4.3 Semen processing . . . . . 32
- 2.5 The yield of good quality transferable embryos . . . . . 33
- 2.6 Embryo survival rate . . . . . 34
  - 2.6.1 Embryo quality and stage of development . . . 34
  - 2.6.2 Number of embryos transferred per recipient . 35
  - 2.6.3 Recipient synchrony treatment . . . . . 36
  - 2.6.4 Recipient synchrony . . . . . 36
  - 2.6.5 Site of transfer . . . . . 37
  - 2.6.6 Interval between collection and transfer . . . . . 38
  - 2.6.7 Number of corpora lutea present in the recipient . . . . . 38
  - 2.6.8 The effect of supplementary progesterone . . . 39
  - 2.6.9 Recipient breed . . . . . 41
  - 2.6.10 Recipient nutrition . . . . . 41

**CHAPTER THREE . . . . . 44**

**MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . . 44**

- 3.1 Experimental animals . . . . . 44
  - 3.1.1 Donor ewes . . . . . 44
  - Table 3.1 The number and age of the donor ewes . . . . . 45
  - Table 3.2 Body weights of the 14-16 month-old donor ewes . . . . . 45
  - 3.1.2 Rams . . . . . 46
  - Table 3.3 The numbers and ages of the rams used . . . . . 46
  - 3.1.3 Recipient ewes . . . . . 46
  - 3.1.4 Teaser rams . . . . . 47
- 3.2 Experimental plan . . . . . 47
  - Table 3.4 The numbers and ages of all of the donor ewes

programmed within regime and age groups . . . . .	48
Table 3.5 The number of times the donor ewes were programmed . . . . .	49
3.3 Synchronisation of oestrus . . . . .	50
3.4 Super-ovulatory hormonal regimes . . . . .	50
Table 3.6 Super-ovulatory hormonal regimes . . . . .	51
3.5 Oestrous detection . . . . .	51
3.6 Timing of insemination . . . . .	52
— Table 3.7 Timing of insemination . . . . .	52
3.7 Artificial insemination technique . . . . .	52
3.8 Embryo recovery technique . . . . .	53
3.9 Embryo classification . . . . .	54
Table 3.8 Embryo classifications . . . . .	54
3.10 Transfer to recipient . . . . .	54
3.11 Management of the recipient ewe after transfer . . . . .	55
3.12 Pregnancy testing and lambing . . . . .	55
3.13 Analysis of the data . . . . .	56
3.13.1 Incidence and time of oestrous detection . . . . .	58
3.13.2 Ovulation rate . . . . .	60
3.13.3 Recovery and fertilisation rates, and the yield of good quality transferable embryos . . . . .	61
3.13.4 Embryo survival to scanning or birth, and the number of lambs born per donor ewe programmed and flushed . . . . .	64
<b>CHAPTER FOUR . . . . .</b>	<b>67</b>
<u>RESULTS . . . . .</u>	<b>67</b>
4.1 Incidence and time of oestrous detection . . . . .	67
4.1.1 The incidence of oestrus . . . . .	67
Table 4.1.1 The effect of season on the incidence of oestrus for the random data set . . . . .	68
Table 4.1.2 The effect of season on the incidence of oestrus for the repeat data set . . . . .	68



4.1.2 The time of oestrous detection . . . . .	69
Table 4.1.3 The effect of season on the time of oestrous detection for the random data set . . . . .	69
Table 4.1.4 The effect of season on the time of oestrous detection for the repeat data set . . . . .	69
4.2 Ovulation rate . . . . .	71
Table 4.2.1 Overall ovulation rate for the two seasonal periods for the random data set . . . . .	72
Table 4.2.2 Ovulation rate for the donor breed by donor age interaction for the random data set . . . . .	72
Table 4.2.3 Ovulation rate within season and donor breed for the repeat data set . . . . .	72
4.3 Recovery rate . . . . .	73
Table 4.3.1 Recovery rate for the two seasonal periods for the random data set . . . . .	74
Table 4.3.2 The effect of the time of oestrous detection on the recovery rate for the random data set . . . . .	74
Table 4.3.3 The effect of donor surgeon on the recovery rate for the random data set . . . . .	74
Table 4.3.4 Recovery rate for the two seasonal periods for the repeat data set . . . . .	75
Table 4.3.5 The effect of donor surgeon on the recovery rate for the repeat data set . . . . .	75
4.4 Fertilisation rate . . . . .	76
Table 4.4.1 Fertilisation rate within season and breed for the random data set . . . . .	77
Table 4.4.2 The effect of interval from CIDR-G removal to oestrous detection on the fertilisation rate for the random data set . . . . .	77
Table 4.4.3 Fertilisation rate for the two seasonal periods for the repeat data set . . . . .	77
4.5 Embryo quality . . . . .	78
Table 4.5.1 The yield of good quality transferable embryos	

for each seasonal period for the random data set . . .	79
Table 4.5.2 The yield of good quality transferable embryos for each donor breed for the random data set . . . . .	79
Table 4.5.3 The effect of interval from CIDR-G removal to oestrous detection on the yield of good quality transferable embryos for the random data set . . . . .	79
Table 4.5.4 The yield of good quality transferable embryos the two seasonal periods for the repeat data set . . .	80
4.6 Survival of the embryos to day 50-55 after transfer . . .	81
Table 4.6.1 Embryo survival rate to scanning for the random data set . . . . .	82
Table 4.6.2 Embryo survival rate to scanning for the two seasons for the repeat data set . . . . .	82
4.7 Embryo survival to birth . . . . .	83
Table 4.7.1 Embryo survival rate to birth for the random data set . . . . .	84
Table 4.7.2 Embryo survival rate to birth for each season for the repeat data set . . . . .	84
4.8 Lambs born . . . . .	85
Table 4.8.1 Number of lambs born for the random data set . . . . .	86
Table 4.8.2 Number of lambs born for the repeat data set .	86
4.9 Summary of the overall MOET programme . . . . .	87
Table 4.9.1 Summary of the results of the overall MOET programme for the random data set . . . . .	89
Table 4.9.2 Summary of the results of the overall MOET programme for the repeat data set . . . . .	89
Table 4.9.3 Summary of the difference in results of the overall MOET programme for the repeat data set . .	90

**CHAPTER FIVE . . . . . 92**

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . . 92**

**5.1 Incidence and the time of oestrous detection . . . . . 93**

	x
5.1.1 The incidence of oestrus . . . . .	93
5.1.2 The time of oestrous detection . . . . .	95
5.1.3 Summary of the factors affecting the incidence and time of oestrous detection . . . . .	96
5.2 Ovulation rate . . . . .	97
5.2.1 The interaction between the donor ewe breed and age . . . . .	97
5.2.2 The effect of the season on the ovulation rate	99
5.2.3 The effect of the hormonal regime on the ovulation rate . . . . .	102
5.2.4 Summary of the factors affecting the ovulation rate . . . . .	103
5.3 Recovery rate . . . . .	104
5.3.1 The effect of the season on the recovery rate	104
5.3.2 The effect of the donor surgeon used on the recovery rate . . . . .	104
5.3.3 The effect of the time of oestrous detection on the recovery rate . . . . .	105
5.3.4 The recovery rate and the non-significant factors . . . . .	106
5.3.5 Summary of the factors affecting the recovery rate . . . . .	109
5.4 Fertilisation rate . . . . .	110
5.4.1 The effect of the season on the fertilisation rate . . . . .	110
5.4.2 The effect of the time of oestrous detection on the fertilisation rate . . . . .	110
5.4.3 The fertilisation rate and the non-significant factors . . . . .	111
5.4.4 Summary of the factors affecting the fertilisation rate . . . . .	113
5.5 Embryo quality . . . . .	114
5.5.1 The effect of the season on the yield of good	

quality transferable embryos . . . . .	114
5.5.2 The effect of the donor breed on the yield of good quality transferable embryos . . . . .	115
5.5.3 The effect of the time of oestrous detection after CIDR-G removal on the yield of good quality transferable embryos . . . . .	115
5.5.4 The yield of good quality transferable embryos and the non-significant factors . . . . .	116
5.5.5 Summary of the factors affecting the yield of good quality transferable embryos . . . . .	116
5.6 Embryo survival to scanning and birth . . . . .	117
5.6.1 The effect of the season on embryo survival to scanning and birth . . . . .	117
5.6.2 The embryo survival to scanning and birth and the non-significant factors . . . . .	117
5.6.3 Summary of the factors affecting the embryo survival to scanning and birth . . . . .	119
5.7 Number of lambs born . . . . .	120
5.8 Overall conclusions . . . . .	122
<b>CHAPTER SIX . . . . .</b>	<b>124</b>
<u>REFERENCES</u> . . . . .	124
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN . . . . .</b>	<b>143</b>
<u>APPENDICES</u> . . . . .	143
APPENDIX ONE - RANDOM DATA . . . . .	144
Table 7.1.1 The number of donor ewes in the random group . . . . .	145
Table 7.1.2 The number of donor ewes in the random group for each breed, age and regime combination . . . . .	146
Table 7.1.3 The effect of season on the incidence of oestrus for each breed . . . . .	146
Table 7.1.4 The effect of season on the time of oestrous	

detection for each breed . . . . .	147
Table 7.1.5 The effect of season and ovulation rate class on the time of oestrous detection . . . . .	148
Table 7.1.6 Ovulation rate within season and donor breed	148
Table 7.1.7 Ovulation rate within donor breed and donor age . . . . .	149
Table 7.1.8 Ovulation rate for each breed, age, hormonal regime and season combination . . . . .	150
Table 7.1.9 Recovery rate within season and breed . . . . .	151
Table 7.1.10 Fertilisation rate within season and breed . . . . .	151
Table 7.1.11 The yield of good quality transferable embryos within season and breed . . . . .	152
Table 7.1.12 Embryo survival rate to scanning . . . . .	153
Table 7.1.13 Embryo survival rate to birth for each season and breed . . . . .	154
Table 7.1.14 Number of lambs born . . . . .	155
Table 7.1.15 Summary of the results of the overall MOET programme for the random data . . . . .	156
<b>APPENDIX TWO - REPEAT DATA . . . . .</b>	<b>157</b>
Table 7.2.1 The number of donor ewes in the repeat group . . . . .	158
Table 7.2.2 The number of donor ewes in the repeat group for each breed, age and regime combination . . . . .	158
Table 7.2.3 The effect of season on the incidence of oestrus. . . . .	159
Table 7.2.4 The effect of season on the time of oestrous detection . . . . .	159
Table 7.2.5 The effect of season and ovulation rate class on the time of oestrous detection . . . . .	160
Table 7.2.6 Ovulation rate within season and donor breed	161
Table 7.2.7 Ovulation rate for each breed, age, hormonal regime and season combination . . . . .	162
Table 7.2.8 Recovery rate within season and breed . . . . .	163
Table 7.2.9 Fertilisation rate within season and breed . . . . .	164

Table 7.2.10	The yield of good quality transferable embryos within breed and season . . . . .	165
Table 7.2.11	Embryo survival rate to scanning within season and breed . . . . .	166
Table 7.2.12	Embryo survival rate to birth within season and breed . . . . .	167
Table 7.2.13	Number of lambs born . . . . .	168
Table 7.2.14	Summary of the results of the overall MOET programme . . . . .	169
Table 7.2.15	Summary of the difference in results of the overall MOET programme . . . . .	170