

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**PC-Based Aviation Training Devices for Pilot Training in Visual Flight
Rules Procedures;
Development, Validation and Effectiveness**

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Aviation

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Savern Reweti

2014

Abstract

Flying is a difficult and complex activity that requires a significant level of attention from the pilot as well as a lengthy training period to gain sufficient competency. For issues of both cost and safety, flight simulation has been an integral part of flight training from its earliest beginnings. There have been a number of technological developments and improvements in both the level of fidelity and the training effectiveness of flight simulators. As a result, flight simulators in use today are the result of this technological, psychological, and engineering evolution. Indeed, simulator cockpits can now accurately replicate all of the functions of flight controls and instrumentation found in real aircraft. Furthermore, the development of high-resolution display systems utilising computer-generated imagery (CGI), means that flight simulators can now display very realistic terrain and environmental effects.

The high cost of modern full motion flight simulators (FFSs) has meant that their use has generally been restricted to commercial airlines, military forces, and government agencies. More recently, rapid advances and decreasing costs in PC-based computer technology has enabled flight-training organisations to conduct more training with less expensive fixed-base flight training devices (FTDs). That said, the first study in this thesis indicated that in NZ, even the cost of certified FTDs is still beyond the reach of most flight training schools and their students.

The central tenet of this thesis is that a cost effective strategy for smaller flight training schools could be the utilisation of low-cost personal computer based aviation-training devices (PCATDs) for flight instruction and procedural training tasks. Although a number of studies have indicated that the fidelity of PCATDs may be quite low when compared to FTDs, especially in control loading and flight dynamics, there is some evidence of a positive transfer of training from the PCATD to the aircraft.

Significant research has been conducted on the effective use of PCATDs to reduce Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) training time in the aircraft. Conversely, few studies have examined the use of PCATDs for Visual Flight Rules (VFR) training. This lack of research is likely due to the limited fidelity of most PCATDs, especially in the critical area of visual displays. Customised PCATDs were developed to address these fidelity issues by utilising innovative and cost effective software and hardware technologies.

The aim of this study was to investigate potential training benefits and cost effectiveness of utilising low cost PCATDs, to improve pilot proficiency in performing VFR procedures. A quasi-transfer study was undertaken to ascertain whether a customised low cost PCATD was as effective as a Civil Aviation Authority certified FTD at improving pilot proficiency in the performance of a standard VFR traffic pattern operation.

1. There was no evidence of a difference in VFR task performance between participants trained on the PCATD and the FTD when tested on the FTD. In addition, there were significant improvements in VFR task performance compared to a control group that received no simulator training.
2. A follow-up study compared VFR task performance of two groups with significantly different levels of aviation experience that were trained and tested on the PCATD. Again, there was no evidence of any significant differences in VFR performance between these two groups of pilot trainees and this demonstrated that the PCATD could impart equal training benefits to both experienced and ab-initio pilots.

The Civil Aviation Authority certification of two of the PCATDs developed in this study provided formal recognition of the training potential of these devices. In addition, the study has demonstrated that small to medium sized flight schools could enhance their training programmes significantly by deploying low cost PCATDs.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank a number of people who have helped me through the duration of this study. My principal supervisor, Associate Professor Lynn Jeffrey for her patience, encouragement, and guidance. Dr. Andrew Gilbey for his expert assistance in statistical analysis. I would also like to thank professional colleagues who have provided support and advice for me as I completed this journey. They include Ashok Poduval General Manager, Massey University School of Aviation; Frank Sharp, Director of Professional Programmes, Massey University School of Aviation; Craig Hunter, General Manager, Ardmore Flying School; John Parker & Carlton Campbell, CAANZ; Dave Walley, Chief Pilot Auckland Rescue Helicopter Trust; Sqn Ldr Nick Pedley, PTS RNZAF; Capt. Rowland Harrison, NZ Army; Steve Hall, B747 Pilot, AIR NZ; Colin Brown, CEO TracMap; Mike Speekman, CEO Melbourne Flight Simulators; Chris Kasapis, CEO CKAS; Hubert Roth, CEO TRC Simulators; Michael Zyskowski Microsoft Research Connections; Mike Zeff Microsoft NZ; Jim Rhoads, CEO Flight 1 Aviation Technologies; Lawrence Schultz, CEO Anyscape Ltd; Alan Gibson; Deane Baunton; Ian Warren; Robin Corn; Lawrie Roche; my cousin Milton Hartley for his technical advice; and many other dedicated enthusiasts who were involved in this PCATD development research.

Most of all I would like to thank my family. My wife Darlene, and my children, Wade, Kemp, and Kathleen, and daughters-in-law, Kristy and Angelique for their tremendous love and support. Also my mother Laura, my sister Judy, my late sister Dixie, and my brothers Adrian and Julian for their love and support.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my grandchildren

Taylor, Raiatea, Kauri, and Isaiah Reweti

who represent the future and all its possibilities

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables.	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Equations.....	xvi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1Introduction	1
1.2Statement of the Problem	3
1.3Purpose of the Study	5
1.4Research Objectives	5
Chapter 2. The Emergence of PCATDs.....	8
2.1Introduction	8
2.2PCATD Software	10
2.2.1 X-Planes.....	11
2.2.2Microsoft Flight Simulator	12
2.2.3Microsoft Flight Simulator Visual Display	15
2.2.4Microsoft Flight Simulator Terrain Synthesis	15
2.2.5New Zealand Terrain Mesh	17
2.2.6Land Class	18
2.2.7Photo-Realistic Scenery.....	19
2.2.8Development of Local Airport Scenery.....	19
2.3PCATD Hardware	20
2.3.1Precision Flight Controls Inc.	21
2.3.2Go Flight Avionics	21
2.3.3SimKits	22
2.4Flight Simulator Standards and Regulatory Approval	22
2.4.1Introduction.....	22

2.4.2.....	The International Regulatory Situation	22
2.4.3.....	Regulatory Approval of PCATDs in the USA	25
2.4.4.....	Regulatory Approval of PCATDs in Australia and New Zealand	29
2.5	The Effect of Fidelity on Flight Simulation	31
2.5.1	Introduction.....	31
2.5.2.....	Physical and Functional Fidelity	32
2.5.3.....	Face Fidelity	33
2.5.4.....	Psychological Fidelity	34
2.5.5.....	Motion Fidelity	34
2.5.6.....	Fidelity & Training Performance.....	35
2.6	Transfer of Training Theory.....	38
2.6.1	Transfer of Training Model	38
2.6.2.....	Training Transfer Design.....	40
2.6.3.....	Motor Skill Acquisition	42
2.6.4.....	Cognitive Mapping	43
2.7	Assessment of Transfer of Training.....	45
2.7.1	Introduction.....	45
2.7.2.....	Measurement of Transfer of Training from PCATD to Aircraft.....	46
2.8	PCATDs and Transfer of Training.....	49
2.8.1	Introduction.....	49
2.8.2.....	Using PCATDs with Microsoft Flight Simulator.....	50
2.8.3.....	Using PCATDs for Instrument Flight Rules Training.....	54
2.8.4.....	Using PCATDs for Instrument Currency Training	57
2.8.5.....	Using PCATDs for Ab-Initio Pilot Training	58
2.8.6.....	Using PCATDs for Visual Flight Rules Training.....	61
2.8.7.....	Using PCATDs for Crew Resource Management Training	63
2.8.8.....	Using PCATDs for Scenario Based Training.....	65
2.8.9.....	Using PCATDs and Negative Transfer of Training Effects.....	68
2.8.10....	Using PCATDs for Classroom Instruction	70
2.9	Conclusions	72

Chapter 3. Flight Training In New Zealand	75
3.1Introduction	75
3.2Part 61 vs. Part 141 Flight Training Schools	77
3.3Demand for Flight Training in NZ.....	78
3.4Multi Crew Pilot License Training	79
3.5Flight Training Utilising Synthetic Flight Training Devices	80
3.5.1Utilisation of FTDs & PCATDs in the USA	81
3.5.2.....Utilisation of FTDs & PCATDs in Australia	82
3.5.3.....Utilisation of Synthetic Flight Training Devices in NZ	83
3.5.4.....`Utilisation of FTDs & PCATDs in NZ Flight Training Schools	85
Chapter 4. Methodology	86
4.1Action Research	86
4.2Simulation Design.....	89
4.3Research Questions	94
Chapter 5. Survey of NZ Flight Training Organisations.....	95
5.1Introduction	95
5.2Methodology	95
5.3Results	97
5.3.1NZ FTOs with FTDs & PCATDs.....	104
5.3.2..... Summary.....	107
5.3.3..... Discussion.....	109
Chapter 6. PCATD Projects	113
6.1Stage 1: Development of the RNZAF Pilot Training Squadron PCATD	113
6.1.1 Introduction.....	113
6.1.2..... Background.....	114
6.1.3..... Literature Review	115
6.1.4..... Development of RNZAF PTS IFR/VFR PCATD.	117
6.1.5..... Initial PCATD Evaluation	117
6.1.6..... Preliminary Evaluation of the RNZAF PCATD Prototype	121
6.1.7..... Introduction into Training Curriculum	123
6.1.8..... Evaluation of RNZAF PCATD by Pilot Trainees	124

6.1.9.....Results	130
6.1.10....Discussion.....	134
6.2Stage 2: Development of a PCATD for Helicopter IFR/VFR Training.....	136
6.2.1 Introduction.....	136
6.2.2.....Background.....	137
6.2.3.....Literature Review	137
6.2.4.....Research Gap	143
6.2.5.....Development of the ARHT PCATD.....	144
6.2.6.....Evaluation of the ARHT PCATD.....	159
6.2.7 Results	163
6.2.8.....NZ Civil Aviation (CAANZ) Certification of the ARHT PCATD	170
6.2.9.....Discussion.....	171
6.3Stage 3: Development of the SAV1 PCATD for VFR Procedures Training.....	173
6.3.1 Introduction.....	173
6.3.2.....Background.....	174
6.3.3.....Literature Review	174
6.3.4.....The Development of a VFR Procedural Trainer PCATD	182
6.3.5.....Evaluation of the Stage 3 SAV1 PCATD.....	186
6.3.6.....Results	189
6.3.7.....Discussion.....	194
6.3.8.....Future development of Stage 3 SAV1 PCATD.....	198
6.3.9.....Stage 3 Project Extension: New Zealand Army UAV PCATD Project	198
6.4Stage 4: Development of the SAV2 PCATD for VFR Training.....	203
6.4.1 Introduction.....	203
6.4.2.....Literature Review	204
6.4.3.....Development of Stage 4 SAV2 PCATD for VFR Training and Research.....	207
6.4.4.....Microsoft Flight Simulator 2004 Aircraft Model	208
6.4.5.....Communications and Navigation Radios	213
6.5Evaluation of Stage 4 PCATD for VFR Training.....	214
6.5.1 Research Gap	214
6.5.2.....Methodology.....	215

6.5.3.....	Participants	217
6.5.4.....	Apparatus	220
6.5.5.....	Experimental Procedure.....	229
6.5.6.....	Collection of Data–Frasca TruFlite & Stage 4 SAV2 PCATD	234
6.5.7.....	Initial Trial (Pilot).....	244
6.5.8.....	Results of Stage 4 PCATD Comparative Study	251
6.5.9.....	Discussion.....	280
6.6.....	Stage 5: Development of Massey School of Aviation Diamond DA 40 PCATD	286
6.6.1.....	Introduction.....	286
6.6.2.....	Literature Review	287
6.6.3.....	The Development of a Diamond DA 40 (Glass Cockpit) IFR/VFR	297
6.6.4.....	PCATD Motion Platform Technology	298
6.6.5.....	Software Engine –Microsoft Flight Simulator FSX Gold Accelerated	301
6.6.6.....	PCATD Graphic Display Technologies	302
6.6.7.....	Garmin 1000 (G1000) Simulation.....	305
6.6.8.....	Evaluation of the Diamond DA 40 PCATD	310
6.6.9.....	Results	313
6.6.10....	Discussion.....	320
Chapter 7. Overall Discussion	324	
7.1	Introduction	324
7.2	How much fidelity is needed in a PCATD for effective transfer of training of IFR/VFR skills to the aircraft?	325
7.2.1.....	Flight Control Fidelity	327
7.2.2.....	Depiction of Terrain and 3D Scenery Objects.....	335
7.2.3.....	Dynamic Flight Model Fidelity	338
7.2.4.....	Instrument Panel Fidelity.....	340
7.2.5.....	Visual Display Fidelity	342
7.3	How much of the IFR /VFR task can be effectively simulated in a PCATD?.....	346
7.4	How does the effectiveness of a PCATD compare to a CAANZ certified FTD when used for training VFR tasks?	352
7.5	Conclusions	358

7.6Practical Applications & Future Research	363
References	366
Appendices	396
A: Microsoft Flight Simulator Software Architecture.....	397
B: Micosoft Flight Simulator Versions	398
C: NZ Flight Training Organisation Survey.....	399
D: NZ Aviation Organisation Database.....	401
E: PCATD Evaluation Template	403
F: TRACMAP Link To Microsoft Flight Simulator	406
G: ARHT Synthetic Training Device Approval	407
H: Massey University SOA SFTD Approval	409
I. CAANZ Approved Synthetic Trainer Standards	411
J: Stage 3 PCATD Navigation Procedures	422
K: NZ Army PCATD (PPL Training)	423
L: Letter of Invitation to Comparative Study	423
M: Informed Consent Form.....	426
N: Participant Questionnaire	427
O. Diamond DA 40 PCATD.....	428
P. Customised NZ Terrain (MSFS Compatible)	430
Q. Motion Platform Technology	431
R. PCATD Replica Garmin PFD & MFD	432

List of Tables

2-1. MSFS Versions	13
2-2. Level of Detail vs. Resolution.....	18
3-1. Part 61 vs. Part 141 Schools.....	77
3-2. Pilot License Statistics	78
3-3. Sample of Australian FTOs with FTDs & PCATDs.....	83
3-4. Full Flight Simulators operated by NZ FTOs.	84
5-1. NZ FTOs with FTDs & PCATDs	105
6-1. PCATD Continuous Improvement Cycle (Action Research)	120
6-2. PTS Simulator Instrument Flight Rules Training Sorties	124
6-3. Aircraft & PCATD Training Experience	125
6-4. Trainee Pilot Ratings for Practical Evaluation of IFR VFR Tasks	131
6-5. Stage 1 PCATD Krippendorff's Alpha Coefficient (95% Confidence Interval)	132
6-6. Comparison of PCATD Visual Displays	148
6-7. ARHT Pilots - Aircraft & PCATD Currency Training Experience	160
6-8. Pilot Ratings for Practical Evaluation of IFR/VFR Tasks	164
6-9. Stage 2 PCATD Krippendorff's Alpha Coefficient (95% Confidence Interval)	164
6-10. ARHT Pilots Evaluation vs.US Army Instructors Evaluation	166
6-11. Spearman Rank Correlation -	166
6-12. Cost Comparison of Operating Helicopter vs. PCATD	170
6-13. Ratings for Practical Evaluation of VFR Tasks	189
6-14. Stage 3 PCATD Krippendorff's Alpha Coefficient (95% Confidence Interval)	190
6-15. Participants Previous Aviation Experience (Aircraft, FTD, PCATD).....	220
6-16. Sample Visor 2000 Printout of PCATD Flight Variables.....	228
6-17. Experimental Procedure	230
6-18. Definition of ICAO International Standard Atmosphere	235
6-19. NIFA Scoring System	236
6-20. Overhead Rejoin Pattern Scale.....	243
6-21. NIFA Error Rating Score per Second	247
6-22. Initial Study Pilots – Aircraft, FTD & PCATD Training Experience.....	248

6-23. Comparative Means & SD's in Aviation Experience of Three Groups.....	252
6-24. ANOVA Results-Aviation Experience	254
6-25. Comparative Statistics of FTD Pre-Test Scores of Three Groups	254
6-26. Participants VFR Task Performance Feedback (Positive Responses)	267
6-27. Comparative Statistics of Aviation Experience Levels (Hrs)	269
6-28. ANOVA Results-Aviation Experience	270
6-29. Comparative Statistics of PCATD Training Scores of Two Groups	271
6-30. Participants PCATD VFR Task Performance Feedback	279
6-31. Evaluation of Diamond DA 40 PCATD – Aircraft & PCATD Training Experience...	311
6-32. Pilot Ratings for Practical Evaluation of IFR VFR Tasks in Diamond DA 40 PCATD	313
6-33. Stage 2 PCATD Krippendorff's Alpha Coefficient (95% Confidence Interval)	314
6-34. Cost Comparison of Operating Aircraft vs. PCATD	318

List of Figures

2-1. Terrain Mesh Simulation (Facsimile)	17
2-2. New ICAO FSTD Standards (Facsimile)	24
2-3. Relationship between Degree of Fidelity and Learning Stage (Facsimile).....	36
2-4. Model of Transfer Process (Facsimile)	39
2-5. Cycle of Experiential Learning and Transfer from Simulations (Facsimile).....	44
4-1: The Action Research Cycle (Facsimile)	87
5-1. Distribution of Trainees in Large FTO's in NZ (Total 1100 Students)	98
5-2. Distribution of Trainees in Small FTO's in NZ (Total 230 Trainees)	98
5-3. Comparison of Ownership of SFTDs between Large FTOs and Small FTOs.	108
5-4. Comparison of Survey Responses between Large FTOs vs. Small FTOs	108
6-1. RNZAF CT4-E Airtrainer	115
6-2. PTS IFR/VFR PCATDs	118
6-3. CT-4E Airtrainer. – RNZAF Base Ohakea Custom Aircraft & Scenery	120
6-4. Desk Top Simulators L.L.C. Helicopter PCATD Visual Display (Facsimile)	141
6-5. AHRT HELISIM PCATD Cockpit Construction	146
6-6. Example of Auckland Rescue BK 117 Helicopter Visual Repaint.....	152
6-7. Completed ARHT PCATD	155
6-8. ARHT PCATD Custom Trailer	157
6-9. Synthetic Runway Scenes (Facsimile)	182
6-10. Stage 3 SAV1 PCATD VFR Trainer & Instructor Station	183
6-11. Example of Visual Navigation Chart Segment of Auckland (Facsimile)	192
6-12. Stage 3 PCATD Screenshot Auckland NZ	192
6-13. Massey School of Aviation Piper Warrior	209
6-14. FS2004 Compatible Piper Warrior Flight Model & Visual Model.....	209
6-15. Massey School of Aviation Piper Warrior Instrument Panel.....	210
6-16. Example of Microsoft Flight Simulator Compatible Piper Warrior Instrument Panel .	210
6-17. Stage 4 SAV2 PCATD Multiple Screens.....	212
6-18. Massey Aviation TruFlite FTD & Ardmore Flight School TruFlite FTD	222

6-19. Frasca FNPT II STD Single engine Mode	222
6-20. Frasca TruFlite FNPT II GISSt Instructor Station	223
6-21. Map Display Module.....	224
6-22. Parameter Graph Plot	225
6-23. GISSt Record/Replay Module	225
6-24. NIFA Scoring Editor	226
6-25. SAV2 PCATD Instructor Station & Visor 2000 Software	227
6-26. Visor 2000 Printout of PCATD Maximum Flight Variables	228
6-27. Overhead Rejoin Manoeuvre	229
6-28. Diagram of Glideslope Angle	242
6-29. Frasca Map Display Module Printout	245
6-30. Frasca Parameter Plot Module Printout	245
6-31. Frasca TruFlite NIFA Scoring Module Printout	246
6-32. Aviation Experience Means Plot Graph.....	253
6-33. Means Plot Pitch Performance	256
6-34. Post Hoc Pitch Change Scores Means Plot	257
6-35. Means Plot Bank Performance	258
6-36. Post Hoc Bank Change Scores Means Plot	259
6-37. Means Plot Altitude Performance	260
6-38. Means Plot Indicated Airspeed Performance	261
6-39. Means Plot Heading Performance.....	262
6-40. Means Plot Total Variable Score Performance	263
6-41. Post Hoc Total Variable Score Means Plot.....	264
6-42. Means Plot Glide Slope Score Performance	265
6-43. Means Plot Overhead Rejoin Pattern Score Performance.....	266
6-44. Aviation Experience Mean Plots.....	270
6-45 Means Plot Pitch Performance	272
6-46. Means Plot Bank Performance.....	273
6-47. Means Plot Altitude Performance	274
6-48. Means Plot IAS Performance.....	275
6-49. Means Plot Heading Performance.....	276

6-50. Means Plot Total Score Performance.....	277
6-51. Means Plot Glide Slope Performance	278
6-52. OZ Glass Cockpit Display (Facsimile)	291
6-53. Diamond DA 40 PCATD Glass and Analogue Cockpits.....	294
6-54. Example of RNAV Approach (Simplified Facsimile)	308

List of Equations

2-1. Percentage Transfer.....	47
2-2. Cumulative Transfer Effectiveness.....	47
2-3. Incremental Transfer Effectiveness.....	48
6-1. NIFA Score.....	237
6-2. True Air Speed.....	240
6-3. Angle of Bank.....	240
6-4. Angle of Bank Approximation.....	241
6-5. Glideslope.....	242