

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN ASSESSMENT OF A "CONTROLLED RELEASE" CHROMIUM
DEVICE FOR MEASUREMENT OF INTAKE
IN CALVES

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree
of Master of Agricultural Science
at Massey University, New Zealand.

AGGREY MBONEKO KASSANO

1988

ABSTRACT

Twelve Friesian male calves were used, in three blocks each with 4 calves, to assess the efficiency of a Controlled Release Device (CRD) designed to provide chromic oxide as a faecal marker.

Animals were weighed at the start and at the end of each replicate, after initial weight measurements calves were randomly allocated to one of 4 treatments (grass only, soya and grass, powdered milk and grass and liquid milk, carbohydrate concentrate and grass). Cut pasture was offered *ad libitum* while supplements were restricted at fixed levels and provided 11.28 MJME (metabolisable energy) and 175 g crude protein (CP) per calf daily.

After a 5-day preliminary period, two 5-day collection periods followed. The CRDs were administered by use of a 'balling gun' which introduced the device gently to the rumen. Collection of faecal and urine samples commenced 24h after administration of devices.

Faecal grab samples were collected from calves (*per rectum*) daily at 10.00 am, 01.00 pm and 04.00 pm while a 24 h representative faecal sample was taken every morning from the total day's collection. Daily faecal total collection was subsequently bulked for a 5-day sampling period.

A daily release of chromium (Cr) from CRDs was found to be 133 mg d⁻¹ (obtained by measuring the amount of Cr 'loss' from three capsules which were regurgitated) which compares with the expected 130 mg d⁻¹.

There were no significant variations in Cr concentration between times within a day. Marked fluctuations in Cr concentration were noticed in Period 1 (first 5-days) of each block while concentration reached a more steady plateau in Period 2 of each block.

Similarly there were varying Cr release rates (RR) between days however, no statistical significance was shown because of the small number of calves within treatment

(n=3). The daily RR was found to be largely influenced by the amount of dry matter intake but not highly influenced by the type of ration.

The amount of Cr yield (recovery rate) was not statistically different from 100%. In real terms however, Cr recovery from calves which were on the liquid milk treatment was generally low. Such a recovery was associated with the fluid nature of the diet such that it could not intimately mix with the marker.

Two methods of digestion were compared for the Cr concentration assays. A significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) Cr concentration was obtained from faecal samples which were digested using a "high" bromate (HB) compared with "low" bromate (LB) digestion. Also within duplicate variations in Cr concentration were largely eliminated by using HB.

Supplementation considerably improved ration digestibility, Soya (0.77), powder milk (0.79) and liquid milk (0.83) as compared with grass only ration (0.74) there was also a favourable response in the nitrogen retention (NR) of the calves on the liquid milk diet which were superior, while the grass-fed calves performed relatively poorly. The response may be associated with high efficiency with which digestible energy (DE) from the milk supplement was utilized for liveweight gain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my pleasure to acknowledge the guidance of my Supervisor, Dr G.F. Wilson.

I also wish to thank the following who gave very valuable assistance in various ways.

D. Hislop of No. 1 Dairy Farm (Massey University) for making calves available, B. Parlane of Animal Physiology Unit for facilitating conduct of the entire experiment, R. Watson and J. Rumball for their help during chemical analyses, R. MacKenzie of Chemistry Department for doing the tiresome spectrophotometry work and X. Wang for statistical assistance.

I am also quite grateful to my fellow post graduate students and other members of staff of the Animal Science Department who accepted to be involved at different stages of this work.

Appreciation is also due to S. Ryan for continuous encouragement and keeping an eye on language quality.

Many thanks to Trish Fleet for typing this thesis.

A lot of congratulations are accorded to my wife, Todo, for putting up with loneliness during the whole period of my study in New Zealand.

Lastly I am thankful to both the New Zealand and Tanzania Governments for the financial support offered towards this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IX
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES.....	X
INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER 1	LITERATURE REVIEW..... 3
1.1	Alternative methods for measuring intake of pasture fed calves..... 3
1.1.1	Indoor method..... 3
1.1.2	Outdoor methods..... 4
1.1.2.1	Sward-based methods..... 4
1.1.2.2	Animal-based methods..... 7
1.1.2.2.1	Faecal output estimation..... 7
1.1.2.2.2	Digestibility estimation..... 7
1.1.2.3	Other methods for intake estimation..... 24
1.2	Method for feed and faecal chromium analysis..... 29
CHAPTER 2	MATERIALS AND METHODS..... 41
2.1	Experimental design..... 41
2.2	Experimental feeds..... 41
2.3	Experimental animals..... 43
2.4	Experimental procedures..... 44
2.5	Statistical analysis..... 49
CHAPTER 3	RESULTS..... 50
3.1	Animals and CRD administration..... 50
3.2	Chemical description of feeds..... 50
3.3	Feed dry matter intakes..... 52
3.4	Assessment of CRD performance..... 53
3.5	Utilization of rations for calf growth..... 56

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 4	DISCUSSION..... 61
4.1	Analytical method..... 61
4.2	Variability of marker excretion between days..... 62
4.3	Day to day and diurnal variation in chromium concentration..... 64
4.4	Chromium Recovery..... 67
4.5	Energy Utilization..... 69
4.6	Nitrogen Utilization and digestible energy intake..... 73
4.7	Calf health..... 75
CHAPTER 5	FINAL DISCUSSION..... 77
REFERENCES.....	80

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page/Facing Page</u>
1.1 Mean Cr ₂ O ₃ recovery and diurnal fluctuation of the marker in faeces (After Valderrabano, 1979).....	10
1.2 Estimates of daily herbage dry matter intakes using n-alkanes.....	19
1.3 The influence of Sulphuric Acid on the optical densities of potassium dichlormate solutions.....	32
1.4 The effect of length of time of digestion on the concentration of chromium in the diluted digest as measured by optical densities at 350 mμ.....	33
1.5 Mean percentage recoveries (SD, N=10) of various amounts of Cr ₂ O ₃ added to digestion tubes containing (a) no faecal material, (b) 0.2 g of faeces with no Cr content, and (c) 0.2 g of faeces analysed as containing 243 μg Cr ₂ O ₃ /g.....	36
1.6 A comparison of the use of Cr ₂ O ₃ and K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇ as analytical spikes added to faeces prior to ashing (spike solution or suspension both containing the equivalent of 40 μg of Cr ₂ O ₃ /ml...)	37
1.7 The effect of additional ions on chromium absorption in phosphoric acid-manganese sulphate-potassium bromate solution in the presence and absence of 500 ppm of calcium.....	38
2.1 Ingredients used in supplement composition.....	42
2.2 Chemical analysis of foods.....	44
2.3 Proportion used in preparation of standards.....	48
3.1 Experimental details of animals and treatments...	50
3.2 Chemical composition of pasture and the <i>in vitro</i> dry matter digestibility.....	51
3.3 The mean composition of supplements fed to calves.....	51

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

	<u>Page/Facing Page</u>
3.4 The quantities of nutrients offered to individual calves in form of supplements per day.....	52
3.5 Averages of the actual amounts of supplements and herbage eaten by calves during the experiment.....	52
3.6 Daily Cr concentration in faeces in which Cr was determined by using both High (HB) and Low (LB) bromate during the second 5-day measurement period of Block II.....	53
3.7 Mean release rate (RR) of chromium from individual calves during the second period of each of the three Blocks	54
3.8 The effect of time of sampling during the day on chromium concentration in faeces during Period 2 of Block II.....	55
3.9 Chromium recoveries (%) from daily representative faecal samples taken from individual calves during the second periods of Blocks I, II and III.....	55
4.1 Energy utilised by calves fed different rations..	56
4.2 The means of liveweights (initial and final) and daily liveweight gain for calves on different rations.....	57
4.3 Mean NR and as a proportion of N-intake for individual calves in the three Blocks.....	58
4.4 Relationship between NR and DE intake for individual rations.....	58
4.5 The <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> digestibility of herbage.....	59
4.6 The proportion of supplement in each ration fed calves and the mean RR of Cr.....	63
4.7 Gross energy intake, faecal and urinary energy losses as a percentage of DE intake.....	69

Page/Facing
Page

4.8 Actual and Expected dry matter digestibilities
of herbage, supplement and herbage and
supplement rations..... 71

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page/Facing Page</u>
1.1 Slow-release capsule of chromic oxide in sucrose monostearate.....	13
1.2 Effect of digestion temperature on observed concentration of Cr ₂ O ₃ determined on a standard faecal sample containing 243 µg Cr ₂ O ₃ /g DM.....	35
3.1 Daily chromium concentration in faeces when Cr was determined using Low (LB) and High (HB) bromate concentration.....	54
3.2 Chromium excreted by individual calves during Blocks II and III over a 10-day collection period.....	54
3.3 Diurnal variation in Cr concentration in faeces DM taken 3 times/day from individual calves during Block II.....	55
3.4 Relation between DE concentration and supplement proportion in the rations.....	56
3.5 Relation between NR and DE intake of calves.....	58

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table

- 1 Analysis of variance of Cr concentration in faeces by using High (HB) and Low (LB) bromate for different rations
- 2 Analysis of variance on Cr release rate for three blocks
- 3 Analysis of variance on Cr recovery for three blocks
- 4 Analysis of variance for within day times of faecal sampling for Cr concentration for Block II, Period 2
- 5 The relationship between NR and DEI for the three blocks
- 6 Energy utilisation by calves fed different rations during the experimental period